FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0109			Date of visit: 07/04/2025
Time spent on site:	Hours	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS1033 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	North Shore Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.7	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: W-3
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.		
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Site inspected in fair weather with good visability of the stocks. Fish were observed shoaling well and responding positively to routine feeding regimes. Salmon mortality at the site has remained very low since input and fish are growing well. During the inspection of the site, 5 fish were observed with severe fin rot to the claudal fin. No other clinical signs of disease were observed during the inspection. Mortality on site the previous week was at 0.01%. 3 fish were removed from pen 12 for VMD, fish sampled appeared healthy both internally and exterally.

Cleanerfish Mortality: Lumpfish - Week 14 (251, 0.71%), Week 13 (1797, 4.81%), Week 12 (3332, 8.19%), Week 11 (4163, 9.28%) Mortality has been attributed to wounds or fin damage. Heath checks have been conducted on the Lumpfish, mortality is thought to have been caused following a few large storms in Febuary of this year, the site is extreamly exposed and the lumpfish are thought to have sustained some abrasion damage off the nets.

Recent treatments on site: Slice treatment concluded on 30/01/2025, peroxide treatment concluded on 13/03/2025. Fish are now out with withdrawal for these treatments.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2025-0109		Site No:	FS1033	3			
Date of Visit:		07/04/2025	3		Inspector(s)			
Registration/Author							_	_
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		13	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	es inspected	13
Species	SAL	LUM					1	
Age group	2024 Q4	2025						
No Fish	969,100	35,308						
Mean Fish Wt	370g	100g						
Next Fallow Date (S		07/26		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	12/26		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	alocase probl	CITIO:			Trify Cocape.	3 (311100 1431	viole):	11
,,								
Movement Record								
1. Movement record	ls available fo	r inspection?						Y
<ol><li>Date of last inspe</li></ol>	ction:						16/05/2023	
3. Are records comp	olete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
4. Are movement re	cords availab	le for dead fis	sh and waste?	)				Y
5. Are records comp	olete and corre	ectly entered	?					Y
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (outv	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	sing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a sys					-			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail	)		
If other detail:		te to white sh	oro cocklos		Other (detail	'/		
3. Mortality records								Y
5. Wortainly records	complete and	correctly crit		14 (40, 0.01%)	\ \Mook 12 (2	4 0 120/ \ \	Mook 12 (274	0.08%)
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		Week 11 (60	, ,	), WEEK IS (S	4, U. 12/0), V	VEEK 12 (214	, 0.00 /6),
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical morta	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period of	necked?					N
If yes, detail:	Thortainly du	ring period ci	icckeu!					11
7. Have increased (	uneyplained)	mortalities bo	en reported to	Net or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	arickpiairied)	nortanties be	or reported to	3 101 01 1 111 !				13/71
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen re	norted to FHI	2 If no enter	details on mor	tality events s	heet		Y
or ridge intollantly of			. II IIO, OIICI	actune on mor	willy overile o			

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 16/05/2023 - 07/04/2025	

FHI 059, Version 13					ISSUED DY: FHI								
	Case no:	2025-01	09	Site No:		FS1033			Date of v		07/0	04/2025	07/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:0			0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	7
	Environmental conditions:	1	Sunny	2	Dry	3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1 - 3											
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	370g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Loch Ness (FS0434)											
Ċ.	Facility No	12											

)4/2025	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:											
	Additional Sample Information:														
0	1	Total To	ests ass	ianed	0	1									
				.9											

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	ot issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case Number:	2025-0109			FS1033		Insp:		
Date of Visit	07/04/2025		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.	p./dest.		
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	0	
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0	
							10	
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0		6	10	10	
Exposure via water	Ivalliber of des	Site contacts						
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	Π					
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	porehole)	0					
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2	
		or in a coastal zone with category III nor within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0	
and raide of the another	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1					
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2					
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4					
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3	
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0	1			0	
·	Feeding unpas	· ·	5					
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1	
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0	
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1					
CoGP/Regulator				_				
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0	
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3					
Platform access to cages	Yes			1				
Tradioini access to cages	No		2				0	
	1.10			J				
					Total		20	
					Rank		MEDIUM	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0109	Site No:	FS1033
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	in the previous 4 years? quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single yearced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (included as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical and/or mechani	cluding deltamethrin,
can these be deployed in a reasonable period	of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the si	te and CoGP Farm Y
<ol> <li>Are sea lice count records available for insp</li> <li>Do records adequately reflect the required s</li> </ol>	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels belerecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that Y
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. saln</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare proble	M/A N/A N
•	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis l</i> longatus is considered to have welfare implicationally?	
<ol> <li>Have therapeutic treatments or the actions</li> <li>Are treatments, where conducted, carried</li> </ol>	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice levout in cooperation between participating farms?	vels recorded?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set a nfestation?	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		
Has the site experienced equipment damage     Are measures in place to mitigate against the	ge due to predators in the current or previous prohe predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
Seal pro, bird nets If other, detail below:		
<ol> <li>Have escape incidents or events been exp</li> <li>Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip</li> </ol>	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the to question 10	e last FHI inspection?
•	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was perm	ission given by Scottish
<ol><li>What action was taken to prevent and minir be considered under satisfactory measur</li></ol>	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in es of the Act)	n code but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reason	on(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2025-0109	Site No: FS1033	
Date of Visit: 07/04/2025	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located wi		Υ
If N, no further questions require complet	tion.	
•	lanagement Agreements and Statement	
z. Has a current farm management agree 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepar	ed?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	Y Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm		Y
•	commencement of the agreement or staten	nent? Y ✓
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the date of r</li></ol>	eview?	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		N
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimun farm?	n health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
	ion requirements for stocks held in the are	a or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species	s of fish which may be stocked into the area	a or farm?
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximule individual farm?	um stocking density of any pen on any farn	n in the area or the
	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farr	m?	
Arrangements for The Management of	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
	ility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
of statement?	amonts for the consitivity testing of available	o troatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farr	ements for the sensitivity testing of available ms?	
	stances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual fa 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	irms? ements for synchronous treatments on farn	d cleaner fish are to be  Y  ns within the area?  Y
Live Fish Movements  18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums	stances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
area or farm?	The second of th	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange or individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish on an	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	arvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be fallod? or more year classes may be stocked onto a odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	ent Agreements Only t include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S?	statement? Y

Site No: FS1033

Case No: 2025-0109

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2025-0109			Date of visit: 07/04/2025							
Site No:	-S1033			Inspector:							
Results Summary F	-req.			Date of Notification							
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp		Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp			
Daniel Oceanie											
Report Summary			- nd -								
	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp								
ECI, SLI, CINI, VIVID	10/04/2025										



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE of VISIT
 07/04/2025

 SITE NO
 FS1033
 SITE NAME
 North Shore

 CASE NO
 20250109
 INSPECTOR

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues. R25



# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 10/04/2025