FHI 059, Version 14		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No: 2025-0125			Date of visit: 29/04/2025
Additional inspector(s):		Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS0016 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Alsh (Sron) Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	SLI 3 CNI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 9.61	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-21
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Post mortem signs observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Risk assessments available for SW to SW movements - found to be satisfactory.

Elevated Lumpsucker mortalities - 2023 wk26 27184 (19.08%) wk27 13175 (11.43%), wk28 6919 (6.77%) wk37 11655 (16.33%), wk38 10787 (18.06%), wk40 7902 (18.24%), wk41 5793 (16.36%). 2024 wk11 5725 (10.12%). - The salmon had FW treatments, during this time the site had difficulties separating the lump suckers during treatments. Following this site had dewaterers placed on boats to help. In addition, site now removes all cleaner fish prior treatments which are stored in a floating pen and kept with in the cage).

Wrasse were onsite on the previous cycle but were not at time of inspection. Records were checked for wrasse that were present in previous cycle.

Stock were observed to be shoaling and feeding well whilst swimming deep in the water column. Fish had a good feed and stimuli response. Stock that had originated from Lochailort were observed to have caudal fin damage but appeared to be healing well. Few spinal deformities were observed across site. 3 mortalities were observed across site and were removed at time of inspection. At time of inspection site were conducting Sea lice counts, which were observed - counts that were conducted were reflective of records. In addition, fish appeared to be in good condition.

3 fish were collected for VMD. The fish were observed to be in good condition externally and internally.

FHI 059, Versio	on 14			Issued by: F	-HI		Date o	f issue: 04/04/2025
Case No:	2025-0125		Site No:	FS0016	3			
Date of Visit:		29/04/2025	3		Main Insp:			
Registration/A	Authorisation	Details						
1. Business/site	e details sumi	mary checked	d by site repre	esentative?			Υ	
2. Changes ma	ade to details?	?					N	
Site Details (ir	nclude clean	er fish for al	l sections)					
Total No faciliti	es	10	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilities	inspected	10
	Atlantic	Atlantic	Lumpsucker					
Species	salmon	salmon						
Age group	2024 Q4	2025 Q1	2024/25					
No Fish	562,232	369,058	84,062					
Mean Fish Wt		250g	~45g					
Next Fallow Da	ate (Site)	January 202	.7	Next Input D	ate (Site)	Feb-27		
Recent (last 4)	wks) disease	problems?		N	Any escapes	(since last vi	isit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Re	cords							
Movement re		snecies held	l available for	inspection?				Y
2. Date of last i		Species ner	available for	mopeodom.			16/05/2023	
3. Are records		l correctly ent	ered?				10/00/2020	Y
4. Are moveme		_		aste?				Y
5. Are records				asic:				· V
	•	•		tion) from our	tuith the CD h			
6. Have all intro		imports (sin	ce iasi inspec	uon) irom ou	twith the GB n	eaith Zone be	en recorded	1
the movement	records?							
Transport Red	cords							
1. Are any mov	ements carrie	ed out not usi	ng a STB (by	(or on behalf	f of) the busine	ess)?		N
If yes, is there	a system in pl	lace for main	tenance of tra	nsportation r	ecords?			
Mortality Reco	ords							
Mortality rec		pecies held a	vailable for in	spection?				Y
2. How are mo	•				Other (detail)			
If other detail:			rdintoul to be	ensiled	otrioi (dotaii)			
3. Mortality rec	ords complete	e and correct	v entered?	crisiicu.				Y
o. mortanty roo	ordo complet	o ana comco		(486) 0 13%	wk16 (648) 0.	17% wk15/	452) 0 12% v	wk14 (307)
				. , , , ,	8) 1.63% , wk1	*		· /
4. Recent mort	ality (last 4 wl	ks):	wk14 (2133)		5) 1.00 %, WK1	10 (2210) 2.4	070, WK10 (10	001) 2.00 70,
5. Evidence of	• •	*		2.0070				N
If yes, facility n		• • •		acility/reason	:			
,,,		., p = 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			-			
6. Any other pe	eaks in mortal	ity during per	iod checked?					N
If yes, detail:		-						
7. Have increase	sed (unexplai	ned) mortaliti	es been repoi	rted to vet or	FHI?			N/A
If yes, detail ac								
8. Have 'mortal		en reported t	o FHI? If no. e	enter details	on mortality ev	ents sheet		N
	•							

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?					Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.					
If other, detail:					
Medicines records available for inspection?					Y
Are records complete and correctly entered?					Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?					Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.				
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?					Y
B: 1/ B 1					
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?					Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal,		•			Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will no		inisters or vet	erinary profes	ssional of any	V
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included in the sit					1
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the	•	•	•		V
disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i>					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being shigher health status, certification if required)?	stocked on the	raini sile bee	ii covereu (e	quai oi	
Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures imple	emented hetw	een each enid	lemiological u	init to	
minimise transmission of disease been covered (move					Y
etc.)?	ment of stair,	visitors, equip	ment, nve or	acaa non	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures	in place to ma	intain the phy	sical containn	nent of	V
aquaculture animals held on site?	in place to the	micani are prije			<u> </u>
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately in	nplemented or	n site?			Y
If no, detail:					
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out	by, or on beh	alf of, the busi	ness?		Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?					Y
3. Any significant results?					N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease proble	ems).				
Records checked between:	16/05/2023 -	29/04/2025			

Priority samples: VI		1000, VCISIOII 14							issucu b	,				
Priority samples:	(Case no:	2025-01	125	Site No:		FS0016					29/0	04/2025	29/0
Summary samples	F	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG					
Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5			13:3	0:00	14:0	0:00		Main In	sp:		1	VMD No	o.	4
Pool/Fish No			1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Pool/Fish No	\$	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Pool/Fish No														
Fish nos 1-3 Pool Group Species Average weight 789g Sex N/A Water Type SW (21178) (1-3 (21178) (31-3 (4-4) (5-4) (5-4) (7-4)														
Pool Group Species Average weight Sex N/A Water Type SW Sex Value of the content														
Species SAL Average weight 789g Sex N/A Water Type SW		Fish nos	1-3											
Average weight 789g Sex N/A Water Type SW C111S H	F	Pool Group												
Sex N/A Water Type SW			SAL											
Water Type Water Type Water Type	/	Average weight												
Details /e 4 (FS1112)	5	Sex												
Stock Origin Etive 4 (FS1112)	١	Water Type	SW											
TEACHITY INO 18	ठ	Stock Origin Facility No	ω Etive 4 (FS1112)											

04/2025 Additional Sample Information:													
	VMD fish dispatched via percussion												
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 14		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issu	e: 04/04/202
Case Number:	2025-0125		Site No:	FS0016	Main Ins	p:	
Date of Visit	29/04/2025		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from British Islands					
with GB) of susceptible species	(non-GB).		0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of m	novements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	oliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of dest	tinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	.	Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through	0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I					
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III					
		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category V	1	3	6		
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent					
processors	waters		0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent statu		4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			
CoGP/Regulator	<u> </u>			,			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			
i lationii access to cages	No		0				U
	140			J			
					Total		18
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2025-0125	Site	No: FS00	16	
Sea Lice Inspection	on (Seawater Sites Only)			
 Is the CoGP Far Does the site ha azamethiphos and 	rm Management Area (or ave access to a range of I	nois in the previous 4 years? equivalent) fallowed synchronously on cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medi well as access to suitable biological a period of time?	cations (inclu	ding deltamethrin,	N Y Y
4. Is there a signed Management Area		gement agreement or statement releva	ant to the site	and CoGP Farm	Υ
		spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) d standard specified in the SSI and the		gal SSI, CoGP Annex	Y
•	salmonis) record levels b spected? (CoGP Annex 6	elow the suggested criteria for treatment)	nt in the CoG	P during the period	N
8. Have weekly ave	erage adult female sea li	e counts at or above the intervention le	evel been rep	orted accurately?	Y
•	in additional information. infestation at a level which	h is considered to cause significant we	elfare problem	ns? (CoGP 4.3.81,	N
10. Have therapeu		nistered or other actions taken when <i>L</i> e <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have w			Υ .
12. Have therapeu 13. Are treatments	, where conducted, carrie	applicable)? ns taken had a significant impact upor d out in cooperation between participa e, where fewer populations or part popu	ting farms?		Y Y Y
	specific written lice mana	gement procedure with waypoints desc of a sea lice infestation?	ribing set act	ions to deal with	Y
16. Do the sea lice	levels observed on stoci	s reflect sea lice count data? If no plea	ase detail rea	sons.	Υ
	erienced equipment dam	age due to predators in the current or page to the predation experienced on site? (D		uction cycles?	N Y
Top Nets	Tensioned Nets	Predator Nets (belo			
If other, detail be					
Seal pro nets and			i H I-	at El II in an action O	The state of the s
•	cidents or events been ex n questions 4 – 9. If No sl	perienced on or in the vicinity of the sit	te since the la	ist FHI inspection?	N
	n reported to Scottish Mir				
	•	forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP –	4.4.37, 5.4.17	7)	
	•	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (wher			
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail			
O If will moto wore	denleyed was this action	agreed with local wild fish interests and	l was narmis	ion given by Coettish	
	CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	agreed with local wild lish interests and	ı was permis	sion given by Scottish	
		nimise the risk of further escapes? (No	t covered in o	code but could	
	nder satisfactory meas	, ,	detail	(a)	V
TO. IS the site inspe	scied as satisfactory with	regards to containment? If no, please	uetaii reason	(8)	

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 14

Date of issue: 04/04/2025

FHI 059, Version 14	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No: 2025-0125	Site No: FS0016	
Date of Visit: 29/04/202	Main Insp:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	d within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comp	pletion.	
 Has a current farm management ag Is the current FMAg/S available for Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far 	ant farm management area? arm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or stater	Y Y Y Y
farm?	num health standards for the stocks to be intr	
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spec	nation requirements for stocks held in the are cies of fish which may be stocked into the are timum stocking density of any pen on any farr	a or farm?
	ngements for the storage and disposal of any farm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrange	t of Sea Lice ments for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the avail of statement?	lability and the use of medicines on farms co	vered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual f	uirements for the sensitivity testing of availabl	e treatments for sea
	umstances under which biological controls an	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements are the second of the second	i farms? ngements for synchronous treatments on farr	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circuarea or farm?	umstances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the array or individual farms?	ngements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 14	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptab	le harvest practices on farms in the area or ind	lividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoned. 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether dagreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether becovered by the agreement or statement.	one or more year classes may be stocked onto proodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke t?	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreer parties to the agreement?	pement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to beco	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? 05/02/2025	r statement?

Case No: 2025-0125 Site No: FS0016 Date of visit: 29/04/2025

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Year class (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, enter reason(s):
06/11/23	12/11/2023	≥750g	2.87kg	SAL	Q1	Weekly	20.35	Explained	Gill health issues and treatments.
13/11/23	19/11/2023	≥750g	2.87kg	SAL	Q1	Weekly	1.17	Explained	Gill health issues and treatments.
		<u> </u>							
		ì							
	ļ								
	+				+				
	 	-	+		+	 	+	-	+

If unexplained, enter observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Year class Year
	110703		Historical mortality event identified during inspection of records (case 2025-0125). Diagnostic inspection was conducted on 08/11/2023 (Case 20230497). No further action required.	2023
	5050		Historical mortality event identified during inspection of records. Case 2025-0125. No further action required.	2023
				+
	+			
			+	
	+		+	+
				+
	+		†	1
			†	

Case No:	2025-0125	J		Date of visit:	29/04/2025	2		
Site No:	FS0016	1		Main Insp:				
One 140.	1 00010	J		main msp.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ite of Notifica	ition		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary	_							
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CINI, SLI, VIVID	07/05/2025							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 29/04/2025

 SITE NO
 FS0016
 SITE NAME
 Loch Alsh (Sron)

 CASE NO
 20250125
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 07/05/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)