FHI 059, Version 14		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No: 2025-0145			Date of visit: 30/04/2025
Additional inspector(s):		Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0671 Business No: FB0398	Site Name: Business Name:	Outer Bay (Loch Droighniche) Loch Duart Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 9.5	Thermometer No:	T307	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-7
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Post mortem signs observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

### **Additional Case Information:**

Fish transferred in December 2024 from Loch A Chairn Bhain (FS0621) and Clashnessie Bay (FS0933).

Wrasse (wild) transferred in April 2025 from Loch A Chairn Bhain (FS0621) and Loch Laxford (FS0067).

Good visibility into the pens, no predator damage visible on the fish. Fish appeared in good condition and no clinical signs of disease were observed.

No treatments carried out on site. Sea lice checks carried out visually without removing the fish from the pens to minimise stress on the broodstock.

FHI 059, Versio	on 14			Issued by: F	HI		Date o	of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No:	2025-0145	]	Site No:	FS0671				
Date of Visit:		30/04/2025	]		Main Insp:			
Registration/A	Authorisation	n Details						
1. Business/site			by site repre	sentative?			Y	_
2. Changes ma		•	.,				Y	
Site Details (ir	nclude clean	er fish for all	sections)					
Total No faciliti		8	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilities	inspected	8
	Atlantic	Wrasse						
Species	salmon							
Age group	23S1	Wild						
No Fish	8,408	646						
Mean Fish Wt		Mix						
Next Fallow Da	• •	October 202	5	Next Input D	_ ` ′	December 2		
Recent (last 4)	wks) disease	problems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last v	isit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
3. Are records 4. Are movement 5. Are records 6. Have all intro the movement  Transport Record 1. Are any movel of yes, is there and the movement  Mortality Record	ent records avecomplete and oductions and records?  cords rements carries a system in p	vailable for de d correctly ent d imports (sind ed out not usi	ad fish and wa ered? ce last inspect	ion) from outv	of) the busine		en recorded	Y Y Y N/A
1. Mortality rec	ords for <b>all s</b>	pecies held a	vailable for ins	spection?				Y
2. How are mo					Other (detail	,		
		aken in skips		orebase by DI	K waste, then	collected by	Gray Compo	esting.
3. Mortality rec	ords complet	e and correctl						Y
4. Recent mort 5. Evidence of	• `	•	Wrasse mor	0.67%) , wk 10 tality: 3 in the			.06%), wk 14	4 110 (1.25%).
If yes, facility n		• •		ocility/reason:				'
		• • •	•	•	to when chiff	ad by the tide		
Issue with seal 6. Any other pe				rirough the ne	ets when shift	ed by the tide	•	Y
•	Reported to	, , ,	od checked?					· ·
7. Have increas			es heen rener	ted to yet or F	HI2			N/A
If yes, detail ac	, ,	ned) mortanti	23 peen repor	iod to vet of r	. 11:			14//
8. Have 'mortal		on reported to	EHI2 If no	nter details a	n mortality av	rente choot		V
o. Have Illuftal	iity everits be	en reported to	o mini in no, e	niei uetalis 0	ii iiiortality ev	ents sileet.		

Treatments and Medicines Decords	
Treatments and Medicines Records  1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	NI
If yes, detail:	11
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
o. The medicines stored appropriatory.	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed	
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to	
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	Y
etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	N/A
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Depute of Curveillance	
Results of Surveillance	V
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?      If you are results available for inspection?	T V
<ul><li>2. If yes, are results available for inspection?</li><li>3. Any significant results?</li></ul>	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	10
ii yes, detaii (ii not detailed didel recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 27/04/2022 - 30/04/2025	

FHI 059, Version 14		Issued by: FHI			Date of issue: 04/04/202		
Case Number:	2025-0145		Site No:	FS0671	Main Insp:		
Date of Visit	30/04/2025		No of mo	ovements/su	ıpp./dest.	_	Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of m (non-GB).	ovements on from British Islands	0	5	10	14	0
species		ovements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	oliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
-	Number of dest		0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water  Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected disinfection or b	Site contacts ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0	1-5	6-10		
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure l	Jnsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing waters	plant discharging into adjacent	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm prod	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	fish (re-cycling risk)	1				J
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0 5				0
Biosecurity	Trecaing anpast	Number of sites		2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase		1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Г <b>otal</b> Rank		13 LOW

FHI 059, Version 14	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue	: 04/04/202
Case No: 2025-0145	Site No:	FS0671	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only	Λ		
Has the site experienced sea lice probler			N
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single yea	ar class basis?	Y
	licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (incl		Y
	s well as access to suitable biological and/or mecha		
can these be deployed in a reasonable peri	·	·	
4. Is there a signed documented farm mana Area (or equivalent)?	agement agreement or statement relevant to the sit	e and CoGP Farm Management	t Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for in	nspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)		Υ
	d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	gal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels b records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Coo	GP during the period that	N/A
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lie	ce counts at or above the intervention level been re	eported accurately?	N/A
If no, please detail in additional information.			
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	ch is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been admi	nistered or other actions taken when L. salmonis le	evels have exceeded the	N/A
	. elongatus is considered to have welfare implication		
11. Has any other action been taken (where			N/A
•	ons taken had a significant impact upon the lice lev	els recorded?	N/A
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carrie	ed out in cooperation between participating farms?		N/A
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the sitellice?	e, where fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for sea	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice mana scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	gement procedure with waypoints describing set ac	ctions to deal with recognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stoc	ks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	asons	Υ
Fish are only assessed visually, no counts a	·		
Containment Inspection			
1. Has the site experienced equipment dam	nage due to predators in the current or previous pro	duction cycles?	N
	t the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)		Υ
Top Nets Tensioned Nets	Predator Nets (below Seal Blinds		
If other, detail below:			
2. Have accome incidents are avents because		last Elli inamastian 2	Th I
·	experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No si			
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mil		17)	
	forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.7 and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)		
o. Have these been reported to the SSFO a	and local lisheres dusts for diwith (where they exist,	): (COGF = 4.4.57, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover e	scapees? If yes give detail		
	ocapoco. Il you give uctaii		•
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action	agreed with local wild fish interests and was permi-	ssion given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		,	
9. What action was taken to prevent and mi	inimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	code but could	
be considered under satisfactory meas			
•	regards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	n(s)	Υ

Date of issue: 04/04/2025

THI 050 Version 14	logued by EUI	Data of igauge 04/04/2025
FHI 059, Version 14	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No: 2025-0145 Site	e No: FS0671	
Date of Visit: 30/04/2025	Main Insp:	
	· ·	
Point of Compliance		
<ol> <li>Is the farm under inspection located with</li> </ol>	in a farm management area?	V
If N, no further questions require completion	•	T
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mai		10
<ol><li>Has a current farm management agreem</li><li>Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe</li></ol>		1? V
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm s	•	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of cor	•	ent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	iew?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manageme	ent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum h	nealth standards for the stocks to be introd	luced to the area or Y
farm?	a requirements for stocks hold in the area	or form?
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination</li><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the species of</li></ol>	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum	•	
individual farm?		
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		ead fish from any
iisir laitii iii tile alea oi tile ilidividual laitii:		
Arrangements for The Management of S		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	s for the sharing of data on sea lice number	ers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabilit	v and the use of medicines on farms cover	red by the agreement
of statement?	,	
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem		treatments for sea Y
lice on farms in the area or individual farms 16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta		cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farm	——————————————————————————————————————	clearier fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem		cleaner fish are to be  Y  S within the area?  Y
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	ances when live fish may be introduced or	removed from the Y
area or farm?		
<ol> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem or individual farms?</li> </ol>	nents for the movement of live fish on and	off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 14	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptab	le harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restor 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto so	ites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag 24. Does the farm management agreen parties to the agreement?	gement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or see of the FMAg/S?  Jun-24	statement? Y

					00/04/000	_			
Case No:	2025-0145	1		Date of visi	t: 30/04/202	5			
Site No:	FS0671	1		Main Insp	):				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
	_								
Report Summary									
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp						
ECI, CNI, SLI	09/05/2025								
	_								



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

**BUSINESS No** FB0398 **DATE OF VISIT** 30/04/2025

SITE NO FS0671 SITE NAME Outer Bay (Loch Droighniche)

CASE NO 20250145 INSPECTOR

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007



The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 09/05/2025