FHI 059, Version 14	Issu	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No: 2025-0232			Date of visit: 03/07/2025
Additional inspector(s):		Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0599 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	MacLean's Nose Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 REG	2 VMD 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.5	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-34
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Post mortem signs observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry			PP
Inspect	ion of all pens was not com	nplete due to adverse weather co	onditions

#### **Additional Case Information:**

At the beginning of the inspection, the weather onsite was inclement with a significant swell developing. The majority of the stock was sitting low in the water but from the stock seen they appeared healthy. Pens 1-8 and 15 were inspected before the inspection was abandoned due to the weather.

A freshwater treatment, on pen 16, was taking place during the inspection as a preventative measure (pens 4-8 were treated last week and remaining pens onsite will undergo treatment this week). Internal health checks reported amoebic gill disease (AGD) presence as negative but a reduction in feeding had been noted.

Two lethargic fish with physical damage were observed in pens 6 and three with similar damage were observed in pen 8.

Before freshwater treatment occurs, wrasse and lumpfish are hand netted or creeled out of pens where possible. Thereafter, any remaining are removed through the well boat dewatering system.

An internal health report from 18/06/2025 identified two positive PCR samples for Piscirickettsia salmonis but clinical signs within the population are not currently being observed and mortality across the site remains low.

Ensiled waste has been collected by Billy Bowie for disposal at Barkip Biogas and Hordafor 3 vessel (owned by Pelagia).

FHI 059, Versi	ion 14			Issued by:	FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No:	2025-0232		Site No:	FS05	i99	
Date of Visit:		03/07/2025	]		Main Insp:	
Registration/	Authorisatio	n Details				
_		nmary checked	by site repre	esentative?		Y
2. Changes m		•	, ,			Y
Site Details (i	include clear	ner fish for all	sections)			
Total No facili		16	Facilities st	ocked	16	No facilities inspected 9
Total No Idoll	Atlantic	Lumpsucker	Wrasse	- CORCU	1.0	
Species	salmon	Lampodonor	masss			
Age group	Q4 2024	Mixed	Mixed			
No Fish	1,019,824	89,772	36,026			
Mean Fish Wt		33 g	22 g			
Next Fallow D	9	March 2026	<i></i> g	Next Inpu	t Date (Site)	September 2026
Recent (last 4	, ,			· toxtinpu		s (since last visit)?
If yes, detail:		skeletal muscle	inflammatio	n (HSMI)	,,	(0.100 1.000 1.000)
Movement Ro	ecords					
1. Movement	records for <b>al</b>	I species held	available for	inspection?		Y
2. Date of last	inspection:					22/02/2024
3. Are records	complete an	d correctly ente	ered?			N
4. Are movem	ent records a	vailable for dea	ad fish and w	vaste?		Y
5. Are records	complete an	d correctly ente	ered?			Y
				ction) from o	utwith the GR h	ealth zone been recorded in
the movemen		u importa (airic	e last mapet	cion) nom o	diwidi die OD ii	ealth zone been recorded in
the movemen	records?					
Transport Re	cords					
1. Are any mo	vements carr	ied out not usir	ng a STB (by	(or on beha	alf of) the busine	ess)?
If yes, is there	a system in p	place for maint	enance of tra	ansportation	records?	Y
Mortality Rec	ordo					
		species held av	vailable for in	enection?		
•		-	/allable for it	ispection?	Enailed an	aita.
2. How are mo		osed of?			Ensiled - on	Site
If other detail:			. 10			V
3. Mortality re	cords comple	te and correctly				Y
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	), wk 26 - 0.22 % (2301 fish), wk 25 -
						352 fish), wk 23 - 0.56 % (5,766 fish)
			WRS - wk 2	27 (partial) -	0.84 % (535 fis	h), wk 26 - 2.47 % (1504 fish), wk 25 -
			1.28 % (70°	1 fish), wk 24	4 - 1.66 % (923	fish), wk 23 - 0.52 % (289 fish)
			LUM - wk 2	7 (partial) -	0.72 % (697 fish	n), wk 26 - 1.91 % (1897 fish), wk 25 -
4. Recent moi	tality (last 4 v	vks):	1.84 % (18	54 fish), wk	24 - 0.77 % (78	7 fish), wk 23 - 0.43 % (442 fish)
	• •	ased/atypical m			,	N
		ity per facility/n		facilitv/reaso	n:	
,y i		J		., 22.30		
6. Any other p	eaks in morta	ality during peri	od checked?	)		Y
					king in wk 13: 3	3,989 fish. Enhanced feeding block to
If yes, detail:					as implemented	
•		nined) mortalitie				N/A
If yes, detail a	•	,				
•		een reported to	FHI? If no	enter details	on mortality ev	rents sheet. N/A
		portou to			ortanty ov	

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?					Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.					
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?					Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?					Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?					Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.				
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?					Y
				•	
Biosecurity Records					
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?					Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality rel	moval, recording and	l safe disposal	been conside	ered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB	will notify Scottish M	inisters or vete	rinary profess	sional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site bee	en included?				Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event the	hat the presence or	suspicion of the	e presence of	a listed	
disease is detected been included and how and	when that will be no	tified to Scottis	sh Ministers?		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals I	being stocked on the	farm site beer	n covered (equ	ual or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures	s implemented betwe	een each epide	emiological un	it to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (moveme	nt of staff, visitors, e	quipment, live	or dead fish e	tc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the mea	sures in place to ma	intain the phys	ical containme	ent of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	·				
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequa	ately implemented or	site?			Y
If no, detail:					
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carri-	ed out by, or on beha	alf of, the busin	ess?		Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?					Y
3. Any significant results?					Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease	problems).				
Internal health report 18/06/25 – two PCR sample	·	ickettsia salmo	nis		
Records checked between:	22/02/2024-				

Case no:   2025-0232   Site No:   FS0599   Date of visit/   03/07/2025   03/   Sampling:   Priority samples:   VI	П	11 009, Version 14						ı	ssued b	y. [111				
Priority samples:		Case no:	2025-02	232	Site No:		FS0599					03/	07/2025	03/0
Summary samples  HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Samples  Add Fish/Pools - click button  Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species SAL Average weight Average weight Sex N/A  Water Type  SW  PA  Total Samples  Au  Sex N/A  Water Type  SW  PA  Total Samples		Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA			Sampiir			1	
Environmental conditions:			13:0	0:00	13:2	0:00	]	Main Ins	sp:		1	VMD No	o. <b>[</b>	7
Add Fish/Pools - click button  Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight 1.7000 Sex N/A Water Type  Species N/A Water Type  Sw  Pool Group Sex N/A Water Type  Sw  Pool Group Sex N/A Water Type  Sw  Dool Group Sex N/A Water Type Sw  Dool Group Sw  Dool Group Sex N/A Water Type Sw  Dool Group Sw  Dool Group Sex N/A Water Type Sw  Dool Group Sw  Dool Group Sw  Dool Group Sex N/A Water Type Sw  Dool Group Sw  Dool			1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Pool/Fish No		Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Fish nos	Α													
Pool Group Species SAL Average weight Sex N/A Water Type SW SW Substituting Type SW Substituting Type SW Substituting Type Substituting Ty														
Species Average weight Sex N/A Water Type  SW  Substituting the state of the state			1-2											
Average weight Sex N/A Water Type SW  Noncy 1														
Sex WA Ireland - Loug SW Swater Type SW Swater Type Sw			SAL											
Mater Type  Water		Average weight	1.7000											
WI Ireland - Loug		Sex	N/A											
WI Ireland - Loug		Water Type	SW											
	tock Details		MOWI Ireland -1 Altan Smolt Unit (FHA-000025IE)											

07/2025	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:					,		
	Fish dis	spatched	by a ρε	ercussiv	e blow to	o the he	ad.				
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	1					

FHI 059, Version 14		Issued by: FH	II			Date	of issu	ie: 04/04/202
Case Number:	2025-0232		Si	ite No:	FS0599	Main Ins	p:	
Date of Visit	03/07/2025		No	o of mo	ovements/s	supp./dest.	_	Score
Live fish movements				0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of mo (non-GB).	ovements on from British Island	s	0	5	10	14	0
species		ovements on from a third count	ry	0	9		26	9
	Number of supp	liers		0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of mo			0	3		10	10
Exposure via water	Number of desti	nations Site con	tacte	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>1-5</b>	6-10	10	3
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected	ed (secure water supply through		0	1-5	6-10		
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with catego or within 1 tidal excursion	ry I	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with catego or within 1 tidal excursion		1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with catego or within 1 tidal excursion	ry V	1	4	8		
Management practices			No	one	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing waters	plant discharging into adjacent		0	1	2		1
On farm processing within	No on farm proc	essing		0				0
the rules of the directive		fish (re-cycling risk)		1				
		from MS of equivalent status		2				
	equivalent statu			4				
		from Category III farm from Category V farm		8				
	Frocessing lish	The category viaini		10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- products		* *		0				0
	·	sses with other farms		3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms		5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds		pasteurised feed		0				0
	Feeding unpaste			5				
Biosecurity	lou u	Number of	sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase  aff and equipment	-	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes							
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		-	0				0
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance	Yes			0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No			3				U
Platform access to cages	Yes			0				0
	No			2				
						Total Ponk		30
						Rank		HIGH

Case No:	2025-0232	S	ite No:	FS0599	
Sea Lice Inspection (	• •				
•	•	in the previous 4 years? quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a s	ingle veer	class hasis?	N
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medicati			Y
	amectin benzoate) as v	vell as access to suitable biological and/	•		
4. Is there a signed do Area (or equivalent)?	cumented farm manag	ement agreement or statement relevant	to the site a	and CoGP Farm Management	Υ
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)			Υ
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the Co	GP? (Lega	al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (L. salm are inspected? (CoGP		ow the suggested criteria for treatment in	n the CoGP	during the period that records	Y
8. Have weekly averag	e adult female sea lice	counts at or above the intervention leve	l been repo	orted accurately?	N/A
If no, please detail in a	dditional information.				
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfar	e problems	s? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
		stered or other actions taken when <i>L. sa</i>			N/A
suggested criteria for the	reatment or where <i>C.</i> 6	longatus is considered to have welfare i	mplications	s? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where	pplicable)?			N/A
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the	e lice levels	s recorded?	Υ
13. Are treatments, wh	ere conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating	farms?		Υ
14. Is there a harvestin lice?	ng strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populati	ons are he	ld without treatment for sea	Υ
15. Is there a site spec scenarios during the es		ment procedure with waypoints describing	ng set actio	ons to deal with recognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please	detail reas	ons.	Υ
Containment Inspecti					
•		ge due to predators in the current or prev	· ·	iction cycles?	N
		he predation experienced on site? (Deta	ii below)		
Top Nets If other, detail below:		ve Predator Nets (below			
0.11				(511)	Th.
•	·	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site s	ince the las	st FHI inspection?	IN
If Yes proceed with que 4. Have these been rep		•			
		rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.	37. 5.4.17)		
· ·		I local fisheries trusts forthwith (where th			
7. Were methods (if an	ny) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail			
8. If gill nets were depl	oyed was this action a	reed with local wild fish interests and wa	as permissi	ion given by Scottish Ministers?	)
(Legal, CoGP - 4.4.38	, 5.4.18)				
	•	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not co	overed in co	ode but could	
be considered unde	•		21 /	\	Tv.
Tu. Is the site inspecte	a as satistactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please det	all reason(s	S)	Y

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 14

Date of issue: 04/04/2025

FHI 059, Version 14	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/202	25
Case No: 2025-0232	Site No: FS0599		
Date of Visit: 03/07/20	025 Main Insp:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection locate	ed within a farm management area?	Υ	
If N, no further questions require com	npletion.		
<ul><li>2. Has a current farm management a</li><li>3. Is the current FMAg/S available fo</li><li>4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relev</li><li>5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish</li></ul>	vant farm management area? farm site(s) to which it applies? e of commencement of the agreement or	prepared? Y Y Y Y	
Arrangements for Fish Health Man 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the mini farm?	nagement imum health standards for the stocks to l	be introduced to the area or Y	
•	cination requirements for stocks held in the		
	ecies of fish which may be stocked into the aximum stocking density of any pen on a		
	rangements for the storage and disposal al farm?	of any dead fish from any	
Arrangements for The Managemer 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	nt of Sea Lice gements for the sharing of data on sea lic	ce numbers and treatments?	
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the ava	ailability and the use of medicines on farr	ms covered by the agreement Y	
	quirements for the sensitivity testing of a larms?	vailable treatments for sea	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circused on farms in the area or individu	cumstances under which biological contr ual farms?		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arra	rangements for synchronous treatments	on farms within the area?	
<b>Live Fish Movements</b> 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circarea or farm?	cumstances when live fish may be introd	duced or removed from the	
	rangements for the movement of live fish	on and off sites in the area	

FHI 059, Version 14	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock		
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto s	ites covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brocovered by the agreement or statement?	odstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	t on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	perated in accordance with the agreement or so f the FMAg/S? 19/09/2024	statement? Y

Case No:	2025-0232	1		Date of vi	sit: 03/07/20	025		
		J -				<u></u>		
Site No:	FS0599	J		Main Ins	sp:			
Results Summary	Freq.				Date of Noti			
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>n</sup>
	+							
	+	+	_					
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
REG, VMD	28/07/2025	0						
	+							



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### **SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR**

Business NoFB0119Date of Visit03/07/2025Site NoFS0599Site NameMacLean's NoseCase No20250232Inspector

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Nine epidemiological units were inspected before adverse weather resulted in the inspection being abandoned. A further inspection will be rescheduled at a later date. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:



Date: 28/07/2025

- FS numbers of some source sites were not detailed for some movements. A reminder to record this was given and the records were updated during the inspection.
- A movement of fish on from MOWI Ireland Loug Altan Smolt Unit was recorded as taking place on 07/10/2024 in the movement record but the health certificate and IPAFFS notification indicated the movement occurred in November. The site manager checked their records and agreed the wrong month had been recorded. The record was updated during the inspection.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)