FHI 059, Version 14	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No: 2025-0351			Date of visit: 06/10/2025
Additional inspector(s):		Main Insp	pector:
Site No: FS1369 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Applecross Incubation Uni Bakkafrost Scotland	t 2 (A2)
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 5.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Post mortem signs observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see additional i	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

Additional Case Information:

The site was authorised in May 2025 and is currently stocking its second batch of eggs. The first batch encountered a water quality insult around week 28, with water samples showing pH > 7 and elevated Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN) concentrations. As the unit was newly built, it was suspected that contaminants from construction materials were leaching into the system. High water temperatures during the summer and the system's low water volume limited the ability to flush it without causing significant temperature increases. To address this, a buffer tank was installed to increase water volume within the system. TAN concentrations lowered from a peak of 8 mg/L to 0.37 mg/L after installation. The fry were transferred to FS1371 on 28/08/2025 and are being monitored for developmental issues, though they currently appear to be growing well.

The current batch of eggs were beginning to hatch with approximately 1-5 dead eggs observed per tray inspected. Surveillance frequency movements on/off calculated as predicted for a year but this may change.

FHI 059, Version 14		Issued by: Fl	HI .		Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No: 2025-0351	Site N	No: FS1369			
Date of Visit:	06/10/2025		Main Insp:		
Registration/Authorisation	Details				
1. Business/site details sum		e representative?		Y	
2. Changes made to details?	•	·		N	
Site Details (include clean	er fish for all section	ons)			
	12		12		12
	comphatche		comphatche		comphatche
Total No facilities	s Facili	ties stocked	S	No facilities insp	ected s
Atlantic					
Species salmon					
Age group Sep-25 No Fish 2 800 000					
2,000,000					
· 9	December 2005	Next Innut D	ota (Cita)	December 2025	
Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease	December 2025	Next Input D	_ ` ′	(since last visit)?	
	problems?	IN	Any escapes	(Since last visit)?	IN
If yes, detail:					
Movement Records					
1. Movement records for all	species held availa	ble for inspection?			Y
2. Date of last inspection:				Fin	st inspection
3. Are records complete and	•				Y
4. Are movement records av		and waste?			Y
5. Are records complete and					Y
6. Have all introductions and	imports (since last	inspection) from outv	vith the GB he	alth zone been re	corded in Y
the movement records?					
Transport Records					
1. Are any movements carrie	ed out not using a S	TB (by (or on behalf o	of) the busines	s)?	Y
If yes, is there a system in p	lace for maintenance	e of transportation re	cords?		Y
Mortality Records					
1. Mortality records for all sp		e for inspection?			Y
2. How are mortalities dispos			Biogas - Bark	•	
	_	d Applecross sites is	combined in E	Billy Bowie skips b	pefore transferring to
If other detail: Barkip Bioga					
3. Mortality records complete					Y
A. D		0 - 0.01% (234 eggs)	, wk 39 - <0.01	l% (84 eggs), wk	38 - 0.03% (820
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wl	,), wk 37 - stocked			NI
5. Evidence of recent increa	* *				IN
If yes, facility nos/no mortalit	y per facility/no stoc	k per facility/reason:			
6. Any other peaks in mortal	ity during period che	ncked?			Y
			(15 962 eggs	s) wk 29 - 1 20%	(5442 eggs), wk 30 -
If yes, detail: 0.38% (1678		7 tunty WK 20 - 0.90 /	, 10,302 eggs	7), WK 20 - 1.2070	(0-7-2 cggs), wk 50 -
7. Have increased (unexplain		n reported to vet or F	HI?		N/A
If yes, detail action:					
8. Have 'mortality events' be	en reported to FHI?	If no, enter details or	n mortality eve	nts sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records							
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?					Y		
If yes, detail:							
If other, detail: Buffodine							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?					Y		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?					Y		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?							
If other, detail:	•						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?					Y		
,							
Biosecurity Records							
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?					Y		
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal,	recording and	safe disposal	been conside	ered?	Y		
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will not	ify Scottish Mi	nisters or vete	rinary profess	ional of any			
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been inclu	ded?				Y		
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the				a listed			
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?							
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher							
health status, certification if required)?							
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise							
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?							
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of							
aquaculture animals held on site?							
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail:							
ii iio, detaii.							
Results of Surveillance							
	hy or on heha	If of the husin	ess?		Y		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?2. If yes, are results available for inspection?							
3. Any significant results?							
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).							
Internal report - histology samples at time of water quality insult were inconclusive.							
Records checked between:		date of authori	sation) - 06/1	0/25			

FHI 059, Version 14	Issued by: FHI			Date of issue: 04/04/202			
Case Number:	2025-0351	51 Site No: FS1369			Main Ins	p:	
Date of Visit	06/10/2025 No of movements				upp./dest.	Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of mov (non-GB).	vements on from British Islands	0	5	10	14	0
species		vements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	18
	Number of suppli	ers	0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of mo		0		6	10	6
Exposure via water	Number of destin	Site contacts			<u>이</u> 6-10	10	3
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected disinfection or bo	d (secure water supply through	0	1-0	0-10		0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream o	r in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstream o	r in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		r in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing p waters	lant discharging into adjacent	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm proce	essing	0				0
the rules of the directive		ish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		om MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent status		4				
		rom Category III farm	8				
	Processing lish if	rom Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- products		• •	0				
•		ses with other farms or waste from other farms	3				3
	·		5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds			0				0
	Feeding unpaster		5	l			
Biosecurity Contacts with other sites	Citos oporatina fr	Number of sites		2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating in	om single shorebase ff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes						0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes No		0				0
code of practice			3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		37 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 14	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 04/04/2025
Case No: 2025-0351	Site No:	FS1369
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites	Only)	
1. Has the site experienced sea lice pr	oblems in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Are	ea (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year	class basis?
	ge of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inclu te) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechar riod of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm Area (or equivalent)?	management agreement or statement relevant to the site	and CoGP Farm Management
5. Are sea lice count records available	for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the re	equired standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Leg	(al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record lev are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	vels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoG	P during the period that records
8. Have weekly average adult female s	sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been rep	orted accurately?
If no, please detail in additional information	ation.	
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a leve	I which is considered to cause significant welfare problem	is? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levere C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	
11. Has any other action been taken (v	where applicable)?	
	e actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice level	ls recorded?
•	carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for thlice?	ne site, where fewer populations or part populations are h	eld without treatment for sea
15. Is there a site specific written lice r scenarios during the escalation of a se	management procedure with waypoints describing set acti ea lice infestation?	ions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on	stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail rea	sons.
Containment Inspection		
	t damage due to predators in the current or previous prod	uction cycles?
	gainst the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Υ
Site inside		
If other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events be	en experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the la	ast FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottis	sh Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local D	OSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17	′)
6. Have these been reported to the SS	SPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)?	(CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover	ver escapees? If yes give detail	
8 If all nets were deployed was this a	ction agreed with local wild fish interests and was permiss	sion given by Scottish Ministers?
(Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	and was permiss	John given by cooldon will locate
,	nd minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in c	code but could
be considered under satisfactory n		
•	y with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason	(s) Y

Case No:	2025-0351			Date of visit:	06/10/2025			
Site No:	FS1369			Main Insp:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	<u> </u>							
Report Summary	Dete	lnon	and I					
Case Type ECI, CNI	Date 28/10/2025	Insp	2 nd Insp					
,								



Fish Health Inspectorate visit report

Summary for information of site operator

Business no: FB0169 Date of visit: 06/10/2025

Site no: FS1369 Site name: Applecross Incubation Unit 2 (A2)

Case no: 20250351 Inspector:

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

R04

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Telephone - 0131 244 3498
Email - ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Fish Health Inspectorate Website



Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u>

Date: 28/10/2025

R04