

Case No: Date of visit:

Additional inspector(s): Main Inspector:

Site No: Site Name:

Business No: Business Name:

Case Types: 1 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: TA Water type: F CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Remote paperwork inspected 8/10/25 by [REDACTED], audited by [REDACTED]. Movement book, waste transfer notes and transport records and physical site inspection 9/10/25 by [REDACTED].

Site facilities are: 23 raceways, 39 tanks, 1 hatchery (20 troughs). However, out of these only the 33 x 5m tanks are in general use. All facilities on site were inspected. Site will supply Kinnaird and Loch Earn as well as put and take fisheries with restocking fish. Formalin water treatments regularly applied on site.

Fish showed normal feeding response when approaching tanks. Between 2-3 side swimmers observed in approximately 5 tanks. Visibility very good as water was very clear. No moribund or lethargic fish observed. Tanks recently affected by RTFS have responded well to the treatment and mortality has returned to normal levels.

Case No: **2025-0387** Site No: **FS0551**

Date of Visit: **09/10/2025** Main Insp: **[REDACTED]**

Registration/Authorisation Details

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
- 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	33 tanks	Facilities stocked	27 tanks	No facilities inspected	33 tanks
Species	Rainbow trout	Rainbow trout	Rainbow trout	Rainbow trout	
Age group	Fingerlings	Fry (May	Restocking	Restocking	
No Fish	69 643	232 950	3 956	2 697	
Mean Fish Wt	204g	7.8g	363g	173g	
Next Fallow Date (Site)	none		Next Input Date (Site)	Jan/Feb 2026	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?				Y	Any escapes (since last visit)?
If yes, detail:	RTFS (treated with Florfenicol), White spot (not causing mortality)				

Movement Records

- 1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?
- 2. Date of last inspection: **20/02/2023**
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded in the movement records?

Transport Records

- 1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

- 1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Ensiled - on site**
- If other detail: **waste goes to Gask, Turiff**
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **whole site: 2025 wk37(0.18%, 604); 2025 wk38 (0.36%, 1222); 2025 wk 39 (0.90%, 2682); 2025 wk40 (0.24%, 732)**
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
attributed to RTFS 2025 wk 39 (0.61%, 1813); 2025 wk40 (0.22%, 680) Tanks 21-27 (between 3-166 per tank per day across the two week period) particularly affected, all other tanks have very low daily mortality (between 0-4 per tank per day) not related to RTFS
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
- If yes, detail: **2025wk20 (1.34%, 4186 whole site) majority of mortality attributed to RTFS; 2025 wk21(1.00%, 3069 whole site) majority of mortality attributed to RTFS**
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
- If yes, detail action: **Suspected RTGE 2025 wk28 (0.58%, 1123 whole site; of which 0.10%, 191 attributed to RTGE), 2025 wk29 (0.64%, 1234 whole site; of which 0.37%, 717 attributed to RTGE), 2025 wk30 (0.35%, 521 whole site; of which 0.05%, 71 attributed to RTGE) investigated (clinical signs consistent with gastro intestinal issues), however samples showed no clear cause. Mortality resolved after 3 weeks. Not experienced gastro intestinal issues on the site in the past.**
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?										<input type="checkbox"/> Y	
If yes, detail:	Florfenicol										
If other, detail:	Florfenicol treatment for T21-27 for RTFS										
2. Medicines records available for inspection?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
If other, detail:	T21-T27 only										
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
If no, detail:											

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
3. Any significant results?											<input type="checkbox"/> Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	RTFS, gastro intestinal investigation										
Report (12/06/24) extended (additional 5 days) Florcol treatment due to RTFS not fully resolving with normal 10 day treatment. Tank 9 worst affected. Report (14/5/25) RTFS outbreak, treated with Florcol. Report (14/15/07/25) visit due to concern about increased mortality, fish showing clinical signs of inflammation of the hind gut, no food in the stomachs or hind gut, no other clinical signs observed. No parasites were observed. Mortality pattern did not conform with normal observation of gastro-intestinal issue, as it continues after fish were put on starve. Histology samples (report dated 22/7/25) showed only relatively minor or non-specific findings with no clear cause or significance.											
Records checked between:	20/02/2023 -09/10/2025										

Case no: Site No: Date of visit/
 Sampling:

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI

Time sampling starts/ends: Main Insp: VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1 2 3 4 5

Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1	F2										
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	RTR	RTR										
	Average weight	236g	236g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	FW	FW										
	Stock Origin	St Mungos FS0552	St Mungos FS0552										
	Facility No	19	19										

Case Number:	2025-0387	Site No:	FS0551	Main Insp:		
Date of Visit	09/10/2025	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	6
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				5
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					22	MEDIUM

Case No: **2025-0387**

Site No: **FS0551**

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?
If no, please detail in additional information.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)
- | | | | | |
|-------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Site inside | Traps | | | |
|-------------|-------|--|--|--|
- If other, detail below:
- Jump nets on the tanks with larger fish
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Site No: FS0551
Case No: 2025-0387
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology



Fish Health Inspectorate visit report

Summary for information of site operator

Business no:	FB0456	Date of visit:	09/10/2025
Site no:	FS0551	Site name:	Frandy
Case no:	20250387	Inspector:	██████████

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

R04

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 13/10/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](http://www.gov.scot)

R04