

Case No:	2025-0425		Date of visit:	04/11/2025		
Additional inspector(s):			Main Inspector:			
Site No:	FS1010	Site Name:	East Tarbert Bay			
Business No:	FB0169	Business Name:	Bakkafrost Scotland			
Case Types:	1 ECI	2 CNI	3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6
Water Temp (°C):	12.98	Thermometer No:	T310	FHI 045 completed	N/A	
Observations:	Region:	ST	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-46
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

**Additional Case Information:**

Mortality: No reported mortality events since 13/03/2023 (5 weekly, 4.05% due to PD). Wk 35 2025 (25th-31st August): 21% (only 21 fish). At the time of this mortality, this was the last batch of fish before the current stock. East Tarbert Bay had transferred all fish on site in pen 1 (27,120) to Druimyeon Bay (FS0336), starting on the 23/08/2025. After all transfers were completed, when lifting the pen net on the 25th August, 21 dead fish were discovered at the bottom of the pen. The previous manager put this mortality down in week 35 despite the site being fallow. After reviewing the fish numbers, movement records off site and the circumstances surrounding that mortality record, it was decided that the mortality percentage for that week should be calculated against the number of fish transferred off site in the previous days. East Tarbert Bay therefore had a mortality percentage of 0.077% for week 35, 2025.

Morts ensiled and then sold for biofuel. Billy Bowie picks up and takes to Dundas.

Treatments: FW/FLS 25/10/2025 - for sealice. Alphamax/FLS: 07/09/2025 and 27/09/2025 - prescription seen, all cages treated due to sealice.

Health surveillance: Vets 23/09/2025 - Aquatic vets visit 9 days after fish transfer onto site. No issues found, signs of early maturation. Company biology team 15/09/2025 - Low PGD scores, signs of early maturation. Patogen report 10/10/25 - 19/20 positive *Aeromonas salmonicida*, 12/21 positive piscine orthoreovirus, negative for moritella viscosa, SAV, PDV, Pasteurella skyensis O2, Pasteurella atlantica + skyensis O1, 14/21 positive for PMCV and 7/20 positive for Yersinia.

Sealice: Sealice numbers have been low during period checked except since week 38 2025. Druimyeon Bay treated for sealice week 38 (3.6, mainly caligus) - it is theorised that during crowding the lice shed their eggs which went with the tide down to East Tarbert bay, giving rise to the increase in lice numbers. Normally treatments would be synchronous for both sites however the treatment boat was required elsewhere urgently therefore East Tarbert bay was not treated at the same time. Treatments at East Tarbert Bay have reduced lice numbers. Last weeks figure is 0.45.

The site is currently being used as a grower site with and no full cycles have been carried out since 2021 due to mass mortality events at the time.

During physical inspection of the site only one dead fish was seen across the whole site. One exothalamic fish was observed in one pen and each of the six stocked pens had between 1-5 fish with dark colouration. In all pens the majority of fish were shoaling deeper in the water column. Of fish observed at the water surface, no lice were seen. One pen was being fed at the time of inspection and fish had a good feed response.

One fish was taken from pen 2 for VMD sampling. This fish appeared healthy internally and externally. The fish had 2 sealice on it at the time of sampling.



Main Insp:

## Y

## N/A

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

Y

If yes, detail:

If other, detail: Optomease

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

Y

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

Y

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

N

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

Y

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

Y

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?

Y

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

Y

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

Y

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

Y

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?

Y

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

Y

If no, detail:

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

Y

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

Y

3. Any significant results?

Y

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

See additional information

Records checked between:

09/11/2022 - 04/11/2025

Case no:

2025-0425

Site No:

FS1010

Date of visit/  
Sampling:

04/11/2025

04/

Priority samples:

VI

BA

PA

MG

HI

Time sampling  
starts/ends:

12:50:00

13:00:00

Main Insp:

VMD No.

7

Environmental conditions:

1

Windy

2

3

4

5

Summary samples

HIST

BA

MG

VI

PA

Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	4.63kg											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
	Stock Origin	West Strome (FS1342)											
	Facility No	2											

**11/2025** Additional Sample Information:

Dispatched by Optomease overdose.

0

Total Tests assigned

0

[illegible]

Case Number:	2025-0425	Site No:	FS1010	Main Insp:		
Date of Visit	04/11/2025	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>13</b>	<b>LOW</b>



Case No:

2025-0425

Site No:

FS1010

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?
- If no, please detail in additional information.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
  2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)
- | Top Nets | Tensioned Nets |  |  |  |  |
|----------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
|          |                |  |  |  |  |
- If other, detail below:
- 120m seal pro tensioned nets and froyer ring, nets double stitched at the bottom which acts almost like a seal blind.
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
  - If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
  4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
  5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
  6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
  7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
  8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
  9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
  10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2025-0425

Site No: FS1010

Date of Visit: 04/11/2025

Main Insp: [REDACTED]

**Point of Compliance**

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

Y

If N, no further questions require completion.

**Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements**

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

Y

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

Y

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

Y

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

Y

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

Y

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

Y

**Arrangements for Fish Health Management**

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

Y

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

Y

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

Y

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

Y

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Y

**Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice**

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

Y

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement or statement?

Y

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

Y

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

Y

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

Y

**Live Fish Movements**

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

Y

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

Y

**Harvesting**

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

**Fallowing**

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

**Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only**

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

**Management and operation**

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

Case No: 2025-0425

Date of visit: 04/11/2025

Site No: FS1010

Main Insp: [REDACTED]

[illegible][illegible]

# Fish Health Inspectorate visit report

## Summary for information of site operator

Business no:	FB0169	Date of visit:	04/11/2025
Site no:	FS1010	Site name:	East Tarbert Bay
Case no:	20250425	Inspector:	<span style="background-color: black; color: black;">[REDACTED]</span>

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

R25

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- Week 35, 2025 mortality of 21 fish had been recorded incorrectly as 21%. Correct mortality calculated as 0.078% after discussion with site manager and review of records. No further action required.

## **Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015**

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.


## **Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 18/11/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](http://www.gov.scot)

R25