

Case No:	2025-0459	Date of visit:	25/11/2025			
Additional inspector(s):		Main Inspector:				
Site No:	FS1372	Site Name:	Egg Incubation Building			
Business No:	FB0119	Business Name:	Mowi Scotland Ltd			
Case Types:	1 ECI	2 CNI	3	4	5	6
Water Temp (°C):	5.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed	Y	
Observations:	Region:	HI	Water type:	F	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Post mortem signs observed?	N/A	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	N/A					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

Additional Case Information:

Site consist of three identical egg incubation units running at slightly different temperatures. Ova were transferred in from Inverpolly Hatchery and will be held until eyed when they will be shocked and moved to other hatcheries for hatching.

No mortalities have been recorded as of yet as this will only be done once shocked.

Some unviable ova noted in the incubation units. But nothing of significance.

Site thermometer used due to biosecurity concerns. Site thermometer is calibrated against a certified thermometer.

Surveillance frequency not assessed due to insufficient data available (only been in operation for a few weeks) so will remain as medium until the next inspection.

Case No: 2025-0459 Site No: FS1372

Date of Visit: 25/11/2025

Main Insp: [REDACTED]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
2. Changes made to details?

Y
Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities		1710 individual 12 litre silos	Facilities stocked		745 individual 12 litre silos	No facilities inspected		1710
	Species	Atlantic salmon						
Age group		2025 ova						
No Fish		4 363 856						
Mean Fish Wt		n/a						
Next Fallow Date (Site)		May 2026		Next Input Date (Site)		28/11/2025		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?				N	Any escapes (since last visit)?			N
If yes, detail:								

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?
2. Date of last inspection:
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded in the movement records?

Y
First Inspection
Y
N/A
N/A
N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Y
Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

Ensiled - on site

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

Case No:

2025-0459

Site No:

FS1372

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?
- If no, please detail in additional information.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Site inside

If other, detail below:

Full recirc system in place with sand filtration

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?

If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10

4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)

7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail

8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)

9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)

10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Main Insp: [REDACTED]

[illegible]

Fish Health Inspectorate visit report

Summary for information of site operator

Business no:	FB0119	Date of visit:	25/11/2025
Site no:	FS1372	Site name:	Egg Incubation Building
Case no:	20250459	Inspector:	

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been recorded since the site was authorised and stock input so no records were maintained for inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

R04

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 04/12/2025

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](http://www.gov.scot)

R04