Case No: 2026-0046 Date of visit: 23/02/2026

Additional inspector(s): [redacted] Main Inspector: [redacted]

Site No: FS0339 Site Name: Furnace (FW)
Business No: FB0235 Business Name: Cooke Aquaculture (Freshwater) Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 VMD 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 12 Thermometer No: Site FHI 045 completed Y

Observations: Region: ST Water type: F CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Post mortem signs observed?	N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?	N	

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Site thermometer used due to operators biosecurity. Smolt unit currently 12oC and Comp hatch 7.5oC

Fish showed good feed response and were observed shoaling well. 2 to 3 dead observed per tank, these were against the screen and were being removed. Site manager reported there had been some fungus in some trays within the Comp hatchery, treated with Aquacen and picked deformities from the worst affected trays, which resulted in mortalities reducing 6 fold.

No issues noted at time of inspection, site never fully fallow, operates set pattern of S1's and S0's. The Smolt unit fallows between April to June and the comp hatchery usually fallows between November to mid January. This was reported to be working well. Site filters water and treats with both UV and ozone, no issues reported with water quality

Fish sampled for VMD appeared in good condition, currently vaccinating stock and splitting down to fallow tanks to reduces stocking densities. All stock on sites is sent to either Ness of Copister or Balta Isle.

Case No: 2026-0046 Site No: FS0339

Date of Visit: 23/02/2026 Main Insp: [redacted]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? Y
2. Changes made to details? Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities		17 + Comp Hatch	Facilities stocked		7	No facilities inspected	17 + Comp Hatch
Species	Atlantic salmon	Atlantic salmon					
Age group	2026 S1	2026 S0					
No Fish	1,200,000	1,500,00					
Mean Fish Wt	85g	2g					
Next Fallow Date (Site)		Site never fully fallow	Next Input Date (Site)		June 2026		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?				Y	Any escapes (since last visit)?		N
If yes, detail:	Some Fungus in ova						

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection? Y
2. Date of last inspection: 31/03/2025
3. Are records complete and correctly entered? Y
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered? Y
6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded the movement records? Y

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)? Y

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? Y

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection? Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of? Ensiled - on site

If other detail: Ensiled waste to Bio Capital - Perth

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 2026 S1: WK 5 - 240 (0.02%), WK 6 - 242 (0.02%), WK 7 - 560 (0.05%), WK 8 - 553 (0.05%), Alevin: WK 5 - 13,309 (0.84%), WK 6 - 26,525 (1.68%), WK 7 - 46,436 (2.99%) and WK 8 - 6,994 (0.46%)
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? N

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? N

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? N/A

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?							Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.	Cress					
If other, detail:	Alpha ject micro 6, Clynav, Aquacen						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?							Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?			T.M.S.	Cress			
If other, detail:	Alpha ject micro 6, Clynav						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?							Y

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased* (*unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	31/03/25 to 23/02/26

Case no:	2026-0046	Site No:	FS0339	Date of visit/ Sampling:	23/02/2026	23/(							
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG		HI				
Time sampling starts/ends:	15:00:00	15:30:00	Main Insp:		VMD No.	9							
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5				
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Samples		

Add Fish/Pools - click button

	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-6	7-12	13-18	19-28								
	Pool Group												
Stock Details	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	0.0800	0.0800	0.0800	0.0800								
	Sex												
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW								
	Stock Origin	Stofnfisker	Stofnfisker	Stofnfisker	Stofnfisker								
	Facility No	A1	A2	B1	B2								

02/2026 Additional Sample Information:

0 Total Tests assigned 0

Case Number:	2026-0046	Site No:	FS0339	Main Insp:	
Date of Visit	23/02/2026	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score

Live fish movements		**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	**>10**	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from British Islands (non-GB).	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from a third country	0	9	18	26	9
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3

Exposure via water	**Site contacts**	**0**	**1-5**	**6-10**	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			0
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	

Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			0
	Common processes with other farms	3			
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			

Biosecurity	**Number of sites**	**1**	**2 or 3**	**≥ 4**	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			0
CoGP/Regulator					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			

Total	**20**
Rank	**MEDIUM**

Case No: 2026-0046 Site No: FS0339

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?

If no, please detail in additional information.

9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles? N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below) Y

Site inside

If other, detail below:

Pest control

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection? N

If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10

4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s) Y

Case No:	2026-0046	Date of visit:	23/02/2026
Site No:	FS0339	Main Insp:	[redacted]

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2nd Insp
			[redacted]		[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2nd Insp
ECI, CNI, VMD	04/03/2026	[redacted]	[redacted]

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba

Fish Health Inspectorate visit report

Summary for information of site operator

Business no:	FB0235	Date of visit:	23/02/2026
Site no:	FS0339	Site name:	Furnace (FW)
Case no:	20260046	Inspector:	[redacted]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

R04

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Telephone – 0131 244 3498
Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Fish Health Inspectorate Website

Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

[redacted]

Signed: Date: 04/03/2026

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

R04

UKAS Accredited Inspection Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Telephone – 0131 244 3498
Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Fish Health Inspectorate Website