

Case No:	2026-0161	Date of visit:	20/05/2026			
Additional inspector(s):		Main Inspector:				
Site No:	FS0546	Site Name:	Nevis C (Ardintigh)			
Business No:	FB0125	Business Name:	Scottish Sea Farms Ltd			
Case Types:	1 REG	2	3	4	5	6
Water Temp (°C):		Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed	N/A	
Observations:	Region:	HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-23
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Post mortem signs observed?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						
Site follow						

Additional Case Information:

Site was last stocked 2022 and became fallow week 42 (17/10/2022). Has been fallow since.

Site operator hope to have the site stocked June 2026. All the same stock as current stock on both Nevis A and Nevis B sites. Facilities have changed from 12 to 5 pens which are in the water but no nets yet attached.

Case No: 2026-0161

Site No: FS0546

Date of Visit: 20/05/2026

Main Insp: [REDACTED]

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
2. Changes made to details?

Y
Y

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	5	Facilities stocked	0	No facilities inspected	5
Species					
Age group					
No Fish					
Mean Fish Wt					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Currently fallow		Next Input Date (Site)	June 2026	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			N/A	Any escapes (since last visit)?	
If yes, detail:					

Movement Records

1. Movement records for **all species** held available for inspection?

Y

2. Date of last inspection:

09/06/2021

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

Y

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

N/A

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

N/A

6. Have all introductions and imports (since last inspection) from outwith the GB health zone been recorded in the movement records?

N/A

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out not using a STB (by (or on behalf of) the business)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records for **all species** held available for inspection?

Y

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

Incinerated - on site

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

Y

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

fallow since October 2022

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

N/A

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

Y

If yes, detail:

See Mort Events MRT03236, 03277, 03309, 03359, 03377 & 03431: Environmental & gill health

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

N/A

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Y

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

If yes, detail:

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

09/06/2021 - 20/05/2026

Case No:

2026-0161

Site No:

FS0546

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have weekly average adult female sea lice counts at or above the intervention level been reported accurately?
- If no, please detail in additional information.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)
- If other, detail below:
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Date of visit: 20/05/2026

Main Insp: [REDACTED]

[illegible]

Fish Health Inspectorate visit report

Summary for information of site operator

Business no:	FB0125	Date of visit:	20/05/2026
Site no:	FS0546	Site name:	Nevis C (Ardintigh)
Case no:	20260161	Inspector:	[REDACTED]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion the site was found to be fallow and no movements of fish have occurred since October 2022.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 21/05/2026

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at [Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot \(www.gov.scot\)](https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/pages/2/index.html)

R10