CHRISTIAN JOURNAL for GLOBAL HEALTH

Christlike servant leadership as a framework for ethical governance in Philippine local government units

Dante Damit[a]

[a] Program Chair, Bachelor of Public Administration Program Employment, Bukidnon State University: Malaybalay, Philippines

Abstract

The Philippines, one of the most Christian nations in Southeast Asia, presents a governance paradox: deep religious affiliation coexists with persistent corruption, patronage politics, and weak public service delivery at the local government unit (LGU) level. This commentary argues that servant leadership, grounded in the life and teachings of Jesus Christ, offers a theologically coherent and operationally relevant framework for ethical local governance and for strengthening health-related public service delivery in a devolved system. Drawing from biblical theology, Greenleaf's servant leadership theory, Catholic Social Teaching on subsidiarity, and empirical literature on leadership, corruption, and health systems, the paper proposes a Christian Servant Leadership Governance Framework for Philippine LGUs. It contends that failures in local governance—through misallocation of resources, weak accountability, and tolerated corruption—are not merely administrative deficiencies but drivers of unequal access to essential health services, poorer health outcomes, and avoidable harm in poor and geographically isolated communities. The paper concludes that Christian public administrators, churches, civil society, and public administration programs must cultivate forms of leadership in which governance is understood as stewardship, service, and moral responsibility before God and neighbor.

Keywords: servant leadership, ethical governance, global health, local governance, Philippine LGUs

Introduction

The Philippines ranks among the most religiously devout nations in with over 90% of its population identifying as Christian, the majority Roman Catholic, and with faith profoundly embedded in its cultural and social fabric.[2] Yet, this religiosity exists alongside a troubling governance reality. Corruption remains endemic at the local government level, patronage politics persists across electoral cycles, and the delivery of basic public services such as health, education, and infrastructure continues to fall short of constitutional mandates, particularly in rural and geographically isolated communities.

This paradox of high faith and poor governance demands serious theological and administrative reflection. If Christian faith is to be more than a cultural identity, it must translate into the way public officials govern, serve, and lead. The local government unit, as the frontline of Philippine governance under the

Local Government Code of 1991, is precisely where this translation must occur. It is at the LGU level, in the municipality, the barangay, and the province, where citizens most directly encounter the state and where the ethics of public servants are most visibly expressed in everyday governance.

From a global health perspective, the stakes of local governance failure are profound. International and Philippine studies show that corruption, under-investment in health facilities, and inequitable governance reduce immunization coverage, delay maternal and child health services, and widen health disparities, especially in geographically isolated and disadvantaged areas.[7,9,12,15] Situating servant leadership within this context of health inequity underscores that ethical local governance is not only a constitutional or theological concern; it is a concrete determinant of who receives timely, quality health care and who does not.

This commentary proposes servant leadership, rooted in the person and teachings of Jesus Christ, as a theologically grounded, culturally resonant, and practically applicable framework for transforming governance in Philippine LGUs. It argues that the Christian model of leadership, one that prioritizes the welfare of others, accountability, empathy, and community building, offers a compelling corrective to the self-serving political culture that has long undermined Philippine local governance. The discussion proceeds by establishing the theological foundations of servant leadership, examining its application in the Philippine LGU context, identifying structural and cultural barriers to its adoption, proposing a Christian operational framework for LGU governance, and reflecting on the role of public administration education in forming future servant-leaders.

Theological Foundations of Servant Leadership

The concept of servant leadership finds its most powerful articulation not in contemporary management literature, but in the life and teachings of Jesus Christ. In the Gospel of Mark, Jesus explicitly reorients the dominant understanding of leadership: *"Whoever wants to become great among you must be your servant, and whoever wants to be first must be slave of all. For even the Son of Man did not come to be served, but to serve, and to give his life as a ransom for many"*.[1] This passage is not merely motivational rhetoric. It is a direct critique of hierarchical power structures that prioritize personal gain over communal welfare, a critique that resonates deeply with the realities of Philippine political culture.

The Gospel of John further illustrates servant leadership through the act of foot washing, in which Jesus, fully conscious of his authority and divine identity, voluntarily assumes the role of a servant before his disciples. The theological message is clear: genuine authority is not diminished by service; it is expressed through it. The Apostle Paul reinforces this theology in Philippians 2:3-7, urging believers to adopt the same mindset as Christ: *"Do nothing out of selfish ambition or vain conceit. Rather, in humility value others above yourselves."*[1]

Robert K. Greenleaf, who formally introduced servant leadership theory in 1970, independently arrived at many of the same principles, though from a secular organizational standpoint.[4] For Greenleaf, the servant-leader is one who begins with the natural feeling that one wants to serve first, and then conscious choice brings one to aspire to lead. He emphasized listening, empathy, healing, awareness, conceptualization, foresight, stewardship, commitment to the growth of people, and community building as the hallmarks of servant leadership.[4,5,6] Greenleaf later expanded these ideas into a full theoretical framework, arguing that servant leadership represents the most legitimate and enduring form of organizational power and greatness. What is significant for this commentary is how these characteristics closely mirror the ethical and relational qualities modeled by Christ and commended throughout the New Testament.

From a Catholic Social Teaching perspective, the principle of subsidiarity—which holds that

social and political issues should be addressed at the most immediate competent level—resonates with, but is not identical to, servant leadership.[3,11] Subsidiarity calls for decision-making to occur as close as possible to the people affected, while servant leadership focuses on the moral posture of the leader. Together, they support empowerment, responsibility, and accountability in governance, but subsidiarity alone does not replace the need for servant-hearted leadership.

The missiological dimension is equally important. The Great Commission impels the Christian community not only to proclaim the Gospel but to demonstrate its transformative power in all domains of life, including governance. A Christian public administrator who embraces servant leadership becomes, in a real sense, a witness to the Kingdom of God within the structures of civil society.

Servant Leadership in the Philippine LGU Context

The enactment of the Local Government Code in 1991 represented a landmark shift in Philippine governance philosophy.[3] Through devolution, LGUs were granted substantial fiscal, administrative, and political autonomy to respond to local needs. This was a structural recognition of subsidiarity, even if not framed in those terms. The LGU is now the primary unit through which basic services in health, agriculture, social welfare, and infrastructure are delivered to Filipino citizens, particularly in rural and marginalized communities.

This devolved authority, however, comes with a corresponding demand for ethical and capable leadership. The persistent failures of many LGUs, marked by misuse of public funds, nepotism, and political dynasties, underscore the need not merely for technical competence but for a fundamentally different leadership orientation. It is here that servant leadership becomes not just a theological ideal, but an administrative necessity.

Emerging empirical evidence from the Philippine context affirms the positive organizational outcomes of servant leadership in LGU settings. Studies on Philippine local government employees have found that servant leadership behaviors among supervisors and elected officials are positively associated with higher levels of organizational trust and ethical leadership behavior among public servants.[7] When LGU officials demonstrate genuine care for their constituents and staff, model integrity, and prioritize service over self-interest, the organizational culture shifts and produces a cascade of beneficial effects for public service delivery.

Research conducted in Philippine provincial governments further demonstrates that servant leadership skills among local executives significantly enhance employee ethical behavior and organizational performance.[8] A study in the province of Romblon found that servant leadership practices among supervisors directly influenced job satisfaction and organizational effectiveness among provincial government employees.[8] In regions such as Mindanao, servant leadership has been associated with greater LGU resilience, particularly in contexts of natural disasters and community crises.[9] These findings are consistent with a growing body of international research indicating that servant leadership is not merely a soft organizational concept, but a high-performance governance orientation.

Evidence from Philippine LGUs also illustrates how servant-oriented leadership can translate into better health outcomes. Municipalities that have prioritized transparent allocation of health budgets, empowered their local health boards, and actively engaged communities in health planning have performed better on national seals of good and health governance and have reported improved access to basic health services.[9,15] Conversely, empirical analyses of Philippine health data show that corruption and weak local governance are associated with poorer health indicators, including higher child mortality and lower utilization of preventive services.[7,9]

These patterns affirm that servant leadership is not only spiritually resonant but materially consequential for global health and health equity in Philippine communities.

The resonance of servant leadership with Filipino cultural values also deserves recognition. Concepts such as *kapwa* (shared identity and social belonging), *malasakit* (genuine concern for others), and *bayanihan* (communal solidarity) are deeply embedded in Filipino social consciousness and are fundamentally relational and other-oriented, echoing the core commitments of servant leadership. A governance framework that speaks the language of *malasakit*, meaning authentic care for constituents, is one that has organic cultural traction in the Filipino context. Christian faith, which permeates Filipino cultural identity, further amplifies this alignment.

Barriers to Servant Leadership in Philippine LGUs

Despite the compelling theological and empirical case for servant leadership, significant structural and cultural barriers prevent its widespread adoption in Philippine local governance. Understanding these barriers is essential for any serious proposal to institutionalize servant-led governance.

Political dynasties and patronage culture. The Philippines has one of the most entrenched systems of political dynasties in Southeast Asia. Local governance is frequently characterized by the concentration of political power within elite families, where electoral success depends less on service performance than on political networks, vote-buying, and resource distribution to loyal supporters: the trapo culture. This culture is fundamentally antithetical to servant leadership, as it prioritizes self-perpetuation over public service and loyalty over merit.

Structural incentives. The current design of LGU governance, including term limits that incentivize political maneuvering for succession, a weak anti-dynasty law, and inadequate accountability mechanisms, creates systemic incentives for self-interested governance. Even well-intentioned leaders may find themselves pressured to operate within the patronage system in order to survive politically. Without structural reform, behavioral change at the individual level is insufficient.

Passive Christian civic engagement. The Philippine Church, for all its moral authority, has historically struggled to translate its influence into sustained governance reform. While the Catholic Bishops' Conference of the Philippines and evangelical movements have issued pastoral letters and calls for ethical governance, the faith community's engagement often spikes during electoral seasons and dissipates thereafter.[11-12] Philippine churches have more recently escalated their demands for LGU accountability and transparency, but translating prophetic critique into structural change requires sustained, organized Christian civic participation, a capacity still in development.[13]

The faith-works disconnect. Perhaps most theologically troubling is the phenomenon of Filipino public officials who are demonstrably devout in their private religious lives but exhibit corrupt or self-serving behavior in public office. This compartmentalization, wherein faith is practiced in church but not in governance, represents a profound missiological failure.[12,14] As one Christian leader recently noted, faith must move from personal devotion to active public engagement if it is to produce genuine governance transformation.

A Christian Servant Leadership Governance Framework

Translating servant leadership theology into LGU governance practice requires more than moral exhortation. This section proposes a Christian Servant Leadership Governance Framework built around four operational pillars.

Pillar 1: Empathic Stewardship. Drawing from Greenleaf's emphasis on listening and empathy and Paul's admonition in Philippians 2:3-7, this pillar calls for LGU officials to govern from a posture of genuine concern for constituent welfare. In practice, this means institutionalizing participatory governance mechanisms such as barangay, historically

known as the barrio, consultations, open budget hearings, and community assemblies that ensure the voices of the most vulnerable are heard and incorporated into local policy. Christian stewardship theology further demands that public funds be treated as a sacred trust, resources entrusted by God and the public for communal flourishing, not personal enrichment.

Pillar 2: Subsidiarity-Based Empowerment. Consistent with Catholic Social Teaching's principle of subsidiarity, servant-led LGU governance should actively empower barangay-level institutions, civil society organizations, and community groups rather than centralizing authority in the office of the mayor or governor. Subsidiarity focuses on where decisions should be made; servant leadership focuses on how leaders at every level relate to and serve constituents. Together, they call LGU officials to delegate real decision-making power to the lowest competent level, invest in barangay health centers and frontline workers, and create mechanisms for communities to shape priorities and monitor performance. Because subsidiarity requires institutional and legal reforms, servant leaders can support, but not alone achieve, subsidiarity-consistent governance.

Pillar 3: Faith-Community Partnership. The Philippine Church, encompassing Catholic parishes, Protestant congregations, and evangelical fellowships, represents an enormous network of trust, moral authority, and community reach that LGUs can partner with for effective governance. Formalizing partnerships between LGUs and faith-based organizations for community health delivery, social welfare, and development programs harnesses the Church's unique relational capital in Filipino communities.[11,13] In practice, Philippine faith-based organizations have played crucial roles in reproductive health advocacy, maternal health, and vaccination campaigns, often reaching communities where government messaging is mistrusted or inaccessible. At the same time, servant-led LGU-church partnerships must carefully respect the constitutional separation of church and state to avoid privileging any single religious group. This can be achieved by anchoring collaborations in publicly available memoranda of agreement focused on health and social welfare outcomes, ensuring participation of diverse faith and secular civil society organizations and upholding legal protections for the rights of minorities and non-religious citizens.

Pillar 4: Accountability and Transparency as Spiritual Disciplines. Servant leadership is incompatible with opacity. Christian governance must treat transparency and accountability not merely as legal requirements, but as spiritual disciplines and expressions of integrity before God and neighbor. LGU officials operating from a servant leadership framework should voluntarily go beyond minimum disclosure requirements by publishing full budget utilization reports, opening procurement processes to community oversight, and welcoming the prophetic accountability role of the Church and civil society.

Operationalizing Servant Leadership in LGU Governance

For servant leadership to inform governance reform and health equity practice, it must be translated into observable practices and measurable indicators. In the LGU context, servant leadership could be assessed through participation and voice, equity in resource allocation, transparency and accountability, ethical culture and staff development, and selected health outcomes.

Participation and voice include the existence and quality of participatory mechanisms such as barangay health assemblies, open budget hearings, and public consultations on health plans. Equity in resource allocation includes the proportion of the LGU budget devoted to primary health care, barangay health centers, and programs targeting geographically isolated and disadvantaged areas relative to non-essential expenditures. Transparency and accountability include regular publication of user-friendly, budget utilization reports; open procurement processes; and functioning grievance or whistle-blowing mechanisms. Ethical culture

and staff development include training programs in ethics and servant leadership for LGU staff, staff perceptions of ethical climate, and reported instances of retaliation against whistle-blowers. Health outcomes include trends in immunization coverage, facility-based deliveries, and financial protection for the poor, interpreted alongside governance indicators.[7,9,15]

These metrics do not exhaust the meaning of servant leadership, which also involves interior dispositions and spiritual motivations, but they provide concrete entry points for evaluation and policy dialogue.

Implications for Public Administration Education

The formation of servant-leaders for Philippine LGUs does not begin at the polling booth. It begins in the classroom. Higher education institutions offering the Bachelor of Public Administration program play a critical and underappreciated role in shaping the governance values of future local officials and civil servants.

Currently, most BPA curricula in Philippine higher education institutions, governed by the Commission on Higher Education Memorandum Orders, focus primarily on technical competencies such as public fiscal administration, policy analysis, administrative law, and organizational theory. While these are essential, they are insufficient without a strong foundation in public service ethics, values formation, and leadership development. The integration of servant leadership as an explicit pedagogical framework within BPA programs would represent a meaningful curricular innovation.

This integration can take several forms: embedding servant leadership principles in leadership and management courses, incorporating theological and ethical reflection in public service ethics subjects, designing community immersion programs that place students in direct service of marginalized communities, and using case studies of Filipino LGU officials who have exemplified servant leadership as pedagogical models. Faculty members who are themselves Christian public administrators are uniquely positioned to model and mentor this orientation, embodying the integration of faith and professional practice that the curriculum seeks to cultivate.

Beyond pedagogical innovation, BPA programs and graduate public administration curricula can contribute to building the evidence base for servant leadership in governance and health. Faculty and students can undertake case studies of LGUs that have adopted participatory, transparent, and servant-oriented practices; assess their impact on health service delivery and equity; and disseminate findings to policymakers and church partners. Embedding such research in capstone projects, internships, and community immersion courses would ensure that the framework proposed in this commentary informs not only classroom discussion but also ongoing practice and advocacy.

Conclusion

The governance crisis in Philippine LGUs is not, at its root, a technical problem. It is a problem of character, of values, and of the fundamental orientation that public leaders bring to their work. No amount of administrative reform, digital governance innovation, or anti-corruption legislation will produce lasting change if the men and women entrusted with public office continue to govern from a posture of self-interest rather than self-giving service.

The Christian tradition offers a radical and transformative alternative. Servant leadership modeled by Christ, articulated by the Apostles, echoed in Catholic Social Teaching, and increasingly validated by organizational research in the Philippine context, presents a theologically coherent and empirically supported framework for reimagining LGU governance. It calls Filipino Christian public administrators to a profound reckoning: that their faith is not separable from their public roles, that governance is a form of ministry, and that the communities they serve are not constituencies to be managed but neighbors to be loved.

The Philippine Church, higher education institutions offering public administration programs, civil society organizations, and Christian public servants themselves all have

indispensable roles to play. The transformation of Philippine local governance will not come from a single policy reform or electoral outcome. It will come from a generation of servant-leaders who have internalized the conviction that to govern is to serve, and to serve is to honor God.

References

1. Biblica. The Holy Bible: New International Version. Grand Rapids (MI): Zondervan, 3900 Sparks Drive SE, Grand Rapids, MI 49546; 2011.
2. Philippine Statistics Authority. 2020 census of population and housing: religious affiliation. Available from: https://psa.gov.ph/content/religious-affiliation-philippines-2020-census-population-and-housing
3. Republic Act No. 7160. Local government code of the Philippines. Available from: https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/1991/10/10/republic-act-no-7160/
4. Greenleaf RK. The servant as leader. Indianapolis, IN: Robert K. Greenleaf Center; 1970.
5. Spears LC. Character and servant leadership: ten characteristics of effective, caring leaders. J Virtues Leadersh. 2010;1(1):25-30. Available from: https://www.regent.edu/journal/journal-of-virtues-leadership/character-and-servant-leadership-ten-characteristics-of-effective-c
6. Greenleaf RK. Servant leadership: a journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness. Mahwah (NJ): Paulist Press, 997 MacArthur Boulevard, Mahwah, NJ 07430; 1977.
7. Cayton TJE, Ocampo IS. Organizational trust among employees of a municipal local government unit in the Philippines: its relationship with servant leadership skills and ethical leadership behavior of elected officials and immediate supervisors. Int J Res Stud Educ. 2025;14(8):219-35. https://doi.org/10.5861/ijrse.2025.25086
8. Rayan A, Wong JP, Banas JLP. Influence of servant leadership among government employees in the province of Romblon, Philippines. Int J Humanit Soc Sci Educ. 2015;2(12):73-81. Available from: https://www.arcjournals.org/article/10296
9. Montejo MA, Bandiola AN. Servant leadership, cultural dimension, and adversity quotient: a causal model on organizational resilience of the local government units' employees in Davao Region. Am J Public Policy Adm. 2023;8(2):75-98. https://doi.org/10.47672/ajppa.1625
10. Democratic Erosion Project. Faith vs. fairness: how Christian religiosity fuels stealth authoritarianism in contemporary Philippines. Providence (RI): Brown University, Watson Institute for International and Public Affairs; 2024. Available from: https://democratic-erosion.org/2024/05/24/faith-vs-fairness-how-christian-religiosity-fuels-stealth-authoritarianism-in-contemporary-philippines
11. Catholic Bishops' Conference of the Philippines. Concerned Christian citizens for good governance: CBCP pastoral statement. Manila (PH): CBCP Online, 470 Gen. Luna Street, Intramuros, Manila 1002; 2022.
12. Tan-Chi P. Christian leader calls for faith-driven engagement to promote ethical governance. Christian Daily Philippines. 2026 Apr 15.
13. World Council of Churches. Philippine churches demand accountability amid widespread corruption. Geneva (CH): WCC, 150 Route de Ferney, PO Box 2100, 1211 Geneva 2; 2025 Sep 22.
14. Inquirer Opinion. Confronting the paradox of faith and corruption in the country. Philippine Daily Inquirer. 2025 Sep 23.
15. Transparency International. Corruption Perceptions Index 2023: Philippines. Berlin (DE): Transparency International, Alt-Moabit 96, 10559 Berlin; 2024. Available from: https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2023

Submitted 8 April 2026, Accepted 6 June 2026, Published 21 Sept 2026

Peer reviewed.

Data Accessibility: No new data were generated or analyzed in support of this article. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Ethics and Consent: This article is a commentary based on published literature and does not involve primary data collection with human participants or animals. Ethics review and informed consent were therefore not required.

Acknowledgements: The author gratefully acknowledges colleagues in Bukidnon State University and practitioners in Philippine local government and church ministries whose experiences informed the reflections in this commentary.

Funding Information: The author received no specific grant or financial support from any funding agency, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors for the preparation of this article.

Authors' Contributions: Dante A. Damit conceptualized the article, conducted the literature review, developed the Christian Servant Leadership Governance Framework, and drafted and revised the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The author declares no competing interests.

Cite this article as: Damit D. Christlike servant leadership as a framework for ethical governance in Philippine local government units. Christ J Glob Health. 2026 Sept; 13(2).

© Author. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are properly cited. To view a copy of the license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/