

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Insights of Circular economics practices in rice cultivation and processing - A Review

K.M.N.T.K. Bandara ^{a*} and G.A.G. Kavindi ^{b,c}

^aDepartment of Technology Education, Faculty of Science and Technology, National Institute of Education, Maharagama, Sri Lanka.

^bGraduate School of Science and Technology, University of Tsukuba, Tennodai 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan.

^cDepartment of Export Agriculture, Gatambe, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka.

Submitted: June 30, 2023; Revised: October 15, 2023; Accepted: October 30, 2023

*Correspondence: nirangabandara08@gmail.com ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8157-1398>

ABSTRACT

Reusing waste through various activities and efficient and effective use of resources have led to the circular economy as a further growth opportunity. The circular economy has spread in various directions like agriculture and industry. The main focus of circular economy is to minimize or eliminate the use of non-renewable inputs in a production system and to maximize or optimize the reuse of these materials within the same system. In addition, circular agriculture is expected to reduce environmental impacts through soil regeneration and input use. Activities in rice cultivation include sowing, fertilizing, watering, and harvesting, and these activities can be done using biological and other agricultural methods in an environmentally friendly way instead of chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides, and further minimizing the accumulation of waste. Burning rice straw and husks emits lot of CO₂ into the environment. However, these can be used as raw materials for various industries. Rice residue is an excellent source of nutrients and beneficial for human health. Thus, circular economy methods can be used in rice cultivation by cultivating more productive and suitable rice varieties, reducing the amount of waste released into the environment in various ways, and using discarded waste as raw materials for industries. This study focuses on determining the applicability of circular economy methods to rice cultivation and processing. Accordingly, it appears that in the rice industry, circular economy methods are applied to reduce the release of unnecessary air and waste into the environment and effectively reuse the waste. This will create an eco-friendly and safe environment.

Keywords: CO₂ emission, Circular economy, Rice cultivation, Reuse, Waste

INTRODUCTION

The increasing amount of waste in society (Chen *et al.*, 2020), as well as the challenges of degraded ecosystems (Kumar *et al.*, 2021), climate change (Creutzig *et al.*, 2021), shortage of water, energy, and other natural resources, are now big problems in the world. Rice is considered to be one of the most important food sources in the world because about 50% of the world's human population uses rice as their staple food (Paes *et al.*, 2019). During paddy cultivation, various activities such as fertilizer application, weed control, and pest control are carried out and waste including straw and husks are produced. Although the balance

between increasing agricultural production and nature conservation has been maintained to some extent, the depletion of natural resources over long-term use of humans has created a major challenge in sustainable management. (Geissdoerfer *et al.*, 2017; Ruffi-Salis *et al.*, 2020; Vanhamäki *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, more attention should be paid to manage and efficiently utilize the waste generated. Thus, the circular economy concept has been presented as a model that can face the contemporary challenges of waste management and resource scarcity (Prendeville *et al.*, 2014; Barbosa *et al.*, 2021). In addition, successful circular economy is a fresh facet of sustainable development, the main objective of which is to transform waste directly released into nature through various processes into resources for another action, and this concept has been adapted from living systems known as feedback-enriched systems (Nattassha *et al.*, 2020). In particular, circular economy is implemented to increase the supply chain process's efficiency and waste management efficiency and reduce the amount of resources released into the environment (Pomponi *et al.*, 2017).

Similarly, agricultural wastes can be used as fertilizers, energy, raw materials, and compounds for various industries (McCarthy *et al.*, 2019). In this circumstance, circular economy helps to create a friendly and safe environment by reducing the negative environmental impacts of agricultural activities, saving relevant resources, and improving economic performance (Stegmann *et al.*, 2020; Velasco-Muñoz, 2021). Through this, efforts are made to extract, use, and dispose of limited resources by targeting alternative production and consumption patterns. Therefore, it is essential to find out how this concept of circular economy, which is famous all over the world, is related to the various activities carried out in rice cultivation and processing.

Circular Economy

The circular economy is based on consuming less, using more sustainably produced or recyclable products, and moving towards an economy built on waste disposal models. Currently, instead of importing resources outside the system, many organizations that produce goods focus on increasing and optimizing resource reuse and reducing capital, thus prioritizing the circular economy concept. (Barros *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, circular economy aims to minimize or eliminate non-renewable sources in a production system and maximize the reuse of these materials within the same system. Moreover, it is an economy built on social production-consumption strategies that maximize service produced by circular material flows and linear nature-social-natural material and energy flow through renewable materials (Korhonen *et al.*, 2018). In addition, circular economy limits the use of resources to a level that is not harmful to nature, i.e., leads to sustainable use while minimizing the amount of waste released into the environment. The circular economy has been spread over the centuries and extended mostly within the agriculture sector. Additionally, an example of past use of this circular economy concept can be identified in using animal manure

for crops in agriculture (Barros *et al.*, 2020). A recent proposal is to use them as a new model to promote business expansion and economic growth by overcoming the scarcity of raw materials and energy. This concept extends to many fields and agriculture is prominent among them. Agriculture is the industry to achieve zero waste production. Recycling practices in the agribusiness sector are a starting point for changing processes that can lead to higher performance and a circular economy. Animal manure recycling can be facilitated by sharing materials between livestock and crop farmers. Besides, being environmentally friendly and waste management follow the growth perspective of circular economy (Murray *et al.*, 2017). Economic growth is being driven through greater sustainability in the use of natural resources in both agriculture and industry. (Duque-Acevedo *et al.*, 2020; Abad-Segura *et al.*, 2021; Belmonte-Urena *et al.*, 2021). According to the United Nations, circular agriculture focuses on regenerating the soil and minimizing the environmental impact through inputs. Likewise, reducing global emissions and contributing to the fight against climate change can help ensure a reduction in land use, as well as limit the use of chemical fertilizers and waste production (Wysokinska-Senkus, *et al.*, 2020; da Silva Duarte *et al.*, 2021). Material reuse and recycling become part of routine production decisions in any action framed in the circular economy. At the global level, fruit and vegetable production is a crucial sector of the bio-economy and it is essential to keep it economically, socially, and environmentally sustainable (Abad-Segura *et al.*, 2021). Developing new varieties in the fruit and vegetable sector, fertilizer use efficiency, organic amendments, water and energy, integrated pest management systems, and disease and weed control are the activities associated with sustainable agriculture (Wei *et al.*, 2021).

Limitations of Rice Cultivation

A world-popular crop known botanically as *Oryza*, rice is a monocotyledon plant. According to Reversat and Destombes (1998), plants belonging to the *Oryza* genus consist of two cultivated species and 21 wild species. Of these cultivated species, *Oryza sativa* originated from Asia and *Oryza glaberrima* from Africa. *O. sativa* is grown commercially in 112 countries and has a high yield and better grinding quality. In contrast, *O. glaberrima* is a semi-aquatic plant and can grow in water up to 5 meters deep (Vergara, 1985). Rice is most prevalent in Asian countries; more than 90% of the world's rice is produced in Asia and it is one of the staple foods of Southeast Asia (Das, 2017). Activities in rice cultivation include variety selection, land preparation, sowing/transplanting, fertilizing, irrigation management, and harvesting (Crawford and Shen, 1998; Rani and Rampal, 2016). Harris *et al.* (2002) pointed out that agriculture usually produces crop residues, commonly known as biomass residues. Plant residues left in the field after harvesting are crop residues and agro-industrial residues are formed by cleaning, filtering, and grinding as a by-product of post-harvest processes. The major biomass wastes produced in the rice industry are straw and rice husk, which are crop and agro-industrial residues. The stalk of the rice plant left as field residue during rice harvesting is identified as rice straw (Jeng *et al.*, 2012). The

main problem of rice cultivation is that every year rice cultivation in the world produces about 1,370,000 million tons of waste consisting of rice straw and husk. These represent the largest share of total paddy production. Moreover, for every kilogram of rice grain produced, 0.28 kg of rice husk and 1.1 kg of rice straw are produced (Yusof, 2008).

Rice production is a multi-step process, as white rice has an outer bran and an even outer husk (Vinoda *et al.*, 2015). Although there are several methods of rice processing based on modern technologies available in different countries, the basic principles of production are observed to be the same. Rice harvesting, cleaning, milling, de-husking, sizing, grading, and shipping are steps involved in typical rice production (Asati, 2013). The rice husk is removed during the milling process, which is a by-product of rice production. Often in Asian countries, harvesting involves open field burning, eliminating the rice straw. According to a survey, it was found that in Thailand, 90% of rice straw is burned outdoors (Tipayarom and Oanh, 2007). Rice husk is also usually disposed of by open burning in the field like rice straw. Such practices waste energy and pose environmental and health threats to the public. Additionally, increased CO₂ emissions from these open-field burning activities accelerate atmospheric temperature and contribute to global warming (Kamath and Proctor, 1998).

Rice Cultivation Practices Related to Circular Economy

Fertilizer usage

Reusing plant debris, intercropping, etc. helps to reduce the amount of fertilizers applied in addition to crops. This mainly leads to lower production costs and increased environmental friendliness. Using rice husks or their by-products as fertilizer reduces fertilizer costs, helps reduce CO₂ emissions by burning waste, and is environmentally friendly (McCarthy *et al.*, 2019). Rice husks have low concentrations of P, S, and Zn with high concentrations of elements Si, N, and K. Si fertilization increased uptake of K (Jin *et al.*, 2020) along with P, N, and Si (Pati *et al.*, 2016; Cuong *et al.*, 2017). Through this, the additional fertilizer to be given to the field can be reduced and economic benefits can be obtained. Similarly, this can reduce the risk of N₂O emissions and the cost of NPK fertilizers. Biofertilizer mixed with manure/straw compost has significantly reduced N losses during composting due to its effect on nutrient uptake. Like straw, biochar can increase N uptake and prevent NH₃ volatilization, and this effect from biochar is magnified by straw's high absorption capacity. It has also been shown that adding biochar to compost can reduce total N loss by 52% (Dong *et al.*, 2015).

As Sarkar *et al.* (2017) pointed out, the nutrient supply capacity and productivity of paddy soils have been reduced through continuous and intensive cultivation of modern rice varieties. Although applying fertilizers alone cannot maintain soil

fertility and crop productivity, their proper combination is considered one of the best management options for sustainable rice production. Thus, Integrated Plant Nutrient System (IPNS) is aptly identified as an appropriate combination of mineral fertilizers, organic fertilizers, crop residues, compost, N-fixing crops, and biofertilizers.

Although organic matter supplies the carbon requirement of the soil, its lack of use under long-term continuous rice cultivation badly affects the biochemical properties of the soil (Naher *et al.*, 2019). When comparing urea and green manure, the nitrogen (N) uptake rate by rice was higher in green manure (Clement *et al.*, 1998). Often the soil where rice is grown is severely carbon deficient and macronutrients such as N, P, and K are also at a minimum level. Although organic fertilizers play a significant role in traditional agriculture, the attention given to them by modern agriculture has decreased due to the decrease in the cost of organic fertilizers and the decrease in the price of chemical fertilizers. However, steps should be taken to reduce the use of these chemical fertilizers in the agricultural industry because of the heavy damage caused to the environment. Various agricultural methods can be followed for this. One method of using *Sesbania rostrata* plants is also grown as an intercrop in rice cultivation. The plant can grow in submerged conditions and fix atmospheric N₂ through roots and stems. Through this, the amount of chemical N fertilizer used in rice cultivation can be reduced. Moreover, several studies have shown that 5-6 tons of *Sesbania* dry biomass can replace the total demand for chemical N fertilizers in rice production (Naher *et al.*, 2019).

It has been shown that applying green manure in paddy cultivation improves the carbon status of the soil and increases the yield by 9–11% compared to chemical fertilizers. Therefore, using inorganic and bio-organic fertilizers in sustainable and environmentally friendly rice production is more effective. *Sesbania*, a legume, is used as a green manure in rice cultivation either by cropping before paddy or as an inter- or mixed-crop with rice (Gill *et al.*, 2009). Similarly, *Sesbania* facilitates crop emergence in areas with atmospheric nitrogen fixation and soil crust problems (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2018; Singh *et al.*, 2007). In addition, Singh *et al.* (2007) reported that this *Sesbania* coculture reduced broadleaf and grass weed density by 76–83% and 20–33%, respectively, and total weed biomass by 37–80% compared to a rice monoculture.

Limitations of nutrient management in rice related to circular economy are farmers' minimal knowledge and indifference towards eco-friendly fertilizer use, but the primary objective is to achieve high yield in a short period of time (Kapoor *et al.*, 2020). These circular economy activities play an important role in the environmentally friendly restoration of various causes of nutrient management in rice cultivation such as over-cultivation, imbalance in fertilizer use, little or no return of crop residues to the soil, and soil degradation (Chojnacka *et al.*, 2020). These methods reduce the amount of unnecessary waste added to the

environment and prevent the accumulation of chemical compounds that are harmful to the environment.

Integrated rice farming

Integrated farming can also control toxic compounds released into the environment. Mofidian and Sadeghi (2015) combined duck farming and rice farming to establish a rice-duck industrial chain and found that the rice-duck industrial chain can significantly reduce methane emissions compared to conventional paddy fields. In addition, they found that while monoculture emissions are generally higher in the morning and evening, these rice-duck industries reduce methane emissions. These rice-duck farming methods eliminate the need for pesticides by maximizing the use of resources, thereby reducing the unnecessary accumulation of waste in the environment (Li *et al.*, 2019).

Rice-fish farming has also been found to be environmentally friendly and profitable (Cagawan, 2000; Berg, 2002; Gupta *et al.*, 2002). By introducing fish to rice paddies, the need for pesticides to control pests will be reduced, farm income can be increased, and agricultural products can be diversified. Thus, integrated farming systems are believed to help farmers increase their farm income and promote sustainable agriculture and rural development (Vromant *et al.*, 2002). Channabasavanna *et al.* (2007) reported that fish contribute for controlling insect pests and weeds in rice cultivation, thereby improving rice yield by 35% by meeting their nutritional needs and establishing good spatial cooperation. Rice-fish farming systems in Asia reduce the need for additional fertilizers by facilitating soil nitrogen fixation (Lu and Li, 2006) and optimizing water use (Frei and Becker, 2005).

Through integrated farming, producing one component creates high levels of complementary effects for other enterprises. For example, cow feed produces milk, while dung, urine, and waste produce farm fertilizer and energy for crops and fish ponds. Sludge deposits in the fish pond are used as fertilizer for crops. In addition to improving soil physical and biological properties, farmyard manure can replace up to 25% of recommended N, P, and K for crops. In the event of power outages, the water in the fish pond can be used by gravity. Integrated nutrient management can increase grain productivity by 0.5–1.0 tons per hectare. Moreover, 20–30% of fishpond embankment can be used for growing eggplant and fruit trees, which provides adequate soil cover to control soil erosion and makes the system economically viable (Gill *et al.*, 2009).

Intercropping/crop rotation

The cultivation of two or more crops in the same space is defined as intercropping (Andrew and Kassam, 1976). This intercropping makes more efficient use of all resources compared to monoculture. Many plants can be intercropped, and

cassava and rice are used as intercrops. When paddy cultivation is done alone, soil pest effects occur, but in combined cultivation, the situation changes. It is easy to improve soil fertility through nitrogen fixation in rice-legume intercropping. Intercropping systems also control soil erosion by preventing raindrops from hitting bare soil (Seran and Brintha, 2010). According to Seran and Brintha (2010), the intercropping system is more profitable than the monocropping system. Rice-based cropping system is also widely used in several countries with cereals, oilseeds, cotton, sugarcane, green manures, vegetables, etc. Generally, rice is intercropped with mung, sesame, maize, finger millet, or other minor millets. The seeds of successive crops like dal, cowpea, and berseem are sown in maturing rice crops. Sequential cropping is the successful cultivation of crops like barley, wheat, and rice. Peas can be intercropped with dry-seeded lowland (semi-dry) rice, and cowpea leaves are used as green manure. Furthermore, this cultivation reduces weed pressure in rice (Rana *et al.*, 2018). Grain yield is significantly higher when intercropping with or without soybeans (Wangiyana *et al.*, 2019). According to Dulur *et al.* (2019), when rice was intercropped with groundnut instead of monocrop, a higher number of panicles per bunch and many filled grains were recorded in rice. Crop rotation of rice with other crops such as wheat, mustard, cowpea, and maize can reduce the amount of methane released by altering the anaerobic and aerobic phases (Baghel *et al.*, 2020).

Pest control

As the demand for rice increases due to the ever-increasing population, farmers around the world are increasing plant density in their management schemes, which is increasing populations of certain pests. Increasing pest damage is among the major threats to achieving high rice yield, even with management strategies such as fertilizer management, irrigation management, and management of agrochemical inputs in rice (Litsinger *et al.*, 2011), causing problems in achieving high rice production (Prasad *et al.*, 2017). Frequent overuse of insecticides and herbicides has resulted in environmental and economic impacts. Moreover, the continued use of various chemicals such as insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides, leaching of nutrients into groundwater, and greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural soils have severely degraded the natural ecosystem (Parras-Alcántara *et al.*, 2013). The focus is on allelopathy in searching for ways to control pests using natural methods in an environmentally friendly manner, alleviating these conditions to some extent. Allelopathy is a method of controlling insect pests and weeds or using microorganisms such as viruses, fungi, bacteria, protozoa, and nematodes as biocontrol agents and bio-based integrated pest management currently focuses on this (Kumawat, 2022). As this method has the advantage of achieving balance in pest control in the long term, natural predators can consume significant amounts in the short term without affecting the growth and yield of the main crop (Jetter and Paine, 2004).

Agronomic practices controlling pests include growing resistant and maturing varieties, early seeding, close planting, weed control, especially with stunt and tungro viruses, crop and field sanitation, and post-harvest plowing to prevent egg deposition on the soil surface. These methods are environmentally friendly and minimize the release of harmful compounds into the environment. Natural enemies of insects and pests such as predators, parasitoids, pathogens, and microbial insecticides are used for biological control of pests. These organisms attack and destroy insects and pests (Naeem-Ullah, 2020). Ladybug has been identified as the natural enemy of rice stem borers. Most of the insect pests of rice in Malaysia are kept in low populations due to the influence of their natural enemies, such as caterpillars, dragonflies, spiders, and mirid predators and the presence of such predators reduces the risk of spread of rice pests and their presence is known to indicate the quality of the rice field (Ooi, 2015). Acting as a major predator of insect pests, spiders play an important role as natural predators by reducing the density of insect pests in rice fields (Sebastian *et al.*, 2005; Fahad *et al.*, 2021). Currently, about 128 species of insects have been identified in rice cultivation, but only 15-20 insect species have been identified as economically harmful insects (Makkar *et al.*, 2019). Different traditional, physical, biological, and chemical methods can be adopted to control these insect species. In biological pest control, the pest population is controlled using natural repellents that fight against pests. Although not all pest populations can be fully managed by biological approaches, an integrated pest management strategy that integrates many pest control methods in an ecologically safe system is effective and environmentally sound (Bale *et al.*, 2008). In rice cultivation in the southern region of West Bengal, India, the application of integrated pest management techniques has significantly increased rice production (Satpathi *et al.*, 2012). The widespread use of synthetic pesticides is easy. However, it reduces the natural enemy communities and disrupts the ecological balance, allowing the pest population to grow more efficiently at a particular time (Bale *et al.*, 2008).

The soil-borne fungus *Rhizoctonia solani*, which is currently a major threat to rice production worldwide, has been identified as one of the most destructive disease causing microorganism of rice (Groth and Bond, 2006). Fungicides are widely used to control this fungus (Groth and Bond, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2014). The implication is that excessive use of fungicides has a greater impact on human health and increases production costs (Wilson *et al.*, 2021). Biofumigation using biocidal compounds, brass elements added to the soil during the breakdown of plant material, is an alternative biological approach to disease management and many studies have shown that it can control the soil-borne plant pathogenic fungi *Rhizoctonia* spp and *Gaeumannomyces graminis* (Handiseni *et al.*, 2017; Sarhan *et al.*, 2020).

Weed management

There are various weeds associated with rice crops (Chauhan, 2013). Further, these weeds have reduced global rice yields by up to 32% (Rao *et al.*, 2007). Among the weed control methods, prevention and limitation of the spread of weeds and seeds are considered to be the most basic methods, and among the preventive measures in rice cultivation, the use of clean weed-free seeds, clean land, and proper maintenance of irrigation and cleaning of farming equipment are the most important (Buhler, 2002). Adopting water conservation practices in rice has been identified as a major problem because it creates a water and air environment more favorable for weeds. Under such circumstances, an integrated approach to weed management is critical (Chauhan, 2013). Adjustments in seeding rate and planting geometry can also provide significant weed control (Anwar, 2011). Moreover, weed control can be environmentally friendly by reducing chemical fertilizers and applying green manures, and some green manures have the ability to secrete specific chemicals into the soil that inhibit weed seed germination (Blackshaw *et al.*, 2001; Mohler *et al.*, 2012). In addition, environmentally friendly methods of weed control such as manual weeding and mechanical methods including hoeing are very effective in reducing the use of chemicals that harm the environment (Bahadur, 2015). Moreover, land preparation is an extremely important environmentally friendly weed control practice in rice cultivation. Activities like ploughing, and submerging the soil are done during land preparation, and through this, weed seeds are destroyed as well as germination is controlled. A majority of *Echinochloa colona* (L.) Link seeds were found to be destroyed after plowing (Puckridge *et al.*, 1988). Seed priming, inclusion of cover crops in rotation, fertilizer management, and consideration of critical weed-crop competition period are considered effective tools for integrated weed management in rice (Buhler, 2002; Mennan *et al.*, 2012). Predators, microbes, and weed competitors are used to kill or reduce weed populations. Biocontrol agents of weed species in rice cultivation are listed in Table 01. This environmentally friendly, safe, and economical approach is the best option to combine with other techniques in agriculture (Charudattan, 2001; Müller-Schärer *et al.*, 2004; Juraimi *et al.*, 2013). Correspondingly, no residual effects of bioherbicide have been observed in soil or plants.

Furthermore, applying specific bioherbicides indicates that specific weeds can be managed effortlessly (Xuan *et al.*, 2005). Biological weed management in rice is a practical option and empowers the circular economy if the microbes/predators are selected correctly and at the right time and dose. Allelopathy is an environmental, physiological phenomenon of the release of potent secondary metabolites by another plant or microbe living in its vicinity to promote or suppress the growth and metabolism of a plant or microbe. These allelochemical secondary metabolites have great potential to influence growth due to physiological interventions (Jabran *et al.*, 2015; Farooq *et al.*, 2020). Rice is an allelopathic crop that releases biocidal allelochemicals that can suppress weeds.

Table 01: Biocontrol agents of weed species in rice cultivation

Target weed species	Biocontrol agent/bioherbicide	References
<i>Fimbristylismiliacia</i> , <i>Cyperus iria</i>	Common carp and grass carp	Juraimi (2013)
<i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> (L.) P. Beauv (Barnyard grass)	<i>Exserohilum monocerus</i>	Thi <i>et al.</i> (2008)
<i>Leptochloa chinensis</i>	<i>Cochliobolus lunatas</i> (Fungi)	
Many weeds	<i>Setosphaeria sp.</i> Cf. <i>rostrata</i>	Ismail <i>et al.</i> (2012)
<i>Oryza sativa</i> f. <i>Spontanea</i> Roshev., <i>Echinochloa colona</i> (L.) Link., <i>Euphorbia hirta</i> L., <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.	Turtles, fish, ducks, geese, and pigs	Motmainna <i>et al.</i> (2021)
<i>Lolium multiflorum</i> , <i>E. crus-galli</i> , <i>Digitaria sanguinalis</i> , <i>Setaria italic</i> (L.) P. Beauv.	<i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> L.	
	<i>Ammi visnaga</i> (L) Lam	Travaini <i>et al.</i> (2016)
<i>Conyza canadensis</i> (L.) Cronquist., <i>Conyza bonariensis</i> (L.) Cronquist	<i>Juglans nigra</i> L.	Shrestha (2009)
<i>E. crus-galli</i> , <i>Lolium perenn</i> L.	<i>Aglaia odorata</i> Lour. Leaf extract	Kato-Noguchi <i>et al.</i> (2016)
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> (Mill.) Swingle	Tsao <i>et al.</i> (2002)
<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L., <i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (L.) Moench.	<i>Fusarium fujikuroi</i>	Daniel (2018)

Allelopathy is an environmental, physiological phenomenon in which a potent secondary metabolite is released by another. These allelochemical secondary metabolites have a great potential to affect the growth of a plant or microorganism through physiological interventions to promote or suppress its growth and metabolism (Jabran *et al.*, 2015; Farooq *et al.*, 2020). Rice is an allelopathic crop that releases biocidal allelochemicals that can suppress weeds, as well as rice genotypes with high allelopathic potential. Similarly, extracts of six leaves of rice plants have been found to inhibit the growth of duckweed and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) (Ebana *et al.*, 2001). Furthermore, research has shown that there is a possibility of suppressing weeds by incorporating rice waste with high allelopathic activity. For example, rice straw has been found to inhibit germination of the dominant weeds *Avena ludoviciana* and *Phalaris minor* Retz (Young *et al.*, 1989; Tamak *et al.*, 1994). Furthermore, the weed growth inhibitory content of allelopathic compounds in plants such as *Medicago sativa*, *Piper methysticum*, *Azadirachta indica* (neem), *Ageratum conyzoides*, *O. sativa*, and *Bidens*

pilosa (Table 2) inhibited rice weed growth. The following plant species (Table 3) have been found capable of reducing weeds and increasing rice yield.

Table 2: Allelopathic crops used in rice cultivation

Allelopathic crops	Functions	References
Buckwheat (<i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i>), Velvetbean (<i>Mucuna pruriens</i>), Hairy vetch (<i>Vicia vilosa</i>), and Convolvulaceae (<i>Tricolor batatas</i>)	Use as cover crops and support to weed reduction	Batish <i>et al.</i> (2001)
<i>Mucuna spp.</i> , <i>Canavalia spp.</i> , <i>Trifolium spp.</i> , <i>Brassica spp.</i> , and <i>Ipomoea spp.</i>	Use as green manure and help to weed control	Batish <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Alfalfa (<i>Medicago sativa</i>), Oats (<i>Avena sativa</i>), Pearl millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i>), and Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)	Weed reduction	Dilday <i>et al.</i> (1994), Weston (1996)
Sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i>)	Control <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> weed	Dilday <i>et al.</i> (1994), Weston (1996), Kohli (1993)
Maize (<i>Zea mays</i>)	Weed reduction	Hasan <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Barley (<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>)	Use as cover crops and support to weed reduction	Batish (2001)
Crimson clover (<i>Trifolium incarnatum</i>), Subterranean clover (<i>Trifolium subterraneum</i>)	Use as cover crops and support to weed reduction	Weston (1996), Batish (2001)
Sorghums (<i>Sorghum spp.</i>)	Use as cover crops and support to weed reduction	Dilday <i>et al.</i> (1994), Batish (2001)
Rye (<i>Secale cereale</i>)	Use as cover crops and support to weed reduction	Batish (2001)
Sweet potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>)	Use as cover crops and support to weed reduction	Weston (1996)
Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>)	Use as cover crops and support to weed reduction	Dilday <i>et al.</i> (1994)

Use of new improved rice varieties

Although pure rice varieties have been cultivated successfully, there is a shift towards hybrid cultivars with improved yield potential, climate change tolerance, and resistance to pests and diseases (Nalley *et al.*, 2016; Futakuchi *et al.*, 2021). Further, these hybrid cultivars can compete against weeds and have better nutrient uptake and drought tolerance due to more extensive root systems (Peng *et al.*, 2009; Yang *et al.*, 2019). Breeding rice varieties tolerant to water-deficit reduces the risk of yield loss, irrigation costs, and CH₄ emissions. Moreover, these hybrid varieties have high water use efficiency and high yield (Brodt *et al.*, 2011; Futakuchi *et al.*, 2021). These improved cultivars have reduced CH₄ generation in rice by shifting photosynthesis to above-ground biomass rather than roots (Balakrishnan *et al.*, 2018; Kim *et al.*, 2018). Furthermore, new cultivars containing genes suitable for obtaining Cd (Yan *et al.*, 2019; Zhou *et al.*, 2019) and other multi-essential elements have recently been introduced. This is considered a very growth opportunity in rice cultivation. Their drought tolerance

is indicated mainly by the deep root systems that easily access deep water bodies (Uga *et al.*, 2013).

By cultivating these hybrid rice varieties, the environment is protected by reducing the amount of chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and weed killers used for cultivation and reducing the amount of waste released into the environment, which is related to CE.

Table 3: Plant species which have capable of reducing weed and increasing rice yield

Plant species	Target weeds	References
Billy goat weed (<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.)	Many weeds in rice field <i>Amaranthus spinosus</i> L.	Vyvyan (2002), Macias <i>et al.</i> (2007), Duke <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Chinese taro (<i>Alocasia cucullata</i>)	<i>Nerium oleander</i> , <i>Helianthus tuberosus</i> , <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> (Barnyard grass), <i>Monochoria vaginalis</i> (Monochoria)	Khanh <i>et al.</i> (2005)
<i>Stylosanthes guianensis</i>	Many weeds in rice field	Khanh <i>et al.</i> (2005)

Water management techniques

Cultivation of rice in an aquatic environment or subject to water management can control weed growth and reduce their competition for nutrients (Arao *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, these water management decisions significantly affect field greenhouse gas emissions and the content and distribution of toxic metal (loid) s in grain (Arao *et al.*, 2009; Linquist *et al.*, 2015). Rice submergence reduces the quantity of herbicides required for weed control, thus reducing costs, and reducing the release of chemical waste into the environment and this supports circular economy.

Post-harvest handling

Along with improving rice production to meet the daily population growth, it is very important to reduce crop losses due to various causes, rice losses due to insect infestation, and various damages that can be caused to paddy and rice. Through that, there is a possibility of limiting the amount of waste released into the environment (Qu *et al.*, 2021). Grain losses occur during post-harvest handling (packing, storage, and transportation), and minimizing these losses requires suitable packaging materials, proper storage facilities, and transportation. This preserves grain quality and controls contamination by insects or fungi (Müller *et al.*, 2022). After harvesting, there is a risk of spoilage of

the grain due to various factors such as biotic and abiotic factors during storage before reaching the consumer. Biotic factors such as insects, rodents, mites, fungi, bacteria, and various abiotic factors such as moisture, temperature, and lack of sunlight can cause post-harvest losses directly or indirectly (Abass *et al.*, 2014; Mesterházy *et al.*, 2020). However, according to Bett and Nguyo (2007), most of the post-harvest losses are caused by insect pests during storage.

Insects that damage rice grains include rice weevil (*Sitophilus oryzae* L.), grain weevil (*Sitophilus granarius* L.), lesser grain borer (*Rhyzopertha dominica* F.) and angoumois grain moth (*Sitotroga cerealella* O.). *S. oryzae* and *S. cerealella* have been found to cause more damage (Mason *et al.*, 2012). Through this loss of nutritional value, commercial loss as well as quality deterioration of wealth, and there is a possibility of developing grain spoilage due to an increase in temperature and water content of grain stock due to insect activity (Adler *et al.*, 2007; Zulaikha *et al.*, 2021). Meanwhile, the use of fumigating chemicals, mainly fumigants, during storage is common worldwide, but their excessive use causes environmental problems and risks to human health (Lee *et al.*, 2003; Chou *et al.*, 2022). As a solution to such problems, biopesticides as well as new environmentally friendly practices to reduce post-harvest damage are used as a good alternative to protect grains (Herrera *et al.*, 2018). Similarly, some of the various environmentally friendly post-harvest loss reduction strategies used in rice are summarized in Table 4.

After the paddy is harvested, it is transferred to rice mills to be processed into white or brown rice to make it fit for consumption. A lot of straw, husk, and bran waste is released during this rice milling process. Similarly, there are several grinding processes such as single-stage grinding and multi-stage grinding and the amount of waste released by those methods varies (Illankoon *et al.*, 2023).

Rice By-products and Their Applicability in Circular Agriculture Practices

Rice straw

Rice straw comprises panicle rachis, leaf blade, leaf sheath, and stem. The average ratio of rice grain to rice straw is 1: 1.25 (Haeferle *et al.*, 2011). About 20% of this straw, released into the environment in the past and burned, is currently used as a raw material in various industrial products (Kadam *et al.*, 2000). As Zhang *et al.* (2013) pointed out, rice straw is currently used as a raw material for the paper and pulp manufacturing industry. More than 80% of the remaining straw is often used as mulch for various crops and the rest can be buried or burned to add nutrients to the soil. Although rice straw burning is the fastest method of straw disposal, it increases the amount of greenhouse gases in the air and causes environmental pollution (Hamed *et al.*, 2012). Rice straw is used as part of the nutritional requirements of ruminants in many rice-producing countries (Dong *et al.*, 2019). However, the digestibility of rice straw is reduced due to its low protein

content, presence of phenolic properties, and high silica and lignin (Van Soest, 2006).

Table 4: Environmentally friendly postharvest loss reduction strategies used in rice

Eco-friendly postharvest loss reduction strategies	Details	References
Traditional methods	<p>Mechanical removal of infected grains or pods includes squeezing, shaking, and re-attachment of grain which disturbs the insects and reduces their activity.</p> <p>Exposure of grain to sunlight, treatment of grain with cow urine (a traditional practice in southwestern Ethiopia), and control of insect damage by adding salt to grain.</p> <p>Control of beetle population by using fumigants made from a mixture of fresh cow dung and sugarcane compost moistened with 60% moisture content.</p> <p>Management of beetle infestation through harvesting immediately after physiological maturity.</p>	Mendesil (2007); Baidoo <i>et al.</i> (2014); Tadesse (2020)
Control by environmental parameters	<p>Temperature</p> <p>Control of insect infestation by keeping grain storage temperature range 13-35°C</p> <p>Heat treatment using hot air can prevent insect and other pest damage, but if the process is not done properly, the quality of the grain can deteriorate.</p> <p>Fermenting means removing excess heat by keeping the product temperature at or below 15°C, and the grain temperature should not exceed 20°C. It can reduce the development rate of insects.</p> <p>Grain damage can be minimized by successfully storing the grain in</p>	Fields <i>et al.</i> (1992); Champagne <i>et al.</i> (2004); Lazzari <i>et al.</i> (2010); Morales (2017); Katta <i>et al.</i> (2019); Paul <i>et al.</i> (2020); Tadesse (2020)

	<p>a clean condition, i.e., without various impurities such as chemicals and insects.</p> <p>Storage atmosphere</p> <p>Storing grain under protected conditions, i.e. in an oxygen-free environment, often prevents insect eggs from hatching.</p> <p>Using vacuum packaging removes all air and creates a low-pressure environment that protects the wealth from insects, while the lack of O₂ and excess CO₂ kills any remaining insects in storage.</p> <p>The use of modified atmospheres (Active modified atmosphere packaging) can alter the amount of common gas constituents oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon dioxide that are lethal to insects.</p> <p>On its antifungal properties from CO₂ in the atmosphere Suppresses insect eggs, early larvae.</p> <p>A nitrogen-rich environment is capable of completely killing <i>Tribolium confusum</i> (all life stages), <i>Oryzaephilus surinamensis</i> (larvae and adults), <i>Sitophilus granarius</i> (L.) (adults) and <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i> (adults).</p> <p>Ozone can affect all stages of the life cycle of insects.</p> <p>Ozone has been found to be capable of removing pesticide residue from rice grains.</p> <p>Hermetic bags are safe pesticide-free and sustainable grain storage that improves overall grain quality and seed viability.</p>	<p>Guenha <i>et al.</i> (2014); Ávila-Lovera <i>et al.</i> (2017); Amoah and Mahroof (2019); Boopathy <i>et al.</i> (2022); De Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2023)</p>
Inert materials	<p>Inert materials such as fly ash, sand and other mineral powders fill the free space between grain stacks, which hinder insect movement and are environmentally friendly.</p> <p>The use of materials such as coffee husks, wood ash, and sawdust can cause dehydration of insects.</p>	<p>Debnath <i>et al.</i> (2011); Jean <i>et al.</i> (2015); Tadesse (2020); Kar <i>et al.</i> (2021)</p>

	<p>The use of wood ash as inert dust causes death by suffocation of insects. Grains treated with various inert materials such as ash and fossil shields can protect grain viability.</p> <p>Silica gives good results to protect grains from insects and prevent insect growth and using silica nanoparticles is more efficient.</p>	
Essential oil	<p>Orange oil is used as an alternative agent to control insect pests. Using an emulsion of cinnamon oil with anhydrous ethanol can prevent rice weevil infestation. Essential oil obtained from <i>Citrus limonum</i> is used.</p> <p>Basil, cinnamon, eucalyptus, mandarin, oregano, peppermint, and tea tree oils can be used alone or in combination to control grain pests.</p> <p>Eucalyptus essential oil has insecticidal and repellent properties.</p> <p><i>Achillea biebersteinii</i>, <i>Achillea fragrantissima</i>, and the oil obtained from <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> is active against grain-injurious <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i>, <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i>, and <i>Tribolium castaneum</i>.</p> <p><i>Carlina acaulis</i> essential oil is used as an insecticide.</p>	<p>Nenaah <i>et al.</i> (2014); Hossain <i>et al.</i> (2019); Guettal <i>et al.</i> (2021); Chou <i>et al.</i> (2022); Kavallieratos <i>et al.</i> (2022); Shi <i>et al.</i> (2022); Zargari <i>et al.</i> (2020)</p>
Biopesticides in packaging	<p><i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> leaf powder is used as a biopesticide in packaging.</p> <p>Low-density polyethylene membranes impregnated with supercritical CO₂ and terpenes are used in the storage and transportation of ketones, seeds, kernels and derivatives.</p> <p>Chitosan-coated paperboard is used in packaging to prevent insect infestation.</p>	<p>Soujanya <i>et al.</i> (2018); Pandey <i>et al.</i> (2022); Silva <i>et al.</i> (2022)</p>

Application of radiations	Insects can be killed by using infrared heating with an electric emitter. Heating rice under infrared radiation to 60°C can kill <i>Sitophilus zeamais</i> and <i>Tribolium castaneum</i> . Rice weevil control can be achieved by using an irradiation inhibition treatment during rice storage.	Follett <i>et al.</i> (2013); Duangkhamchan <i>et al.</i> (2017); Pei <i>et al.</i> (2018)
----------------------------------	---	--

Using these straws as more industrial raw materials not only reduces the cost of production but also helps to solve the problems arising from the release of waste into the environment. It has also been established that compost can be produced using rice straw, which restores and revitalizes soil N fertility instead of providing essential nutrients directly to plants. In addition, straw can be used for various purposes, especially, mushroom production, packaging material processing, briquettes, rope production, vermicompost, pellets, paper, biogas production, and animal feed. Rice straw and rice husks are also used as a very cost-effective growing medium. By subjecting this rice straw to combustion (electricity and heat), anaerobic digestion (biogas), pyrolysis (biogas and oil), and gasification, it is possible to generate electric power and heat (Gadde *et al.*, 2009). It is important to combine rice production and electricity generation using rice straw to improve utilization, and electricity generation using rice straw has a high potential for economic and greenhouse gas emission reduction (Shafie *et al.*, 2014; Park *et al.*, 2021).

Reuse of rice husk

Global rice production in 2020 is estimated at 499.31 million metric tons and for every 1 kg of rice produced, approximately 0.28 kg of rice husk is generated (Das *et al.*, 2022). Depending on the variety of rice, climatic conditions, and geographical factors, the amount and nature of the constituents contained in the rice husk varies. The main components of rice husk are cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and minerals. Similarly, due to the burning of these rice husks without being used for various needs, various environmental problems are caused due to excessive CO₂ and greenhouse gas emissions to the environment. Turning this rice husk into activated carbon with good adsorption properties can avoid waste disposal and management problems and is environmentally friendly. Activated carbon produced from rice husks can be used for water and wastewater treatment. This value-added product will also lead to market development (Ludueña, 2011).

Rice husk can be used to produce biochar which can absorb nitrogen compounds and reduce its mineralization. The properties mentioned above of rice husk are also considered an indirect mechanism leading to the absorption of nitrogen compounds with ion exchange sites, thereby reducing its mineralization and NH₃

emissions (Alarefee *et al.*, 2023). Thus the use of rice husk is low cost, effective for pollutant treatment, biodegradable, and environmentally friendly. Therefore, it is concluded that treated rice husk can be recommended as a biomaterial for pollutant removal (Zhao *et al.*, 2022). Correspondingly, treated rice husk is used as biomass for heating purposes due to its high heating value (Omo-Okoro, 2018). Furthermore, rice husk-fired boilers can generate steam for the rice mill itself (Kapur *et al.*, 1996). A problem here is that the black smoke emitted through the incomplete combustion of the opposite rice husk has adverse environmental effects.

Rice husk ash

Rice husk ash is produced as a by-product of burning rice husks as fuel to generate energy. It is important to utilize this rice husk ash, which cause massive waste generation, energy loss, and environmental pollution (Liu *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, due to their carcinogenic and bioaccumulative nature, the generation and disposal of these rice husk ash are problematic, and it is imperative to convert them into valuable products and channel them into revenue generation (Kumar *et al.*, 2016). In their study, Hwang and Huynh (2015) showed a fruitful observation that rice husk ash can be used as a pozzolanic material in the production of high-strength concrete and refractory bricks. Using this rice husk ash in cement production reduces costs and improves its properties, such as reduced setting time, increased compressive strength, and chemical resistance (Zain *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, rice husk ash, a rubber vulcanizing agent, a beer clarifying agent, oil, and a waste product for the silicon chip industry, can be used as raw materials. Ettah (2018) stated that due to the unique properties of rice husk ash, such as low bulk density, low thermal conductivity, and high melting point, it is used in making Tundish powder. However, these properties of rice husk ash can be achieved only if rice husk ash is burned in properly temperature-controlled and well-designed burners after a specific grinding process (Zain *et al.*, 2011).

In addition, the ash contains rice husks and rice husk ash as silica contributors (Song *et al.*, 2014), so this rice husk ash can be used for silica sheets, polymer, and electronics industries. Moreover, this silica is widely used to produce electronic circuits, transistors, and diodes, especially in the electronics industry. Permatasari *et al.* (2016) concluded that rice husk can be an alternative to silica. Adam *et al.* (2013) indicated that pure silica can be produced after removing mineral acid residues and using rice husk ash to add alcohol.

Reuse of rice bran

Rice bran is widely used in food and pharmaceutical industries to increase consumer demand by producing healthy foods. Rice bran consists of 80% carbohydrates, 2% proteins, 7–8% fatty acids, 7% dietary fiber, and 7% minerals and is produced as a by-product of white rice production. Although rich in

nutrients due to lipase, unrefined rice bran is unsuitable for human consumption (Gul *et al.*, 2015). About 90% of the rice bran thus obtained is used as cattle feed and the rest is used for extraction (Schramm *et al.*, 2007). In the food industry, microwave treatment (Ramezanzadeh *et al.*, 1999), extraction and dry heat treatment (Gul *et al.*, 2015), ohmic heating (Lakkakula *et al.*, 2004), parboiling and autoclaving (Rosniyana *et al.*, 2009), toasting (da Silva *et al.*, 2006) and gamma irradiation are used to stabilize rice bran and prepare it for use (Shin and Godber, 1996). About 12–13% of rice bran is oil, of which 4.3% consists of beta-sitosterol, gamma-oryzanol, and tocotrienol (Gul *et al.*, 2015). Rice bran oil extracted from rice bran benefits human health and is an excellent source of nutrients such as tocopherols, sterols, and tocotrienols (Sugano and Tsuji, 1997; Gul *et al.*, 2015).

Discussion

Considering population growth, the global population is estimated to exceed nine billion by 2050 (Samal *et al.*, 2022), and total global food demand is expected to increase by 35 to 56% between 2010 and 2050 (Makungwe *et al.*, 2021). With the increase in population, people have to face many problems in meeting their basic needs due to the decrease in arable land and lack of non-renewable resources to meet their housing needs. Further, environmental pollution increases due to harmful gases and waste released into the environment due to various informal human activities. Moreover, the loss of agricultural land has increased due to urban growth, and thus rice production faces a number of serious challenges (Satterthwaite *et al.*, 2010; Pandey *et al.*, 2015). Mainly circular economy has been identified as an effective method to reduce these problems and thus follows the "resources – products – recycling" method (Naqvi *et al.*, 2018; Dagevos and Lauwere, 2021).

The challenge of transitioning to a circular economy model through developing and deploying new knowledge and harnessing technical know-how to a sustainable process while innovating agricultural activities remains ongoing (Abad-Segura *et al.*, 2020). That is, the primary purpose of the circular economy concept is to reuse or recycle the by-products of rice production, processing, and consumption in the food system of agriculture, especially rice cultivation, and to direct the use of non-edible biomass as raw materials for animal feed, fertilizer, and other industries. For this purpose, the adverse effects on the environment can be reduced by preparing the land, supplying water, using different cultivation methods, weed control, pest control, efficient use of fertilizers, planting new varieties as well, as reducing harmful gas emissions from rice cultivation.

Since rice is the staple food of more than half of the world's population and is the second most cultivated grain crop in the world, if the cultivation is not done properly, the impact that can occur on the environment is high. That is, the amount of waste and gas released through this and the impact on the environment is also high. Therefore, it is important to continue cultivation and

production to achieve the objectives of CE, which help protect the environment and obtain economic benefits (Gardetti, 2019; Ferrero *et al.*, 2022). The waste generated in the rice production cycle mainly includes rice straw, rice husk, and rice husk ash. This residue produces 0.41 to 3.96 kg of rice straw and 20 to 33% of rice husk grain weight per kg of rice. About 80% of the world's rice straw is disposed of through waste burning, but the resulting pollution has caused health and environmental problems. Many countries have implemented new regulations to limit burning activities in the field (Ye *et al.*, 2011; Lim *et al.*, 2012). However, silica from rice production waste can be used as a raw material for various industries. Further, this rice waste can be used for energy production, as fertilizer, for animal feed and other specialty foods such as silage, for crops such as wildflowers, and various industries such as paper products (Liu *et al.*, 2012; Brand *et al.*, 2017; Chou *et al.*, 2022). Nitrogen and water have been identified as significant limiting factors for rice yield (Bouman *et al.*, 2007). However, short-term rains have caused many economic and environmental impacts due to heavy N fertilization in China (Co *et al.*, 2012) and pesticide use in Vietnam (Devkota *et al.*, 2019). Over application of other nutrients, such as N, P, and K, can cause ecosystem problems and reduce yield and net profit over time (Smil, 2004). Therefore, regardless of the short-term benefits, it is necessary to minimize the harmful gases emitted into the environment through a long-term correct vision, control the harmful wastes released into the environment, and use land, water, fertilizers, etc. optimally. Through this, circular economy can be used more effectively in rice production. It will create an environment-friendly and economically viable environment (Devkota *et al.*, 2019).

CONCLUSION

The population is increasing day by day but at the same time, many problems arise due to scarcity and depletion of resources. Furthermore, the ecological balance breaks down due to release of harmful waste into the environment through direct and indirect human activities. Today's most talked about circular economy is based on consuming less, using more sustainably produced or recyclable products, and moving towards an economy built on waste disposal models.

Considering the relationship of this concept with rice cultivation and production, first, the activities carried out in rice cultivation, such as water control, efficient use of fertilizers, different cultivation methods, integrated cultivation methods, pest control, use of improved rice varieties, and proper recycling of waste, etc. By doing it efficiently and in an environmentally friendly way, the amount of waste released into the environment can be reduced, and there is also the possibility of getting additional economic gains. That is, the available resources should be used sustainably. Moreover, many of the wastes thrown away during rice production, such as straw, rice husks, rice stalks, etc., can be used for various products such as fertilizer, paper, planting material, animal feed, in the production of ceramics

as well as in energy generation. Through these activities, the amount of waste released into the environment during paddy cultivation can be reduced, and by using that waste for new products, economic benefits can be obtained, and the environment is also protected. The various activities of rice cultivation and production can be clearly linked with the CE.

REFERENCES

- Abad-Segura, E., Batlles-de-la-Fuente, A., González-Zamar, M.D. and Belmonte-Ureña, L.J. (2021). Implications for sustainability of the joint application of bioeconomy and CE: a worldwide trend study. *Sustainability*. 13(13), 7182. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137182>
- Abad-Segura, E., Fuente, A.B.D.L., González-Zamar, M.D. and Belmonte-Ureña, L.J. (2020). Effects of Circular Economy policies on the environment and sustainable growth: Worldwide research. *Sustainability*. 12(14), 5792.
- Abass, A.B., Ndunguru, G., Mamiro, P., Alenkhe, B., Mlingi, N. and Bekunda, M. (2014). Post-harvest food losses in a maize-based farming system of semi-arid savannah area of Tanzania. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* 57, 49-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2013.12.004>
- Adam, F., Appaturi, J.N., Khanam, Z., Thankappan, R. and Nawi, M.A.M. (2013). Utilization of tin and titanium incorporated rice husk silica nanocomposite as photocatalyst and adsorbent for the removal of methylene blue in aqueous medium. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 264, 718-726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2012.10.106>
- de Sousa, I. G., Oliveira, J., Mexia, A., Barros, G., Almeida, C., Brazinha, C. and Brites, C. (2023). Advances in environmentally friendly techniques and circular economy approaches for insect infestation management in stored rice grains. *Foods*. 12(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12030511>
- Alarefee, H.A., Ishak, C.F., Othman, R. and Karam, D.S. (2023). Effectiveness of mixing poultry litter compost with rice husk biochar in mitigating ammonia volatilization and carbon dioxide emission. *J. Environ. Manage.* 329, 117051. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117051>
- Amoah, B.A. and Mahroof, R.M. (2019). Ozone as a potential fumigant alternative for the management of *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in wheat. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 112(4), 1953-1963.
- Andrews, D. J. and Kassam, A. H. (1976). The importance of multiple cropping in increasing world food supplies. *J. Amer. Soc. Agron.* 27, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.2134/asaspecpub27.c1>
- Anwar, P., Juraimi, A.S., Puteh, A., Selamat, A., Man, A. and Hakim, A. (2011). Seeding method and rate influence on weed suppression in aerobic rice. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 10(68), 15259-15271.
- Arao, T., Kawasaki, A., Baba, K., Mori, S. and Matsumoto, S. (2009). Effects of water management on cadmium and arsenic accumulation and dimethylarsinic acid concentrations in Japanese rice. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43(24), 9361-9367.
- Asati, S.R. (2013). Treatment of wastewater from parboiled rice mill unit by coagulation/flocculation. *Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. Pharm. Res.* 3, 264-277.

- Ávila-Lovera, E., Zerpa, A.J. and Santiago, L.S. (2017). Stem photosynthesis and hydraulics are coordinated in desert plant species. *New Phytol.* 216(4), 1119-1129. <https://doi.10.1111/nph.14737>
- Baghel, J.K., Das, T.K., Mukherjee, I., Nath, C.P., Bhattacharyya, R., Ghosh, S. and Raj, R. (2020). Impacts of conservation agriculture and herbicides on weeds, nematodes, herbicide residue and productivity in direct-seeded rice. *Soil Till. Res.* 201,104634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2020.104634>
- Bahadur, S., Verma, S. K., Prasad, S. K., Madane, A. J., Maurya, S. P., Gaurav, V. V. and Sihag, S. K. (2015). Eco-friendly weed management for sustainable crop production: A review. *J. Crop Weed.* 11(1), 181-189.
- Baidoo, E. A., Akonor, P. T. and Tortoe, C. (2014). Effect of pre-treatment and storage condition on the physicochemical properties of taro (*Colocasia esculenta* [L.] Schott) flour. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. Eng.* 4(4), 91-97. <https://doi.10.5923/j.food.20140404.01>
- Balakrishnan, D., Kulkarni, K., Latha, P. C. and Subrahmanyam, D. (2018). Crop improvement strategies for mitigation of methane emissions from rice. *Emir. J. Food Agric.* 30(6), 451-462. <https://doi.org/10.9755/efja.2018.v30.i6.1707>
- Bale, J. S., Van Lenteren, J. C. and Bigler, F. (2008). Biological control and sustainable food production. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 363(1492), 761-776. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2182>
- Barbosa, M. S., Freire, C. C., Brandão, L. M., Pereira, E. B., Mendes, A. A., Pereira, M. M. and Soares, C. M. (2021). Biolubricant production under zero-waste *Moringaoleifera* Lam biorefinery approach for boosting circular economy. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 167, 113542.
- Barros, M. V., Salvador, R., de Francisco, A. C. and Piekarski, C. M. (2020). Mapping of research lines on circular economy practices in agriculture: From waste to energy. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 131. 109958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.109958>
- Batish, D.R., Kohli, R.K. and Kaur, S. (2001). Crop allelopathy and its role in ecological agriculture. *J. Crop Prod.*, 4(2), 121-161.
- Berg, H. (2002). Rice monoculture and integrated rice-fish farming in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam—economic and ecological considerations. *Ecol. Econ.* 41(1), 95-107. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(02\)00027-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(02)00027-7)
- Bett, C. H. A. R. L. E. S. and Nguyo, R. U. T. H. (2007). Post-harvest storage practices and techniques used by farmers in semi-arid Eastern and Central Kenya. In 8th African Crop Science Society Conf. proc. El-Minia, Egypt, 1023-1227.
- Blackshaw, R. E., Moyer, J. R., Doram, R. C. and Boswell, A. L. (2001). Yellow sweet clover, green manure, and its residues effectively suppress weeds during fallow. *Weed Sci.* 49(3), 406-413. [https://doi.org/10.1614/0043-1745\(2001\)049\[0406:YSGMAI\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1614/0043-1745(2001)049[0406:YSGMAI]2.0.CO;2)
- Boopathy, B., Rajan, A. and Radhakrishnan, M. (2022). Ozone: an alternative fumigant in controlling the stored product insects and pests: a status report. *Ozone: Sci. Eng.* 44(1), 79-95.
- Bouman, B. A. M. (2007). Water management in irrigated rice: coping with water scarcity. *Int. Rice Res. Inst.*, Los Banos, Philippines.

- Brand, M. A., Jacinto, R. C., Antunes, R. and da Cunha, A. B. (2017). Production of briquettes as a tool to optimize the use of waste from rice cultivation and industrial processing. *J. Renew. Energy*. 111, 116-123, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.03.084>
- Brodth, S., Six, J., Feenstra, G., Ingels, C. and Campbell, D. (2011). Sustainable agriculture. *Nat. Educ. Knowl.* 3(1),38-102.
- Buhler, D. D. (2002). 50th Anniversary—Invited Article: Challenges and opportunities for integrated weed management. *Weed Sci.* 50(3), 273-280.
- Cagauan, A. G., Brancaert, R. D. and Van Hove, C. (2000). Integrating fish and azolla into rice-duck farming in Asia. *ICLARM Stud. Rev.* 23(1),4-10.
- Champagne, E.T. (2004). *Rice: Chemistry and Technology*, 3rd ed.; American Association of Cereal Chemists: New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Channabasavanna, A. S. and Biradar, D. P. (2007). Relative performance of different rice-fish-poultry integrated farming system models with respect to system productivity and economics. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 20(4), 706-709.
- Charudattan, R. (2001). Biological control of weeds by means of plant pathogens: significance for integrated weed management in modern agro-ecology. *Biocontrol.* 46, 229-260.
- Chaudhary, S. K., Marahatta, S. and Chaudhary, M. (2018). Performance of dry direct seeded rice and weeds on Sesbania brown manuring as compared to 'farmers' practice and chemical control method. *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol.* 6(3), 265-269. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ijasbt.v6i3.21181>
- Chauhan, B. S. and Yadav, A. (2013). Weed management approaches for dry-seeded rice in India: a review. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 45(1), 1–6.
- Chen, D. M. C., Bodirsky, B. L., Krueger, T., Mishra, A. and Popp, A. (2020). The world's growing municipal solid waste: Trends and impacts. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 15, 074021. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab8659>
- Chojnacka, K., Moustakas, K. and Witek-Krowiak, A. (2020). Bio-based fertilizers: A practical approach towards circular economy. *Bioresour. Technol.* 295, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122223>
- Chou, C., Hadi, B.A., Chiba, S., Sato, I., Choi, I.R. and Tanaka, T.(2022).An entomopathogenic fungus and a natural extract benefit rice (*Oryza sativa*) by suppressing populations of insect pests while keeping high populations of their natural enemies. *Biol. Control.* 165, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2021.104793>
- Clement, A., Ladha, J. K. and Chalifour, F. P. (1998). Nitrogen dynamics of various green manure species and the relationship to lowland rice production. *Agron. J.* 90(2), 149-155. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1998.00021962009000020005x>
- Crawford, G. W. and Shen, C. (1998). The origins of rice agriculture: recent progress in East Asia. *Antiquity.* 72(278), 858-866. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00087494>
- Creutzig, F., Erb, K. H., Haberl, H., Hof, C., Hunsberger, C. and Roe, S. (2021). Considering sustainability thresholds for BECCS in IPCC and biodiversity assessments. *Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy.* 13(4), 510-515. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12798>

- Cuong, T. X., Ullah, H., Datta, A. and Hanh, T. C. (2017). Effects of silicon-based fertilizer on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of rice in tropical zone of Vietnam. *Rice Sci.* 24(5), 283-290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsci.2017.06.002>
- da Silva Duarte, K., da Costa Lima, T. A., Alves, L. R., do Prado Rios, P. A. and Motta, W. H. (2021). The circular economy approach for reducing food waste: a systematic review. *Int. J. Prod. Res.* 4(4), 91-97. <https://doi.org/10.32358/rpd.2021.v7.572>
- da Silva, M. A., Sanches, C. and Amante, E. R. (2006). Prevention of hydrolytic rancidity in rice bran. *J. Food Eng.* 75(4), 487-491.
- Dagevos, H. and Lauwere, C. D. (2021). Circular business models and circular agriculture: Perceptions and practices of Dutch farmers. *Sustainability*, 13(3), 1282. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031282>
- Daniel, J.J., Jr., Zabot, G.L., Tres, M.V., Harakava, R., Kuhn, R.C. and Mazutti M.A. (2018). A novel source of metabolites with herbicidal activity. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 14, 314–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab>
- Das, S. K. (2017). Rice cultivation under changing climate with mitigation practices: a mini-review. *Univers. J. Agric. Res.* 5(6), 333-337. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujar.2017.050603>
- Das, S. K., Adediran, A., Kaze, C. R., Mustakim, S. M. and Leklou, N. (2022). Production, characteristics, and utilization of rice husk ash in alkali-activated materials: An overview of fresh and hardened state properties. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 345, 128341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2022.128341>
- de Fátima Silva, M., Maciel, V. B. V., Noletto, A. P. R., Venturini, A. C., de Carvalho, R. A. and Yoshida, C. M. P. (2022). Chitosan active coating on paperboard surface forming an anti-insect grain-based food packaging. *Packag. Technol. Sci.* 35(4), 361-372. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pts.2634>
- de Sousa, I. G., Oliveira, J., Mexia, A., Barros, G., Almeida, C., Brazinha, C. and Brites, C. (2023). Advances in environmentally friendly techniques and circular economy approaches for insect infestation management in stored rice grains. *Foods*. 12(3), 511 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12030511>
- Debnath, N., Das, S., Seth, D., Chandra, R., Bhattacharya, S.C. and Goswami, A.(2011). Entomotoxic effect of silica nanoparticles against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). *J. Pest Sci.* 84, 99–105.
- Demissie, H. B. (2020). *Sclerotinia Sclerotiorum Diversity and Management of White Mold on Lima Bean in Mid-Atlantic Region, USA* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland, College Park).
- Devkota, K. P., Pasuquin, E., Elmido-Mabilangan, A., Dikitanan, R., Singleton, G. R., Stuart, A. M. and Beebout, S. E. (2019). Economic and environmental indicators of sustainable rice cultivation: A comparison across intensive irrigated rice cropping systems in six Asian countries. *Ecol. Indic.* 105, 199-214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.05.029>
- Dong, D., Feng, Q., Mcgrouter, K., Yang, M., Wang, H. and Wu, W. (2015). Effects of biochar amendment on rice growth and nitrogen retention in a waterlogged paddy field. *JSS*, 15, 153-162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-014-0984-3>

- Dong, L., Cao, G., Wu, J., Liu, B., Xing, D., Zhao, L. and Ren, N. (2019). High-solid pretreatment of rice straw at cold temperature using NaOH/Urea for enhanced enzymatic conversion and hydrogen production. *Bioresour. Technol.* 287, 121399.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121399>
- Duangkhamchan, W., Phomphai, A., Wanna, R., Wiset, L., Laohavanich, J., Ronsse, F. and Pieters, J.G. (2017). Infrared Heating as a Disinfestation Method Against *Sitophilus oryzae* and Its Effect on Textural and Cooking Properties of Milled Rice. *Food Bioproc. Tech.* 10, 284–295.
- Duke, S. O. (2015). Proving allelopathy in crop–weed interactions. *Weed Sci.* 63(SP1), 121-132. <https://doi.org/10.1614/WS-D-13-00130.1>
- Dulur, N. W. D., Wangiyana, W., Farida, N. and Kusnarta, I. G. M. (2019). Improved growth and yield formation of red rice under aerobic irrigation system and intercropping with peanuts. *IOSR J. Agric. Vet. Sci.* 12(8), 12-17. <https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-1208011217>
- Duque-Acevedo, M., Belmonte-Ureña, L. J., Yakovleva, N. and Camacho-Ferre, F. (2020). Analysis of the circular economic production models and their approach in agriculture and agricultural waste biomass management. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 17(24), 9549. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249549>
- Ebana, K., Yan, W., Dilday, R. H., Namai, H. and Okuno, K. (2001). Variation in the allelopathic effect of rice with water-soluble extracts. *Agron. J.* 93(1), 12-16. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2001.93112x>
- Ettah, E. B., Egbe, J. G., Ubi, E. S. and Okon, E. E. (2018). Investigation of rice husk ash (RHA) as a Supplement in cement for building application. *J. Civ. Eng. Res.* 6(2), 29-34. <https://doi.org/10.19080/CERJ.2018.06.555681>
- Fahad, S., Saud, S., Akhter, A., Bajwa, A. A., Hassan, S., Battaglia, M. and Irshad, I. (2021). Bio-based integrated pest management in rice: An agro-ecosystems friendly approach for agricultural sustainability. *J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci.* 20(2), 94-102.
- Farooq, N., Abbas, T., Tanveer, A. and Jabran, K. (2020). Allelopathy for weed management. In: *Co-evolution of secondary metabolites*. Mérillon, J.M., Ramawat, K. (eds), Springer: Cham. pp. 505-519.
- Ferrero, V., Morris, K. C. and Hapuwatte, B. (2022). Adapting consumer product design to the circular economy. *IDETC-CIE 2022 Conf.* <https://doi.org/10.1115/DETC2022-89542>
- Fields, P.G. (1992). The control of stored-product insects and mites with extreme temperatures. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* 28, 89–118. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X\(92\)90018-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474X(92)90018-L)
- Follett, P.A., Snook, K., Janson, A., Antonio, B., Haruki, A., Okamura, M. and Bisel, J. (2013). Irradiation quarantine treatment for control of *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in rice. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* 52, 63–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2012.09.004>
- Frei, M. and Becker, K. (2005, May). Integrated rice-fish culture: Coupled production saves resources. In *Natural Resources Forum* (Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 135-143). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-8947.2005.00122.x>

- Futakuchi, K., Senthilkumar, K., Arouna, A., Vandamme, E., Diagne, M., Zhao, D. and Saito, K. (2021). History and progress in genetic improvement for enhancing rice yield in sub-Saharan Africa. *Field Crops Res.* 267, 108159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2021.108159>
- Gadde, B., Menke, C. and Wassmann, R. (2009). Rice straw as a renewable energy source in India, Thailand, and the Philippines: Overall potential and limitations for energy contribution and greenhouse gas mitigation. *Biomass Bioenergy*, 33(11), 1532-1546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2009.07.018>
- Gardetti, M. A. (2019). Introduction and the concept of circular economy. In *Circular economy in textiles and apparel* (pp. 1-11). Woodhead Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102630-4.00001-7>
- Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. and Hultink, E. J. (2017). The Circular Economy—A new sustainability paradigm?. *J. Clean. Prod.* 143, 757-768. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048>
- Gill, M. S., Singh, J. P., and Gangwar, K. S. (2009). Integrated farming system and agriculture sustainability. *Indian J. Agron.* 54(2), 128-139.
- Groth, D. E. and Bond, J. A. (2006). Initiation of rice sheath blight epidemics and effect of application timing of azoxystrobin on disease incidence, severity, yield, and milling quality. *J Plant Dis. Prot.* 90(8), 1073-1076. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-90-1073>
- Guenha, R., das Virtudes Salvador, B., Rickman, J., Goulao, L.F. Muocha, I.M. and Carvalho, M.O. (2014). Hermetic storage with plastic sealing to reduce insect infestation and secure paddy seed quality: A powerful strategy for rice farmers in Mozambique. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* 59, 275–281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2014.06.007>
- Guettal, S., Tine, S., Hamaidia, K., Tine-Djebbar, F. and Soltani, N. (2021). Effect of Citrus limonum essential oil against granary weevil, *Sitophilus granarius* and its chemical composition, biological activities, and energy reserves. *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 41, 1531–1541.
- Gul, K., Yousuf, B., Singh, A. K., Singh, P. and Wani, A. A. (2015). Rice bran: Nutritional values and its emerging potential for development of functional food—A review. *Bioact. Carbohydr. Diet. Fibre.* 6(1), 24-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcdf.2015.06.002>
- Gupta, M. V., Sollows, J. D., Mazid, M. A., Rahman, A., Hussain, M. G. and Dey, M. M. (2002). Economics and adoption patterns of integrated rice-fish farming in Bangladesh. In *Rural aquaculture* (pp. 41-53). Wallingford UK: CABI Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851995656.0041>
- Haefele, S. M., Konboon, Y., Wongboon, W., Amarante, S., Maarifat, A. A., Pfeiffer, E. M. and Knoblauch, C. J. F. C. R. (2011). Effects and fate of biochar from rice residues in rice-based systems. *Field Crops Res.* 121(3), 430-440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2011.01.014>
- Hamed, E. R., El-Gamal, N. G., and El-Shami, A. R. (2012). Efficacy of formulation and storage on rice straw waste on the activation of bioagents against root-rot diseases of bean plants. *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot.* 45(1), 22-32.
- Handiseni, M., Zhou, X. G. and Jo, Y. K. (2017). Soil amended with *Brassica juncea* plant tissue reduces sclerotia formation, viability, and aggressiveness of *Rhizoctonia*

- solani* AG1-IA towards rice. J. Crop Prot. 100, 77-80.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2017.06.009>
- Harris, D., Tripathi, R. S. and Joshi, A. (2002). On-farm seed priming to improve crop establishment and yield in dry direct-seeded rice. Direct seeding: Research Strategies and Opportunities, International Research Institute, Manila, Philippines, 231-240.
- Hasan, M., Ahmad-Hamdani, M. S., Rosli, A. M. and Hamdan, H. (2021). Bioherbicides: An eco-friendly tool for sustainable weed management. Plants. 10(6), 1212. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10061212>
- Herrera, J.M., Gañan, N., Goñi, M.L., Zygodlo, J.A. and Martini, R.E. (2018). Active LDPE films loaded with biopesticides by supercritical CO₂-assisted impregnation for stored grain protection. Food Packag. Shelf Life. 18, 80–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2018.03.001>
- Hossain, F., Follett, P., Salmieri, S., Vu, K.D., Harich, M. and Lacroix, M. (2019). Synergistic Effects of Nanocomposite Films Containing Essential Oil Nanoemulsions in Combination with Ionizing Radiation for Control of Rice Weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* in Stored Grains. J. Food Sci. 84, 1439–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14603>
- Hwang, C. L. and Huynh, T. P. (2015). Investigation into the use of unground rice husk ash to produce eco-friendly construction bricks. Constr. Build. Mater. 93, 335-341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.04.061>
- Illankoon, W. A. M. A. N., Milanese, C., Collivignarelli, M. C. and Sorlini, S. (2023, April). Value chain analysis of rice industry by products in a circular economy context: A Review. Waste 1(2), 333-369. <https://doi.org/10.3390/waste1020022>
- Ismail, A. M., Johnson, D. E., Ella, E. S., Vergara, G. V. and Baltazar, A. M. (2012). Adaptation to flooding during emergence and seedling growth in rice and weeds, and implications for crop establishment. AoB Plants. 2012, pls019. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/pls019>
- Jabran, K., Mahajan, G., Sardana, V. and Chauhan, B. S. (2015). Allelopathy for weed control in agricultural systems. Crop Prot. 72, 57-65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.03.004>
- Jean, W. G., Nchiwan, N. E., Dieudonné, N., Christopher, S. and Adler, C. (2015). Efficacy of diatomaceous earth and wood ash for the control of *Sitophilus zeamais* in stored maize. J. Entomol. Zool. Stud. 3(5), 390-397.
- Jeng, T. L., Lin, Y. W., Wang, C. S. and Sung, J. M. (2012). Comparisons and selection of rice mutants with high iron and zinc contents in their polished grains that were mutated from the indica type cultivar IR64. J. Food Compos. Anal. 28(2), 149-154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2012.08.008>
- Jetter, K. and Paine, T. D. (2004). Consumer preferences and willingness to pay for biological control in the urban landscape. Biol. Control. 30(2), 312-322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2003.08.004>
- Jin, W., Wang, Z., Sun, Y., Wang, Y., Bi, C., Zhou, L. and Zheng, X. (2020). Impacts of biochar and silicate fertilizer on arsenic accumulation in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 189, 109928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109928>

- Juraimi, A. S., Uddin, M. K., Anwar, M. P., Mohamed, M. T. M., Ismail, M. R. and Man, A. (2013). Sustainable weed management in direct seeded rice culture: A review. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 7(7), 989-1002.
- Kadam, K. L., Forrest, L. H. and Jacobson, W. A. (2000). Rice straw as a lignocellulosic resource: collection, processing, transportation, and environmental aspects. *Biomass Bioenergy*. 18(5), 369-389. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534\(00\)00005-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(00)00005-2)
- Kamath, S. R. and Proctor, A. (1998). Silica gel from rice hull ash: preparation and characterization. *Cereal Chem.* 75(4), 484-487. <https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM.1998.75.4.484>
- Kapoor, R., Ghosh, P., Kumar, M., Sengupta, S., Gupta, A., Kumar, S. S. and Pant, D. (2020). Valorization of agricultural waste for biogas based circular economy in India: A research outlook. *Bioresour. Technol.* 304, 123036. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123036>
- Kapur, T., Kandpal, T. C. and Garg, H. P. (1996). Electricity generation from rice husk in Indian rice mills: potential and financial viability. *Biomass Bioenergy*. 10(5-6), 393-403. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0961-9534\(95\)00116-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0961-9534(95)00116-6)
- Kar, S., Nayak, R., Sahoo, N., Bakhara, C., Panda, M., Pal, U. and Bal, L.M. (2021). Rice weevil management through application of silica nanoparticles and physico-chemical and cooking characterization of the treated rice. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* 94, 101892. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2021.101892>
- Kato-Noguchi, H., Suzuki, M., Noguchi, K., Ohno, O., Suenaga, K. and Laosinwattana, C. (2016). A potent phytotoxic substance in *Aglaiia odorata* Lour. *Chem. Biodivers.* 13(5), 549-554. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201500175>
- Katta, M. Y. and Kamara, M. M., Abd El-Aty, S. M., Elgamal, H.W., Soleiman, M. R., Mousa, M.K. and Ueno, T. (2019). Effect of storage temperature on storage efficacy, germination and physical characters of some paddy rice cultivars during different storage periods. *J. Fac. Agric. Kyushu Univ.* 64, 61-69.
- Kavallieratos, N.G., Nika, E.P., Skourti, A., Spinozzi, E., Ferrati, M., Petrelli, R., Maggi, F. and Benelli, G. (2022). *Carlina acaulis* essential oil: A candidate product for agrochemical industry due to its pesticidal capacity. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 188, 115572. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.115572>
- Khanh, T. D., Hong, N. H., Xuan, T. D. and Chung, I. M. (2005). Paddy weed control by medicinal and leguminous plants from Southeast Asia. *J. Crop Prot.* 24(5), 421-431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2004.09.020>
- Kim, W. J., Bui, L. T., Chun, J. B., McClung, A. M. and Barnaby, J. Y. (2018). Correlation between methane (CH₄) emissions and root aerenchyma of rice varieties. *Plant Breed. Biotech.* 6(4), 381-390. <https://doi.org/10.9787/PBB.2018.6.4.381>
- Kohli, R. K. (1993). Allelopathic impact of sunflower on weeds and crops of Punjab. PSCST Project Report, India.
- Korhonen, J., Honkasalo, A. and Seppälä, J. (2018). Circular economy: the concept and its limitations. *Ecol. Econ.* 143, 37-46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.06.041>

- Kumar, A., Sengupta, B., Dasgupta, D., Mandal, T. and Datta, S. (2016). Recovery of value-added products from rice husk ash to explore an economic way for recycle and reuse of agricultural waste. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol.* 15, 47-65.
- Kumawat, K. C., Razdan, N. and Saharan, K. (2022). *Rhizospheric microbiome*: Bio-based emerging strategies for sustainable agriculture development and future perspectives. *Microbiol. Res.* 254, 126901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2021.126901>
- Lakkakula, N. R., Lima, M. and Walker, T. (2004). Rice bran stabilization and rice bran oil extraction using ohmic heating. *Bioresour. Technol.* 92(2), 157-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2003.08.010>
- Lazzari, F.A., Lazzari, S.M.N. and Lazzari, F.N. (2010). Environmentally friendly technologies to maintain stored paddy rice quality. *Jul.-Kühn-Arch.* 425, 710–715. <https://doi.org/10.5073/jka.2010.425.255>
- Lee, S., Peterson, C. and Coats, J. (2003). Fumigation toxicity of monoterpenoids to several stored product insects. *J. Stored Prod. Res.* 39, 77–85. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X\(02\)00020-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(02)00020-6)
- Li, M., Li, R., Zhang, J., Liu, S., Hei, Z. and Qiu, S. (2019). A combination of rice cultivar mixed-cropping and duck coculture suppressed weeds and pests in paddy fields. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 40, 67-77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2019.09.003>
- Li, Y., Lu, Y. G., Shi, Y., Wu, L., Xu, Y. J., Huang, F. and Wang, W. M. (2014). Multiple rice microRNAs are involved in immunity against the blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Plant Physiol.* 164(2), 1077-1092.
- Lim, J. S., Manan, Z. A., Alwi, S. R. W. and Hashim, H. (2012). A review on utilization of biomass from rice industry as a source of renewable energy. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 16(5), 3084-3094. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.02.051>
- Linquist, B. A., Anders, M. M., Adviento-Borbe, M. A. A., Chaney, R. L., Nalley, L. L., Da Rosa, E. F. and Van Kessel, C. (2015). Reducing greenhouse gas emissions, water use, and grain arsenic levels in rice systems. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21(1), 407-417. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12701>
- Litsinger, J. A., Canapi, B. L. and Bandonj, J. P. (2011). Cultural practices mitigate irrigated rice insect pest losses in the Philippines. *Philipp J. Sci.* 140(2), 179-194.
- Liu, J., Jia, C. and He, C. (2012). Rice straw and cornstarch biodegradable composites. *AASRI Procedia*, 3, 83-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aasri.2012.11.015>
- Liu, Y., Guo, Y., Gao, W., Wang, Z., Ma, Y. and Wang, Z. (2012). Simultaneous preparation of silica and activated carbon from rice husk ash. *J. Clean. Prod.* 32, 204-209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.03.021>
- Lu, J. and Li, X. (2006). Review of rice–fish–farming systems in China—one of the globally important ingenious agricultural heritage systems (GIAHS). *J. Aquac.* 260(1-4), 106-113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.05.059>
- Ludueña, L. N., Fasce, D. P., Alvarez, V. A. and Stefani, P. M. (2011). Nanocellulose from rice husk following alkaline treatment to remove silica. *J. Bioresour.* 6(2), 1440-1453.
- Macias, F. A., Molinillo, J. M., Varela, R. M. and Galindo, J. C. (2007). Allelopathy—a natural alternative for weed control. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 63(4), 327-348.

- Makkar, G. S., Bhatia, D., Suri, K. S. and Kaur, S. (2019). Insect resistance in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Overview on current breeding interventions. *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 39, 259-272.
- Makungwe, M., Chabala, L. M., Van Dijk, M., Chishala, B. H. and Lark, R. M. (2021). Assessing land suitability for rainfed paddy rice production in Zambia. *Geoderma Reg.* 27, e00438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2021.e00438>
- Mason, L.J. and McDonough, M. (2012). *Stored Product Protection*. Kansas, MO, USA.
- McCarthy, B., Kapetanaki, A. B. and Wang, P. (2019). Circular agri-food approaches: will consumers buy novel products made from vegetable waste? *Rural Soc.* 28(2), 91-107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10371656.2019.1656394>
- Mendesil, E., Abdeta, C., Tesfaye, A., Shumeta, Z. and Jifar, H. (2007). Farmers' perceptions and management practices of insect pests on stored sorghum in southwestern Ethiopia. *Crop Prot.* 26(12), 1817-1825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2007.03.018>
- Mennan, H., Ngouajio, M., Sahin, M., Isik, D. and Altop, E. K. (2012). Competitiveness of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars against *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) Beauv. in water-seeded production systems. *Crop Prot.* 41, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2012.04.027>
- Mesterházy, Á., Oláh, J. and Popp, J. (2020). Losses in the Grain Supply Chain: Causes and Solutions. *Sustainability.* 12, 2342. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12062342>
- Mofidian, S. and Sadeghi, S. M. (2015). Evaluation of integrated farming of rice and duck on rice grain yield in gilan, Iran. *Acta Univ. Agric. Silv. Mendelianae Brun.*, 63(4), 1161-1168. <https://doi.org/10.11118/actaun201563041161>
- Müller-Schärer, H., Schaffner, U. and Steinger, T. (2004). Evolution in invasive plants: implications for biological control. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 19(8), 417-422.
- Mohler, C. L., Dykeman, C., Nelson, E. B. and Ditommaso, A. (2012). Reduction in weed seedling emergence by pathogens following the incorporation of green crop residue. *Weed Res.* 52(5), 467-477.
- Morales Quiros, A. (2017). *Evaluation of Ambient and Chilled Aeration Strategies to Maintain the Quality of Stored Grain in Tropical Climates and During Summer in Temperature Climates*. Master's Thesis, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas.
- Motmainna, M., Juraimi, A.S., Uddin, M., Asib, N.B., Islam, A.K.M. and Hasan, M. (2021). Bioherbicidal properties of *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Cleome rutidosperma*, and *Borreria alata* extracts on selected crop and weed species. *Agronomy.* 11, 643. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11040643>
- Müller, A., Nunes, M.T., Maldaner, V., Coradi, P.C., de Moraes, R.S., Martens, S., Leal, A.F., Pereira, V.F. and Marin, C.K. (2022). Rice drying, storage and processing: Effects of post-harvest operations on grain quality. *Rice Sci.* 29, 16–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsci.2021.12.002>
- Murray, A., Skene, K. and Haynes, K. (2017). The circular economy: an interdisciplinary exploration of the concept and application in a global context. *J. Bus. Ethics.* 140, 369-380. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2693-2>

- Naeem-Ullah, U., Ramzan, M., Bokhari, S. H. M., Saleem, A., Qayyum, M. A., Iqbal, N. and Saeed, S. (2020). Environment, climate, plant and vegetation growth. Springer Publishing, United States.
- Naher, U. A., Ahmed, M. N., Sarkar, M. I. U., Biswas, J. C. and Panhwar, Q. A. (2019). Fertilizer management strategies for sustainable rice production. Woodhead Publishing, Sawston, Cambridge. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813272-2.00009-4>
- Nalley, L., Tack, J., Barkley, A., Jagadish, K. and Brye, K. (2016). Quantifying the agronomic and economic performance of hybrid and conventional rice varieties. *Agron. J.* 108(4), 1514-1523. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2015.0526>
- Naqvi, S. R., Prabhakara, H. M., Bramer, E. A., Dierkes, W., Akkerman, R. and Brem, G. (2018). A critical review on recycling of end-of-life carbon fiber/glass fiber reinforced composites waste using pyrolysis towards a circular economy. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 136, 118-129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.04.013>
- Nattassha, R., Handayati, Y., Simatupang, T. M. and Siallagan, M. (2020). Understanding circular economy implementation in the agri-food supply chain: the case of an Indonesian organic fertilizer producer. *Agric. Food Secur.* 9, 1-16.
- Nenaah, G.E.(2014). Bioactivity of powders and essential oils of three Asteraceae plants as post-harvest grain protectants against three major coleopteran pests. *J. Asia Pac. Entomol.* 17, 701–709.
- Co, N.Q., Saitoh, K. and Hoa, H.T.T. (2012). Cultivar differences in nitrogen use efficiency of field-grown rice plants at different levels of nitrogen fertilizer. Report of the Chugoku Branch of the Crop Science Society of Japan, 52, 29-30.
- Omo-Okoro, P. N., Daso, A. P. and Okonkwo, J. O. (2018). A review of the application of agricultural wastes as precursor materials for the adsorption of per-and poly-fluoroalkyl substances: a focus on current approaches and methodologies. *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 9, 100-114.
- Ooi, A. C. (2015). Common insect pests of rice and their natural biological control. *J. Agric. Sci.* 1(1), 49-59.
- Paes, M. X., de Medeiros, G. A., Mancini, S. D., de Miranda Ribeiro, F. and de Oliveira, J. A. P. (2019). Transition to circular economy in Brazil: A look at the municipal solid waste management in the state of São Paulo. *Manag. Decis.* 59(8), 1827-1840.
- Pandey, V. K., Islam, R. U., Shams, R. and Dar, A. H. (2022). A comprehensive review on the application of essential oils as bioactive compounds in Nano-emulsion based edible coatings of fruits and vegetables. *Appl. Food Res.* 2(1), 100042. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afres.2022.100042>
- Pandey, V. and Shukla, A. (2015). Acclimation and tolerance strategies of rice under drought stress. *Rice Sci.* 22(4), 147-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsci.2015.04.001>
- Park, S. I., Yang, H. I., Park, H. J., Seo, B. S., Jeong, Y. J., Lim, S. S. and Choi, W. J. (2021). Rice straw cover decreases soil erosion and sediment-bound C, N, and P losses but increases dissolved organic C export from upland maize fields as evidenced by $\delta^{13}\text{C}$. *Sci. Total Environ.* 753, 142053 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142053>

- Parras-Alcántara, L., Martín-Carrillo, M. and Lozano-García, B. (2013). Impacts of land use change in soil carbon and nitrogen in a Mediterranean agricultural area (Southern Spain). *Solid Earth*, 4(1), 167-177. <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-4-167-2013>
- Pati, S., Pal, B., Badole, S., Hazra, G. C. and Mandal, B. (2016). Effect of silicon fertilization on growth, yield, and nutrient uptake of rice. *Commun. Soil Sci Plant Anal* 47(3), 284-290. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2015.1122797>
- Paul, A., Radhakrishnan, M., Anandakumar, S., Shanmugasundaram, S. and Anandharamakrishnan, C. (2020). Disinfestation techniques for major cereals: A status report. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 19, 1125–1155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12555>
- Pei, Y., Tao, T., Yang, G., Wang, Y., Yan, W. and Ding, C. (2018). Lethal effects and mechanism of infrared radiation on *Sitophilus zeamais* and *Tribolium castaneum* in rough rice. *Food Control*. 88, 149–158.
- Peng, S., Tang, Q. and Zou, Y. (2009). Current status and challenges of rice production in China. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 12(1), 3-8.
- Permatasari, N., Suchaya, T. N. and Nandiyanto, A. B. D. (2016). Agricultural wastes as a source of silica material. *Indones. J. Sci. Technol.* 1(1), 82-106.
- Pomponi, F. and Moncaster, A. (2017). Circular economy for the built environment: A research framework. *J. Clean. Prod.* 143, 710-718.
- Prasad, R., Shivay, Y. S. and Kumar, D. (2017). Current status, challenges, and opportunities in rice production. *Rice Production Worldwide*. Springer Cham, United States. 1-32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47516-5>
- Prendeville, S., Sanders, C., Sherry, J. and Costa, F. (2014). Circular economy: Is it enough? EcoDesign Centre, Wales, United Kingdom.
- Puckridge, D. W., Chankasem, L., Vongsaroj, P., Thongbai, P. and Chinawong, S. (1988). Effects of tillage and sowing methods on control of wild rice *Oryza rufipogon* in deepwater rice. In *International Deepwater Rice Workshop*, Bangkok (Thailand), 26-30 Oct 1987. IRRI.
- Qu, X., Kojima, D., Wu, L. and Ando, M. (2021). The losses in the rice harvest process: A review. *Sustainability*. 13(17), 9627. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179627>
- Ramezanzadeh, F. M., Rao, R. M., Windhauser, M., Prinyawiwatkul, W., Tulley, R. and Marshall, W. E. (1999). Prevention of hydrolytic rancidity in rice bran during storage. *Agric. Food Chem.* 47(8), 3050-3052. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf981335r>
- Rana, A., Rana, M. C., Rana, S. S., Sharma, N. and Kumar, S. (2018). Weed control by pyrazosulfuron-ethyl and its influence on yield and economics of transplanted rice. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 50(4), 309–314. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-8164.2018.00067.9>
- Rani, A. and Rampal, V. K. (2016). Involvement of rural youth in agricultural activities in Ludhiana district of Punjab, India. *Indian J. Agric. Res.* 50(6), 528-534. <https://doi.org/10.18805/ijare.v50i6.6670>

- Rao, A. N., Johnson, D. E., Sivaprasad, B., Ladha, J. K. and Mortimer, A. M. (2007). Weed management in direct-seeded rice. *Adv. Agron.* 93, 153-255. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113\(06\)93004-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(06)93004-1)
- Reversat, G. and Destombes, D. (1998). Screening for resistance to *Heterodera sacchari* in the two cultivated rice species, *Oryza sativa* and *O. glaberrima*. *Fundam. Appl. Nematol.* 21(4), 307-318.
- Rosniyana, A., Hashifah, M. A. and ShariffahNorin, S. A. (2009). Nutritional content and storage stability of stabilized rice bran–MR 220. *J. Trop. Agric. Food. Sc.* 37(2), 163-170.
- Ruckelshaus, M. H., Jackson, S. T., Mooney, H. A., Jacobs, K. L., Kassam, K. A. S., Arroyo, M. T. and Ouyang, Z. (2020). The IPBES global assessment: pathways to action. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 35(5), 407-414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.01.009>
- Rufi-Salís, M., Petit-Boix, A., Villalba, G., Sanjuan-Delmás, D., Parada, F., Ercilla-Montserrat, M. and Gabarrell, X. (2020). Recirculating water and nutrients in urban agriculture: An opportunity towards environmental sustainability and water use efficiency? *J. Clean. Prod.* 261, 121213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121213>
- Samal, P., Babu, S. C., Mondal, B. and Mishra, S. N. (2022). The global rice agriculture towards 2050: An inter-continental perspective. *Outlook Agric.* 51(2), 164-172. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00307270221088338>
- Sarhan, E. A., El-Sayed, S. A., Abdelmaksoud, H. M. and Elmarsafawy, T. S. (2020). Influence of biofumigation with mustard or canola seed meal in controlling soil-borne pathogenic fungi of chickpea. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.* 98(1), 40-51.
- Sarkar, M. I. U., Islam, M. N., Jahan, A., Islam, A. and Biswas, J. C. (2017). Rice straw as a source of potassium for wetland rice cultivation. *Geol. Ecol. Landsc.* 1(3), 184-189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24749508.2017.1361145>
- Satpathi, C. R., Chakraborty, K., Shikari, D. and Acharjee, P. (2012). Consequences of feeding by yellow stem borer on rice cultivar Swarnamashuri (MTU 7029). *World Appl. Sci. J.* 17(4), 532-539.
- Satterthwaite, D., McGranahan, G. and Tacoli, C. (2010). Urbanization and its implications for food and farming. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B. Biol. Sci.* 365(1554), 2809-2820. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0136>
- Schramm, R., Abadie, A., Hua, N., Xu, Z. and Lima, M. (2007). Fractionation of the rice bran layer and quantification of vitamin E, oryzanol, protein, and rice bran saccharide. *J. Med. Biol. Eng.* 1, 1-9.
- Sebastian, P. A., Mathew, M. J., Beevi, S. P., Joseph, J. and Biju, C. R. (2005). The spider fauna of the irrigated rice ecosystem in central Kerala, India across different elevational ranges. *J. Arachnol.* 33(2), 247-255.
- Seran, T. H. and Brintha, I. (2010). Review on maize-based intercropping. *J. Agron.* 9(3), 135-145.
- Shafie, S. M., Masjuki, H. H. and Mahlia, T. M. I. (2014). Rice straw supply chain for electricity generation in Malaysia: economical and environmental assessment. *Appl. Energy*, 135, 299-308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.08.101>

- Shi, W., Yan, R. and Huang, L.(2022). Preparation and insecticidal performance of sustained-release cinnamon essential oil microemulsion. J. Sci. Food Agric. 102, 1397–1404. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.11472>
- Shin, T. S. and Godber, J. S. (1996). Changes of endogenous antioxidants and fatty acid composition in irradiated rice bran during storage. J. Agric. Food Chem. 44(2), 567-573. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf950386a>
- Shrestha A. (2009). Potential of a Black Walnut (*Juglans nigra*) Extract Product (NatureCur®) as a pre-and post-emergence bioherbicide. J. Sustain. Agric. 33, 810–822. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10440040903303397>
- Singh, S., Ladha, J. K., Gupta, R. K., Bhushan, L., Rao, A. N., Sivaprasad, B. and Singh, P. P. (2007). Evaluation of mulching, intercropping with Sesbania, and herbicide use for weed management in dry-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Crop Prot. 26(4), 518-524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2006.04.024>
- Smil, V. (2004). Improving efficiency and reducing waste in our food system. J. Environ. Sci. 1(1), 17-26. <https://doi.org/10.1076/evms.1.1.17.23766>
- Song, A., Li, P., Fan, F., Li, Z. and Liang, Y. (2014). The effect of silicon on photosynthesis and expression of its relevant genes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under high-zinc stress. PLoS One. 9(11), e113782. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0113782>
- Soujanya, P.L., Sekhar, J.C., Suby, S.B., Rakshit, S., Susmitha, G.S. and Mallavadhani, U.V.(2018). Biopesticide treated double layered bags: Novel method of application of botanicals for *Sitophilus oryzae* L. management in stored maize. Maydica, 63, 1–6.
- Stegmann, P., Londo, M. and Junginger, M. (2020). The circular bioeconomy: Its elements and role in European bioeconomy clusters. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. X, 6, 100029. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcrx.2019.100029>
- Sugano, M. and Tsuji, E. (1997). Rice bran oil and cholesterol metabolism. J. Nutr. 127(3), 521S-524S.
- Tadesse, M. (2020). Post-harvest loss of stored grain, its causes, and reduction strategies. Food Sci. Qual. Manag.. 96, 26-35. <https://doi.org/10.7176/FSQM/96-04>
- Tamak, J.C., Narwal, S.S., Singh, L. and Singh, I. (1994). Effect of aqueous extract of rice stubble and straw + stubble on the germination and seedling growth of wheat, oat, berseem, and lentil. Crop Res. 8, 180–185.
- Thi, H. L., Lan, P. T. P., Chin, D. V. and Kato-Noguchi, H. I. S. A. S. H. I. (2008). Allelopathic potential of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) on barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*). Weed Biol. Manag. 8(2), 129-132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1445-6664.2008.00285.x>
- Tipayarom, D. and Oanh, N. K. (2007). Effects from open rice straw burning emission on air quality in the Bangkok Metropolitan region. Sci. Asia. 33(3), 339-345. <https://doi.org/10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2007.33.339>
- Travaini, M. L., Sosa, G. M., Ceccarelli, E. A., Walter, H., Cantrell, C. L., Carrillo, N. J. and Duke, S. O. (2016). Khellin and visnagin, furanochromones from *Ammi visnaga* (L.) Lam., as potential bioherbicides. J. Agric. Food Chem. 64(50), 9475-9487.

- Tsao R., Romanchuk F.E., Peterson C.J. and Coats J.R. (2002). Plant growth regulatory effect and insecticidal activity of the extracts of the tree of heaven (*Ailanthus altissima* L.) BMC Ecol. 2, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-2-1>
- Uga, Y., Sugimoto, K., Ogawa, S., Rane, J., Ishitani, M., Hara, N. and Yano, M. (2013). Control of root system architecture by DEEPER ROOTING 1 increases rice yield under drought conditions. Nat. Genet. 45(9), 1097-1102. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2725>
- Van Soest, P. J. (2006). Rice straw, the role of silica and treatments to improve quality. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 130(3-4), 137-171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2006.01.023>
- Vanhamäki, S., Virtanen, M., Luste, S. and Mänskinen, K. (2020). Transition towards a circular economy at a regional level: A case study on closing biological loops. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 156, 104716. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104716>
- Velasco-Muñoz, J. F., Mendoza, J. M. F., Aznar-Sánchez, J. A. and Gallego-Schmid, A. (2021). Circular economy implementation in the agricultural sector: Definition, strategies, and indicators. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 170, 105618.
- Vergara, B. S. and Chang, T. T. (1985). The flowering response of the rice plant to photoperiod: a review of the literature. Int. Rice Res. Inst., Philippines.
- Vinoda, B.M., Vinuth, M., Bodke, Y.D. and Manjanna, J. (2015). Photocatalytic degradation of toxic methyl red dye using silica nanoparticles synthesized from rice husk ash. J. Environ. Anal. Toxicol. 5(1000336), 2161-0525. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2161-0525.1000336>
- Vromant, N., Duong, L. T. and Ollevier, F. (2002). Effect of fish on the yield and yield components of rice in integrated concurrent rice–fish systems. J. Agric. Sci. 138(1), 63-71. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859601001642>
- Vyvyanyan, J. R. (2002). Allelochemicals as leads for new herbicides and agrochemicals. Tetrahedron. 58(9), 1631-1646.
- Wangiyana, W., Aryana, I. G. P. M. and Dulur, N. W. D. (2019). Increasing yield components of several promising lines of red rice through application of mycorrhiza bio-fertilizer and additive intercropping with soybean in aerobic irrigation system. Int. J. Environ. Agric. Biotechnol. 4(5), 1619-1624.
- Wei, W., Chen, Z., Geng, Y., Cai, W. and Liu, H. (2021). Toward carbon neutrality: circular economy approach and policy implications. Bull. Chin. Acad. Sci. 36(9), 1030-1038. <https://doi.org/10.16418/j.issn.1000-3045.20210808002>
- Weston, L. A. (1996). Utilization of allelopathy for weed management in agroecosystems. Agron. J. 88(6), 860-866.
- Wilson, B. E., Villegas, J. M., Way, M. O., Pearson, R. A. and Stout, M. J. (2021). Cyantraniliprole: a new insecticidal seed treatment for US rice. J. Crop Prot. 140, 105410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105410>
- Wysokinska-Senkus, A. and Hadryjanska, B. (2021). Pro-environmental activities as a condition for increasing efficiency and improving the safety of the organization. Eur. Res. Stud. 24(2), 856-871.
- Xuan, T. D., Shinkichi, T., Khanh, T. D. and Chung, I. M. (2005). Biological control of weeds and plant pathogens in paddy rice by exploiting plant allelopathy: an

- overview. J. Crop Prot. 24(3), 197-206.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2004.08.004>
- Yan, F., Sun, Y., Hui, X., Jiang, M., Xiang, K., Wu, Y. and Jun, M. (2019). The effect of straw mulch on nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake and use in hybrid rice. *Paddy Water Environ.* 17, 23-33.
- Yan, H., Wang, S., Wang, C., Zhang, G. and Patel, N. (2005). Losses of soil organic carbon under wind erosion in China. *Glob. Change Biol.* 11(5), 828-840.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.00950.x>
- Yang, X., Wang, B., Chen, L., Li, P. and Cao, C. (2019). The different influences of drought stress at the flowering stage on rice physiological traits, grain yield, and quality. *Sci. Rep.* 9(1), 3742.
- Ye, J., Li, D., Sun, Y., Wang, G., Yuan, Z., Zhen, F. and Wang, Y. (2013). Improved biogas production from rice straw by co-digestion with kitchen waste and pig manure. J. Waste Manag. 33(12), 2653-2658.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.05.014>
- Young, C.C., Zhu, C., Throne, L.R. and Waller, G.R. (1989). Phytotoxic potential of soils and wheat straw in rice rotation cropping systems of subtropical Taiwan. *Plant Physiol.* 120, 95-101.
- Yusof, I. M., Farid, N. A., Zainal, Z. A. and Azman, M. (2008). Characterization of rice husk for cyclone gasifier. *J. Appl. Sci.* 8(4), 622-628.
- Zain, M. F. M., Islam, M. N., Mahmud, F. and Jamil, M. (2011). Production of rice husk ash for use in concrete as a supplementary cementitious material. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 25(2), 798-805.
- Zargari, F., Nikfarjam, Z., Nakhaei, E., Ghorbanipour, M., Nowroozi, A. and Amiri, A. (2022). Study of tyramine-binding mechanism and insecticidal activity of oil extracted from Eucalyptus against *Sitophilus oryzae*. *Front. Chem.* 10, 964700.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2022.964700>
- Zhang, B., Pang, C., Qin, J., Liu, K., Xu, H. and Li, H. (2013). Rice straw incorporation in winter with fertilizer-N application improves soil fertility and reduces global warming potential from a double rice paddy field. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 49, 1039-1052. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-013-0805-7>
- Zhao, B., Wang, Y., Ma, L., Li, Y., Deng, Y., Chen, X. and Xu, Z. (2022). Adding an appropriate proportion of phosphogypsum ensured rice husk and urea composting to promote the compost as substrate utilization. *Bioresour. Technol.* 344 (Part B), 126301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126301>
- Zhou, J. Q., Jiang, Y. R., Ming, X. Q., Wang, J. R., Tang, W. B. and Sun, L. (2019). Introgressing the allelic variation of a major locus in reducing the grain cadmium accumulation in indica rice hybrids. *Mol. Breed.* 39, 1-11.
- Zulaikha, S.A.S.; Yaakop, S. (2021). Effect on *Sitophilus oryzae* infestation on amylose content and weight loss of eight rice varieties. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.* 58, 1699-1703.

