<u>Editorial</u>

Dengue vaccine: Light at the end of the tunnel?

Sri Lanka Journal of Child Health, 2012; 41(3): 107-108

(Key words: dengue vaccine)

A vaccine to prevent dengue disease is urgently needed. The development of a live-attenuated dengue virus vaccine has been complicated by a number of factors. Firstly, it has been difficult to develop monovalent vaccines against each of the four serotypes exhibiting satisfactory balance between attenuation and immunogenicity¹. Secondly, an effective live-attenuated dengue virus vaccine must consist of a tetravalent formulation of components representing each serotype because multiple serotypes typically co-circulate in a region, each dengue serotype is capable of causing disease and the introduction of additional serotypes is common². In addition, the association of increased disease severity (dengue haemorrhagic fever/shock syndrome) in previously infected persons undergoing an infection by a different dengue virus serotype necessitates a vaccine that will confer long-term protection against all four serotypes³. Thirdly, it has been difficult to formulate a tetravalent vaccine with low reactogenicity that induces a broad neutralizing antibody response against each dengue virus serotype⁴. Fourthly, a dengue vaccine must confer protection against a wide range of genetically diverse subtypes which are dispersed around the world and can be readily introduced into a new region by international travel⁵.

Several promising approaches to dengue vaccine development are currently being investigated in both academic and industrial laboratories⁶. Vaccine candidates include live, attenuated vaccines obtained via cell passages or by recombinant DNA technology and subunit vaccines⁷. Decades in the making, the dengue vaccine development has been widespread among research institutions and pharmaceutical companies, Sanofi Pasteur, the largest vaccine developer in the world, is years ahead of the rest.

Sanofi Pasteur has been involved in the research and development of a dengue vaccine since the 1990s⁸. In 1994, a partnership was formed between Sanofi Pasteur and the Vaccine Development Centre, University of Mahidol (Bangkok - Thailand)³. In 2004, the classical live vaccine approach was abandoned due to reactogenicity and under-

attenuation of serotype 3. Sanofi Pasteur decided to adopt a new approach, with a second generation live attenuated vaccine⁸. In 2006, they formed a partnership with Paediatric Dengue Vaccine Initiative (PDVI), a consortium working to accelerate the introduction of a dengue vaccine for children in endemic countries supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation⁸. In 2007 positive results were obtained in phase II clinical studies⁸. In 2009, Sanofi Pasteur dengue vaccine entered a paediatric clinical efficacy study in Thailand⁸. In June 2010, the U.S. FDA granted fast track status to Sanofi Pasteur dengue vaccine entered phase III clinical study.

The Sanofi Pasteur tetravalent dengue vaccine candidate is composed of 4 recombinant live attenuated vaccines based on a vellow fever vaccine 17D (YFV 17D) backbone, each expressing the perimembrane and envelope genes of one of the four dengue virus serotypes⁹. Pre-clinical studies have demonstrated that the tetravalent dengue vaccine is genetically and phenotypically stable, nonhepatotropic, less neurovirulent than YFV 17D and does not infect mosquitoes by the oral route⁹. In vitro and in vivo preclinical studies also showed that the tetravalent dengue vaccine induced controlled stimulation in human dendritic cells and significant immune responses in monkeys⁹. Tetravalent dengue vaccine reactogenicity, viraemia induction and antibody responses were investigated in three Phase I trials in the USA, the Philippines and Mexico, in a two or three-dose regimen over a 12 month period. Results showed that the majority of adverse events were mild to moderate and transient in nature⁹. Viraemia was transient and low, and was not increased after initial dengue tetravalent vaccine administration, even in the case of incomplete responses⁹.

The Sanofi Pasteur tetravalent dengue vaccine has been shown to induce almost full seroconversion against all four serotypes in both adults and children after two to three injections^{10,11}. Furthermore, preexisting flavivirus immunity, such as that seen in exposed populations living in dengue-endemic areas or that induced by previous vaccination against yellow fever or Japanese encephalitis, favours a higher and more rapid immune response to the dengue vaccine without any negative effect on clinical safety or vaccine viraemia, compared with responses seen in non flavivirus immune individuals^{7,9}.

In February 2011 Sanofi Pasteur formed a partnership with the International Vaccine Institute to support the Dengue Vaccine Initiative (DVI), a non-profit advocacy group focused on raising awareness of dengue fever and supporting the introduction of dengue vaccination, funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation⁸. Initial efficacy results from the Thai study on thousands of children are expected in September 2012⁸. Provided the field tests are successful, Sanofi Pasteur hopes to make the vaccine commercially available in 2015.

The price of a vaccine is one of the most important factors affecting its ultimate application in developing countries. Research funded by the DVI involving an economic analysis of producing a tetravalent dengue vaccine shows that the cost could be as low as \$0.20 per dose with an annual production level of 60 million doses packaged in tendose vials¹².

References

- Kanesa-Thasan NW, Sun G, Kim-Ahn S, Van Albert JR, Putnak A, King B, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of attenuated dengue virus vaccines (Aventis Pasteur) in human volunteers. *Vaccine* 2001; 19:3179-88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0264410X(01)00020-2
- Guzman MG, Kouri G. Dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever in the Americas: lessons and challenges. *Journal of Clinical Virology* 2003; 27:1-13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1386-6532(03)00010-6
- Halstead SB. Pathogenesis of dengue: challenges to molecular biology. *Science*1988; 239: 476-81. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.3277268</u>
- 4. Sabchareon AJ, Lang P, Chanthavanich S, Yoksan R, Forrat P, Attanath C, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of tetravalent live-attenuated dengue vaccines in Thai adult volunteers: role of serotype concentration, ratio, and multiple doses. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2002; **66**:264-72.

- Rico-Hesse R. Microevolution and virulence of dengue viruses. Advances in Virus Research 2003; 59:315-41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3527(03)59009-1
- Durbin AP, Whitehead SS. Dengue vaccine candidates in development. *Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology* 2010; **338**: 129-43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-02215-9 10
- Guy B, Almond J, Lang J. Dengue vaccine prospects: a step forward. The Lancet, 2011; 377(9763): 381-2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60128-1
- Sanofi Pasteur dengue vaccine research program. Updated May 2012. Available from: <u>http://www.dengue.info/sites/dengue2.localhost/f</u> <u>iles/references/factsheetsanofipasteurdenguevacc</u> <u>inecandidate.pdf</u>
- Guy B, Saville M, Lang J. Development of Sanofi Pasteur tetravalent dengue vaccine. *Human Vaccines* 2010; 6: 669-705. http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/hv.6.9.12739
- Morrison D, Legg TJ, Billings CW, et al. A novel tetravalent dengue vaccine is well tolerated and immunogenic against all 4 serotypes in flavivirus-naive adults. *Journal of Infectious Disease* 2010; 201: 370-7. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/649916</u>
- Poo J, Galan F, Forrat R, et al. Live-attenuated tetravalent dengue vaccine in dengue-naïve children, adolescents, and adults in Mexico City: randomized controlled phase 1 trial of safety and immunogenicity. Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal 2011; **30**: 1-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/INF.0b013e3181fe05af
- Mahoney RT, Francis DP, Frazatti-Gallina NM, Precioso AR, Raw I, Watler P, et al. Cost of production of live attenuated dengue vaccines: A case study of the Instituto Butantan, Sao Paulo, Brazil. Vaccine 2012; **30**(32): 4892-6. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.02.064</u>

G N Lucas Joint Editor