# EFFECT OF DIETARY INCLUSION OF A SUGARCANE EXTRACT ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF BROILER CHICKENS

R. Senaarachchi<sup>1</sup>, M. G. Y. Hasanjana<sup>2</sup>, H. E. L. De Seram<sup>2</sup>, K. Nizanantha<sup>2</sup>, P. G. A. Pushpakumara<sup>2</sup> and N. D. Karunaratne<sup>2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>PJM Bioactives (Pvt) Ltd, 723/33, Lake Terrace, Athurugiriya
<sup>2</sup>Department of Farm Animal Production and Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science,
University of Peradeniya

SUMMARY: Polyphenol-rich natural sugarcane extract can protect living cells against oxidative damage that could negatively affect broiler performance. The objective was to evaluate the effect of graded levels of a dietary sugarcane extract on the growth performance of broiler chickens under local conditions. A study was conducted by housing a total of 960 broilers (Cobb 500) in litter floor pens and feeding graded levels of dietary sugarcane extract (0, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3%) from 0 to 35 days. Each of the four rooms (replications) was portioned into four pens (60 birds/pen). Each treatment was randomly assigned to four pens in each room. Birds were fed commercial starter (crumbles; day 0 to 18) and finisher (pellets; day 19 to 35) diets according to Cobb 500 broiler performance objectives, and water was given adlibitum throughout the study. Treatment diets were prepared by adding the respective levels of sugarcane extract to the commercial diets. Mortality was recorded daily, and body weight gain, feed intake, and feed-to-gain ratio were evaluated weekly (day 7, 14, 21, 28 and 35) on a pen basis. Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA for randomized complete block design. Statistical significance was  $P \le 0.05$ . The dietary level of sugarcane extract did not significantly affect the broiler performance parameters each week and overall trial period and did not negatively affect Cobb 500 performance targets. Sugarcane extract levels of 0, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3%, respectively, resulted in 2.268, 2.261, 2.242 and 2.227 kg body weight gain (P = 0.437) and 3.429, 3.417, 3.348 and 3.350 kg feed intake (P = 0.245) for the total trial period. Feed-togain ratios (P = 0.207) for the entire trial length were 1.51, 1.51, 1.49 and 1.50 for 0, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3% sugarcane extract, respectively. Dietary sugarcane extract levels did not affect broiler performance.

**KEYWORDS:** feed additive, antioxidant, broiler performance, phytochemical, polyphenol compound

## INTRODUCTION

The reduction of in-feed antibiotics is a common practice in the poultry industry globally, with the emergence of antibiotic-resistant genes in animals and humans (Nhung *et al.*, 2017; Osman *et al.*, 2018). However, it negatively affects the growth performance of chickens, causing a substantial economic loss to the poultry industry (Immerseel *et al.*, 2004), and the evaluation of feed additives has become a significant research priority to address this concern (Suresh *et al.*, 2018; Ayalew *et al.*, 2022). The commonly used poultry feed additives include prebiotics, probiotics, organic acids, feed enzymes, and antioxidative compounds (Abd El-Hack *et al.*, 2022).

Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum) is a perennial grass commonly found in South Asia, Southeast Asia, and other tropical and subtropical regions (Singh et al., 2015; El Chami et al., 2020), and is a source of refined sugar and biofuel (Hoang et al., 2015; Martins et al., 2016). The polyphenolic compounds found in sugarcane include phenolic acids, flavonoids, glycosides, and fatty acids, and many of them have been identified as having antiinflammatory and immunomodulatory effects in humans (Duarte-Almeida et al., 2006; Ji et al., 2020). Further, plant polyphenolic compounds act as antioxidants in living cells through the absorption of reactive oxygen species (Ji et al., 2019). The antioxidant potential is vital in reducing oxidative stress caused by the imbalance of reactive oxygen species in living organisms (Fellenberg and Speisky, 2006; Surai et al., 2019). In poultry, oxidative stress

is a significant concern in the industry as various sources, including mycotoxicosis and heat stress (Awad *et al.*, 2014; Akbarian *et al.*, 2016), can induce lipid peroxidation and cell apoptosis that affects broiler performance and results in increased mortality through immune suppression (Lin *et al.*, 2006).

Medicinal herbs and spice-deriving plants have been extensively researched for their polyphenol content and beneficial gut health effects in poultry (Abdel-Moneim et al., 2020; Mahfuz et al., 2021; Al-Mnaser et al., 2022). It has been observed that phenolic compounds in plant materials, including sugarcane extracts, have anticoccidial effects through the reduction of Eimeria spp. sporulation, coccidia lesion scores, bird mortality, and increased growth performance in coccidiosis-challenged chickens (Akhtar et al., 2008; Awais et al., 2011; Abbas et al., 2012; Daneshmand et al., 2021; El-Shall et al., 2022). The positive impact of these sugarcane-derived polyphenol compounds on Eimeria-challenged broiler chickens might be due to the direct anticoccidial effect (Daneshmand et al., 2021), or it might be associated with the indirect effects through antioxidative, anti-inflammatory, or immune-stimulatory impacts of the polyphenol compounds (Akhtar et al., 2008; Awais et al., 2011). There is limited research in the literature investigating the impact of these plant-based polyphenol compounds, especially sugarcanederived polyphenols, on broiler performance, and the results are less consistent (Khambualai et al., 2010; Khonyoung et al., 2019; Shakeri et al., 2020). It is crucial to investigate this sugarcane extract effects on broiler performance in addition to the anticoccidial effect, as the production performance, including feed conversion, is directly associated with the final profitability of the broiler industry (Mukunthana and Sivarajah, 2015) and the growth performance results are essential to assuring this sugarcane extract polyphenol as an alternative to in-feed antibiotics in poultry.

The current research investigates a sugarcane extract which was manufactured by The Product Makers Pvt Ltd (Melbourne, Australia). The total polyphenol and flavonoid content of the sugarcane extract, which was analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, were 221 and 53.8 mg/g, respectively, and pH was 3.74 in a 1% solution at 20°C (Ji *et al.*, 2019). The total

antioxidant activity of the sugarcane extract was  $18,837 \mu mol/g$ . The contents of Na, K, Ca, Mg and Zn were equivalent to 170, 130, 6600, 3000 and 15 mg/kg in the extract. Further, the levels of Se and Cr contents were reported as 0.3 and 2.4 mg/kg (Ji *et al.*, 2019).

The objective of the current study was to evaluate the effect of graded dietary levels of a sugarcane extract on the performance of broiler chickens from d 0 to 35 under local conditions. It was hypothesized that rising levels of dietary sugarcane extract would increase broiler performance at different ages and during the overall study period.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental procedure was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science at the University of Peradeniya (Ethical clearance certificate number: VERC-21-14) and adhered to the Guidelines for Ethics Review of Research Proposals Involving Animals in Sri Lanka (Forum of Ethics Review Committees of Sri Lanka, 2009).

# Birds and housing

A total of 960 newly hatched mixed sex broiler chickens (Cobb 500) were obtained from a commercial hatchery and randomly placed (60 birds per pen) in 16 floor pens (2.54 m length and 2.22 m width) in four open-sided broiler rooms in the Veterinary Teaching Farm of the University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka. The broilers were weighed on a pen basis prior to the placement. Each broiler room was equally partitioned into four pens. Each of the four dietary treatments was randomly assigned to one pen per room, providing four replications per treatment. An equal amount of paddy husk was placed on the concrete floor in each room with an 8 cm thickness. All the birds were given a brooding period from day (d) 0 to 17 using a gas brooder. Each broiler house (comprising four floor pens) was equipped with a common brooder that was made up of circularly arranged metal brooder guards and a heating lamp. Room temperature was 33°C on d 0, then gradually decreased by expanding the brooder area by adjusting the brooder guards. Further, the brooder guards were adjusted during the brooding period according to the birds' behavior and bird distribution in that area. Each pen was supplied with a plastic turbo feeder (4 L capacity; 27.94 cm pan diameter) and a plastic mini poultry drinker (1 L water capacity; 20 cm pan diameter) during the brooding period. At d 17, the mini poultry drinker was replaced by a height-adjustable automated bell drinker, and a manual plastic pan feeder (10 kg capacity; 40.5 cm pan diameter) was provided in addition to the turbo feeder used in the brooding period in each broiler pen. All the birds were supplemented with a commercially available vitamin supplement through drinking water for the first three days after the placement. The environmental and housing conditions mentioned above were provided according to the Cobb broiler management guidelines (Cobb Vantress, 2021).

## Study material

A newly discovered sugarcane extract that originated from sugarcane molasses was used in the experiment. The Product Makers Pvt Ltd (Melbourne, Australia) initially developed the sugarcane extract according to a hydrophobic resin procedure described by Ji *et al.*, (2019). The present study used the sugarcane extract product, which is brown in colour, and in liquid-to-paste form.

## **Experimental diets**

The four treatment diets were prepared by hand mixing graded dietary levels (0, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3%) of the sugarcane extract with already processed commercial broiler starter and grower diets (anticoccidial drugs containing corn-soybean meal-based diets), separately. Starter diets were fed from d 0 to 18, and grower diets after that. Grower diets were introduced to the birds, gradually replacing starter diets over the 3-d transition period (from d 18 to 21). The starter and grower diets were made in crumble and pellet forms, respectively. The birds were fed according to Cobb 500 Broiler Performance and Nutrition Supplement (Cobb Vantress, 2018), and water was given *ad libitum* throughout the study period.

## Data collection

Performance parameters, including feed intake and body weight, were taken on a pen basis at d 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35. Body weight gain and mortality corrected feed: gain ratio were calculated on each weigh-back. Mortality and weights of the carcasses were recorded daily, and a detailed postmortem examination was performed for each dead bird.

# Statistical analysis

The experiment was a randomized complete block design with a broiler room used as a block to minimize the environmental effects. Data were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance of the Proc mixed model using Stata statistical software (SAS 9.4, Carey, N.C. 2008) to evaluate the linear effect of different dietary levels of the sugarcane extract. The significance level was considered as  $P \leq 0.05$ . Mean separation was completed using the Tukey-Kramer test to analyze the differences between dietary sugarcane extract levels. Data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test prior to the variance analysis.

### **RESULTS**

Dietary sugarcane extracts up to the 0.3% inclusion level did not affect body weight gain, feed intake, and feed to gain ratio of broiler chickens in each assessed period and the total trial length (Table 1). Further, the evaluated broiler performance parameters were within the standard levels according to the Cobb 500 performance objectives (Cobb Vantress, 2018).

Performance variable	Dietary sugarcane extract (%)				P	SEM <sup>1</sup>
	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	value	SEM
0-7 days						
BWG <sup>2</sup>	0.140	0.140	0.144	0.139	0.105	0.0027
FI	0.148	0.143	0.147	0.145	0.646	0.0034
F:G	1.06	1.02	1.02	1.04	0.404	0.0230
8-14 days						
BWG	0.294	0.288	0.273	0.283	0.060	0.0046
FI	0.368	0.367	0.354	0.361	0.102	0.0096
F:G	1.26	1.27	1.30	1.27	0.493	0.0176
15-21 days						
BWG	0.485	0.492	0.476	0.479	0.631	0.0092
FI	0.684	0.694	0.680	0.677	0.640	0.0079
F:G	1.41	1.41	1.43	1.41	0.212	0.024
22-28 days						
BWG	0.602	0.589	0.582	0.584	0.060	0.0072
FI	0.925	0.921	0.902	0.902	0.213	0.013
F:G	1.54	1.56	1.55	1.55	0.977	0.018
29-35 days						
BWG	0.747	0.752	0.767	0.741	0.487	0.0409
FI	1.303	1.292	1.265	1.265	0.319	0.0560
F:G	1.75	1.72	1.65	1.71	0.261	0.0314
0-35 days						
BWG	2.268	2.261	2.242	2.227	0.437	0.0219
FI	3.429	3.417	3.348	3.350	0.245	0.0412
F:G	1.51	1.51	1.49	1.50	0.207	0.0102

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SEM – standard error of the mean

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> BWG – body weight gain; FI – feed intake; F:G – feed to gain ratio

#### **DISCUSSION**

There was no effect of the dietary sugarcane extract on broiler performance at any of the assessed periods between d 0 to 35 in the current study when the dietary sugarcane extract levels were 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3%. The effect of sugarcane extract polyphenol on the growth performance of broiler chickens was assessed in very few studies, and the results were inconsistent. Shakeri et al. (2020) observed a positive response to a sugarcane molasses extract that is rich in polyphenols in terms of broiler growth performance. The sugarcane extract increased average daily gain and linearly reduced the feed conversion ratio with increasing doses (0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1%) in the diet from d 21 to 35, and for the overall period (d 0 to 35) in both heat stress condition and thermoneutral environment. Further, a study that used 0.05% dietary sugarcane extract increased body weight gain in broiler chickens (Khambualai et al., 2010). In contrast, the 0.1% dietary addition of sugarcane extract did not affect feed intake, body weight gain, and feed conversion in broiler chickens, according to the study completed by Khonyoung et al. (2019).

The comparison of performance results among these studies is challenging without knowing the analyzed total polyphenol content since most of the previous research includes the concentration of dietary sugarcane extract level but not the total polyphenol content (Khambualai et al., 2010; Khonyoung et al., 2019). The assessment of the total polyphenol content is crucial for comparison of the current study with the previous studies, as the total polyphenol content varies in different sugarcane varieties (Kadam et al., 2008; Abbas et al., 2013). Furthermore, the analysis of the polyphenol profile of the sugarcane extract is important to assess the effect of these polyphenol-containing compounds on broiler production performance, as the different varieties of sugarcane differ in phenolic profile (Coutinho et al., 2016), which affects different levels in exerting their properties, including antioxidant potential (De Morais et al., 2020). The absence of significant effects of the sugarcane extract on broiler performance in the present study could be attributed to the different total polyphenol content and the phenolic profile of sugarcane extract between the current research and previous research with significant findings (Khambualai et al., 2010; Shakeri et al., 2020).

The evaluation of higher doses of dietary sugarcane extract on broiler performance and the incorporation of sugarcane extract during feed processing rather than mixing the sugarcane extract at the postprocessed stage are suggested in future research related to the current study to evaluate sugarcane extract dietary addition in broiler chickens. The usage of a custom feed by adding the sugarcane extract during feed processing would increase the uniform distribution of polyphenol compounds in the diets, which is crucial for the detailed investigation of the sugarcane extract effects on broiler performance. The analysis of polyphenol content of processed diets is important to confirm the uniform dietary distribution of the sugarcane extract. Further, the analysis of polyphenol content and phenolic and flavonoids profile in the study material just before addition to the experimental diets is also recommended since polyphenols can be deteriorated due to the environmental conditions, including high temperature and humidity. A major limitation of the current research includes the failure to manufacture custom-made experimental diets by adding the sugarcane extract during feed processing, and to analyze total polyphenol content and phenolic profile in the sugarcane extract and the processed experimental diets, that affects effective evaluation of results.

### CONCLUSION

The study concluded that broiler performance parameters, including body weight gain, feed intake, and feed to gain ratio, were not affected by adding sugarcane extract to the commercial broiler diets up to 0.3% inclusion level under experimental farm conditions. Future experiments by adding sugarcane extract during the feed processing are recommended to validate the dietary sugarcane extract level on broiler performance, as the usage of a custom feed would facilitate equal dietary distribution of sugarcane extract.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The support given by the staff of the Veterinary Teaching Farm at the University of Peradeniya is acknowledged. The funding for the project was given by PJM Bioactives (Pvt) Ltd and the University of Peradeniya.

#### **REFERENCES**

Abbas, R. Z., Colwell, D. D., and Gilleard, J. (2012). Botanicals: an alternative approach for the control of avian coccidiosis. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, **68**, 203–215. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043933912000268

Abbas, S. R., Ahmad, S. D., Sabir, S. M., Shah, A. H., Awan, S., Gohar, M., Khan, M. F., and Rao, A. Z. (2013). Antioxidant activity, repair and tolerance of oxidative DNA damage in different cultivars of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) leaves. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, **7**,40–45. https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit. 142582052082622

Abd El-Hack, M. E., El-Saadony, M. T., Salem, H. M., El-Tahan, A. M., Soliman, M. M., Youssef, G. B. A., Taha, A. E., Soliman, S. M., Ahmed, A. E., El-kott, A. F., Al Syaad, K. M., and Swelum, A. A. (2022). Alternatives to antibiotics for organic poultry production: types, modes of action and impacts on bird's health and production. *Poultry Science*, **101**.

Abdel-Moneim, A. E., Shehata, A. M., Alzahrani, S. O., Shafi, M. E., Mesalam, N. M., Taha, A. E., Swelum, A. A., Arif, M., Fayyaz, M., and Abd El-Hack, M. E. (2020). The role of polyphenols in poultry nutrition. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, **104**, 1851–1866. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.13455

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2022.101696

Akbarian, A., Michiels, J., Degroote, J., Majdeddin, M., Golian, A., and De Smet, S. (2016). Association between heat stress and oxidative stress in poultry; mitochondrial dysfunction and dietary interventions with phytochemicals. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-016-0097-5

Akhtar, M., Hafeez, M., Muhammad, F., Haq, A., and Anwar, M. (2008). Immunomodulatory and protective effects of sugar cane juice in chickens against *Eimeria* infection. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, **32**, 463–467. https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/veterinary/vol32/iss6/10

Al-Mnaser, A., Dakheel, M., Alkandari, F., and Woodward, M. (2022). Polyphenolic

phytochemicals as natural feed additives to control bacterial pathogens in the chicken gut. *Archives of Microbiology*, **204**, 253. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-022-02862-5

Awad, W. A., Ghareeb, K., Dadak, A., Hess, M., and Böhm, J. (2014). Single and combined effects of deoxynivalenol mycotoxin and a microbial feed additive on lymphocyte DNA damage and oxidative stress in broiler chickens. *PLOS ONE*, **9**. https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0088028

Awais, M. M., Akhtar, M., Muhammad, F., Ul Haq, A., and Anwar, M. I. (2011). Immunotherapeutic effects of some sugar cane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) extracts against coccidiosis in industrial broiler chickens. *Experimental Parasitology*, **128**, 104–110. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S 0014489411000865

Ayalew, H., Zhang, H., Wang, J., Wu, S., Qiu, K., Qi, G., Tekeste, A., Wassie, T., and Chanie, D. (2022). Potential feed additives as antibiotic alternatives in broiler production. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, **9**. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2022.916473

Cobb 500 broiler performance and nutrition supplement. (2018).

Cobb 500 broiler management guide. (2021)

Coutinho, I. D., Baker, J. M., Ward, J. L., Beale, M. H., Creste, S., and Cavalheiro, A. J. (2016). Metabolite profiling of sugarcane genotypes and identification of flavonoid glycosides and phenolic acids. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, **64**, 4198–4206.

https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b01210

Daneshmand, A., Young, P., Campbell, B., Kheravii, S. K., Sharma, N. K., Afshari, R., Dias, D. A., Flavel, M., Kitchen, B., and Wu, S. B. (2021). In vitro inhibitory activities of sugarcane extract on avian *Eimeria* sporozoites. *International Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance*, **17**, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2021.07.001

De Morais, J. S., Sant'Ana, A. S., Dantas, A. M., Silva, B. S., Lima, M. S., Borges, G. C., and Magnani, M. (2020). Antioxidant activity and bioaccessibility of phenolic compounds in white, red, blue, purple, yellow and orange edible flowers through a simulated intestinal barrier. *Food Research International*, **131**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109046

Duarte-Almeida, J. M., Novoa, A. V., Linares, A. F., Lajolo, F. M., and Inés Genovese, M. (2006). Antioxidant activity of phenolics compounds from sugar cane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) juice. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, **61**, 187-192. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-006-0032-6

El Chami, D., Daccache, A., and El Moujabber, M. 2020. What are the impacts of sugarcane production on ecosystem services and human wellbeing? A review. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, **65**, 188–199.

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S 0570178320300439

El-Shall, N. A., Abd El-Hack, M. E., Albaqami, N. M., Khafaga, A. F., Taha, A. E., Swelum, A. A., El-Saadony, M. T., Salem, H. M., El-Tahan, A. M., AbuQamar, S. F., El-Tarabily, K. A., and Elbestawy, A. R. (2022). Phytochemical control of poultry coccidiosis: a review. *Poultry Science*, **101**. 10.1016/j.psj.2021.101542

Fellenberg, M. A., and Speisky, H. (2006). Antioxidants: their effects on broiler oxidative stress and its meat oxidative stability. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, **62**, 53–70. https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS200584

Hoang, N. V., Furtado, A., Botha, F. C., Simmons, B. A., and Henry, R. J. (2015). Potential for genetic improvement of sugarcane as a source of biomass for biofuels. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, **3**.

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2 015.00182.

Immerseel, F. V., Buck, J. D., Pasmans, F., Huyghebaert, G., Haesebrouck, F., and Ducatelle, R. (2004). *Clostridium perfringens* in poultry: an emerging threat for animal and public health. *Avian Pathology*, **33**, 537–549. 10.1080/03079450400013162

Ji, J., Yang, X., Flavel, M., Shields, Z., and Kitchen, B. (2019). Antioxidation and anti-diabetic functions of a polyphenol rich sugarcane extract. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, **38**, 670–680.

https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2019.1587323

Ji, J., Flavel, M., Yang, X., Chen, O. C. Y., Downey, L., Stough, C., and Kitchen, B. (2020). A polyphenol rich sugarcane extract as a modulator for inflammation and neurological disorders. *PharmaNutrition*, **12**. 10.1016/j.phanu.2020.100187

Kadam, U. S., Ghosh, S. B., De, S., Suprasanna, P., Devasagayam, T. P. A., and Bapat, V. A. (2008). Antioxidant activity in sugarcane juice and its protective role against radiation induced DNA damage. *Food Chemistry*, **106**, 1154–1160. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.07.066

Khambualai, O., Yamauchi, K., Ruttanavut. J., Incharoen, T., and Kashimura, J. (2010). Effect of sugar cane extract, commercial probiotic and their mixture on growth performance and intestinal histology in broiler chickens. *American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, **5**, 132–138. https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20103 250241

Khonyoung, D., Yamauchi, K., Sittiya, J., and Wonnakum, P. (2019). Effect of dietary new sugar cane extract on growth performance and intestinal histology in broiler chickens. *Agriculture and Natural Resources*, **53**, 533–537. https://li01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/anres/article/view/226238

Lin, H., Decuypere, E., and Buyse, J. (2006). Acute heat stress induces oxidative stress in broiler chickens. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology*, **144**, 11–17. 10.1016/j.cbpa.2006.01.032

Mahfuz, S., Shang, Q., and Piao, X. (2021). Phenolic compounds as natural feed additives in poultry and swine diets: a review. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, **12**, 48. 10.1186/s40104-021-00565-3

Martins, M. T. B., De Souza, W. R., Da Cunha, B. A. D. B., Basso, M. F., De Oliveira, N. G.,

Vinecky, F., Martins, P. K., De Oliveira, P. A., Arenque-Musa, B. C., De Souza, A. P., Buckeridge, M. S., Kobayashi, A. K., Quirino, B. F., and Molinari, H. B. C. (2016). Characterization of sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) leaf senescence: implications for biofuel production. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, **9**, 153. 10.1186/s13068-016-0568-0

Mukunthana, N., and Sivarajah, P. (2015). Factors affecting production and profitability of poultry enterprises in Eravur pattu DS Area, Batticaloa district. *Proceedings of 4th Annual International Research Conference*. pp 1-7. http://ir.lib.seu.ac.lk/handle/123456789/1803

Nhung, N. T., Chansiripornchai, N., and Carrique-Mas, J. J. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance in bacterial poultry pathogens: A review. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, **4**, 126. 10.3389/fvets.2017.00126

Osman, K. M., Kappell, A. D., Elhadidy, M., ElMougy, F., El-Ghany, W. A. A., Orabi, A., Mubarak, A. S., Dawoud, T. M., Hemeg, H. A., Moussa, I. M. I., Hessain, A. M., and Yousef, H. M. Y. (2018). Poultry hatcheries as potential reservoirs for antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli*: A risk to public health and food safety. *Scientific Reports*, **8**. 10.1038/s41598-018-23962-7

Shakeri, M., Cottrell, J. J., Wilkinson, S., Le, H. H., Suleria, H. A. R., Warner, R. D., and Dunshea, F. R. (2020). A dietary sugarcane-derived polyphenol mix reduces the negative effects of cyclic heat exposure on growth performance, blood gas status, and meat quality in broiler chickens. *Animals*, **10**. 10.3390/ani10071158

Singh, A., Lal, U. R., Mukhtar, H. M., Singh, P. S., Shah, G., and Dhawan, R. K. (2015). Phytochemical profile of sugarcane and its potential health aspects. *Pharmacognosy Reviews*, **9**, 45–54. 10.4103/0973-7847.156340

Surai, P. F., Kochish, I. I., Fisinin, V. I., and Kidd, M. T. (2019). Antioxidant defence systems and oxidative stress in poultry biology: An update. *Antioxidants (Basel)*, **8**. 10.3390/antiox8070235.

Suresh, G., Das, R. K., Kaur Brar, S., Rouissi, T., Avalos Ramirez, A., Chorfi, Y., and Godbout, S. (2018). Alternatives to antibiotics in poultry feed:

molecular perspectives. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, **44**, 318–335. 10.1080/1040841X.2017.1373062