Volume 13, 18 December 2013 Publisher: Igitur publishing URL: http://www.ijic.org

Cite this as: Int J Integr Care 2013; WCIC Conf Suppl; URN:NBN:NL:UI:10-1-115984

Copyright: (cc) BY

## Poster Abstract

## Effectiveness and cost impact analysis of a Post Acute Care at Home (PACH) programme

W. Teo, Health Service & Outcomes Research, National Healthcare Group, Singapore, Singapore

W. Chong, Health Service & Outcomes Research, National Healthcare Group, Singapore, Singapore

I. Leong, Continuing & Community Care, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

Correspondence to: **Mr. Wee Sheng Kelvin Teo**, Research Analyst, National Healthcare Group, Singspore, E-mail: <u>kelvin ws teo@nhg.com.sg</u>

## **Abstract**

**Objective:** The objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of PACH in reducing hospital readmissions and costs to the health system.

**Methodology:** A retrospective non-randomised trial was designed to examine the costs and outcomes of PACH. All patients referred for PACH and met the programme inclusion criteria were included as the intervention group. Patients who declined PACH programme or were out of boundary formed the control group. The primary outcomes were the number of hospital readmissions and total health system costs at 3 and 6 months post-discharge. To account for uncertainty in mortality for the control group, patients who had not utilised any health services after 6 months were assumed to have died.

**Results:** There were 409 patients in the PACH group and 201 patients in the control group. Both groups were similar in demographic and baseline characteristics. Multivariate analyses showed that hospital readmissions were 38% higher for patients in the PACH group after 6 months. Total healthcare costs at 3 months and 6 months showed no statistical differences.

Enrolment in PACH was associated with lower mortality, higher hospital readmissions and no differences in costs. However, the results could be limited by incomplete data on health services utilisation and mortality. These findings reflect the challenges faced when conducting programme evaluation in the local setting.