

Data from the Paper Entitled “Application of a Bayesian Approach for Exploring the Impact of Syllable Frequency in Handwritten Picture Naming”



Journal of
open psychology data

DATA PAPER

]u[ubiquity press

CYRIL PERRET 

CLARA SOLIER 

*Author affiliations can be found in the back matter of this article

ABSTRACT

The data presented here comes from the Perret and Solier (2022) study. 30 participants handwrote labels for 150 black-and-white drawings. The experiment was carried out using the DmDx program. Response times and production errors were the two behavioral reported measures. DmDx scripts and data are available on the OSF platform (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/GAZF3>). These data should be useful for pre-testing to explore new hypotheses, as well as for methodological elements (e.g., sample size estimation, estimation of a priori distributions for Bayesian analyses).

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Cyril Perret

University of Poitiers, France

cyril.perret@univ-poitiers.fr

KEYWORDS:

Handwritten production;
Response syllables; Error
production

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Perret, C., & Solier, C. (2024).
Data from the Paper Entitled
“Application of a Bayesian
Approach for Exploring
the Impact of Syllable
Frequency in Handwritten
Picture Naming”. *Journal of
Open Psychology Data*, 12:
5, pp. 1–7. DOI: [https://doi.
org/10.5334/jopd.110](https://doi.org/10.5334/jopd.110)

(1) BACKGROUND

The ability to use writing efficiently is one of the most important vectors of inclusion in modern societies. Writing skills are emancipation tools that give the individual power to transform and exercise their choices (Nussbaum, 2011; Sen, 1999). This fact has been reinforced in recent years by the development of digital communication tools, almost all of which require the possession of writing skills. Therefore, it seems important to explore the psychological mechanisms that enable a human being to use this modality of language production.

Writing involves first specifying the message the writer wishes to convey. This involves organizing ideas developed in the text during a conceptualization phase. Secondly, words need to be selected, organized according to rules of syntax, and spelled. This is the formulation phase. The third stage is dedicated to production. This involves choosing a medium (e.g., pen + paper, a computer or smartphone keyboard, etc.) from which the physical (paper) or virtual (screen) graphic trace will be created. Finally, during revision, the writer amends their texts by correcting errors, modifying certain parts, adding further information, and so on. These different stages in the text production, and their articulation, have been studied intensively (Olive, 2014).

Among works on writing, a part of them has focused on exploring mechanisms for accessing orthographic information and translating it into grapho-motor gestures (Perret & Olive, 2019). Numerous questions have been addressed, covering both the access to orthographic and grapho-motor representations stored in long-term memory (e.g., the syllable Perret & Solier, 2022 see below) and the way in which the stages of cognitive processing are articulated (e.g., Roux et al., 2013; Kandel & Perret, 2015). Studies are based on experimental tasks in which participants (adults as well as children) have to write isolated words from stimuli, i.e., images or words presented visually or aurally. Three types of behavioral measures are used to test hypotheses. Errors have been and still are a tool for exploring cognitive processing (e.g., Soum-Favaro, Solier, & Perret, *in press*). The other two measures of writing behavior are derived from time recordings: the time between the presentation of the stimulus and the initiation of response, known as response latency; and the time taken to produce the graphic trace, referred to as production duration (Perret & Olive, 2019).

The syllable is one of the linguistic units that have been the focus of research into the handwritten word production. In addition to findings from neuropsychology (e.g., Caramazza & Miceli, 1990; Badecker, 1996), there are two groups of experimental arguments in agreement with the hypothesis of syllable influence in the preparation of the orthographic verbal response.

On the one hand, if access to orthographic information involves retrieving syllables, the greater the number of units to be retrieved (e.g., 4 syllables vs. 2), the longer the response time should be. This influence of the number of syllables has been reported by Lambert and colleagues in triple copying tasks (2008, see also Sausset et al., 2012 and Lambert et al., 2015). On the other hand, the syllabic structure of a word influences the dynamics of grapho-motor tracing. Kandel et al. (2006) observed that the duration of crossing the inter-letter boundary (e.g., ac) is longer when it corresponds to a syllabic boundary (e.g., tra.ceur) than when it does not (e.g., trac.teur). This effect has been replicated many times (e.g., Alvarez et al., 2009; Hess et al., 2019; Kandel et al., 2011; Sausset et al., 2012).

A mental lexicon of orthographic syllables (i.e., a syllabary) has been proposed to account for these results (e.g., Kandel et al., 2011; Kandel, 2021). Since accessing each syllable within the syllabary is time-consuming, the preparation of a four-syllable word is expected to take longer than that of a two-syllable word. Furthermore, if this access process is carried out before a syllable is handwritten, the writer has to slow down the speed of the grapho-motor tracing due to the challenge of performing multiple activities simultaneously. The transition from one letter to another then takes longer when a syllabic boundary is involved. Finally, Sausset et al. (2013) demonstrated that the impact of the syllable occurred either during preparation or during grapho-motor execution, depending on the constraints inherent in the writing process.

The data presented in this article are derived from research aimed at testing the hypothesis of a mental syllabary in handwritten production (Perret & Solier, 2022). One major hypothesis regarding the functioning of the cognitive system suggests that the accessibility of information stored in long-term memory depends on the number of contacts and uses, i.e., its frequency of occurrence in the environment. Applying this reasoning to syllables, it should be possible to observe a frequency effect of this unit. The aim of the Perret & Solier (2022) study was to test this hypothesis in collecting the data of 30 participants who handwrote the labels of 150 images. The goal of the present work is to provide the behavioral responses, i.e., response times and errors collected in Perret and Solier (2022) study.

(2) METHODS

2.1 STUDY DESIGN

Data were collected in a soundproofed experimental room. A within-participant design was employed: all participants handwrote the 150 pictures' labels. The items were divided into three lists. The order of drawings

was randomized within a list. Six orders of three lists were created. The six list orders were distributed among the 30 participants, i.e., 5 participants for a specific order. Each list begins with three trials to check that the participant has followed the instructions correctly.

An experimental trial proceeded as follows. A fixation cross (“+”) appeared on the computer screen for 24 Time Frames (400 ms at 60 Hz) to fix the participant’s attention. After a 6 Time Frames (100 ms at 60 Hz) black screen, the image appeared in the center of the screen and remained for 120 (2000 ms at 60 Hz) in the absence of a response. The first contact between the graphic tablet and the pen caused the item to disappear. The drawing was presented in reverse video mode (i.e., white lines on black screen) in a constant size of 9.5*9.5 cm. Finally, a black screen of 300 Time Frames (5000 ms at 60 Hz) separated two experimental trials, giving the participant time to produce the image label.

2.2 TIME OF DATA COLLECTION

The data were collected from November 25th, 2008 and April 8th, 2009.

2.3 LOCATION OF DATA COLLECTION

The data were collected in the University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland.

2.4 SAMPLING, SAMPLE AND DATA COLLECTION

The experiment was performed by 30 undergraduate students at the University of Neuchâtel. Participants were recruited in a speech therapy course on a voluntary basis. They received course credits for their participation. Among them, 10 were men. All participants were right-handed, native speakers of French and reported no

handwritten language disorders. The mean age was 22.57 years ($SD = 2.32$).

2.5 MATERIALS/SURVEY INSTRUMENTS

Data were collected using the DmDx program (Forster & Forster, 2003; version 3.0.43) running on a laptop PC. Handwritten production was carried out on a sheet of paper with ninety-two 5-cm-long response lines distributed evenly across four columns. This sheet was placed on a graphic tablet (WACOM UltradPad A5, 200Hz). Participants handwrote using an inking contact pen (SP-401).

150 black-and-white drawings were selected from two French databases: Alario and Ferrand (1999) and Bonin et al. (2003). The ten pictorial and linguistic factors of images and their labels, used in the study by Perret & Solier (2022), are summarized in Table 1. Five factors—namely, Image Agreement, Image variability, Visual Complexity, Conceptual Familiarity, and Age of Acquisition—are typically measured using 5-point Likert-type scales with populations of young adults, often undergraduate students (Alario & Ferrand, 1999; Barry et al., 1997; Bonin et al., 2003; Snodgrass & Vanderwart, 1980). Image agreement (IA) is an estimate of the similarity between a subject’s mental image generated by a word and the drawing used to represent the word (Barry et al., 1997) [1 = *very small (or null) degree of matching*; 5 = *very good match*]. Imagery variability (Ivar) estimates the extent to which a word evokes a greater or lesser number of mental images (Bonin et al., 2003) [1 = *few mental images*; 5 = *many mental images*]. Visual Complexity (VC) measures the degree of complexity of the drawing in terms of details (shape, surface details), features, their intricacy, etc. (Snodgrass & Vanderwart, 1980) [1 = *drawing very simple*; 5 = *drawing very*

FACTORS	MEAN	Q1	MEDIAN	Q3	S.D.	MIN	MAX	SKEWNESS
NA(h)	.28	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.42	0.00	1.87	1.86
IA	3.67	3.20	3.72	4.25	0.74	1.23	4.90	-0.63
Ivar	2.75	2.34	2.64	3.12	0.64	1.33	4.70	0.67
AoA	2.37	1.85	2.28	2.88	0.59	1.12	4.62	0.51
LogFreq	1.00	0.59	0.99	1.32	0.78	0.03	1.77	0.61
NbLett	5.85	4.00	6.00	7.75	1.98	2.00	10.00	0.09
Fam	3.06	2.13	3.07	4.02	1.09	1.03	4.97	0.02
VC	3.01	2.39	3.00	3.58	0.89	1.00	5.00	0.04
LogMSyllF	2.21	1.68	2.36	2.74	0.84	-0.27	4.10	-0.27
LogFSyllF	2.26	1.51	2.33	2.95	1.02	-0.27	4.40	-0.03

Table 1 Descriptive statistics for the ten factors.

Note. Q1 = 25th percentile; Q3 = 75th percentile; S.D. = Standard Deviation; Min = Minimum; Max = Maximum; NA(h) = Name Agreement, H-statistic measures; IA = Image Agreement; Ivar = Image variability; AoA = Age of Acquisition; LogFreq = Natural logarithm of lexical frequency; NbLett = Number of letters; Fam = Conceptual Familiarity; VC = Visual Complexity; LogMSyllF = Natural logarithm of syllable frequency; LogFSyllF = Natural logarithm of the first syllable frequency.

complex]. Conceptual familiarity (Fam) estimates how familiar a concept is within a language (Snodgrass & Vanderwart, 1980) [1 = *very unfamiliar concept*; 5 = *very familiar concept*]. Age of acquisition (AoA) refers to an adult's estimations of the age at which they acquired an image label (Bonin et al., 2003) [1 = *word acquired before 4 years*; 5 = *word acquired after 10 years*].

Name agreement (NA) refers to the extent of agreement among individuals in choosing a specific word to label or denote an image (Snodgrass & Vanderwart, 1980). NA is expressed using a measure of entropy (Lachmann, 1973), i.e., the *h*-statistic, indicating the greater or lesser number of alternative labels that can be used to name a drawing. Label length is measured by the number of letters (NbLett). The three frequency measures—namely, LogFreq, LogMSyllF and LogFSyllF—refer to the counting of the occurrences of an element within a corpus. The three raw frequency measurements were log-transformed (natural logarithm). Lexical frequency is the number of times a word appears in the FRANTEXT corpus (Lexique 2, New et al., 2004). Syllable frequencies are taken from the InfoSyll corpus (Chetail & Mathey, 2010). Finally, the lexical syllable frequency (LogMSyllF) of the word is obtained by averaging the frequency of each syllable in the word (Perret et al., 2014).

The behavioral measure selected by Perret and Solier (2022) is the latency of handwritten responses, i.e., the time between the presentation of the image and the first contact of the pen on the graphic tablet (RTs). The participant was instructed to handwrite (in lowercase) the name of the object represented by the picture presented on the computer screen, as quickly as possible while paying attention to the word spelling. The words had to be produced without their determiner. Only response times corresponding to the labels expected for an image with spelling in the canonical form were retained. If the participant did not recognize the object, s/he was asked to write DKO (don't know object) and ToT (Tip of the Tongue) if he recognized the object but could not find its name. This made it possible to remove the RTs corresponding to these situations. RTs corresponding to alternative labels (i.e., semantic errors) or spelling errors were also removed.

2.6 QUALITY CONTROL

To ensure proper understanding of the instructions, a set of three trials was carried out under the supervision of the experimenter (the first author). Moreover, the use of the graphic tablet could introduce a time factor, specifically the time needed to position oneself on the line dedicated to label production. To minimize this variability, participants were instructed to position themselves at the beginning of the line when the cross appeared for each item, with the pen slightly raised and ready to write. The experimenter ensured compliance

with this instruction during the training phase. Finally, participants were given a break after every 50 items to prevent excessive fatigue from impacting performance.

2.7 DATA ANONYMIZATION AND ETHICAL ISSUES

All participants provided written informed consent. The study complied with the 2008 Helsinki Declaration. The participant anonymization procedure involved assigning a unique code to each participant at the beginning of the study. This code was made up of the initial letters of the subject's first and last names, plus the age number. Finally, this code was converted into "Part" + number of participants in the data files.

2.8 EXISTING USE OF DATA

Data corresponding to response times (latencies) have been used in the Perret and Solier (2022) publication. The authors conducted comparisons using mixed-effects linear regression models, with parameters estimated through a Bayesian approach. The results indicated that syllable frequency had no influence on response times; in other words, the probability of observing the data was lower when the factor was included in the model. We refer the reader to the article for more information on statistical analysis and results. Error data are currently being used in a project on zero-inflation models.

(3) DATASET DESCRIPTION AND ACCESS

3.1 REPOSITORY LOCATION

The data are available on OSF, Handwriting Syllable Frequency project (<https://osf.io/gazf3/>). A specific folder "Data Paper" contains all the documents. DOI of the project is <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/GAZF3>.

3.2 OBJECT/FILE NAME

In the "Data Paper" folder, there are three folders. In the "Experiment" folder, three files correspond to the DmDx script: DmDx_Script1.rtf (<https://osf.io/7pwf8/>); DmDx_Script2.rtf (<https://osf.io/ezgm2/>); DmDx_Script3.rtf (<https://osf.io/f2t9s/>). A README.txt file contains script metadata (<https://osf.io/gbzms/>). In the "Data" folder, Material.csv (<https://osf.io/us9de/>) lists all the items (in French and English) as well as their pictorial and lexical characteristics. Data.csv (<https://osf.io/7skbd/>) corresponds to the behavioural measures. A README.txt file (<https://osf.io/qntg4/>) presents the metadata of both Material.csv and Data.csv files. Finally, a "Pictures" folder contains the 150 black-and-white pictures.

3.3 DATA TYPE

DmDx scripts and the Material.csv file are primary data. The Data.csv file contains processed data.

3.4 FORMAT NAMES AND VERSIONS

DmDx script files are in Rich Format Text (.rtf) format. They can be read by Word (Office Suite) or used by DmDX. Data and Material files are in .csv format (Excel, Office Suite). The users can open those files on R-software ([R Core Team, 2022](#)) with the command `read.csv2(file.choose())`. Finally, the pictures are in bitmap format (.bmp).

3.5 LANGUAGE

The data is stored as American English. The experiment was run in French.

3.6 LICENSE

The open license is CC0 1.0 Universal.

3.7 LIMITS TO SHARING

N/A

3.8 PUBLICATION DATE

The dataset was published in the repository 18/01/2022.

3.9 FAIR DATA/CODEBOOK

As the data is stored on OSF, findability and accessibility are guaranteed. The project's DOI permits perennial identification and therefore findability. There are no identification or authorization procedures on OSF, thus guaranteeing accessibility. The presence of metadata for each file should enable good interoperability and reuse.

(4) REUSE POTENTIAL

The data presented here correspond to response times and errors for a series of 150 black and white drawings handwriting by 30 participants. We believe that this database could be useful for researchers in psycholinguistics, linguistics and cognitive neurosciences interested in handwritten production, for several reasons.

This database is the result of an experiment whose experimental design is not based on a factorial design. Rather than creating two groups of items differing in syllabic frequency, with all other factors influencing behavioral measures equalized, a model-comparison approach was chosen, i.e., a regression model design. This choice of experimental design was justified by the fact that it is very difficult to control a large number of influencing factors while maintaining a reasonable sample size. Moreover, the factorial design, is generally highly questionable, as it is based on the absence of significance to null-hypothesis significance tests.¹ The use of regression model design offers the advantage of providing a database that can be reused to test new hypotheses. By operationalizing a new hypothesis with a new experimental factor, the same strategy of model comparison can be implemented. This can be an

alternative to a time-consuming pre-test, for example. However, this possibility is limited by the language used. There is no a priori guarantee that the results obtained in French can be generalized to all languages.

This database also provides a tool for making certain a priori estimations. Works based on frequentist statistics are strongly advised to calculate participant sample sizes a priori (e.g., [Cumming, 2014](#)). From an ethical point of view, this allows researchers to mobilize only the number of participants needed to test a hypothesis. This calculation requires estimates of model parameters, in particular means and standard errors. This database offers the possibility of making these estimates. The same applies to the use of Bayesian statistics. One of the most delicate points in this type of analysis is to specify the characteristics of the a priori distributions of the model parameters (e.g., [Kruschke, 2021](#)). This database, supplemented using markov chains, can provide an estimate of the initialization parameters.

This database can also be used as a testing ground for statistical and probability works. It can be used to test processing algorithms on real data. It can also be used as a starting point for learning classification models for adult handwritten language disorders, for example. As data collection and processing are time-consuming activities, the database can be a starting point for this type of work.

Finally, the lack of influence of syllable frequency has only been observed once in the literature ([Perret & Solier, 2022](#)). It therefore seems important to attempt to replicate (or refute) this result. The availability of DmDx scripts is intended to facilitate these replications. In the same vein, this database could be included in a future meta-analysis on this type of question.

NOTE

- 1 We refer readers interested in this methodological issue to the article by Sassenhagen & Alday (2016).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Marina Laganaro, Patrick Bonin and Sonia Kandel for the scientific exchanges on this work and two anonymous reviewers for very helpful comments on a previous version of this paper.

FUNDING INFORMATION

The data were collected as part of the project “*Integrating psycholinguistic, neurolinguistic and functional neuroimaging approaches in the study of normal and impaired language production, with focus on phonological encoding*” led by Marina Laganaro (Grant number: 118969).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests to declare.


AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CP: project supervision, study conceptualization, study design, data collection, data analysis, data curation, manuscript writing and editing.

CS: data analysis, data curation, manuscript writing and editing.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

Cyril Perret  orcid.org/0000-0002-4552-9093
University of Poitiers, France

Clara Solier  orcid.org/0000-0001-8602-1205
Basque Center on Cognition, Brain and Language, Spain

REFERENCES

- Alario, F.-X., & Ferrand, L.** (1999). A set of 400 pictures standardized for French: Norms for name agreement, image agreement, familiarity, visual complexity, image variability, and age of acquisition. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers*, 31, 531–552. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03200732>
- Alvarez, C. J., Cottrell, D., & Afonso, O.** (2009). Writing dictated words and picture names: Syllabic boundaries affect execution in Spanish. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 30, 205–223. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0142716409090092>
- Badecker, W.** (1996). Representational properties common to phonological and orthographic output systems. *Lingua*, 99, 55–83. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3841\(96\)00005-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3841(96)00005-8)
- Barry, C., Morrison, C. M., & Ellis, A. W.** (1997). Naming the Snodgrass and Vanderwart pictures: Effects of age of acquisition, frequency, and name agreement. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Experimental Psychology*, 50A, 560–585. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/783663595>
- Bonin, P., Peereman, R., Malardier, N., Méot, A., & Chalard, M.** (2003). A new set of 299 pictures for psycholinguistic studies: French norms for name agreement, image agreement, conceptual familiarity, visual complexity, image variability, age of acquisition and naming latencies. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 35, 158–167. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03195507>
- Caramazza, A., & Miceli, G.** (1990). The structure of graphemic representations. *Cognition*, 37, 243–297. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277\(90\)90047-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(90)90047-N)
- Chetail, F., & Mathey, S.** (2010). InfoSyll: A syllabary providing statistical information on phonological and orthographic syllables. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 39, 485–504. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-009-9146-y>
- Cumming, G.** (2014). The new Statistics: why and how. *Psychological Sciences*, 25, 7–29. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613504966>
- Forster, K. I., & Forster, J. C.** (2003). DmDx: A windows display program with millisecond accuracy. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 35, 116–124. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03195503>
- Hess, S., Mousikou, P., Verrel, J., & Schroeder, S.** (2019). Syllabic processing in handwritten word production in German children and adults. *Human Movement Science*, 65, 5–14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2018.07.003>
- Kandel, S.** (2021). The APOMI model of word writing: Anticipatory Processing of Orthographic and Motor Information. In R. J. Hartsuiker & K. Strijkers (Eds.), *Language Production*. London: Routledge.
- Kandel, S., Alvarez, C. J., & Vallée, N.** (2006). Syllables as processing units in handwriting production. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 32, 19–31. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-1523.32.1.18>
- Kandel, S., Peereman, R., Grosjacques, G., & Fayol, M.** (2011). For a psycholinguistic model of handwritten production: Testing the syllable-Bigram Controversy. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 37, 1310–1322. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023094>
- Kandel, S., & Perret, C.** (2015). How does the interaction between spelling and motor processes build up during writing acquisition? *Cognition*, 136, 325–336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2014.11.014>
- Kruschke, J. K.** (2021). Bayesian analysis reporting guidelines. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5, 1282–1291. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01177-7>
- Lachman, R.** (1973). Uncertainty effects on time to access the internal lexicon. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 99, 199–208. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0034633>
- Lambert, E., Kandel, S., Fayol, M., & Espéret, E.** (2008). The effect of the number of syllables on handwriting production. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 21, 859–883. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-007-9095-5>
- Lambert, E., Sausset, S., & Rigalleau, F.** (2015). The ortho-syllable as a processing unit in handwriting: the mute e effect. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 28, 683–698. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-015-9545-4>
- New, B., Pallier, C., Brysbaert, M., & Ferrand, L.** (2004). Lexique 2: A new french lexical database. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 36, 516–524. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03195598>

- Nussbaum, M.** (2011). *Creating capabilities: the human development approach*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674061200>
- Olive, T.** (2014). Toward a parallel and cascading model of the writing system: A review of research on writing processes coordination. *Journal of Writing Research*, 6, 173–194. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17239/jowr-2014.06.02.4>
- Perret, C., & Olive, T.** (2019). *Spelling and Writing Words: Theoretical and Methodological Advances*. Leiden: Brill. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004394988>
- Perret, C., Schneider, L., Dayer, G., & Laganaro M.** (2014). Convergences and Divergences between neurolinguistic and psycholinguistic data in the study of phonological and phonetic encoding: A parallel investigation of syllable frequency effect in brain-damaged and healthy speakers. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, 29, 714–727. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/01690965.2012.678368>
- Perret, C., & Solier, C.** (2022). Application of a Bayesian approach for exploring the impact of syllable frequency in handwritten picture naming. *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 34, 622–635. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/20445911.2022.2029459>
- R Core Team.** (2022). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Roux, S., McKeeff, T. J., Grosjacques, G., Afonso, O., & Kandel, S.** (2013). The interaction between central and peripheral processes in handwriting production. *Cognition*, 127, 235–241. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2012.12.009>
- Sassenhagen, J., & Alday, P. M.** (2016). A common misapplication of statistical inference: nuisance parameter control with null-hypothesis significance tests. *Brain & Language*, 162, 42–45. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2016.08.001>
- Sausset, S., Lambert, E., Olive, T., & Larocque, D.** (2012). Processing of syllables during handwriting: Effects of graphomotor constraints. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 65, 1872–1879. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470218.2012.715654>
- Sausset, S., Lambert, E., Olive, T., & Larocque, D.** (2013). Flexibility of orthographic and graphomotor coordination during a handwritten copy task: effect of time pressure. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4(866). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00866>
- Sen, A.** (1999). *Development as Freedom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Snodgrass, J. G., & Vanderwart, M.** (1980). A Standardized set of 260 pictures: Norms for Name agreement, Image Agreement, Familiarity, and Visual Complexity. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 6, 174–215. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037//0278-7393.6.2.174>
- Soum-Favaro, C., Solier, C., & Perret, C.** (in press). The analysis of errors in written word and sentence production: The value of a classification for French. *Written Language and Literacy*.

PEER REVIEW COMMENTS

Journal of Open Psychology Data has blind peer review, which is unblinded upon article acceptance. The editorial history of this article can be downloaded here:

- **PR File 1.** Peer Review History. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/jopd.110.pr1>

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Perret, C., & Solier, C. (2024). Data from the Paper Entitled “Application of a Bayesian Approach for Exploring the Impact of Syllable Frequency in Handwritten Picture Naming”. *Journal of Open Psychology Data*, 12: 5, pp. 1–7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/jopd.110>

Submitted: 20 February 2024 **Accepted:** 17 April 2024 **Published:** 06 May 2024

COPYRIGHT:

© 2024 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Journal of Open Psychology Data is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by Ubiquity Press.