# A Migrant Culture on Display: The French Migrant and French Gastronomy in London (Nineteenth to Twenty-First Centuries)

Debra Kelly

Oh, Madame Prunier, you give us fishes which we wouldn't dream of eating anywhere; you call them by a funny French name, and we all adore them! (Prunier 2011, x–xi)

Que se passe-t-il dans une assiette? Que retrouve-t-on qui exprime des idées, fasse sens et permette un message? Quelle est la nature de cette matière à réflexion? Quelle emblématique pour l'empire des signes culinaires? (Onfray 156)<sup>1</sup>

# French Food Migrates to London: The French Migrant and London Food Culture<sup>2</sup>

In his social history of 'eating out' in England from the mid-nineteenth century to the turn of the twenty-first, John Burnett discusses the diffusion

- 1 Translation: 'What happens on a plate? What is found there which may express ideas, make meaning, formulate a message? What is the nature of this material for reflection? How can the empire of culinary signs be symbolised'? The philosopher Michel Onfray is making explicit reference to Barthes's L'Empire des signes (1970), and implicit reference to Barthes's methods of analysing cultural myths, their construction and circulation. These methods also underlie the approach taken in this article to representation and meaning.
- 2 This article explores some of the preliminary research for a larger project which uses French cuisine as the lens through which to analyse the French (and Francophone) experience in the British capital, historically and in the contemporary city: 'being' French in London. It considers French culinary knowledge and practice at work in the city as a material form of identity, of culture and of cultural capital and examines its place in London's constantly evolving culinary landscape: 'eating' French in London. The project maps for the first time the main events and places associated with the arrival, developing presence, integration and changing nature of French cuisine and culinary knowledge (and the changing experiences of Frenchmen and women associated with it) in London from the nineteenth to the twenty-first centuries. It also examines what reciprocal exchanges between Londoners and the French who live(d) and work(ed) in London tell us about Franco-British culinary and cultural relations and about changing cultural attitudes towards food, dining out and gastronomy . The monograph resulting from the project will be published by Liverpool University Press.

of French cuisine during a period which saw what has been called 'the triumph of French cuisine' (Ferguson). He makes the important point that it is not immediately clear why 'an alien gastronomy, the product of a traditional enemy with whom England had recently fought a long and costly war, should have become adopted as the exemplar of ultimate fashion' (Burnett 79) amongst the elites of London society. Burnett also analyses how new tastes were formed and fashionable eating became 'legitimised as a mark of sophistication and social status' (70), that is to say eating outside the home in hotels, clubs and restaurants where professional chefs were employed. In London this often meant in places staffed partly, or owned, by the French, as proprietors of restaurants in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries were often former French chefs (75).<sup>3</sup> Stephen Mennell, in his comprehensive comparative analysis of food, eating and society in France and England, writes that 'an important consequence of the prestige of French cookery in the higher social circles seems to have been the "decapitation" of English cookery' (206). What evolved, he argues, was a pattern of 'culinary "cultural dependency" – the co-existence of native and foreign styles of food with different social connotations' (204). Both Burnett and Mennell chart the complex historical processes of the development of the status of French gastronomy and its reception in England, avoiding the over-simplifications that give any impression of a history in which is inscribed the perception of British cuisine 'as an empty space waiting to be "colonised" by influences from abroad – and especially from France, where food is treated with seriousness, even reverence, at both domestic and professional levels and by producers and consumers alike' (Ashley et al. 77, 78). As Mennell writes, 'French and English cookery are not entirely separate things. They have been in mutual contact and influenced each other over a very long period' (Mennell 18).

Nonetheless, what was it about the evolution of London society and culture that made it so receptive to French gastronomy, and to what French migrants there had to offer? What was it about French gastronomy that led it to become prized by certain social groups in London, and when? Why food

3 It is important to stress London as the geographical site for this research. Warde and Martens (88–89) express surprise that the results of their analysis of eating out in Britain (notably with a focus on a northern region, but with comparative 'testing' in Bristol and London) show London to be a very different case indeed. I am not surprised by this. London votes differently, behaves differently and has a more varied population with diverse tastes and attitudes; it has an identity of its own. Contemporary French residents of the city, in interview, often readily accept the identity of 'Londoner' alongside that of French citizen (Huc-Hepher and Drake 397–400), just as do internal migrants from other parts of the UK. It is currently outside the scope of this study to compare the experience of French migrants in other parts of the UK, notably in its cities, but more comparative work would be useful in the future.

and why French food? When does it become an indicator of what Bourdieu (1984) would come to term 'cultural capital'? What social changes among the London population facilitated this? These are complex questions which are treated by varied food and cultural historians, with various, but not always clear-cut, answers emerging. There was, after all, also a long history of suspicion in England towards French food that pre-dates this dominance in the nineteenth century, and which does not disappear even in this period outside the restricted social circles of elite society and the geographical orbit of London and the Home Counties (Mennell 187). Denis Saillard, for example, has examined these exchanges, rivalries and interrelationships in Anglo-French gastronomic relations from the eighteenth century to the present; and a celebrated example is the Londoner Hannah Glasse's denunciation of French chefs and 'French trickery' in her *The Art of Cookery Made Plain and Simple* (1747), 'though her hostility did not preclude her borrowing some French recipes' (Mennell 126).

Attitudes towards the French more generally were, of course, historically ambiguous, the result of a long, shared and at times turbulent history, as for example Isabelle and Robert Tombs demonstrate in the story of the relationship between the French and the British, those 'sweet enemies', from the time of Louis XIV onwards.<sup>4</sup> French historians Diana Cooper-Richet and Michel Rapoport note that derision and undisguised admiration 'rub shoulders', and reveal the ambiguity of relations between the two countries (Cooper-Richet and Rapoport 390). Similarly, Robert Gibson writes: 'No two other countries have a heritage that has been enriched over so long a period as England and France. And no two other countries have made so powerful and protracted an impact as these two have upon the lives of one another. Over a span of almost a thousand years, no nation has had so many dealings with the English as the French' (Gibson 304).<sup>5</sup>

In charting these exchanges in culinary terms, it is difficult not to rehearse many of Mennell's convincing arguments and analyses. Amongst these is the moment frequently singled out by other food and cultural historians as the 'beginning' of the influence of French cuisine in the aftermath of the French Revolution when 'many of those who had formerly cooked for the French aristocracy sought alternative sources of income in restaurants in London (as well as in Paris and subsequently, New York' (Ashley et al. 77, using Mennell

<sup>4</sup> Isabelle and Robert Tombs write that 'this relationship is unique in the modern world, not only for its duration and the breadth of its cultural, economic and political ramifications, but also for its global consequences' (Tombs and Tombs 686).

<sup>5</sup> Indeed, the social differentiation symbolised by food in England can be traced as far back as the Normans, with the use of French terms for cooked meat and Anglo-Saxon terms for the live animal.

135–44). But ambiguities persist. In the eighteenth century, 'the food of the English gentry and prosperous farmers, depicted in the English cookery books, enjoyed a prestige of its own to which there was no equivalent at that date in France' (Mennell 102). Moreover, Nicola Humble's historical analysis of cookery books makes a good case for the confidence of English eighteenthcentury female cookery book writers. These are two crucial points, however. This English food tradition did not develop in the city: "country" traits showed considerable resilience in England' (Mennell 130), <sup>6</sup> while French haute cuisine continued to develop in a specifically courtly context for a century and half longer than in England. This was important for the development of French professional cuisine after the Revolution, which in turn had 'important consequences for English cuisine in the next century' (Mennell 133). Concurrently, the gap between professional and domestic cookery widened, together with that between male and female cook. The Napoleonic wars receded into memory, and, as Christopher Driver writes: 'After Waterloo, the climate gradually changed and British cooking of the country house kind, represented in the previous century by Eliza Smith, Hannah Glasse and others, began to look old-fashioned. Technically, it belonged to an age that was passing away' (Driver 4). The professional French male chefs in London restaurants also then wrote cookery books destined for the home, which displaced the domestic cookery books of the eighteenth century written by women. This is coupled with the persistent narrative amongst food historians and writers (for example, Driver) that good English food is more suited to production on a domestic scale.

Nonetheless, in the culinary life of London it is cultural exchange rather than displacement that remains evident. For example, the new French restaurants had precedents in the large taverns that had become fashionable for gentlemen in London in the eighteenth century. Compellingly, 'the expansion of French cuisine fits in closely with changes in the size and structure of fashionable Society in England during the nineteenth century' (Mennell 208), and with a particular cultural practice:

- 6 Mennell attributes this to the higher prestige accorded country life in England (whereas in France, the court nobility disdained the 'noblesse campagnarde' until after the Napoleonic wars) (Mennell 130).
- 7 The first restaurant established in Paris, in the last years of the Ancien Régime, was called 'La Grande Taverne de Londres'. It opened in 1782 or 1786, during a period of taste for all things English, as an approximate counterpart to the English tavern (Mennell 137–8). The chef-proprietors of English taverns also published a number of cookery books. These include, for example, John Farley's *The London Art of Cooking* (1783), which was not 'devoid of French influence', although 'overall the impression is still distinctively English', but it did not represent the 'separate, elite, professional cuisine [...] already evident in France' (Mennell 99, 100).

The wealthiest families – amounting perhaps to about 400 of the greatest landlords – were in the habit of spending three or four months in London in the winter and spring of each year. This annual migration to the capital, known as the 'London Season' had begun haphazardly in the early seventeenth century, and was firmly established by the eighteenth (F.J. Fisher, 1948); it was to endure throughout the nineteenth and survive into the twentieth. (Mennell 120)

Numbers swelled from about four hundred families in the eighteenth century to about four thousand by the end of the nineteenth, and 'with the growing number of individuals involved, the required outward manifestations of gentle status became more and more formalised and elaborate' (Mennell 208). The moment when French culinary status becoming established in London appears to crystallise around the need for visible social differentiation as competing social classes become more closely interdependent, as the middle class grows and diversifies, and as the elite strata of society expands. By the 1880s, the basis of London Society was beginning to widen, and, importantly for the notion of 'display' which frames this article, the public display of French male chefs in London restaurants coincided with the need for new forms of English social display:

With politics and public affairs withdrawn into somewhat more restricted and 'private' circles, and with the enlargement of Society as a whole, the Season and social life of the elite took on a more theatrical air involving social display in relatively more public spheres. The rise of the great hotel restaurants from the 1880s [...] is to be understood as part of this development. (Mennell 211)

In terms of culinary history, a further consequence of this receptivity to French haute cuisine would be the absence of any elite models of English cookery to follow in a market and society now dominated by French professional cookery (Mennell 211) and by French male chefs. There is, therefore, a complex process of influence, receptivity and exchange at work which appears to revolve around the meeting point of two elite cultures: the refined culture of French haute cuisine and that of the English aristocracy;<sup>8</sup> and then of the culture of the upper middle classes engaged in a further emulation of style and taste. Neither, however, is a 'subordinate' group; rather, they work together to create a London French food culture. This is a new complex cultural encounter and cultural formation, used by the English elite to differentiate itself (and sometimes by members to differentiate themselves

8 This was already true in the eighteenth century. The following of fashion extended further down the social scale than in other countries (Mennell 129). But French courtly cookery did not, although it was already in vogue in the great London houses.

from one another) socially, and which simultaneously confers status on the French migrant chef.<sup>9</sup> A new cultural fabric is created in London when these two elite cultures knit together. It is most evident in the prosperity of late nineteenth- and early twentieth-century London, although these attitudes towards French cuisine persist up to and during the Second World War, as will be seen.<sup>10</sup>

What follows here is therefore initially built on two established bodies of research, one in food history, one sociological, both placed here within the context of migration and within the notion of French culinary knowledge as an example of a migrant culture on 'display'.<sup>11</sup>

# Setting the Scene: 'Being' French and 'Eating' French in London

Broadly speaking, French migrants in numbers enough to be remarkable and to have an impact on culture and cultural production, or on certain areas of the capital, arrived in London from the seventeenth century onwards. They came first largely as religious (in the case of the Huguenot Protestant) refugees from persecution and then as political exiles, although there is also evidence of economic migrants amongst these populations. Amongst the first political exiles were Royalists escaping both the 1789 Revolution and then Napoleon I. They were followed by socialists and communists who fled subsequent governments following the restoration of the monarchy, the aftermath of the 1830 and 1848 revolutions, Napoleon III's Second Empire (1852–70), the repercussions of the Commune in 1871 and the beginnings of the Third Republic. Later in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, London became a place of refuge for French anarchists.

- 9 This is in keeping with Mennell, whose own analysis uses Norbert Elias's 'figurational' or 'sociogenetic' approach. This uses the term 'figuration' to 'denote patterns in which people are bound together in groups, strata, societies patterns of interdependence which encompass every form of cooperation and conflict [...]. Within a developing social figuration, modes of individual behaviour, cultural tastes, intellectual ideas, social stratification, political power and economic organisation are all entangled with each other in complex ways which themselves change over time' (Mennell 15). Cultural Studies is also influenced by this approach (Ashley et al.). However, I draw rather different conclusions from Driver, who sees the British surrender 'their independence to the culture of the people their armies had defeated' (Driver 4) and the 'cultural conquest of the conqueror by the conquered' (5).
- 10 These attitudes persisted despite rationing, and in a period when there was in fact more nutritional parity between the social classes (coupled with abundant testimony of terrible food).
- 11 The notion of 'display' is used broadly here as in the context of the 'visual construction of the social' and the 'everyday practices of human display' as defined in the study of visual culture (Mitchell 179).

With the exception of the Huguenots (whose legacy to English culture, from fine art and highly skilled craftsmanship in a variety of areas to political and financial institutions, is enormous and still not fully recognised), these political refugees of all persuasions largely returned to France at varying times and after various amnesties. But almost all left a legacy of some kind, and in the early twentieth century up to the Second World War there was an identifiable 'French colony' in London (Kelly and Cornick passim). Importantly, some of these migrants and exiles, even those whose primary motivation for leaving France was religious, recognised that London represented more secular opportunities. Similarly, those with radical politics not only found work in trades, but also opened businesses. One well-known example which thrives to this day is a culinary one: the patisserie Maison Bertaux in Greek Street, Soho, reputedly founded by exiled Communards.

The remark from Madame Prunier's 1938 cookery book used as the first epigraph to this article is rich in the types of cultural perceptions, experiences and assumptions which are key to any study of the place of French food, cooking, restaurants and gastronomy in British culture, 12 both for the purveyor and the consumer and, by extension, for the study of the place of the French migrant in London, both historically and today. It was made by one of the English clients of Prunier's London restaurant, 3 and is quoted by Madame Simone Prunier in her foreword to Madame Prunier's Fish Cookery Book (first published in 1938, and notably republished by Quadrille in their 'Classic Voices in Food' series in 2011). From its opening in 1934 to its closure in 1976, Prunier's remained a very fashionable seafood restaurant in London, 'continuing to offer the classic French dishes described in Fish Cookery', as Jill Norman details in her introduction to the 2011 edition. 4 The recipes are drawn from

- 12 Ory makes an essential point concerning conceptual and terminological problems in this field of study. As he notes, food, cooking, 'restauration' and gastronomy are not synonymous (Ory 9–10), although they are often used by writers interchangeably, sometimes leading to confusion in food writing and history. Added to this is the issue that culinary history and practice, and the study of gastronomy, have only been taken 'seriously' and achieved intellectual legitimacy in scholarly research relatively recently. Ory notes (with reservations) Aron and Braudel as early studies of interest. Ory himself uses the approaches of cultural history and the analysis of systems of representation to examine gastronomy as a form of material culture. It is noteworthy that Ory also wrote the section on 'La Gastronomie' in Nora, a key text for memory studies. Other works in French on gastronomy to note are Pitte and Rambourg.
- 13 'English' has been preferred in this historical context. The use of 'British' and 'English' when writing about culture across an historical timespan is, of course, a complex area. As a general guide, 'British' is used here when the context is that of the broader, more inclusive contemporary period; 'English' is used when the historical context suggests a more precisely English audience, reader, customer, etc., in that period, although these judgements are not always clear cut.
- 14 Jill Norman is a linguist, and an editor, publisher and author of books on food. She

the menus of the restaurant and the book includes chapters with advice on buying fish, as well as 'elementary rules' for cooking fish, for example, 'Fresh-Water Fish', 'Salt-Water Fish', 'Shellfish', and a note on wine and fish. It also includes 'Turtle – Frogs – Snails' (Chapter 9), which were amongst Prunier's specialities. As Norman notes, 'Turtle was strictly a restaurant preparation and one nearing its final appearance on menus by the end of the 1930s'; however, 'frogs' legs and snails have remained popular, and it is now relatively easy to buy either (usually frozen or in cans) to prepare at home' (Norman viii). It would perhaps be as well to caution that these foodstuffs may have remained 'popular' with lovers of French cooking who are confident enough – and sufficiently at ease with the culture of French cuisine – to try that type of cooking at home, and who are aware of where to buy frogs' legs and snails, even if canned or frozen. It also indicates a further layer of cultural perceptions, experiences and assumptions concerning French cooking, placed this time firmly in the domain of contemporary middle-class British food culture.

These 'frogs and snails' also represent some of the more suspect ingredients of French cooking for many British palates and attitudes, and, by extension, a way of expressing historical suspicion of the French and of their fashions, culture and tastes more generally, as previously noted.<sup>15</sup> While reference to the French as 'Frogs' is still used in British culture with varying degrees of hostility depending on context, in contemporary London it has been taken on knowingly by the French themselves. French journalists, for example, now often use the term 'Frog Valley' to describe the well-established south-west London enclaves of generally wealthy French residents of the city. The name 'Frog Valley' is also given to a London-based French organisation fostering entrepreneurship, start-ups and business development in the UK for French expatriates.<sup>16</sup>

worked closely with the hugely influential post-war food writer Elizabeth David, and is the literary trustee of the Elizabeth David Estate.

<sup>15</sup> Frogs and snails are also, of course, what some versions of the traditional English rhyme has (nasty) little boys made of (other versions includes 'snips' – a dialect word for eels – and 'slugs') together with 'puppy dogs' tails' as opposed to the 'sugar and spice and everything nice' of which little girls are made. This perhaps indicates where English taste preferences lie, and is indicative of the sweet puddings for which English cooking is traditionally known. In a wider context, an attitude of suspicion in public perceptions of what goes on in restaurants, and of those who work in them, is a more general one, not confined to attitudes towards the French (although the fact that they are working in/with food may compound it). See Fine (41–2).

<sup>16</sup> I use the term 'expatriates' here as this is used on the organisation's website. The term used for the various 'London French' varies and depends on context, who is using it and to whom it is applied, indicating the diverse and changing nature of French migration to the British capital, which is difficult to categorise amongst other migrant, economic migrant, exile and self-initiated expatriate groups.

In the context of early twentieth-century London, Madame Prunier was alert to ambiguous attitudes regarding the French, and significantly adds:

Frogs' legs are delicious fare, something like a very tiny chicken, and we shall help to remove a long-standing and popular reproach that the French eat nothing but frogs, if we come to like them ourselves! As for snails, they have to be eaten to be believed, and to those who like them they are as ambrosia. (Prunier 2011 [1938], 250)

As a female French migrant restaurateur in a largely male-dominated profession, Madame Prunier shows herself to be an accomplished cultural ambassador, placing herself both on the side of the 'deliciousness' of French cooking, and of the English cook and diner, and offering food in cultural exchange as a powerful way of overcoming rejection, fear, mistrust, loathing, prejudices and assumptions about the other. She not only maintains the integrity of her own cultural heritage, a material culture exemplified here in food, but opens it up inclusively to another culture as a shared experience. She therefore exemplifies in many ways the ambiguous position of a French migrant in London as being at once in possession of culinary knowledge and needing to negotiate complex cultural encounters in the connections between identity, food production, food purveying and food consumption. The article will return to these issues in the conclusion.

There also seems to be an important subtext underlying these exchanges between Madame Prunier, her clientele and her readers, which provides a type of 'mirror image' of the use of French gastronomy and dining out as a tool of social differentiation for the nineteenth-century English upper and upper middle classes, as discussed in the opening section of this article. French gastronomy and the French restaurant and dining experience in the early to mid-twentieth century confer on a French migrant such as Madame Prunier high cultural prestige (aligned with what Bourdieu (1984) came to term 'cultural capital'). How does such prestige circulate? Is it extended by association to other French migrants, not only those working directly in the restaurant and food business?<sup>17</sup> Does it play a significant role in English/British perceptions of them, leading perhaps to a particular view of French migration and to a particular experience of migration for the French?<sup>18</sup> Is French cultural identity 'marked' to a significant degree by certain types of culinary

<sup>17</sup> See also Chevrier (31) on the French seen as 'gastronomes' by those outside France (he notes particularly the 'Anglo-Saxon' press, a particular French term for a certain view of the English-speaking world).

<sup>18</sup> In the contemporary city we also need to ask if this experience is the same or different for the increasing numbers of Francophone migrants. Again this aspect forms part of a wider research project more fully referenced in note 2 above.

knowledge and practice?<sup>19</sup> A speculative answer to the last three questions, built on observation and anecdote,<sup>20</sup> is, for the moment, a qualified 'yes', although to answer with an evidence base requires more primary research.

What one eats and where one eats it is tightly connected to identity, politics, ideology (Fine xy), and to images of self and other, and is therefore important for all migrant communities. Indeed, the connection between identity and consumption 'gives food a central role in the creation of a community, and we use diet to convey an idea of public identity' (Fine 1). 21 As Fine's research into kitchen culture shows, while Bourdieu (1984) uses food consumption in France as an indicator of the cultural capital of the eater, it is also the case that food production is an indicator of the cultural capital of the cook (Fine 191, 263). What we eat and what we cook – and where and how we it eat it – are markers of identity, hence Bourdieu's interest in the role of cultural and symbolic capital in the process of establishing and displaying distinction. Sociological studies show that the acquisition of knowledge and the capacity to discuss food is used as evidence of the possession of cultural capital and is a way of cultivating social capital (Warde and Martens 199). Moreover, in contemporary London knowledge of cuisine is increasingly an important element of the cultural literacy of young urban professionals (Fine 155, using Zukin). This suggests a contemporary development of the nineteenth-century phenomenon of French gastronomy being used for social differentiation, although that knowledge now extends far beyond French cuisine and the class make-up is more diverse. What does not change is that identity is bound up with food, or as Jean Anthelme Brillat-Savarin (1755–1826), considered one of the founders of the gastronomic essay<sup>22</sup> in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries in France, wrote: 'Tell me what you eat and I will tell you what you are.'

- 19 Chevrier also notes French gastronomy as an important identity marker (Chevrier 11).
- 20 Ory notes the challenges for scholarly research in incorporating necessarily anecdotal history in this field (Ory 1998).
- 21 Fine is analysing kitchen culture (in America, in a specific geographical location), but makes a number of essential points regarding the link between food and ideological structures.
- 22 Ory makes clear the essential distinction to be made between gastronomy the 'rules' of eating and drinking and the art of the table and gastronomic discourse as given form, for example, in the gastronomic essay which is part of the 'code', but which talks about food, cooking and their related practices; the gastronomic writer is not necessarily an accomplished cook (Ory 1998). However, it is equally important to be aware that talking (well) about food is a vital part of the gastronomic experience as understood within French culture.

# Culinary Tales: Food and the French Migrant Experience

The contemporary French migrant population in the UK is difficult to estimate. It has attracted particular attention since early 2007 when Nicolas Sarkozy made a highly mediatised speech in London, marking the first time a French presidential candidate campaigned in Britain (Kelly [b] 438). In 2010, there were 108,999 French nationals registered at the French Consulate in London, although the Maison des Français de l'Étranger which recorded the figure then estimated that the real number of French people living in and around London was more than double that figure at 250,000. In the same year, the French Embassy in London suggested a figure close to 400,000, one that has since been repeated in the press (Huc-Hepher and Drake 391–5). This population remains absent from studies on migration, and has only recently been placed within a more complete historical trajectory (Kelly and Cornick passim). Similarly research into the migrant experience and food in the UK is only now developing in a serious way:

While research has begun to emerge on the relationship between food and ethnic identity in the USA it remains, in the case of Britain, in its infancy [...]. The absence of research on the relationship between food and migrant identity remains surprising because [...] the two have close links in Britain. (Panayi 41)<sup>23</sup>

In the first comprehensive study of the impact of immigration on the transformation of British food since the Victorian period, Panikos Panayi's *Spicing Up Britain. The Multicultural History of British Food*, the French appear to be perceived as 'a-typical' migrants. While Panayi fully recognises the importance of French influence in the nineteenth century, charting the 'rise of gastronomy' in Britain (79), <sup>24</sup> and identifying its decline during the twentieth century as food in England became internationalised (26), he goes on to state that the French migrant community 'has left little trace' (42). His study begins by focusing on the largest migrant communities in London in the nineteenth century, namely the Irish, the Jewish, and, in the Victorian and Edwardian period, the German, this latter being the most significant 'continental' minority, then virtually eradicated during the First World War (42–3). The

<sup>23</sup> Panayi notes some exceptions: Food, Health and Identity, a collection of essays edited by Pat Caplan in which ethnicity represents a minor theme; and, more importantly, Anne Kershen's Food and the Migrant Experience, considered a ground-breaking collection which approaches the subject in a variety of ways including immigrant entrepreneurship, health and ethnicity. Kershen is also, notably for the study of French migrants, the author of Strangers, Aliens and Asians: Huguenots, Jews and Bangladeshis in Spitalfields, 1600–2000.

<sup>24</sup> In this discussion he uses both Burnett and Bowden.

French are mentioned only briefly, while a section is devoted to the Italians and another to the Chinese, the only significant, though tiny, non-European group in the period. Despite Britain's long-established links with India, there was only one short-lived Indian-run eating place in London in the nineteenth century (Ehrman 78). This 'sidelining' of the French is all the more surprising since the French were historically - and remain today - highly visible in terms of a material culture exemplified in gastronomy, in cooking, in restaurants and the food business, and in food culture.<sup>25</sup> There is obviously an issue of class at stake here, and this is essential in considering the French as a migrant community. As previously explored, French gastronomy was certainly only known to, and influenced only, the upper classes during the later nineteenth century, while French migrants often worked with and for the upper classes and wealthier middle classes (for example as language and dance teachers, as tutors, milliners, couturiers and in other service sectors, besides being cooks, chefs and waiting staff). Nonetheless, key questions emerge if the French are not considered a significant migrant community in a founding text of migrant food history in Britain. What this points to is the difference in the way in which French cuisine and cooking arrived in England, notably in the nineteenth century and primarily in London, combined with the fundamental issue concerning for whom a migrant community provides foodstuffs and cooks, and where migrants themselves eat out. This certainly marks the French experience as different from the trajectories of those other communities analysed by Panayi. Yet, while French gastronomy and culinary knowledge were associated historically with the English upper and upper middle classes, 'Frenchness' is represented and consumed by many different people and in many different ways, as is the case in identity-making by many other migrant groups, particularly in an ever-diversifying global city such as London.26

Over the centuries, the presence of different French communities in London has varied significantly as far as numbers are concerned, but what does not change is their simultaneous 'visibility' and 'invisibility' in accounts of the history of the capital. Even when very visible at certain historical moments, they still often remain relatively hidden in its histories, a paradox

<sup>25</sup> A striking example of this in global terms is that in November 2010 UNESCO conferred Intangible Cultural Heritage status on the French gastronomic meal (Chevrier takes this as the starting point for his book). The precision of the 'meal' is important, not French gastronomy itself, although this is a popular perception of the award; it is the practice, ritual and ceremony of the meal that is recognised by the award, whether at home or in a restaurant.

<sup>26</sup> A more nuanced picture is needed, obtainable by looking at the French migrant experience in London mediated by social class, generation and gender.

given the cultural culinary capital which is the focus here.<sup>27</sup> A telling example of the way in which the French were and, current research suggests, still are perceived by Londoners is to be found in a 1901 three-volume conspectus on London life. Several chapters are devoted to immigrant communities (Greeks, Germans and Italians amongst others) that had made London their home. In the pages on the French, the following observation was made:

The French in London form a sober, well-behaved, industrious and law-abiding community. They give very little trouble to the police and law courts, and it is seldom that the name of a French resident obtains an unenviable notoriety in the newspapers. There are about 21,000 French sojourners in England, and about 11,000 of them in the metropolis [they are] not to be found loafing in the neighbourhood of Leicester Square and Piccadilly Circus [...]. They are to be found in City offices and warehouses, in workshops and studios, in West End establishments and shops, in schools and private families. (Villars 133)<sup>28</sup>

Yet, there are equally very different historical perceptions of other French exiles:

Their [outside observers'] growing hostility and the polemics provoked by the anarchists' presence – suspected as well as seen – turned London into a contested space. The novelty that this presence represented must also be stressed, in order to convey the sense of puzzlement expressed by contemporaries – and by the exiles themselves – upon seeing or even just imagining these hundreds of individuals recreating an anarchist 'Petite France' in the streets of Soho and Fitzrovia. Their dismay stemmed from the fear of anarchist terrorism, because of the well-established reputation of the French as *dynamitards* or *bombistes*, but also from a culture shock, as these comrades were often described as quintessentially French artisans, settling down in London in the heyday of the Victorian age. The written testimonies left by the French in London as well as the British observers of these groups testify to the same impression of strangeness and otherness, often conveyed by a close attention to details revealing cultural differences and idiosyncrasies. (Bantman 195–6)

While Escoffier was feted at the Savoy, presenting a French gastronomy very much on display, such French political exiles of Soho lived in poverty and represented a very different type of French community in London.

<sup>27</sup> See, for example, Kelly [b]. A striking example is that of the Free French in London during the Second World War, highly visible on the streets of London but difficult to track in British social histories of the period. See also Atkin (2003).

<sup>28</sup> Cited also in Atkin 185 and in Kelly and Cornick 312.

# French Migrant Experience on Display: French Food as 'Cultural Capital'

Throughout the long history of French migration to London, and despite the reputation of certain high-profile figures or prominent groups across the centuries, the French have therefore not attracted substantial attention as migrants and exiles. This is despite sporadic anti-French feeling which continues to the present (notably in some areas of the British press) but usually directed at the French in France, not at the French in the UK. There were also specific resentments in some trades in which French migrants worked at certain political flashpoints, often concerned with historic wars (the Napoleonic era being an obvious example), <sup>29</sup> and varying vaguer 'suspicions' concerning the influence of French tastes and fashions, as already discussed.

It is not the aim here to cover in detail the best-known period of the French migrant experience in its connections with French gastronomy, when French chefs and restaurants became fully established in London in the nineteenth century. But it is obviously essential to give further context to the origins of the association of French migration to London with food, and with French gastronomy. As Valerie Mars, amongst others, has shown, French cooks, chefs and their styles of cooking have had varying influences on London for centuries. Cookbooks in English translation also had a considerable influence. These include La Varenne's *Le Cuisinier François*, published in English in 1653, two years after it first appeared in France; and *The Accomplisht Cook*, or

- 29 It should be noted, however, that the French who fled the French Revolution and its aftermath were generally welcomed in London.
- 30 See, for example, Burnett 66-98, 137-64.
- 31 What follows here is largely based on secondary research. One aim of future research is to look beyond the well-known names of French gastronomy in the London of the period to investigate other French migrant experiences. For example, a man such as Adolphe 'Pépé' Cadier, who had worked with Escoffier, went on to work at Prunier's, coming out of retirement to help keep the restaurant running when younger chefs and other restaurant staff had to return to France at the beginning of the Second World War. Accounts of the lives of less fortunate French migrants in the early twentieth century are to be found in, for example, the writer and gastronome André Simon's Les Pauvres de France en Angleterre, which he wrote as a fundraiser during his time as President of The French Benevolent Society. The Society was founded in London in 1842 by Comte Alfred d'Orsay at a time when some of the intended beneficiaries had been living a precarious existence in England since the French Revolution. Simon later founded the International Wine and Food Society in London. Trade publications such as The Caterer and The London Hotel and Restaurant Employees Gazette published useful and revealing short profiles of chefs and waiters.
- 32 One early example is Pero Doulx recorded as working for Henry VIII at Hampton Court (Mars 217).
- 33 See also Panayi and especially Humble on the place, dissemination and influences of cookery books.

the Art and Mystery of Cookery, published in 1617 by Robert May, who had been sent at the age of ten to learn his trade for five years in Paris (Mars 218-19). During the seventeenth century 'French cuisine became increasingly popular amongst the middle and upper classes, and new French eating houses offered ragout (highly seasoned stewed meat and vegetables), morels, frogs, snails, sauces and new salads' (Forsyth 31) in a period which saw a rapid increase in the population of London, and an expansion of catering provision. Major French works continued to be translated throughout the eighteenth century, and nineteenth-century English food writers acknowledged French pioneers. These include the Almanach des Gourmands, edited by Alexandre Grimod de la Revnière (published between 1803 and 1812) and Jean Anthelme Brillat-Savarin's La Physiologie du goût (1825), both fundamental texts in the development of French gastronomic discourse (Ory, 1998 passim; see also note 22). The cookery book became important in the cultural transmission of French food knowledge and practice into English culture, alongside the rise of French haute cuisine in London clubs and restaurants, and the cult of the celebrity chef, the 'face' of a certain type of French migration and very much representative of French gastronomic knowledge on display:

Three major changes occurred in the nineteenth century that altered the experience of eating out in London. French haute cuisine became available to the public for the first time, restaurants created a new venue for eating out, and the hotel was re-invented to provide an environment for entertaining outside the home. These changes laid the foundations for today's experience of eating in restaurants. Only a small percentage of Londoners enjoyed genuine French cooking or eating in restaurants during the nineteenth century, but chefs like Ude, Soyer, Francatelli and Escoffier became household names. Their cookery books demonstrated excitingly different ways of preparing and presenting food. (Ehrman 69)

The hotel and restaurant trade continued to expand, and French cooks continued to prosper in kitchens and dining rooms, private and public, across the capital.<sup>34</sup> Throughout the nineteenth century the 'cachet of employing a French chef [in households, Mayfair hotels and restaurants, clubs in St James] continued, and is often described as having begun and concluded with two great French chefs: Antonin Carême (1783–1833) and Georges Auguste Escoffier (1847–1935)' (Mars 224). Carême is called 'the First Celebrity Chef' by one of his biographers (Ian Kelly). His stay in London was brief (he was

34 Another important influence was that travel to and from the continent also became more widespread after 1860. British travellers returned with new tastes for French cooking, while middle-class French travellers and residents, along with native Londoners, were also catered for in French restaurants and hotels around Leicester Square and Soho (Mars 221–3).

employed by the Prince Regent from July 1816 to late 1817), and his greater legacy lies in his published works from which a number of recipes were translated into English. His influence also endured through Charles Elmé Francatelli (1805-76), who had worked for Carême in Paris and who became chief cook and maître d'hotel to Oueen Victoria, cooked for clubs and the nobility, and published mass-circulation cookery books, such as The Modern Cook, for both the middle and working classes (Mars 225; Panayi 22). Francatelli's important contemporary was Alexis Soyer, who arrived in London after the July Revolution that removed Charles X in 1830. Chef at the Reform Club, where he designed their kitchens using the latest technology and of which he gave guided tours, he also organised well-publicised dinners and banquets. He famously aided Florence Nightingale in the Crimean War, and had earlier created soup kitchens in Dublin - which fed the poor during the Irish potato famine (1845–9) – and then in Spitalfields, London at the government's request. Soyer wrote cookery books aimed at the working classes, titled The Poor Man's Regenerator (1848) and Sover's Shilling Cookery for the People (1854).<sup>35</sup> He also wrote *The Modern Housewife* (1849), which was a best-seller in the middle-class market.

A number of other clubs with French chefs opened from the early nineteenth century onwards. Louis-Eustache Ude, who had been apprenticed in the kitchens of Louis XVI, travelled to England like many cooks and chefs following the break-up of aristocratic French households during the Revolution, and was eventually appointed chef to Crockford's, the fashionable London gambling club, in 1828. Other sites with French chefs included Watier's Club, Piccadilly, established in 1807, and Grillion's Hotel in Albemarle Street, opened by Alexander Grillion in 1813. Jean Escudier's Pulteney Hotel opened in Piccadilly in 1814, while Louis Jacquier's Clarendon Hotel opened in Old Bond Street in 1815. In the same year Jacques Mivart established a hotel on the corner of Brook Street and Davies Street, which would become Claridge's in 1896; and Watier's Club was rebuilt and became first the Coburg Hotel and then (as now) the Connaught (Mars 233-4). The clientele of Escoffier - also claimed by his biographer as 'the first great star of modern cooking' (James) - included Edward VII as well as the leaders of society and fashion during the period. His partnership with César Ritz at the Savoy established French haute cuisine as an essential part of the luxury hotel.

Apart from restaurants in hotels, the number of French-owned restaurants had increased in the second half of the nineteenth century. Almost all the menus in Nathanial Newnham-Davis's Dinners and Diners: Where and How to

<sup>35</sup> Panayi sees today's Jamie Oliver as the contemporary Soyer, in relation to his campaigning for improved diets (Panayi 25).

Dine in London (1899) are in French (Mars 38). One well-known and long-lasting example is the Café Royal, which was first opened in Glasshouse Street in 1865 by Daniel Nicolas Thévenon, before expanding into Air Street and Regent Street by 1885. Another long-established survivor is L'Escargot, which opened originally in 1894 as Le Bienvenu, and changed its name in 1927 when it moved up the street to number 48. Here the owner, Georges Gaudin, raised his own snails in the cellar. It continues today, albeit changed. Kettner's opened in Romilly Street in 1867, and closed only recently in January 2016. Together with the Maison Bertaux, which opened in Greek Street in 1871, these establishments represent a tangible link to very different aspects of the legacy of French migration to London.

There was also, however, an association with French food that was not only for the upper-class restaurant diner, in the association of a different kind of French restaurant with London's more bohemian and artistic milieux. In the early twentieth century, Soho and the area north of Oxford Street (later known as Fitzrovia) were key in this respect, with 'small, continental restaurants frequented by artists, philosophers and poets'; and the attraction of restaurants such as the Café Boulogne, Béguinot and Schmidt's in Charlotte Street was not only the bohemian ambience, but also the very cheap meals (Ross 89). The prestige and popularity of the French gastronomic expertise also continued into the 1920s in elite society, notably with Xavier Marcel Boulestin who opened the simply named Restaurant Français in Leicester Square in 1925. This moved to Covent Garden in 1927 as the Restaurant Boulestin, and remained open until 1986, despite its owner's death in France towards the end of the Second World War. Boulestin is another claimed as the first modern celebrity chef:

Besides offering authentic French haute cuisine, as opposed to the bourgeois and often Italianate version found in Soho, Boulestin wrote a cookery column in *Vogue*, ran a cookery school at Fortnum and Mason's and in 1937 became the nation's first television chef when he recorded the BBC's first cookery programme. (Ross 91–92)

Boulestin's restaurant was also famous for its 'modern Parisian' design with panels painted by Jean Laboureur and Marie Laurencin, and fabric designed by Raoul Dufy, and the display, and indeed theatricality, of this French restaurant is evident. *The Restaurants of London* (1928) described the space as:

A modern Parisian restaurant in decoration and a luxurious one at that. The carpet is wine colour, the curtains are of patterned yellow brocade; over the mantelpiece is a painting of a dinner table [...] the lounge portion of the room is illuminated and decorated by a square of hanging silk balloon lights. In a prominent place is an immense bottle of 1869 liqueur brandy

de la maison, a graceful reminder that the place studies drink equally with meat.<sup>36</sup> (Hooton-Smith 47)

Like Madame Prunier's cookery book referred to earlier, Boulestin's *Simple French Cooking for English Homes*, first published in 1923, was republished in 2011 in Quadrille's 'Classic Voices in Food' series, testament to the enduring appeal of classic French cooking to the British food writing and cookery book market. Jill Norman notes in the introduction: 'Established as the expert on simple French food and cooking, his books paved the way for later 20th century writers. [...] He was the most imaginative and liberating food writer of his time. Elizabeth David acknowledged her debt to him' (Norman ix). The food writer and restaurant critic Matthew Fort wrote in *The Guardian* on 10 November 1999 that 'the modern cook book began in the 1920s with an immigrant Frenchman, Marcel X Boulestin'. However, like Madame Prunier who arrived a little later, it is unlikely that Boulestin thought of himself as an 'immigrant'.

The importance of such established French (and sometimes only apparently French) restaurants, and therefore of the culinary legacy of the French in London, remains evident within the context of Second-World-War London and is revealing for two reasons. First, the fact that a new, very different set of French migrants was able to attach itself to the spaces and places of the capital already associated with earlier French migrant communities indicates the established nature of the culture of the now rapidly changing French 'colony'. Second, the war represents a turning point in British patterns of eating out, and of eating more generally. As John Burnett points out, 'one of the strange ironies' of the Second World War is that more people ate out than in any other period of British history (not necessarily for pleasure, frequently out of necessity), and probably did not do so again until very recently (Burnett 226).<sup>37</sup>

The number of London restaurants and hotels associated with the Free French is impressive: the Connaught and the Savoy for De Gaulle; the Rubens, Rembrandt, Hyde Park, Waldorf, Grosvenor, Kensington Palace, Mount Royal at Marble Arch (the list goes on), for figures such as Jean Moulin, Captain Rémy, Muselier, Pierre-Bloch. Historic late nineteenth- and early twentieth-century restaurants mentioned in memoirs, diaries and letters include Soho's Le Berlemont, which is still going strong today in another guise above the 'French Pub' in Dean Street. This was actually Belgian and not French, and was in fact German in origin (being 'French' and not French is an issue to which this article will return in the final section), and also Chez Victor and Chez Rose, both in Soho, the latter also Belgian and well known for its (horse)

<sup>36</sup> Prunier's, which opened in 1934, was in the same line of 'fine cuisine and smart design' (as was the Italian Quaglino's, also in St James, which opened in 1929).

<sup>37</sup> See also Panayi on changes in British eating habits in the war (95–122), and Driver (16–37).

steak and chips. A novel written in the period also describes the significance of these places:

Arguing strategy and politics over a restaurant table had proved the salt of their *émigré* existence. They liked the atmosphere of Soho [...]. The French sailors leaning against lampposts ogling the girls, they found an echo of home at Berlemont's where aperitifs were served, continental fashion, and afterwards they would go to their favourite restaurants to be greeted amicably by the *patronne*. (Henrey 132)<sup>38</sup>

In other parts of London the grander Prunier's in St James's Street, L'Ecu de France in Jermyn Street, and Le Coq d'Or in Stratton Street (all in Mayfair) and L'Escargot in Greek Street (Soho) are mentioned (Debra Kelly [a] 315–16).<sup>39</sup> Strikingly, the effects of the high cultural capital of French knowledge about food and dining, and therefore of apparently 'being French', is exemplified in the account of a young female British volunteer with the Free French Forces and temporary war-time Londoner:

One thing I noticed wherever we [including with male companions] went in the restaurants, with my being in French uniform, it was me the waiters consulted for the choice of dishes, wines, etc., Everyone seems fond of, and strives to emulate the French somehow. Their opinions as regards food, etc., are much respected. I have gained a good deal of assurance through this, and I'm glad.<sup>40</sup>

- 38 One of a trilogy of novels by Mrs Robert Henrey (Madeleine Henrey née Gal), set in wartime London which provide a vivid, partially autobiographical portrait of experiences in the city by a pre-war migrant Frenchwoman married to an Englishman (also cited in Debra Kelly [a] 326).
- 39 Madame Simone Prunier, the grand-daughter of its founder and whose recipe book provided the epigraph here, wrote an invaluable account of the origins and development of the Prunier restaurants in both Paris and London, *La Maison. The History of Prunier's*. Her awareness of the importance of the place of the restaurant in a history that extends beyond the gastronomic is evident (258; 273–74).
- 40 Private Papers of Lesley Boyde, née Gerrard (IWM Documents 270). Originally from Douglas on the Isle of Man, and a member of the Free French Corps de Volontaires Françaises in Second-World-War London, she spent time in France before the war and much preferred life in the French women volunteers' barracks to the training in the British Auxiliary Territorial Service (ATS). In her letters to her family, she describes many of her rather good meals, served with wine, at Moncorvo House and later in Hackin House, home to the French women volunteers, both in Ennismore Gardens, Kensington. There is a great deal to extrapolate from this experience and observation, not least that it runs counter to the sometimes Francophobic discourse which seeps into British cultural, social and political life in a more or less extreme form both historically and today (even in gastronomic matters). This experience described by Boyde is partially to do with the relationship between the Free French and Londoners during the Second World War, which remained generally positive, but the detail of that history is not the focus here (see Debra Kelly [a] 333–4).

During the early to mid-twentieth century, the place of French haute cuisine had remained 'secure' as the 'ideal cuisine for elite dining' (Mars 239). In the 'culinary revolution' since 1945 (Panayi 124–80), the post-war British culinary landscape would change radically in a comparative short space of time. The taste for French bourgeois cookery nonetheless endured, often based on that from an earlier century, and in fact expanded in the 1950s with the popularity amongst the British middle classes of Elizabeth David's French Country Cooking (1951) and French Provincial Cooking (1960). In the 1960s, with the growth of a new youth culture and more informality in eating out and social occasions, inexpensive, simpler and less formal French cookery became popular through 'the new bistros' (Mars 39), whose popularity again also transferred into cookery books. This trend has continued. Raymond Blanc (again a television chef, as well as a guardian of haute cuisine and owner of cookery schools, brasseries, patisseries) is but one more recent example of the legacy of a taste for 'simpler' French cooking, as shown by his Simple French Cookery (Step by Step to Everyone's Favourite French Recipes). The reader is invited to 'recreate authentic French family cooking in your kitchen with simple-to-follow recipes' and 'enjoy the unfussy simplicity of Moules marinières or French onion soup, or try dinner-party favourites such as Coq au vin and Gratin dauphinois' (Blanc, back cover). Furthermore, Blanc claims that 'this book will dispel the myth that French cuisine is difficult to master' (7), so echoing the earlier French migrant Marcel Boulestin's argument that 'French cooking is not, as some people seem to think, complicated, rich and expensive. [...] Most of the recipes it [this cookery book] contains are simple and the dishes easy to make' (Boulestin xii-xiii).41 French food knowledge and practice, with its cultural cachet intact, is apparently perfectly integrated into English culture, into the English home and within reach of the abilities of the average English (later, British) cook. This 'simplicity' is a long way from Escoffier's nineteenthand early twentieth-century display and elaborate Savoy menus. But French gastronomy will also retain its haute cuisine status for as long as Raymond Blanc prepares menus at Le Manoir aux Quat' Saisons; or for as long as Michel Roux Junior, son and nephew of the Roux brothers who opened Mayfair's Le Gavroche in 1967, continues their legacy in his restaurants while at the same time producing cookery books for the wider British public and reaching large audiences on television programmes such as the BBC's 'Masterchef: The Professionals' (2008-13) and 'Food and Drink' (2013).

41 This emphasis on French food not being expensive is an important cultural insight and is one that contemporary French chefs in the British market use by operating across a range of restaurants and media. Mennell identifies attitudes towards *economy* as being prevalent in English writing on food, and 'one of the distinguishing marks of English culinary culture in comparison with the French' (Mennell 190).

# French Food and the French Migrant in Twenty-First-Century 'Global' London

Viewing the migrant experience through the lens of food culture presents a new challenge in the contemporary period owing to the explosion of world food available across London, which now pitches itself as a 'global city'. A further challenge is presented by accessibility to varied food cultures via television and many other media channels, cookery books, blogs and websites, and the growth of 'foodie culture' in a British culinary landscape which is unrecognisable if compared to that of three decades ago:

The story of eating out in London holds a mirror to the changing wealth and social style of the city. There is much change; there is much continuity [...]. London's infinite capacity for absorption and assimilation, its spirit of entrepreneurialism and its role in the world marketplace have filled Londoners' pocket and stomachs. Eating out is a fundamental part of the social, economic and cultural life of the capital. (Thurley 6)

From the second half of the twentieth century, cuisines from around the world have flourished in London in increasing number and variety. As Cathy Ross writes of the late twentieth century:

Eating out in London during the twentieth century can be seen as the maturing of trends that began in the nineteenth century. However change was on a more spectacular scale. London ends the twentieth century with the title 'Restaurant capital of the world', a city where eating out is the social norm for almost 7 million Londoners, all of whom, statistically, spend an average of £700 a year in the pursuit of pleasure through the productions of professional cooks. (Ross 87)

Before the First World War, London's West End offered just a few German, one Chinese and one Indian restaurant, alongside the French and Italian cuisines that dominated there. By the Second World War, these had been joined by Greek, Turkish, Palestinian, Jewish, Russian, Spanish, Japanese, Brazilian, Hungarian and Egyptian, while now 'today's gastronomic network encompasses the globe' (Ross 87–88). What is the place of French food, and of the French migrant in all of this? French gastronomy and cooking, and French influences, still flourish, from the established bourgeois cooking of Mon Plaisir in Monmouth Street, Covent Garden, opened in the 1940s, <sup>42</sup> to

42 The Mon Plaisir website (incorrectly) claims it to be 'London's oldest French restaurant' as 'a purveyor of the finest French cuisine for over half a century'. It goes on to declare that 'the entente cordiale hits you as you enter and the French atmosphere is as thick as the waiters' French accents'. The French migrant also has to contend with cliché and stereotype.

the haute cuisine served at Le Gavroche in Mayfair since 1967, although the evolving menus tell their own story.<sup>43</sup>

There is also the arrival in London of Francophone cooking from North Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa, Lebanon and Vietnam which offer a diverse range of migrant experience to consider. There was already a North African restaurant, Le Petit Prince, in Kentish Town as far back as the early 1980s, even if the website of the much-acclaimed Momo restaurant and bar claims that when its founder, Mourad Mazouz, arrived in London in 1995, 'among the first things that he did was look for a place to eat his homely couscous', but he could not find one, and so opened his own.

Recently arrived French restaurants in London still often display their 'Frenchness', sometimes with few concessions to 'British' tastes, or sometimes combined with Englishness in intriguing ways for the study of migration, food, material culture and cultural exchange. One example is Terroirs in King William IV Street, Covent Garden, which opened in late 2008.<sup>44</sup> The concept which gives the restaurant its name is resolutely French, although they also serve natural wines from Spain, Portugal, Corsica, Italy and Sicily. 45 As a further indicator of French food and the place of the French migrant in globalised London, observation shows that front-of-house staff are currently international. Another restaurant (now closed) in that group retained the very English name of the former pub site it occupied in St Martin's Lane, the Green Man and French Horn, but it specialised very specifically in Loire Valley food and wine, representing a symbol of Franco-British food culture exchanges in the twentyfirst century. Like Terroirs, Soif in Battersea Rise (near Clapham Junction), another restaurant in the group, uses a very French name. But the food and, above all, the style of serving with the option of currently fashionable 'small plates' again shows it to be fusing varied aspects of London food culture.

Similarly, the Compagnie des Vins Surnaturels (CVS) has a wine bar in Neal's Yard, again in Covent Garden (food culture is also important in charting the evolving geography of 'French London').<sup>46</sup> This opened in December 2013,

- 43 See note 54 on this point.
- 44 On the concept of 'terroir' see, for example, Demossier.
- 45 Natural wine is wine made without chemicals and with minimum technological intervention in growing grapes and making them into wine. The term is used to distinguish such wine from organic wine and biodynamic wine because of differences in cellar practices. All natural wines are, however, farmed organically at a minimum and many growers are biodynamic in the vineyard as well. In the Terroirs group, there are now three restaurants owned by the wine company Les Caves de Pyrène: Terroirs, Soif in Battersea Rise, and Toasted in East Dulwich.
- 46 It is owned by The Experimental Group which also has the Experimental Cocktail Club in Gerrard Street, Soho (some London French geography remains the same, this time with an English language name). There is also a Compagnie des Vins Surnaturels wine bar in rue Lobineau. Paris.

offering food that is 'French-inspired but [uses] British produce', including 'Mountain Chicken', a dish of buttermilk-fried frogs' legs, which, with other ingredients and recipes that also endure from earlier times, recalls Madame Prunier's recommendation of frogs' legs. Their latest opening, Le Joyeux Bordel, established in April 2015, displays its 'Frenchness' with a name which translates rather awkwardly into English (they use 'happy mess'). This is a confident linguistic intervention by a young generation of French restaurant entrepreneurs, but is open to misunderstanding by those without a good knowledge of French. As well as providing an indication of how French migrants in the restaurant sector position the business of French food – and themselves – in the globalised market of London, these enterprises again provide insight into the experience of the French migrant in twenty-firstcentury London. CVS female sommelier, Julia Oudill, pointed out in a recent interview that she finds working in the UK far easier than her native France: 'In Paris, I had a lot of hostility; you're not taken seriously as a young woman. They would ask to see the sommelier. Even though I was one' (Mesure). Oudill is part of a growing wave of women taking on the traditionally maledominated role of wine waiter, and her experience of the perceived openness of London compared to Paris is echoed by young French migrants in all work sectors, from the professional, highly educated and highly skilled elite in the City of London to the more casual worker in Paul, the French-owned bakery and patisserie chain which has spread across London (Huc-Hepher and Drake).

On the world restaurant stage, while French chefs still have enormous prestige, they do not necessarily always now top the world restaurant 'league tables' but rather compete with arrivals such as the Catalans, Basques and Scandinavians. It is important for a chef with global reach such as Joël Robuchon (Paris, Hong Kong, Las Vegas, Macao, Monaco, Singapore, Taipei, Tokyo) or Alain Ducasse (Paris, New York, Monaco, Gstaad, Tokyo, Las Vegas, Beirut, Hong Kong, Mauritius) to have a restaurant in London, while Hélène Darroze at the Connaught (a place with historical French resonance) since 2008 is notable as a female chef with international reach. 'French' still sells in the restaurant world despite concurrent French anxiety concerning the place of France and French culture in a globalised world.<sup>47</sup> But the most ostensibly 'French' may not be French, or French in origin, at all. This phenomenon is a further essential element in understanding the experiences, and the perceptions by the host culture, of the French migrant in London and of how 'French' culinary knowledge and capital is displayed. The Café Rouge chain describes

<sup>47</sup> This is portrayed and parodied by Michel Houllebecq's Goncourt Prize novel, *La Carte et le territoire*, 2010. Sincere thanks to Ruth Cruickshank, Royal Holloway University of London, for pointing out this out to me and for our early discussions about representations of French gastronomy in the twenty-first-century global market.

itself, for example, as 'a taste of France', offering 'authentic Parisian bistro culture [and] inimitable French hospitality style in over 100 locations across Britain' (websites). But it was founded by the very un-French-named Roger Myers and Karen Jones in 1989, and is now owned by the Tragus Group, which also operate Bella Italia and Strada. Café Rouge luxuriates in a fantasy French bistro experience, as does, on a smaller scale, Brasserie Zedel, opened by the owners of the Wolsey and the Delaunay. Another newer chain in the same vein is Côte, which is 'inspired by new trends in Paris [...] dedicated to serving authentic dishes with a modern twist [...]', on whose menu are 'brasserie favourites such as steak frites and tuna Niçoise' (website). Luc Dubanchet, founder of the French food magazine *Omnivore*, talking about the generally well-received Brasserie Zedel in London, notes the irony: 'There are so many French copies. Even here in France there are French copies. Why another one? [...] You have to be careful you don't get too complacent. Otherwise, you will wake up and find that you're, well, French' (Harries).<sup>48</sup>

However, the 'apparently French' is big business in the UK, and this adds a layer of complexity to the realities of 'being French' in London. Côte was launched in 2007 by Andrew Bassdone (who, formerly of Caprice Holdings, developed Strada restaurants) and Chris Benians. It has some forty-five UK sites and is owned by a private equity company. A further example is the opening by the owners of the critically acclaimed Arbutus (Soho) and Wild Honey (Marylebone) of the very French-named and French-styled 'Parisian-inspired brasserie' Les Deux Salons, again in King William IV Street, Covent Garden. This is near Terroirs, and has taken on an even more French identity since being acquired by Prescott and Conran.

On the other hand, in twenty-first-century London the apparently not French may be French-owned. For example, Bordeaux-born Bruno Loubet's Grain Store in newly redeveloped King's Cross is a very different venture to his earlier Bistro Bruno in Soho (1993–5) and to his current Bistro Bruno Loubet in Clerkenwell, a restaurant which fully displays its 'Frenchness'. Grain

- 48 Luc Dubanchet is the founder of *Omnivore*, which describes itself as '100% jeune [young] cuisine'. To date, there are four editions of the *Omnivore Food Book*. These proclaim themselves as 'born of the observation, around the year 2000, that French gastronomy was no longer really anywhere [...]. It lacked imagination, was too certain in its achievements and too sure of itself' (publication websites).
- 49 Private equity has long been in love with the restaurant business: 'you can't eat out online', they say.
- 50 Les Deux Salons was reopened by Prescott and Conran in June 2015. On 14 July 2015 it celebrated 'La Fête Nationale'; in August 2015 the restaurant proposed a 'French getaway' with summer dishes from southern France; during 'la période des fêtes' in 2015, it invited diners to 'have [yourself] a very French Christmas; 'tis the season to be jolly... and French!'; in January 2016 it offered the 'Galette des Rois'; and each Sunday it proposes 'le déjeuner du dimanche en famille'.

Store and the Bistro together say much about the French migrant and French gastronomy in globalised London. Historically, French restaurants and restaurant sites have always evolved in London, with and without French restaurateurs: one example is the La Tour Eiffel at 1 Percy Street, Fitzrovia. It began in 1908 as a French restaurant run by an Austrian chef, Rudolph Stulik, and was notably the meeting place for the Imagist poets, Wyndham Lewis and the Vorticists – with a private dining room decorated by Wyndham Lewis in 1915 – and for others of London's avant-garde. It then became London's leading Greek restaurant. By 1999 it was Bam Bou, a French-Vietnamese restaurant with a Parisian-trained chef serving a menu of globally influenced Asian dishes with an international wine list. As Ross states: 'No 1 Percy Street offers two broad conclusions about the last hundred years. First, change has been intimately bound up with the immigrant tale; and secondly, change in eating out mirrors the unprecedented social, cultural and economic shifts that the century has seen' (Ross 100). The experiences of French gastronomy and of the French migrant in London have necessarily evolved with these changes.

# The French Migrant and French Gastronomy in London

The French can therefore be considered highly 'visible' in London in terms of a material culture exemplified in food knowledge and the restaurant experience. Indeed, theirs is an identity often adopted by others who are not French. In the metropolitan, multicultural city, the French still frequently make themselves known by their gastronomic culture and are often 'known' by it, even if in the global marketplace of twenty-first-century London gastronomic identities are commercially and socially shape-shifting.

Nonetheless, ambiguities persist around these French migrants, and about their contributions to and impact on London. As noted previously, France is considered by some observers to be currently experiencing some kind of identity and cultural confidence crisis, along with its economic crisis in the global recession, clinging to traditions and to a way of life, and considered by some to be thinking that it has more to lose than to gain by change. This may well be a generational attitude. There is plenty of evidence of a vibrant youth culture in Paris (and elsewhere in France) from art to music to food, with the new 'bistronomie' and 'Le Fooding', for example, despite the mixed reception which they sometimes receive, particularly inside France.<sup>51</sup> One

51 The concept of 'bistronomie', a portmanteau of 'bistrot' and of 'gastronomy', represents a move away from classic haute cuisine towards fine cooking (often a more experimental type of cooking and with more 'global', especially Asian/Japanese, flavours than traditional French gastronomy), offered in relaxed surroundings and at more afford-

thing that such movements do highlight is that food culture is also political culture in France. As the American journalist and cultural and culinary commentator Adam Gopnik has observed, at times Le Fooding 'appears determined to wrench the entire culture of good food in France from its historic place, on the nationalist right, to a new home, in the libertarian center'. <sup>52</sup> In London, the 'French copies' (often not French in origin) derided by Luc Dubanchet thrive, as does the French style that has been mechanically copied over and over, according to Alexandre Cammas of 'Le Fooding'. However, newer culinary culture and attitudes which shake the complacency of being 'too French' are also evident. The presence of the French migrant is apparent in both displays of French gastronomy. <sup>53</sup>

For centuries London has provided a place of refuge and/or opportunity to very different French men and women from across the political spectrum, of differing religious and social beliefs, and from different social classes. Across the places and spaces of London, the sites of the London French continue to evolve, and food – what, where and how it is produced, sold, bought, prepared and consumed, and by whom – is a key site for understanding the relationship between French migrant identity and a French material culture displayed in culinary knowledge. Food plays a significant role consistently throughout migration history, and its specific agency varies with shifting historical and political conditions. Migrants wish to eat the food of their homelands, and in many patterns of migration they establish shops to supply them and places to eat them. As they become settled and new generations are born, these foods are frequently adapted to the foods of the host culture

able prices. Places serving this kind of cooking are often to be found in areas of Paris now fashionable with a younger clientele, such as the 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> arrondissements. 'Le Fooding', combining 'food' with 'feeling' is an annual food guide (print, online, app) which began in 2000, and which departs from what it sees as the gastronomic *status quo* of French food. It champions a 'liberation' from traditional codes and conventions to give chefs the possibility of more self-expression in more contemporary food, although it also focuses on the experience of consumers. Founded by Alexandre Cammas and Emmanuel Rubin, French journalists and food critics, it often receives more praise (seen as reinventing the French culinary scene) outside France than within it. Cammas says he liked the 'provocation' of using an English word within the context of French cuisine. Gopnik discerns more of an 'Americanization' in the movement without it becoming American, and uses the analogy of French New Wave cinema.

<sup>52</sup> Adam Gopnik lived with his family for five years in Paris as documented in his series of essays Paris to the Moon. He is also the author of The Table Comes First: France, Family and the Meaning of Food (2011).

<sup>53</sup> Conversely in Paris, it is young migrant American, British, Australian and Japanese chefs who are currently renewing French cuisine and its reputation. See, for example, Michael Steinberger, 'Can anyone save French food?' *New York Times*, 28 March 2014. I am again grateful to Ruth Cruickshank for drawing my attention to this article. Steinberger is the author of *Au Revoir to All That: Food, Wine and the End of France* (2010).

and other neighbours (Panayi 9). The pattern of French cuisine in London is a rather different one. There is an enormous distance travelled from the dominance of French food in nineteenth-century London, mediated to the English elites by a very specific set of cooks and chefs, to its multifaceted identities in twenty-first-century multicultural London. What has changed for the French - and now Francophone - migrant, and what has remained the same? In the display of French gastronomy in the British capital, 'Frenchness' veers between cliché and renewal. It fights for a place with other food traditions and forms of culinary knowledge that are taken up in the eclectic culture of twenty-first-century London – the popularity of Japanese and Asian cooking, and the evolution and current prominence of Spanish, Catalan and Basque food, to give but two examples. Indeed, French food in London and elsewhere may appear to be under siege by increased internationalisation. Examining the intersection between the changing nature of the place of French food in the British capital, and the changing experiences of the migrants associated with it, broadens our understanding of conceptions, experiences and perceptions of migration, migrant identities and reciprocal cultural exchanges more generally. However, the restaurant and the relationship to food more generally is a complex site of power relations, of taste, of social and personal esteem, of tradition, of cultural habit, of rites and rituals and of modes of behaviour strictly codified in both migrant and host culture, of social control, of public identity, of seeing and being seen, of new experiences (and some fear of these). The restaurant is, as contemporary restaurateur Ruth Rogers, chef proprietor of London's River Café, says, '[a place where people [do] very private things in a very public space' (Rogers). There are social inequalities and social distinctions at play, expectations associated with educational and occupational practice, complex emotional interactions between purveyors and consumers of food, and economic and social implications in the practice of eating out which is both a form of 'entertainment' and leisure and an expression of taste and status (Warde and Martens 69-70). The social activity of cooking, and especially of dining out, is replete with displays of knowledge – both culinary and linguistic – and of cultural literacy.<sup>54</sup> While

54 The issue of the language of culinary knowledge and the development of menus is a fascinating one, and a full discussion of evolving French culinary terminology in London will be a focus of a chapter in the forthcoming monograph based on this research. I acknowledge and thank the anonymous reviewer of the first version of this article for insisting on this point. As was noted, the role of the French language in London's culinary world is an essential factor in France's influence that arguably supersedes that of the individual dishes that come and go. Many people in England could read the French terminology of menus in the early twentieth century (relating to the teaching of French at home and schools). The role of the French language in more recent food history is also part of this story (for example 'Le Fooding') in the context of the French language's role in the

the explicit cultural capital of those associated with culinary knowledge and gastronomy may inflect British perceptions of French migrants and their own perceptions of themselves, this does not make necessarily for a more 'comfortable' migratory experience. The French migrant who operates in this space of socially and politically charged activity is required to negotiate a space of complex meanings and relationships.

#### Works Cited

Aron, Jean-Paul. Le Mangeur du XIXe siècle. Paris: Robert Laffont, 1973. Print.

Ashley, Bob, Joanne Hollows, Steve Jones, and Ben Taylor. *Food and Cultural Studies*. London: Routledge, 2004. Print.

Atkin, Nick. *The Forgotten French: Exiles in the British Isles*, 1940–44. Manchester and New York: Manchester University Press, 2003. Print.

Bantman, Constance. "Almost the Only Free City in the World": Mapping Out the French Anarchist Presence in London, Late 1870s–1914.' Kelly and Cornick 193–215. Print.

Barthes, Roland. L'Empire des signes. Paris: Gallimard, 1970. Print.

Blanc, Raymond. Simple French Cookery (Step by Step to Everyone's Favourite French Recipes). London: BBC Books, 2002. Print.

Boulestin, Marcel. Simple French Cooking for English Homes. London: Quadrille, 2011 [1923]. Print.

Bourdieu, Pierre. *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1984. Print.

Bowden, Gregory Houston. *British Gastronomy. The Rise of the Great Restaurants*. London: Chatto and Windus, 1975. Print.

Braudel, Fernand. *Civilisation matérielle, économie et capitalisme*. Paris: Armand Colin, 1979. Print.

Brillat-Savarin, Jean Anthelme. La Physiologie du goût. Paris: Sautelet, 1825. Print.

Burnett, John. England Eats Out: A Social History of Eating Out in England from 1830 to the Present. London: Routledge, 2004. Print.

Caplan, Pat. Food, Health and Identity. London: Routledge, 1997. Print.

Chevrier, Francis. Notre gastronomie est une culture. Paris: François Bourin, 2011. Print. Cooper-Richet, Diana, and Michel Rapoport. L'Entente Cordiale: cents ans de relations culturelles franco-britanniques, 1904–2004. Paris: Créaphis, 2006. Print.

David, Elizabeth. French Country Cooking. London: John Lehmann Ltd., 1951. Print.

David, Elizabeth. French Provincial Cooking. London: Michael Joseph, 1960. Print.

Demossier, Marion. 'Beyond Terroir: Territorial Construction, Hegemonic Discourse and French Wine Culture.' Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute

continuing shifts in gastronomy worldwide. And although disputed, and featuring more three-star restaurants in Japan than in France, the Michelin Guide is French, indicating a continuing presence in the language and aesthetics of the restaurant world.

- 17.4 (2011): 685-705. Print.
- Demossier, Marion. 'The Europeanization of Terroir: Consuming Place, Tradition and Authenticity.' *European Identity and Culture. Narratives of Transnational Belonging.* Eds. Rebecca Friedman and Markus Thiel. Farnham: Ashgate, 2012. 119–36. Print.
- Driver, Christopher. *The British at Table* 1940–1980. London: Chatto and Windus; The Hogarth Press, 1983. Print.
- Ehrman, Edwina. '19th Century.' *London Eats Out. 500 Years of Capital Dining.* London: Museum of London and Philip Wilson Publishers, 1999. 68–85. Print.
- Elias, Norbert. *The Civilising Process* [1939]. Vol. I: *The History of Manners*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1978. Print.
- Ferguson, Priscilla Parkhurst. Accounting for Taste: The Triumph of French Cuisine. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2004. Print.
- Fine, Gary Alan. *Kitchens. The Culture of Restaurant Work.* Berkeley: University of California Press, 2009 [1996]. Print.
- Fisher, F. J. 'The Development of London as a Centre of Conspicuous Consumption in the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries.' *Transactions of the Royal Historical Society*, 4th series, 30 (1948): 37–50. Print.
- Forsyth, Hazel. '17th Century.' London Eats Out. 500 Years of Capital Dining. London: Museum of London and Philip Wilson Publishers, 1999. 30–49. Print.
- Francatelli, Charles Elmé. The Modern Cook. London: n.p., 1845. Print.
- Gibson, Robert. Best of Enemies: Anglo-French Relations since the Norman Conquest. London: Impress Books, 2004 [1995]. Print.
- Glasse, Hannah. The Art of Cookery Made Plain and Easy. London: n.p. 1747. Print.
- Gopnik, Adam. 'No Rules! Is Le Fooding, the French Culinary Movement, More than a Feeling?' *Annals of Gastronomy*, 5 April 2010. Web. Accessed 15 December 2015.
- Grimod de la Reynière, Alexandre, ed. Almanach des Gourmands. Paris: Maradan, 1803–12. Print.
- Harries, Rhiannon. 'Bistronomie.' *The Independent on Sunday*, 7 October 2012. Print. Henrey, Robert (Mrs). *The Siege of London*. London: Temple Press, 1946. Print.
- Hooton-Smith, Eileen. *The Restaurants of London*. London: Alfred E. Knopf, 1928. Print. Houllebecq, Michel. *La Carte et le territoire*. Paris: Flammarion, 2010. Print.
- Huc-Hepher, Saskia, and Helen Drake. 'From the 16ème to South Ken? A Study of the Contemporary French Population in London.' Kelly and Cornick 391–429.

  Print
- Humble, Nicola. *Culinary Pleasures. Cookbooks and the Transformation of British Food.* London: Faber and Faber, 2004. Print.
- James, Kenneth. Escoffier. The King of Chefs. London: Hambledon and London, 2002. Print.
- Kelly, Debra [a]. 'Mapping Free French London: Places, Spaces, Traces.' Kelly and Cornick 303–41. Print.
- Kelly, Debra [b]. 'Conclusion: A Temporal and Spatial Mapping of the French in London.' Kelly and Cornick 431–47. Print.

- Kelly, Debra, and Martyn Cornick. eds. *A History of the French in London: Liberty, Equality, Opportunity.* London: Institute of Historical Research, 2013. Print.
- Kelly, Ian. Cooking for Kings: The Life of Antonin Carême, the First Celebrity Chef. London: Short Books, 2003. Print.
- Kershen, Anne. Food in the Migrant Experience. London: Ashgate, 2002. Print.
- Kershen, Anne. Strangers, Aliens and Asians: Huguenots, Jews and Bangladeshis in Spital-fields, 1600–2000. London: Routledge, 2005. Print.
- Mars, Valerie. 'Experiencing French Cookery in Nineteenth Century London.' Kelly and Cornick 217–40. Print.
- Mennell, Stephen. *All Manners of Food. Eating and Taste in England and France from the Middle Ages to the Present.* Urbana and Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 1996 [1985]. Print.
- Mesure, Susie. 'Move Over, Men of the Wine World, and Make Way for the Sommelière.' *The Independent on Sunday*, 1 June 2014. Print.
- Mitchell, W. J. T. 'Showing Seeing: A Critique of Visual Culture.' *Journal of Visual Culture* 1:2 (2002): 161–81. Print.
- Newnham-Davis, Nathaniel. Dinners and Diners: Where and How to Dine in London. London: Grant Richards. 1899. Print.
- Norman, Jill. 'Introduction.' *Madame Prunier's Fish Cookery Book.* By Simone Prunier. London: Quadrille, 2011. vi–viii. Print.
- Onfray, Michel. La Raison gourmande. Paris: Grasset, 1995. Print.
- Ory, Pascal. 'La Gastronomie.' *Les Lieux de mémoire*. Ed. Pierre Nora. Vol. III. Paris: Gallimard, 1992. 823–53. Print.
- Ory, Pascal. Le Discours gastronomique français des origines à nos jours. Paris: Gallimard/ Julliard, 1998. Print.
- Panayi, Panikos. Spicing up Britain. The Multicultural History of British Food. London: Reaktion Books, 2008. Print.
- Pitte, Jean-Robert. *Gastronomie française*. *Histoire et géographie d'une passion*. Paris: Fayard, 1991. Print.
- Prunier, Simone. Madame Prunier's Fish Cookery Book. London: Quadrille, 2011 [1938]. Print.
- Prunier, Simone. La Maison: The History of Prunier's. London: Longman, Green and Co., 1957. Print.
- Rambourg, Patrick. Histoire de la cuisine et de la gastronomie françaises du moyen âge au XXe siècle. Paris: Perrin, 2010. Print.
- Rapoport, Michel. 'The London French from the Belle Epoque to the End of the Inter-War Period (1880–1939).' Kelly and Cornick 241–79. Print.
- Rogers, Ruth. 'Desert Island Discs', BBC Radio 4, 2 August 2015. Radio.
- Ross, Cathy. '20th Century.' London Eats Out. 500 Years of Capital Dining. London: Museum of London and Philip Wilson Publishers, 1999. 86–103. Print.
- Saillard, Denis. 'La cuisine de l'autre. Echanges et rivalités dans les relations gastronomiques franco-anglaises du XVIIIe siècle à nos jours.' *Nos meilleurs ennemis. L'Entente culturelle franco-britannique revisitée.* Sous la direction de Diana Cooper-Richet et Michel Rapoport. Paris: Atlande, 2014. 101–29. Print.

- Simon, André. History of the Champagne Trade in England. London: Wyman, 1905.
  Print.
- Simon, André. Les Pauvres de France en Angleterre. London: Duckworth, 1919. Print.
- Soyer, Alexis. The Modern Housewife. London: Simkin, Marshall & Co., 1849. Print.
- Soyer, Alexis. Soyer's Shilling Cookery for the People. London: Geo. Routledge & Co., 1854. Print.
- Steinberger, Michael. *Au Revoir to All That: Food, Wine and the End of France*. New York: Bloomsbury, 2010. Print.
- Thurley, Simon. 'Foreword.' *London Eats Out. 500 Years of Capital Dining.* London: Museum of London and Philip Wilson Publishers, 1999. 6–7. Print.
- Tombs, Robert, and Isabelle Tombs. *That Sweet Enemy: The French and the British from the Sun King to the Present*, London: Heinemann, 2006. Print.
- Villars, P. 'The French.' Living London. Its Work and its Play, its Humour and its Pathos, its Sights and its Scenes. Ed. George R. Sims. Vol. II. London: Cassell, 1901. 133–8. Print.
- Warde, Alan, and Lydia Martens. *Eating Out. Social Differentiation, Consumption and Pleasure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000. Print.
- Zukin, Sharon. *Landscapes of Power*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1991. Print.