



## INFLUENCE OF AGE AND GENDER ON RENAL FUNCTION BY USING eGFR IN HEALTHY POPULATION

<sup>1</sup>S. Akila, <sup>2</sup>P. Pullaiah, <sup>3</sup>R. Deepti and L. Ramesh Bharathi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Biochemistry, Sri Muthukumar Medical College Hospital and Research Institute.

<sup>2</sup>Biochemistry, Sri Muthukumar Medical College Hospital and Research.

\*Corresponding Author: S. Akila

Biochemistry, Sri Muthukumar Medical College Hospital and Research Institute.

Article Received on 09/05/2018

Article Revised on 29/05/2018

Article Accepted on 19/06/2018

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Prevalence of chronic kidney disease is increasing and became one of the biggest health problem around the globe. Researchers in western countries had done researches on estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) to diagnose the kidney disease at the initial stages and to prevent the progression of the disease. Indian population eGFR values varies from the western population eGFR. **Aim:** To evaluate the eGFR calculated using Modification of diet for renal disease (MDRD) formula in different age groups of healthy Indian individuals and observe the association between eGFR and age, gender in healthy Indian population. **Material and Methods:** A total of 410 healthy individuals of age from 31 to 70 years were selected and divided into four groups accordingly to the age. Group I – 31 to 40 years, Group II – 41 to 50 years, Group III – 51 to 60 years and Group IV – 61 to 70 years. Serum creatinine levels was estimated by Jaffe's method. eGFR was assessed by MDRD formula. **Results:** We observed a statistical significances between both the genders in the group I ( $p < 0.001$ ), group II ( $p < 0.001$ ), group III ( $p < 0.05$ ) and group IV ( $p < 0.001$ ). Females mean value of eGFR is slightly lower than the eGFR mean value of males. **Discussion:** Among 410 healthy individuals age from 31 to 70 years, majority of the individuals have eGFR value less than 90 ml/min in both genders. Elderly individuals normally had low eGFR compared to adult individuals in many studies. Low eGFR value in elderly people should not be misdiagnosed as kidney disease. **Conclusion:** eGFR calculated using MDRD formula highlights the importances in diagnosing kidney disease except in elderly individuals.

**KEYWORDS:** eGFR, MDRD formula and kidney disease.

### INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease has become a prominent issue in the world wide health management.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Chronic kidney disease prevalence is rapidly increasing in combination with other chronic diseases like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, etc.<sup>[3,4]</sup> For few decades serum creatinine levels was used as a standard marker of GFR to diagnose the kidney function but it is well known fact that serum creatinine is not an accurate marker of GFR because serum creatinine is directly influenced by muscle mass, age and gender.<sup>[5,6]</sup> Usually GFR values are lower in women compared to men.<sup>[7]</sup> The reasons hidden behind these facts are males have increased muscle mass than females and males maintain GFR by increasing the filtration fraction of the kidneys than females.<sup>[8]</sup> As age increases, the GFR decreases. This implies that age and GFR are inversely proportional to one another.<sup>[5]</sup>

Scientists have done researches to assess the GFR of the kidneys through another way and the researches had ended up with eGFR (estimated glomerular filtration rate) calculation using formulas. Formulas requires

specific details like gender, serum creatinine levels, race, age, etc. eGFR can be calculated using different formulas.

Among all the formulas, Modification of diet in renal disease (MDRD) formula gives a better interpretation.<sup>[9,10,11]</sup> Therefore of interest, we have decided to evaluate the eGFR values using MDRD formula in different age groups of healthy Indian population and observe the association between age, gender and GFR in healthy Indian population.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study has been approved by Sri Muthukumar institutional ethics committee. Individual informed consents was signed by the participants. 410 healthy individuals were selected as the participants. According to the participants age, participants are categorized into four groups. Group I (n = 100) – Participants age from 31 to 40 years were selected (50 male and 50 female participants)

Group II (n = 110) – Consists of participants age from 41 to 50 years were involved (55 male and 55 female participants)

Group III (n = 100) - Participants age from 51 to 60 years were selected (50 male and 50 female participants)

Group IV – Comprises of participants age from 61 to 70 years were included (50 male and 50 female participants)

Exclusion criteria for all the four groups are known alcoholic individuals, pregnant and lactating women. Blood samples were collected from the participants using vacutainers. These vacutainers were centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 10 minutes. Serum creatinine levels was analyzed by Jaffe's method in Konelab 20 fully automated analyzer on the same day of collection. National kidney foundation recommends MDRD formula for calculating eGFR.

$$eGFR = 186 \times (\text{Serum Creatinine levels})^{-1.154} \times (\text{Age in years})^{-0.203} \times (\text{If female}) 0.742 \times (\text{If black}) 1.210$$

The results of the parameters were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Statistical analysis was done by Student's 'T' test and the p value was arrived to determine the statistical significances between the groups.

## RESULT

In the present study, both the genders were classified according to the age of the participants.(Table – 1).

**Table 1: Age and gender wise distribution of participants.**

AGE GROUPS (years)	MALE (n)	FEMALE (n)	TOTAL
GROUP – I (31 TO 40 years)	50	50	100
GROUP – II (41 to 50 years)	55	55	110
GROUP – III (51 to 60 years)	50	50	100
GROUP – IV (61 to 70 years)	50	50	100
TOTAL	205	205	410

eGFR was arrived by MDRD formula in both genders of the participants. Mean and standard deviation of eGFR was determined in group – I, group – II, group – III and group – IV.(Table – 2).

the males are statistical significant when compared to the females mean.(p < 0.001) A statistical significant p value was obtained between the genders of the group III.(p < 0.05) The p value reported in the Group IV was statistical significant when compared between the genders.(p < 0.001).

Table 2 shows a statistical significance between both the genders in the group I.(p < 0.001) In group II, mean of

**Table 2: eGFR calculated using MDRD formula in the participants.**

AGE GROUPS (years)	MALE MEAN $\pm$ SD	FEMALE MEAN $\pm$ SD	P VALUE
GROUP – I (31 TO 40 years)	87 $\pm$ 11	71 $\pm$ 12	<0.001
GROUP – II (41 to 50 years)	82 $\pm$ 18	68 $\pm$ 13	<0.001
GROUP – III (51 to 60 years)	71 $\pm$ 7	65 $\pm$ 14	<0.05
GROUP – IV (61 to 70 years)	79 $\pm$ 8.5	64 $\pm$ 16	<0.001

Female gender eGFR mean is slightly lower than the mean of male gender.

**Table 3: Percentage of normal eGFR (eGFR > 90 ml/min) among different age groups in both genders.**

AGE GROUPS (years)	MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	n	%
GROUP – I (31 TO 40 years)	22	44%	02	4%
GROUP – II (41 to 50 years)	11	20%	04	7%
GROUP – III (51 to 60 years)	06	12%	03	6%
GROUP – IV	17	34%	-	-

(61 to 70 years)				
------------------	--	--	--	--

Participants having eGFR value greater than 90 ml/min is considered as normal eGFR. Normal eGFR percentage was calculated in male and female gender among four

groups. As the participants age ascents, normal eGFR percentage descents in the Table 3.

**Table 4: Percentage of abnormal eGFR (eGFR < 90 ml/min) among different age groups in both genders.**

AGE GROUPS (years)	MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	n	%
GROUP – I (31 TO 40 years)	28	56%	48	96%
GROUP – II (41 to 50 years)	44	80%	51	93%
GROUP – III (51 to 60 years)	44	88%	47	94%
GROUP – IV (61 to 70 years)	33	66%	50	100%

Table 4 shows a clear image of abnormal eGFR in participants of both genders among four age groups. eGFR value lesser than 90 ml/min in the participant is considered as abnormal eGFR. In group I, 56% of male and 96% of female have abnormal eGFR. Group II consist of 80% males and 93% females with abnormal eGFR values. 88% of males and 94% of females have abnormal eGFR in group III. Group IV have 66% of males and 100% of females with abnormal eGFR.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The present study have derived a statistical significant p values in all the four groups when male gender is compared to the corresponding female gender. D. Padma sree, et al had also concluded same results.<sup>[12]</sup> Our study have reported that females eGFR values are slightly lower than the males eGFR values. C. Kuriakose, et al study had shown that eGFR values was higher in males compared to females. This may be because of the gender specific variation in glomerular structure, hemodynamic conditions, activity of local cytokines, gene expressions and the effects of sex hormones on kidney cells.<sup>[8]</sup>

Healthy individuals age and eGFR values are inversely proportional to one another in the present study. As the age increases, the normal eGFR percentage decreases in the healthy individuals. Decrease in GFR above 30 years of age is a normal biological process.<sup>[7]</sup> GFR descent begins above the age of 30 years and descent accelerated above the age of 60 years. Many studies had reported that GFR decreases with advancing age in normal individuals.<sup>[13,14,15]</sup> The association between age and eGFR strongly confounded each other in the study conducted by K. Nagai, et al.<sup>[16]</sup> This study result is inaccordance with our study result.

Out of 410 healthy individuals aged from 31 to 70 years, 345 individuals have abnormally low eGFR values in the present study. Similar results was also observed by the Ravikiran Kisan, et al study.<sup>[17]</sup> The physiological factors involved in the ageing process that lowers the GFR are glomerulosclerosis, low activity of rennin angiotensin aldosterone system, increased vasoconstriction, impaired

water, sodium and potassium balance, oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction, etc.<sup>[10,18]</sup> Reduced GFR in the elderly individuals should not be recognized as kidney disease.<sup>[19,20]</sup> eGFR calculated by MDRD formula can be used to detect the impairment of kidney function at the initial stages. Hence, the conclusion of the present study is to include eGFR as a parameter in the renal function test and this may improve the diagnosis of kidney disease except in elderly individuals.

#### REFERENCE

1. C. Donfrancesco, S. Palleschi, L. Palmieri, B. Rossi, C. Lo Noce, et al. Estimated GFR, all cause mortality and cardiovascular disease incidence in a low risk population: the MATISS study. *Biology.*, 2013; 8: 1-11.
2. E. Nerpin, E. Ingelsson, U. Riserus, J.H. Karlqvist, J. Sundstrom, et al. Association between GFR and endothelial function in an elderly community cohort. *Indian Journal Nephrology.*, 2012; 9: 1-5.
3. Singh. A. K, Y. M. Farag, B. V. Mittal, K. K. Subramanian, S. R. K. Reddy, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of chronic kidney disease in India. *Med J Aus.*, 2016; 14: 1-10.
4. N. P. Singh, G. K. Ingle, V. K. Saini, A. Jami, P. Beniwal, et al. Prevalence of low GFR, proteinuria and associated risk factors in north India using Cockcroft-Gault and MDRD formula. *EJOB.*, 2009; 10: 4.
5. J. F. M. Wetzels, L. A. L. M. Kiemeney, D. W. Swinkels, H. L. Willems and M. D. Heijer. Age and gender specific reference values of eGFR in Caucasians: the Nijmegen biomedical study. *Clin Exp.*, 2007; 72: 632-637.
6. T. Kenny and C. Tidy. Estimated glomerular filtration rate. *Arc J Pha.*, 2015; 1: 1-2.
7. R. J. Glasscock and C. Winearls. Ageing and the glomerular filtration rate: truths and consequences. *Nature.*, 2009; 120: 419-428.
8. Rong Xu, Lu Xia Zhang, Pu Hong Zhang, Fang Wang, Li Zuo, et al. Gender differences in age related decline in glomerular filtration rate in

- healthy people and chronic kidney disease patients. *Cell.*, 2010; 11: 1-7.
9. M. V. Velde, S. J. L. Bakker, Paul. E. de Jong and R. T. Gansevoort. Influence of age and measure of eGFR on the association between renal function and cardiovascular events. *Cell Biology.*, 2010; 5(11): 2053-2059.
  10. P. Suneetha, V. Arun Raja and V. Sivakumar. Ageing and kidney: a primer. *Asian Journal of pharmaceutical and health care.*, 2015; 2: 285-292.
  11. R. Shastry, P. Adhikari, S. D. ullal and Ashok Shenoy. Assessing renal function using Cockcroft-Gault and MDRD formulas in healthy south Indian males. *IJN.*, 2011; 2: 185-189.
  12. D. Padmasree, M. Anilkumar and Ukey Ujwala. Glomerular filtration rate in healthy Indian adults by the MDRD equation. *European Arch of nephrology*, 2013; 8: 143-145.
  13. R. J. Glassock. The GFR decline with ageing: A sign of normal senescence, not disease. *Indian journal of nephrology*, 2009; 31: 155-163.
  14. C. Kuriakose, S. Abraham, V. D. Manjula, V. S. Sumsdevi and A. Kurien. Age related changes of glomerular filtration rate in a population of Kerala. *Journal of health care.*, 2015; 2: 5226-5231.
  15. C. F. Schaefer, M. S. Pereira, C. R. de Jesus, F. S. Trevisol and D. J. Trevisol. Kidney function estimate among subjects aged 18 to 59 years in Tubarao, Santacatarina. *Indian journal of research in life sciences*, 2015; 37(2): 185-191.
  16. K. Nagai, T. Sairenchi, F. Irie, H. Watanabe, H. ota, et al. Relationship between eGFR and cardiovascular mortality in a Japanese cohort with long term follow up. *Cell.*, 2016; 2: 1-11.
  17. R. Kisan, Swapnali, P. K. Deverbhavi and S. A. Reddy. Is eGFR a better marker than serum creatinine alone to assess kidney dysfunction. *IJN.*, 2014; 4: 89-91.
  18. S. Garasto, S. Fusco, F. Corica, M. Rosignuolo, A. Marino, et al. Estimating glomerular filtration rate in older people. *Journal of Cardiovascular care*, 2014; 3: 1-12.
  19. C. B. Bowling, L. A. Inker, O. M. Gutierrez, R. M. Allman, D. G. Warnock, et al. Age specific associations of reduced eGFR with concurrent chronic kidney disease complications. *Biology.*, 2011; 6: 2822-2828.
  20. G. V. Pottelbergh, W. P. J. Den Elzen, J. Degryse and J. Gussekloo. Prediction of mortality and functional decline by changes in estimated glomerular filtration rate in the very elderly: the leiden 85 plus study. *Clin Exp Arc.*, 2013; 4: 2038-2059.