



**A STUDY ON SEVERITY OF DRUG INTERACTIONS AND THEIR INCLUSION IN
VARIOUS DRUG INFORMATION COMPENDIA**

**¹*Gavini Siva Bharat, ¹Maddineni Nagarjuna, ¹Uppara Veerendra, ²Dr. Mohanraj Rathinavelu Mudhaliar,
³Narayana Goruntla**

¹Resident - Intern, VI Year Pharm. D, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research – RIPER, Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India-515721.

²Assistant Professor and Academic In-Charge, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research – RIPER, Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India-515721.

³Associate Professor and Head, Pharm. D Programme, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research - RIPER, Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India-515721.

***Corresponding Author: Gavini Siva Bharat**

Resident - Intern, VI Year Pharm. D, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research – RIPER, Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India-515721.

Article Received on 09/05/2016

Article Revised on 30/05/2016

Article Accepted on 20/06/2016

ABSTRACT

Medication incidents are a significant problem for all health systems in the world. Drug interactions are one cause of medication incidents. Drug interaction compendia can be used to populate clinical decision support systems. There has not been any comprehensive assessment of the validity of the severity classification used in the international drug interaction compendia. The current prospective observational study of six months duration was designed to assess the severity of drug interactions between various drug information compendia screened from prescriptions of general medicine department. The current study involved screening of 100 prescriptions, which belongs to 65 male and 35 female, out of which 27 prescriptions belongs to the age group of 41 - 50 years of age. Total numbers of drugs screened were 410 out of 100 prescriptions. The DDI's was assessed eventually in comparison with Stockley's, Medscape and BNF respectively based on the rating, severity and significance, and inferences. The main drug classes of DDI's were aminoglycoside gentamicin, ARB's, antacids, aspirin as analgesics, calcium channel blockers, beta blockers and NSAID's. In conclusion, In conclusion, the drug-drug interactions assessed in our study was majorly on 07 category of medications resembling in comparison to three standard international medications compendia but with certain considerable lack in ratings and severity of DDI's of other include drugs, which be rectified by continuous Continuing Medical Education (CME's) and series of advance learning with expertise on drug and its uses, preparing Hospital Formulary with extensive literature survey.

KEYWORDS: Clinical decisions, drug information compendia, drug interactions, severity.

INTRODUCTION

Adverse drug events (ADEs) may occur due to medication errors (MEs), pharmacokinetic alterations, drug-drug interactions (DDIs) and drug-disease interactions, with research revealing that both the incidence and severity of ADEs are heightened in intensive care unit (ICU) patients.^{[1], [2]} Medication incidents are a significant problem for all health systems in the world.^{[3], [4], [5]} Drug interactions are one cause of medication incidents. In a Danish study of 26,337 elderly patients, 4.4% received drug combinations carrying a risk of severe interactions.^[6] In a recent prospective study in the United Kingdom, drug interactions accounted for 16.6% of adverse drug reactions causing hospitalization.^[7] Wide implementation of computerized prescribing and dispensing with clinical decision support systems is recognized as a priority to reduce medication incidents.^{[5], [8]}

However, several studies have shown that there is a considerable and potentially clinically important variability in the performance of dispensing and prescribing computer programs in detecting drug interactions.^{[9], [10], [11]} Drug interaction compendia can be used to populate clinical decision support systems. There has not been any comprehensive assessment of the validity of the severity classification used in the international drug interaction compendia.^[12]

Critically ill patients are at an increased risk for errors, such as drug-drug interactions (DDIs), due to an increased number of concomitant drugs, fluctuating organ function, altered drug disposition, and irregular protein binding.^{[13], [14], [15]} An opportunity to identify and prevent medication-related errors such as DDIs and improve patient safety, especially in the critically ill,

occurred with the introduction of computerized provider order entry.^[16]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design: Prospective observational study.

Study duration: Six months.

Study site: General medicine department of a secondary care referral hospital in Andhra Pradesh, India.

Study sample: 100 Prescriptions.

Study criteria

Inclusion criteria

- Patients visiting to general medicine department for outpatient consultation
- Patient's medication profile of more than 3 - 5 drugs
- Medication profile of elderly patient.

Exclusion criteria: Patient's medication profile of less than 3 drugs.

Consent from hospital authority

A profoma of the study which included the objectives, methodology was submitted to the hospital authority for approval. The approval from the hospital was procured through the letter. The author was permitted to utilize hospital facilities for conducting the study.

RESULTS

In our study, which aimed at assessing the severity of drug interactions in prescriptions of a secondary care referral hospital, 100 prescriptions were screened within a study period of 06 months. Based on gender distribution there were 65 prescriptions of male and 35 of female and the prescriptions were also classified on the criteria of age groups, the results of which are reported in Table 1 (Demographic details of the prescriptions screened).

Table 1 Demographic details of the prescriptions screened.

| S. no | Demographic Particulars | N = 100 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Gender Distribution | | |
| 01 | Male | 65 |
| 02 | Female | 35 |
| Age Distribution (in years) | | |
| 03 | 10 – 20 | 09 |
| 04 | 21 – 30 | 16 |
| 05 | 31 – 40 | 18 |
| 06 | 41 – 50 | 27 |
| 07 | 51 – 60 | 20 |
| 08 | > 61 | 10 |

In our study, we compared three international drug interactions compendia to assess the severity of drug - drug interactions.

1. The drug interactions appendix of British National Formulary (BNF)
 - BNF uses a bullet to mark interactions that are potentially hazardous and where combined

administration of the drugs involved should be avoided.

- BNF may also state specifically whether a drug combination may be avoided or whether may be contraindicated by the manufacturer.
2. The Stockley's Drug Interactions is used to précis the mass of literature into a concise and easy-to-read form, the text has been organized into a series of individual monographs, all with a common format.
 - To inform busy healthcare professionals, of the facts about drug interactions, without their having to do the time-consuming literature searches and full assessment of the papers for themselves.

These therefore are the practical questions which this book attempts to answer:

- Are the drugs and substances in question known to interact or is the interaction only theoretical and speculative?
 - If they do interact, how serious is it?
 - Has it been described many times or only once?
 - Are all patients affected or only a few?
 - Is it best to avoid these two substances altogether or can the interaction be accommodated in some way?
 - And what alternative and safer drugs can be used instead?
3. Clinical Pharmacology Database
 4. Medscape Database.

The drugs and its classes selected for the assessment were reported in Table. 2 (Medications and its classes selected for the assessment)

Table 2 Medications and its classes selected for the assessment.

| S. no | Class of drugs | Drugs |
|-------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 01 | Calcium Channel Blockers | Amlodipine Diltiazem |
| 02 | Anticoagulant | Aspirin Clopidogrel |
| 03 | Antacids | Aluminium hydroxide Magnesium trisilicate |
| 04 | Antibiotics | Amikacin Gentamicin Ciprofloxacin Cefixime Ampicillin |
| 05 | Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARB's) | Losartan Telmisartan |
| 06 | Beta blockers | Atenolol Propranolol |
| 07 | Cardiac glycosides | Digoxin |
| 08 | Diuretics | Furosemide Spiranolactone Hydrochlorothiazide |
| 09 | H2 receptor blockers | Ranitidine Famotidine |
| 10 | NSAID's | Paracetamol Diclofenac Aceclofenac Ibuprofen |

In our study, categories of medications mentioned above were classified based on its clinical significance by comparative study with the four international compendia BNF, Stockley's drug interaction tertiary source and Medscape databases.

According to Stockley's drug interactions: Each monograph has been assigned a rating symbol to offer guidance to the user on the clinical significance of the interaction. These ratings are the same as those used in Stockley's Interaction Alerts. The Alerts are rated using three separate categories: *action, severity and evidence*. These ratings are combined to produce one of four symbols.

- For interactions that have a life-threatening outcome, or where concurrent use is contraindicated by the manufacturers. (X)
- For interactions where concurrent use may result in a significant hazard to the patient and so dosage adjustment or close monitoring is needed. !
- For interactions where there is some doubt about the outcome of concurrent use, and therefore it may be necessary to give patients some guidance about possible adverse effects, and/or consider some monitoring. ?
- For interactions that are not considered to be of clinical significance, or where no interaction occurs. √

The drug - drug interactions in our study was compared to Stockley's, and the following results were reported in Table 3 (Comparison and rating of Drug - drug interactions with Stockley's)

Table 3 Comparison and rating of Drug - drug interactions with Stockley's

| S. no | Drugs | Drugs | Rating scale |
|-------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 01 | Gentamicin | Digoxin | ! |
| | | Diuretics | √ |
| | | NSAID's | ! |
| | | Penicillin's | ! |
| 02 | Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARB's) | Aspirin | ? |
| | | Digoxin | ? |
| | | Diuretics | ! |
| | | NSAID's | ? |
| 03 | Antacids | Aspirin | ! |
| | | Cephalosporin's | ! |
| | | Corticosteroids | ! |
| | | Diuretics | ! |
| | | Azithromycin | ! |
| 04 | Aspirin | NSAID's | √ |
| | | Furosemide | ? |
| | | Spiranolactone | ? |
| 05 | Calcium Channel Blockers | NSAID's | ! |
| | | Corticosteroids | ! |
| | | Digoxin | ! |
| | | Diuretics | ! |
| 06 | Beta Blockers | Theophylline | ? |
| | | NSAID's | ! |
| | | Diltiazem | ? |
| | | H 2 receptor blockers | ? |
| | | NSAID's | ? |

Comparison of Drug - drug interactions severity with BNF was reported in Table 4 (Comparison and rating of Drug - drug interactions severity with B N F)

Table 4: Comparison and rating of Drug - drug interactions severity with B N F.

| S. no | Drugs | Drugs | Inference |
|-------|------------------|----------------|--|
| 01 | Amino glycosides | Digoxin | Gentamicin increases the plasma concentration of digoxin |
| | | Loop diuretics | Increased risk of ototoxicity |
| 02 | Antacids | Aspirin | Increased excretion of aspirin |
| | | Digoxin | Decreased absorption of digoxin |
| 03 | Beta blockers | ARB's | Hypotensive effect |
| | | CCB's | Increased hypotensive effect |
| | | Digoxin | Bradycardia |
| | | Diuretics | Increased hypotensive effect |
| 04 | CCB's | ARB's | Increased hypotensive effect |
| | | Digoxin | Increased plasma concentration of digoxin |
| | | Diuretics | Increased hypotensive effect |
| 05 | NSAID's | Beta blockers | Antagonise hypotensive effect of beta blockers |
| | | CCB's | Antagonise hypotensive effect of CCB's |
| | | Digoxin | Increased plasma concentration of digoxin |
| | | Diuretics | Increased risk of nephrotoxicity |

Comparison of Drug - drug interactions severity with Medscape Table 5 (Comparison of Drug - drug interactions severity with Medscape).

Table 5: Comparison of Drug - drug interactions severity with Medscape.

| S. no | Drugs | Drugs | Inference |
|-------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| 01 | Gentamicin | Furosemide | Serious |
| 02 | Amikacin | Furosemide | Serious |
| 03 | Ciprofloxacin | Aluminium hydroxide | Serious |
| 04 | Famotidine | Digoxin | Serious |
| 05 | Diltiazem | Atenolol | Serious |
| 06 | Aluminium Hydroxide | Digoxin | Serious |
| 07 | Atenolol | Digoxin | Serious |
| 08 | Propranolol | Digoxin | Serious |

DISCUSSION

The report of drug - drug interactions in the department of General medicine of secondary care referral hospital, was documented and compared with three standard international medications compendia, where:

- Gentamicin - Amino glycoside antibiotics was comparatively presenting similar results as of severity in Stockley's, severity of Medscape and inferences of B N F towards cardio glycoside - Digoxin specifically.
- Angiotensin Receptor Blocker (ARB's) drug - drug interaction with diuretics was significantly marked both in Stockley's and Medscape, but only with ethacrynic acid in Stockley's as very lethal not for furosemide and spiranolactone and severity as per Medscape was significant.
- Antacids drug - drug interactions with aspirin and digoxin was well marked as serious DDI's in both Medscape and B N F were it lowers the absorption of digoxin and increases the excretion of aspirin resulting reduced health outcome of patient, close monitoring is advisable.
- Aspirin was having significant severity of drug interaction with spiranolactone and gentamicin and other antibiotics as of Medscape and Stockley's with more literatures.
- In our study Calcium Channel Blockers showed drug interactions with B-blockers in major population proved more serious and significant with atenolol and propranolol (significant).
- The very frequent drug-drug interaction found in B-blockers was with cardiac glycosides (digoxin) resulting in hypotensive effects characterized by bradycardia in our study, which was the same found in all three standard international medications compendia resulting in more serious significant rating of ACC (associated cardiac complications).
- The Non Steroidal Anti Inflammatory Drugs (NSAID's) interaction with diuretic and cardiac glycoside was well pronounced in our study showing nephrotoxicity in some cases used along with diuretics and increased plasma concentration of digoxin as such in BNF and Stockley's.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the drug-drug interactions assessed in our study was majorly on 07 category of medications resembling in comparison to three standard international medications compendia but with certain considerable lack in ratings and severity of DDI's of other include drugs, which be rectified by continuous Continuing Medical Education (CME's) and series of advance learning with expertise on drug and its uses, preparing Hospital Formulary with extensive literature survey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the management and team of healthcare professionals of Rural Development Trust Hospitals, Bathalapalli, Andhra Pradesh., India and the teachers of division of pharmacy practice of Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research – RIPER, Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh., India for their constant support and valuable guidance.

Conflict of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. D. J. Cullen, B. J. Sweitzer, D. W. Bates, E. Burdick, A. Edmondson, and L. L. Leape, "Preventable adverse drug events in hospitalized patients: a comparative study of intensive care and general care units," *Critical Care Medicine*, 1997; 25(8): 1289–1297.
2. S. L. Kane-Gill, J. G. Kowiatek, and R. J. Weber, "A comparison of voluntarily reported medication errors in intensive care and general care units," *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 2010; 19(1): 55–59.
3. Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS, eds. To err is human: Building a safer health system. Washington DC, National Academy Press, 1999.
4. Expert Group on Learning from Adverse Events in the NHS. An organization with a memory. London, Stationery Office, 2000. <http://www.doh.gov.uk/orgmemreport/index.htm>.
5. Australian Council for Safety and Quality in Healthcare. Second national report on patient safety: improving medication safety. Canberra, Australian Council for Safety and Quality in Healthcare, 2002.
6. Rosholm JU, Bjerrum Hallas J, Worm J, Gram LF. Polypharmacy and the risk of drug–drug interactions among Danish elderly. *Danish Med Bull* 1998; 42: 210–3.
7. Pirmohamed M, James S, Meakin S, Green C, Scott A, Walley T, Farrar K, Park B, Breckenridge A. Adverse drug reactions as cause of admission to hospital: prospective analysis of 18 820 patients. *BMJ* 2004; 329: 15–9.
8. Shojania KG, Duncan BW, McDonald KM, Wachter RM, eds. Critical analysis of patient safety practices. Evidence Report/Technology Assessment No. 43. AHRQ Publication No. 01-E058. Rockville, MD, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2001.

9. Hazlet TK, Lee TA, Hansten P, Horn J. Performance of community pharmacy drug interaction software. *J Am Pharm Assoc* 2001; 41: 200–4.
10. Mukasa D, Hughes, J. Drug interactions in the elderly: frequency, significance and differences among standard reference resources. *Australian Pharmacist* 2002; 21: 603–8.
11. Chen YF, Neil KE, Avery AJ, Dewey ME. Prescriptions with potentially hazardous/contraindicated drug combinations presented to community pharmacies. *Int J Pharm Pract* 2002; 10 (Suppl): R29.
12. Agnes I. Vitry et al, Comparative assessment of four drug interaction compendia. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. DOI:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2006.02809.x, pg 709–714.
13. Kane-Gill SL, Weber RJ. Principles and practices of medication safety in the ICU. *Crit Care Clin* 2006; 22:273-90.
14. Kopp BJ, Erstad BL, Allen ME, et al. Medication errors and adverse drug events in an intensive care unit: direct observation approach for detection. *Crit Care Med.*, 2006; 34: 415-25.
15. Krishnan V, Murray P. Pharmacologic issues in the critically ill. *Clin Chest Med.*, 2003; 24: 671-88.
16. Eslami S, de Keizer NF, Abu-Hanna A. The impact of computerized physician medication order entry in hospitalized patients—a systematic review. *Int J Med Inform.*, 2008; 77: 365-76.