

EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND MEDICAL RESEARCH

www.ejpmr.com

Research Article
ISSN 2394-3211
EJPMR

DRUG DEVELOPMENT BY TASTE: UNIQUE LEAD IN UNANI SYSTEM OF MEDICINE

Imtivaz Ahmad Mir^{1*}, Sumera Mehfooz¹, G. Sofi² and Mazhar Hussain¹

¹P. G. Scholar, Dept. of Pharmacology, National Institute of Unani Medicine, Bengaluru. ²Reader Dept. of Pharmacology, National Institute of Unani Medicine, Bengaluru.

*Corresponding Author: Imtiyaz Ahmad Mir

P. G. Scholar, Dept. of pharmacology, National Institute of Unani Medicine, Bengaluru.

Article Received on 26/03/2017

Article Revised on 16/04/2017

Article Accepted on 06/05/2017

ABSTRACT

Drugs in Unani system of Medicine have been developed by various methods but taste is an important lead for drug development. Drug development is really a costlier and commercially a risky process. From identification to marketing a new drug development takes minimum 10 years with a cost of 500 to 1000 years. Unani system is a solution to time, Money and cost that is consumed in conventional drug development. In Unani System of Medicine taste is an important indicator in determining the Mizaj of drug. **Methods**: Two healthy professional colleagues has been chosen for taste of drugs and there findings has been noted down. **Results:** the Relative score of the tasted drug with reference to standard is noted accordingly. **Conclusion:** According to Ibn Sina taste override odour for predicting *Mizaj* through analogy. The Relative score of the tasted drug is an important and novel lead in Unani drug development. In Unani system of medicine taste is an important factor in identifying the different pharmological properties.

KEYWORDS: Drug discovery; Taste; Drug development; Mazza; Rasa.

INTRODUCTION

Among all organoleptic characters, taste is considered as most significant indicator in determination the Mizaj of drugs. Ibn Rushd mentioned in Kitabul-Kulliyat that the action of those drugs which has not been experimented yet can be known by two ways, either by Qayas (Analogy) or by (Tajraba) experimentation. Qiyās predicts the action of a drug through the probable Mizāj before it is administered in the body, whereas Tajriba confirms the same when administered in human body. Taste is considered as one of the strongest determinant in assessment of Mizaj, and has remained the most powerful indicator for assessing Mizaj of a drug through analogy. [1] According to Charaka, rasa can be different in fresh condition and in dry state of the same substance, and have different pharmacological actions in those conditions, e.g. Piper longum Linn. is Madhura (sweet) in fresh condition, whereas in dry form it is Katu (pungent) and accordingly fresh P. longum is heavier to digest (guru) than dry P. longum which is easy to digest (laghu). [2] The taste of particular drug depends on the jauhar of a drug. [3] The ancient physicians have classified simple tastes into nine kinds, eight real tastes and the ninth one is tasteless substances such as water. [4,5,6,7] In Kamilus Sanah, it is stated that taste are of eight types and also mentioned the method for identification of these tastes further it is also stated how these tastes are perceived, a correlative description of taste, temperament

and action of the drug is mentioned. [3] Taste is the indicator for potency of any substance which is determined by experiment for example; Magnet attracts iron due to its peculiar characteristic, similarly *Pinus succinifera* Linn (*kahruba*) attracts trinket of herb. According to Galen taste is potent determinant for hidden potency of any substance rather than color and odour. [8]

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Selection of drugs: Two drugs were purchased from the Market and one drug is obtained personally from the injured bark of Almond tree. All the drugs were properly identified and authenticated.

Assessment of taste: Taste was categorized as described in Unani literature and noted verbatim from three source books i.e. Al-Qanoon Fit Tibb, Khazayin-ul-Advia, Al-Jamia Le-Mufradat-ul-Advia wa-al-Aghzia. Two healthy non smoking professional collogues participated in this study and were asked to taste the standard drug chosen for that category and compare the taste by giving verbal expression of the taste and then grade them with relative scores. Comparative findings was noted down as being less in intensity to the standard drug, equal in intensity to the standard drug and a format was prepared accordingly. The relative

www.ejpmr.com 795

scores is to be 1 for the standard, 0.75 nearly equal but lesser, 0.5 less by half than standard and so on.

RESULTS

The assessment of the taste of each selected drug was carried out in three ways. First, the description of taste as written in the classical Unani books was noted verbatim. Secondly, each drug was tasted by two healthy non smoking professional collogues and their description was noted down. Finally, the volunteer professional

collogues were asked to compare each drug with the standard drug of that particular taste and were asked to describe the taste in comparison to the standard drug.

In the assessment of Murr/Kadwa/Talq taste Charaitha was selected as the standard drug and the two samples of Behrooza, Neem were choosen for this particular taste. The relative score by voluntaries professional collogues were Behrooza = 0.75, Neem = 1.5.the results are depicted in **table 1.**

Table No. 1: Observation for Murr/Kadwa/Talq Taste.

Name of Drug	Classical Description	Description by Volunteers	In comparison to Std Drug	Relative Value		
Standard Drug: Charaitha						
Behrooza	Bitter	Bitter	Less than standard	0.75		
Neem	Bitter	Bitter	more than the standard	1.5		

In the assessment of Tasteless taste, Water was selected as standard and the one sample of Almond gum was chosen for particular taste. The relative score by voluntaries was; Almond Gum=0.75. the results are depicted in **table 2.**

Table No. 2: Observation for Tasteless Taste.

Name of Drug	Classical Description	Description by Volunteers	In comparison to Std Drug	Relative Value		
Standard Drug: Water						
Almond gum	Tasteless	Tasteless	Less than standard	0.75		

CONCLUSION

From the literature survey it has been found that all the plant origin bitter drugs are having Antioxidant property which may serve as an important lead in Unani drug development. The description of taste of each drug by healthy volunteer professional colleagues was almost at par with the classical Description. Research in the field of Tastes of Unani drugs may be expected to have promising implications in Unani drug development. The concept of taste along with the Darja-e-Mizaj need to be evaluated which may enlighten the toxicological aspect of Unani drugs and intern will help in cost effective drug development and formation of reliable test for the assessment of Mizaj-e Advia. In comparative study of the taste with the standard drug, almost all drugs were less in intensity when compared to the standard drug except Neem. The present study is a preliminary study for assessing the indicators of Mizaj assessment through taste. Further studies may be taken in future to refine the above study to arrive at more empirical measurement of these characteristics, which will enable investigators to have discriminative values for particular drug of specific categories of the tastes. This will help in developing a refined inventory for the assessment of Mizaj-e-Advia with proper scoring and interpretation of those scores.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Nil.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Dr Sultan parrey and Dr Shafi Malik (P.G Scholars NIUM Bangalore) for their precious advice and helpful discussion.

REFERENCE

- 1. Majoosi ABA. Kamilus SanahVol.11. part.1. (Urdu Translation by kantoori GH) New Delhi: idara kitabus shifa, 2010; 110-120.
- 2. Rath KS, Panja KA, Nagar L, Shinde A. The scientific basis of Rasa (taste) of a substance as a tool to explore its pharmacological behavior. Ancient Science of Life, 2014; 33(4): 198-202.
- 3. Khan MA. Muheet Azam (Urdu Translation). Vol.11. New Delhi: CCRUM, 2012; 29-63.
- 4. Sina I. Al Qanoon Fit Tib. (English translation). Vol. 2nd. New Delhi: Jamia Hamdard, 1998; 3-14.
- 5. Khan MA. Muheete Azam. Vol. 1st & Vol. 3rd. New Delhi: CCRUM, Ministry Of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. Of India, 2012.
- 6. Al-Magrabi ASI. Kitab-ul-Fateh. (Urdu translation by Hakim Abdul Bari), Dept of Kulliyat, Faculty of Unani Medicine, Jamia Hamdard, New Delhi, 2007; 25-36.
- 7. Mohammad AHK. Bustanul Mufradat. New Delhi: Idara Kitab us Shifa. 2002.
- 8. Tabri AABSR. Firdoos-ul-Hikmat.New Delhi: Idara Kitab-us-Shifa. 2010: 327-332.

www.ejpmr.com 796