INTRODUCTION
Lesion of prostate have been increasing over the past few decades due to increase in life expectancy. Diseases of prostate cause significant morbidity and mortality in male patients. Prostate is affected by variety of diseases but frequently encountered are BHP, prostatitis and prostatic carcinoma. In males over 40 years of age, BHP is most common urological disorder. Clinical incidence of BHP is 8% in 4th decade but reaches 50% in 5th decade and 75% in 8th decade of life.[1] Prostatic carcinoma is most common internal malignancy among men in U.S and is responsible for 10% of carcinoma deaths.[2] In India, prostate cancers constitute about 5% of all cancers in Males.[2,3] The aim of this study was to determine various Histopathological patterns of prostatic lesions, age distribution, scoring of prostatic adenocarcinoma according to gleason system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The study was conducted in the Department of Pathology, DUPMC, a tertiary level hospital of Jalgaon. The present study was a prospective study carried out from Jan 2018 to Dec 2019. Specimen were received as TURP and needle biopsies in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Routine paraffin processing was done followed by hematoxylin and eosin staining. The slides were examined under light microscope and various lesions of prostate were noted down according to classification by Frank’s.[4] The tumors were classified according to WHO classification[5] and histological grading of adenocarcinoma was done as per the Gleason’s grading system. The data was analysed using tables, figures and percentages in MS excel.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
A total of 134 cases were studied over two year period from Jan 2018 to Dec 2019. All the specimens received were transurethral resection of prostate biopsies. The age range of our patients was 25 to 89 years with a mean of 57 years and peak age group of 60 to 70 years. Age distribution of cases is represented in the Chart1.
Of the 134 cases, 114 cases (85%) were of benign prostatic hyperplasia. 3 cases of lymphocytic prostatitis (2.2%) 2 cases each of granulomatous prostatitis, Low grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (LGPIN) and High grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN), 10 cases (7.4%) cases of prostatic adenocarcinoma were encountered while one case of metastasis was observed in the study. The spectrum of histopathological lesions is shown in Chart 2 and microscopy images in figure 1.
Microscopically BHP shows glandular and stromal hyperplasia and the acini being lined by bilayer of cells. Corpora amylacea in acini, cystic changes, transitional cell metaplasia and calcification are among variably presented associated features. Histologically five types of BHP growth patterns are described i.e fibromyoadenomatous, fibroadenomatous, fibromuscular, muscular and stromal. We found 50.7% cases of fibroadenomyomatous, 26.1% of fibroadenomatous, 7.4% of fibromuscular and 0.007% of stromal patterns of BHP. Youngest patient among 114 cases of BHP was of 25 years and oldest was 83 years old. We found 3 cases of BHP with squamous metaplasia and 2 cases associated with reserve cell hyperplasia.

Inflammatory changes affecting prostate were divided into acute, chronic and granulomatous on the basis of inflammatory cells present. Chronic prostatitis was observed in 28 cases (20.8%), acute prostatitis was seen in 7 cases (5.2%) and granulomatous prostatitis in 2 cases.

Out of 134 cases 10 cases of prostatic adenocarcinoma were found, of these youngest patient was of 55 years old and oldest was 89 years old. All the cases were graded according to Gleason’s grading system. Gleason’s grading system is based on the extent of glandular differentiation and growth pattern of the tumor as seen in lower magnification, under light microscope. Five patterns are described by this system. Both the primary (Predominant) and secondary (second most prevalent) architectural patterns are identified and assigned a grade from 1 to 5, with 1 being the most differentiated and 5 being undifferentiated.

The combined Gleason grades (Gleason score) range from 2(1+1), which represents tumor uniformly composed of Gleason pattern 1 tumor, to 10(5+5=10), which represents totally undifferentiated tumors. In our study, prostatic adenocarcinoma with Gleason score 7 and 9 were the commonest and there was no tumor with Gleason score 2, 3, 4, 8 or 10.

Table 1 represents the age range of the cases categorized as per Gleason scores. Chart 3 shows distribution of prostatic adenocarcinoma according to Gleason score.

**Table 1: Age range of cases according to Gleason score.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gleason score</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>41-50</th>
<th>51-60</th>
<th>61-70</th>
<th>71-80</th>
<th>81-90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chart 3:** Distribution of prostatic adenocarcinoma according to Gleason’s score.
Prostatic diseases are an important cause of significant morbidity and mortality in elderly males. Although screening tools like TRUS.PSA are used for prostatic cancer screen, biopsy still remains gold standard for final diagnosis. Common prostatic pathologies encountered are BHP, prostatitis and prostatic cancer. Hormones such as androgen and advanced age are like factors associated with prostatic lesions.\(^1\)\(^\text{11}\) In the present study mean age for benign and malignant lesions were 54 and 72 years respectively. Benign lesions were common in age group of 61 to 70 whereas malignant were common in age group of 71 to 80 years which is concordance to studies by Bhat et al\(^1\)\(^\text{12}\), Deshmukh et al\(^9\), Kasliwal et al\(^10\), Patel et al\(^11\). In the present study of 134 cases of prostatic lesions, 114 (85\%) were diagnosed as BHP and 10 cases (7.4\%) cases were diagnosed as prostatic cancers, these findings were similar to studies done by Jehoram et al\(^1\)\(^\text{12}\), Bal et al\(^13\), Dawan et al\(^14\), Bhat et al\(^9\) whose studies found 93\%, 87\%, 86\% and 92.4\% cases of BHP in their studies respectively.

Most common histological pattern of BHP was found to be fibroadenomyomatous 50.7\% followed by fibroadenomatous 26\% which was similar to studies done by Deshmukh et al\(^9\) & Kim et al\(^15\). Chronic prostatitis was seen in 28 cases, all these cases were seen associated with BHP. These are similar to findings of studies done by Anim et al\(^16\), Mohmad et al\(^17\), Bhat et al\(^9\) which also found chronic prostatitis as most common inflammatory lesion followed by acute and granulomatous prostatitis. Granulomatous prostatitis can be classified as Idiopathic(non specific), Infectious, Malakoplaia, Iatrogenic and associated with Systemic diseases.\(^18\) We noted 2 cases of Idiopathic (non specific) granulomatous prostatitis. It is thought to result from foreign body response to colloidal substance, bacterial products, refluxed urine or from an immunological response to extraductal prostatic secretions arising from ducts obstructed by hyperplasia.

All the prostate carcinomas were histologically adenocarcinoma in this study similar to other studies by Mohmad et al\(^17\) and Begum et al.\(^20\) Adenocarcinoma were graded according to modified gleason system which was based on degree of glandular differentiation at low power examination. The gleasons grading correlates with tumour aggressiveness, tumour volume and prognosis.\(^21\) Tumours with gleason score 8to10 have advanced cancer with poor prognosis.\(^21\) Gleason score of 7 was seen in 6 out 10 cases (60\%) followed by gleason score of 9 which was seen in 3 out of 10 cases (30\%) which was in concordance to study done by Brawn et al.\(^22\)

Involvement of prostates by secondaries is rare. Occasionally urethelial carcinoma of bladder and colonic adenocarcinoma may secondarily involve seminal vesicles.\(^23\) Secondary neoplastic involvement of prostate occurs through direct extension by carcinoma of bladder and urethra, colorectum and anus and soft tissue tumor. Distant mets have been seen from lung, carcinoma, melanoma, Renal cell carcinoma and other tumour types.\(^1\)\(^\text{16}\) We too encountered such a rare case of metastasis in prostate from carcinoma of bladder.

CONCLUSION
We found the pattern of prostatic diseases in this region were similar to other regions of India and world. BHP was the commonest lesion found and many cases were associated with prostatitis. Prostatic adenocarcinoma were seen in 7.4 \% of cases. Histopathological examination of prostatic specimens play a crucial role in diagnosis and management of various prostatic lesions.
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