ejpmr, 2023, 10(6), 532-538

SJIF Impact Factor 6.222

EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND MEDICAL RESEARCH

www.ejpmr.com

Review Article

ISSN 2394-3211

EJPMR

A REVIEW ON THE DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF NOVEL ANALYTICAL METHODS USING THE RP-HPLC METHOD

Srishti Katiyar*[1], Harshita Gupta[2], Garima Verma[3], Prashant Kumar Katiyar[4], Deepak Katiyar[5] and Rammurat Yadav[6]

[1]Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Kanpur Institute of Technology & Pharmacy, Kanpur.
[2,3,5]Assistant Professor of Kanpur Institute of Technology & Pharmacy, Kanpur.
[4]Professor of Kanpur Institute of Technology & Pharmacy, Kanpur.
[6]Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Kanpur Institute of Technology & Pharmacy, Kanpur.

***Corresponding Author: Srishti Katiyar**

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Kanpur Institute of Technology & Pharmacy, Kanpur.

Article Received on 21/04/2023 **Article Revised on 11/05/2023** **Article Accepted on 01/06/2023**

INTRODUCTION

Any product or service needs analysis, and drugs are no different because they involve human life.[1] Analytical chemistry is the study of the separation, measurement, and chemical additive identification of synthetic and natural materials made up of one or more compounds or elements. The two main categories of analytical chemistry are qualitative evaluation and quantitative evaluation. The former involves identifying the chemical additives present in the sample and the latter calculates the amount of positive detail or compound present in the substance, i.e., the sample.[2] Each year, there is an increase in the amount of medication that is released onto the market. These medications may also be brand-new things or just slight structural changes made to the ones we already have. Medicines should be available in a way that guarantees their quality, bioavailability, adequate plasma concentration, desired timeframe, commencement of action, proper dose, safety, effectiveness, and stability during product storage.[3] The process of improving a drug involves many steps, including drug discovery, research lab testing, preclinical testing, clinical testing, and regulatory registration. Many administrative organisations, such as the United States Food and Drug Administration (USFDA), also mandate that the drug product be evaluated for its identification, potency, characteristics, quality, stability, and purity before it can be released for use in order to further improve the sufficiency and protection of the medication after acceptance. Therefore, it is essential to implement pharmaceutical validation and process controls to avoid any potential problems.[4] A medication's introduction to the market and the date it is taken into consideration for inclusion in pharmacopoeias frequently occur at different times. This is due to potential flaws in the ongoing and extensive use of those pharmaceuticals, claims of ongoing toxicity (leading to their removal from the market), the emergence of patient resistance, and the advancement of more advanced medical treatments in an effort to compete. In some cases, there may be requirements and analytical methods for certain medications that are outside the scope of pharmacopoeias. It becomes required in order to create fresher analytical methods for such drugs.[5] The HPLC method's objectives are to compute all available synthetic intermediaries, the main active drug, any response impurities, and any degradants.[6] The word "chromatography" is derived from the word's "chromo" and "graphs," which both indicate "to write," so that in the process, colour groups are formed and then measured or examined. The division of different compounds shapes these colour groups.[7] Standards and analytical techniques for certain medications may not be included in the pharmacopoeias under these circumstances. Therefore, the creation of more modern analytical techniques for such drugs is required.[8] The goal of the characterisation is to produce a trustworthy, accurate, and understandable collection of data that describes the sample.[9] Because drugs have a direct impact on human life, analysis is crucial for any good or service.[10]

Chromatography is a technique used to distinguish, pinpoint, and quantify the chemicals present in any material that may dissolve in a liquid. Drugs are analysed quantitatively and qualitatively, and any analytical technique, including UV-visible, Infrared, Mass spectroscopy, NMR, etc., can be used to identify the separated complexes. One of the most effective technologies in analytical chemistry nowadays is High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)[11] Thanks to its benefits of accuracy in analyte quantitation at the micro and even nanogram levels and cost effectiveness, HPTLC has become a common analytical technique.[12]

The method of analysis is chosen for new chemical moieties with the highest purity, for reaction monitoring, and for assessing new formulations. To ensure the openness, efficacy, identification, and presentation of pharmaceutical medicines, formal test procedures are

utilised in quality control laboratories. When it comes to the development of drug discovery, growth, and manufacturing, valuable to crucial tool.[13]

The adsorption of solute on stationary phase based on its attraction to stationary phase is the separation principle used. In HPLC, a sample is injected into a porous physical column (the stationary phase), and liquid phase (the mobile phase) is driven through the column at a higher pressure.[11,13,14] For the measurement of amiodarone hydrochloride in tablet and injectable formulations, a method for manipulating the interaction of the solute with stationary and mobile phases through various solvent and stationary phase selections has been established.[15]

Important HPLC characteristics

- Excellent resolution
- Small diameter, Stainless steel, Glass column
- Rapid analysis
- Relatively higher mobile phase pressure
- Measured flow rate of mobile phase.[16]

Phases of chromatography

Normal phase chromatography

In the Normal Phase mode, the mobile phase is non-polar in nature whereas the stationary phase is polar. Non-polar compounds elute first in this process because they move more quickly. The reason for this is that the non-polar complexes and stationary phase are less attracted to one another. Polar chemicals are complexes and take longer to elute because of their attraction to the stationary phase. The adsorption volume is vital to improved elution time in the increase of separation in solute molecules in growths. Cyanopropyl, aminopropyl, and diol are utilised as a stationary phase in this chromatography since they are chemically updated to silica.[17]

For example

A typical column is between 150 and 250 mm long, with an internal thickness of roughly 4.6 mm. In the combination that is authorised to finish the column, polar compounds will adhere to the polar silica for a longer period of time than non-polar complexes. As a result, the non-polar ones will move through the column more quickly.[18]

Reversed phase chromatography (RP-HPLC)

The most common technique in the fields of biological, food, chemical, pharmaceutical, and biomedical sciences for analytical and preparative separation of complex of interest is RP-HPLC.

In this method, the polar solvent serves as the mobile phase while the stationary phase is a non-polar hydrophobic packing containing an octal or octadecyl functional group bound to silica gel. Since most pharmaceuticals and drugs have polar properties, they are not retained for long periods of time and elute quickly.[19]

The stationary phase of RP-HPLC is non-polar, and the mobile phase is either polar or somewhat polar. Based on the hydrophobic interaction theory.[20] The non-polar stationary phase will hold analytes that are comparatively less polar in a mixture of components for a longer period of time than analytes that are considerably more polar. The most polar portion will so elute first.[18] uses water-organic as the mobile phase; the stationary phase can be C18 (ODS), C8, phenyl, trimethyl silane (TMS), or cyan. Most samples, notably neutral or non-ionized chemicals that dissolve in aqueous organic combinations, use it as their first option.[21]

Components Of HPLC

- Retention time
- Retention volume
- Separation factor
- Resolution
- Theoretical plate
- HETP- Height Equivalent to the original Plate
- Efficiency (no of theoretical plates)
- Asymmetry factor- Fronting Tailing.[22]

Every year, there are more medications available on the market overall. These medications are likewise brand-new things and a component of standing one's structure transformation. The quality, dependability, and regularity of analytical results can be evaluated using the techniques validation, which is a crucial component of any effective analytical preparation. The need for method development and method validation has been established.[23]

One must research the physical characteristics of the drug particle, such as separation, dissociation, solubility, and pH, in order to determine the development technique. The crucial function of a drug's physicochemical characteristics. The creation of the analyte is based on its solubility in a variety of diluents. A component's acidity or basicity is mostly governed by its pH value.[24]

Validation, in its most basic sense, refers to the evaluation of validity or methods for proving effectiveness. It is decided by including individuals from various regulations in the group of validation. The validation process consists of "creating documented evidence" that provides a high level of certainty that the product satisfies the requirements for the anticipated analytical applications.[25]

New measuring technologies can be accepted by the pharmaceutical sector if a thorough, systematic justification for the submission has been established, verified, and defended and the proposed method has been approved by internal company procedures.[26]

Method Development

Method development is the process of creating new, original procedures for evaluating brand-new or novel pharmaceutical products. The investigation of pharmacopeial or non-pharmacopeial generate original methods are established and decrease the rate also time for higher accuracy and power. Through primary pathways, these methods have been enhanced and approved.[27]

The process of determining the best analytical technique to regulate a preparation's arrangement is known as method development. The procedures and acceptance criteria outlined in the ICH standards Q2(R1) must be applied when developing analytical techniques in GMP and GLP contexts.[28]

These methods have been tested in preliminary runs and are optimised. Alternative methods are developed and put into use to exchange this approach within the comparative laboratory data with all available pros and demerits.[28]

Assessment of the Development of Analytical Methods

The creation of analytical methods is utilised in the pharmaceutical industry to provide the most crucial information regarding the strength, stability, bioavailability, and characteristics of a medicine. This approach uses conventional decision-making.[29]

The requirement for method development is as follows

- Qualified and calibrated instruments
- Documented methods
- Reliable reference standards
- Qualified analysts
- Sample selection and integrity
- Change control.

Method development issues

Although stored samples are initially correct, they gradually lose their accuracy and low bias.

A serially diluted curve has a concave shape due to absorption. With a reduction in concentration, the response variables decline. This issue may be brought on by an increased exposure brought on by the quantity of dilutions, surface area contact, and time.

Homogeneity: The sample that will be examined is divided.

By using a surfactant in the test sample, these issues can be solved.[30]

Method validation

The concept of validation emerged in the United States around 1978. The word "validation," which is derived from the Latin "Validus," means "strong and beneficial," and it also suggests that anything be validated in order for it to be accepted as true.[31]

It is a method procedure to certify that a particular, well-established analytical technique is appropriate for the intended use.[32]

The preparation of the technique validation in the analytical method must be crucial. This method's manner of doing things is crucial to the analytical condition, and it is a validating one that might involve reflection and the demonstration of skills while remaining stable through submission.[33]

The validation technique recognises laboratory study.[34]

The validation theory is an analytical technique for quality control of medications and pharmaceutical products to computer-aided organisations for clinical research, category or process controller. It has evolved over time to incorporate a large collection of actions. In the pharmaceutical business, method validation of analytical technology needs is decided almost on a daily basis since a precise method validation is crucial for authorised approval applications.[35]

The purpose of method validation is to show that analytical procedures are suitable for the intended purpose.

The four common place kinds are the focus of the validation of analytical methodologies debate.

1. Identification tests.
2. Quantitative tests for impurities content.
3. Limit tests for the operate of impurities.[36]

The proposed methods were validated as per ICH guidelines and successfully applied for the determination of investigated drugs in tablets.[37] High-performance liquid chromatography.[38] and LC/ ESI-MS/MS.[39]

Validation guidelines

1. ICH Q2A text on validation of analytical procedures: definitions and terminology (March 1995)
2. ICH Q2B validation of analytical procedures: methodology (June 1997)
3. FDA (Draft) guidance for industry: analytical methods validation and analytical procedures
4. Pharmacopoeias United states Pharmacopoeia (USP) and European Pharmacopoeia (EP)

Assay validation frequently uses the following analytical parameters

- Accuracy
- Precision
- Specificity
- Detection Limit
- Quantitation Limit
- Linearity

- Range
- Robustness

Accuracy
The degree of similarity between the measured and the physical is called accuracy.[40] The closest or recognised rate is the appropriate measurement of accuracy rate. The method of adding appropriate amounts of analyte to a sample and then determining the accuracy of the result. It is commonly described as the healing after the assay of respected, provided amounts of analyte.[41]

Precision
The tight connection between a series of measurements obtained from various samples of a similar homogenous sample under the agreed-upon repeatability criteria is how an analytical method's precision is expressed.

Specificity
The degree of specificity of the analyte of interest in the estimated presence of the mechanisms. Traditionally, these could include disintegrant, matrix, and other contaminants.[42]

Detection limit
The smallest amount of analyte in a sample that can be detected but not always measured as an exact number is the limit of detection for a single analytical procedure. Signal to noise ratio is easily ascertained visually. Response and slope standard deviations.[43]

Quantitation Limit
The quantitation limit of a single analytical procedure is the minimum amount of analyte; it also refers to the sample's potency, which is accurately and quantitatively assessed. Only analytical techniques that exhibit baseline noise can be used with the quantitation limit sign to noise technique.[44]

Linearity
The ability to obtain test results that are proportionate to analyte absorption in models within a specific array or by clearly specified mathematical transformations is known as the linearity analytical technique.[45] By visually analysing a signal plot as a function of the analyte content or concentration, the linearity should be evaluated. It should be properly interpreted when utilising mathematical methods. It is possible to manage the linearity between model absorptions and tests, for instance, by computing a line of degradation using the least squares techniques. Linearity is information test data that must be exposed to a computed alteration analysis.[46]

Range
Range is the range between the upper and lower analyte concentrations in the sample for which it has been shown that the analytical technique has an adequate level of precision, accuracy, and linearity.[47]

The interval between the higher and lower concentration of analyte in the sample for which it has been shown that the analytical technique has a sufficient level of precision, accuracy, and linearity is known as the range of an analytical procedure.[48]

The range is typically stated in the same units (e.g., percentage, parts per million) as the test findings obtained using the analytical method.[45]

Robustness
An analytical procedure's robustness, which measures its ability to be unaffected by little but intentional changes in method parameters, gives a hint as to how reliable it will be in typical conditions.[49]

Validation and revalidation of methods are required
- Before they were put to regular usage.
- When the circumstance for which the method has been validated change, such as when an instrument has new characteristics.
- Whenever a method is modified and the modifications go beyond what the method was designed to do.

We generally test the approach in the following circumstances:
- During method development
- Checking the system suitability
- Change of application, environment, and analyst
- While using after a prolonged period of time
- Checking reliability and consistency

The kind and scope of the validation studies that are needed will depend on the method and analytical approach that are employed. Identification, assay, and impurity measurement are the most popular validation techniques.[50,51]

Important validation phases
The three phases of the action identifying process with validation studies are as follows:
Phases 1
This includes the pre-validation qualification stage, which includes all activities relating to product studies and improvement, formulation pilot batch testing, scale-up research, exchange of innovation to business scale groups, setting up stability conditions, and managing in-process, finished pharmaceutical formulations, as well as the qualification of equipment, master documents, and process limit.

Phases 2
Phase of process validation is involved here. In order to ensure that good products can be produced even in the worst cases, it is planned to verify that every installed restriction of the crucial process parameter is substantial.

Phases 3

It is also known as the validation maintenance stage and calls for continuous examination of all procedure-related archives, including validation of review reports, to ensure that there have been no deviations, failures, or modifications to the production procedure and that all standard operating procedures (SOPs) involving change control procedures have been followed. The approval team, which consists of representatives from each important department, also provides the assurance that there have been no alterations or deviations that should have led to requalification and revalidation.[52,53]

The following are some of the various steps in method development and validation

- Method development plan.
- Background information gathering.
- Laboratory method development.
- Generation of test procedure
- Methods validation protocol definition.
- Laboratory methods validation.
- Validated test method generation.
- Validation report.[32]

Method Validation by Developmental Stage

New drug applications (NDA) and many other applications for global marketing have defined requirements for method validation. Documents from the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH).[54,55] contain details about these standards. Regulation-making bodies.[56,57] pharmacopoeia, too. However, the validation requirements that apply to the initial stages of drug development are less detailed.[58,59]

Development and validation of analytical techniques are required

International rivalry, maintaining the standard of products in high economic & market value, and ethical considerations all contributed to the necessity for analytical method development and validation. Among the well-known groups in charge of quality standards are:

- United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA)
- Current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) regulations
- Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) regulations.
- The Pharmaceutical Inspection Cooperation Scheme's (PIC/S)
- Pharmaceutical Inspection Cooperation Scheme (PIC/S)
- The International Conference for Harmonization (ICH)
- Quality Manual ISO/IEC issued by International Organization for Standardization
- World Health Organization (WHO).[50,51]

Advantages and disadvantages of phased method validation

Although completing validation in stages offers obvious advantages, it is important to remember that there is a possible risk involved with this strategy. The danger can be greatly decreased if the analytical scientist is aware of the constraints of the analytical approach and has a fundamental grasp of the chemistry or manufacturing procedure for the medicinal ingredient or product. both a solid technical foundation and the application of ethical method development practises.[52]

REFERENCE

1. Hema, Swati Reddy G. A review on new analytical method development and validation by RP-HPLC. Int Res J Pharm Biosci, 2017; 4: 41-50.
2. Ravisankar P, Navya CN, Pravallika D, Sri DN. A review on stepby-step analytical method validation. IOSR J Pharm, 2015; 5: 7-19
3. Patel A, Dwivedi N, Kaurav N, Bashani S, Patel S, Sharma HS, et al. Chemical analysis of pharmaceuticals: a review. J Med Pharm Innov, 2016; 3: 4-7.
4. Jatto E, Okhamafe AO. An overview of pharmaceutical validation and process controls in drug development. Trop J Pharm Res, 2002; 1: 115-22.
5. Pathuri R, Muthukumaran M, Krishnamoorthy B, Nishat A. A review on analytical method development and validation of the pharmaceutical technology. Curr Pharm Res, 2013; 3: 855-70.
6. RG. Chatwal and KS. Anand. Textbook of instrumental methods of chemical analysis, 2007; 2: 567.
7. DA.Skoog, DM.West, FJ.Holler. Fundamentals OF Analytical Chemistry. (8thEdn), Fort Worth: Saunders College Pub, 1996. https://www.worldcat.org/ oclc/33112372.
8. Ravisankar, S.Gowthami G. Rao. Devlala. A REVIEW ON ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT. Indian Journal of Research in Pharmacy and Biotechnology, 2014; 9(2): 2320–3471. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v9i2.2403.
9. DR. Jenke. CHROMATOGRAPHIC METHOD VALIDATION. A REVIEW OF CURRENT PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES. General concepts and guidelines. J. Liq. Chromatogr, 1996; 19(5): 719–736. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/10826079608005533.
10. Hema,Swati Reddy. A REVIEW ON NEW ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION BY RP-HPLC. International Research Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biosciences, 2017; 4(3): 41-50. https://innovareacademics.in
11. BV.Rao, GN.Sowjanya, A. Ajitha, MV.Rao. A REVIEW ON STABILITY INDICATING HPLC METHOD DEVELOPMENT. World Journal of

Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2015; 4(8): 405-423. https://fdocuments.in/document.
12. L.Shuijun, L. Gangyi, J. Jingying, L. Xiaochuan. J Pharm Biomed Anal, 2006; 40: 987-992. http:// www.openscienceonline.com/journal/archive.
13. Y.Kazakevich., R.Lobrutto. HPLC FOR PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENTISTS, John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2007. https://onlinelibrary. wiley.com.
14. S.Sood, R.Bala, NS.Gill. METHOD Development AND VALIDATION USING HPLC TECHNIQUE. A review, Journal of Drug Discovery and Therapeutics, 2014; 2(22): 18-2. thttp://ijpda.com.
15. N.Thyagarajapuram KS Alexander. A SIMPLIFIED METHOD FOR THE ESTIMATION OF AMIODARONE HYDROCHLORIDE BY REVERSE-PHASE HIGHPERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY. J Liq Chrom & Rel Technol, 2003; 26: 1315-1326. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1081/JLC-120020113.
16. MS. Charde, AS. Welankiwar, J.Kumar. METHOD DEVELOPMENT BY LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY WITH VALIDATION. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, 2014; 04(02): 57-61. DOI: https://doi. org/10.22270/ajprd.v8i4.656.
17. LR.Snyder, J.J Kirkland, and JL.Glajch, PRACTICAL HPLC METHOD DEVELOPMENT. 2nd. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Hoboken, 1997.
18. Vidushi Yadav, Meenakshi Bharkatiya. A REVIEW ON HPLC METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION. Life Science Informatics Publications, 2017; 166. DOI - 10.26479/2017.0206.12.
19. SS.Gokul S. Zarekar, S. Pawar. Int J. Pharm. Drug. Anal, 2017; 5: 177-184. thttp://ijpda.com.
20. G.Rao, A.Goyal. An OVERVIEW ON ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION BY USING HPLC. The Pharmaceutical and Chemical Journal, 2016; 3(2): 280-289. http://tpcj.org/download/vol-3- iss-2-2016/TPCJ2016-03-02-280-289.pdf.
21. P.Tiwari and KB.Singh.A MODERN APPROACH OF DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 2016; 5: 1616-1631. DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20169-7025.
22. S.Ravisankar. Text book of pharmaceutical analysis. Rx Publications. 3, 2.2- 2.5, 13.1, 17.14- 17.18 & 18.2-18.6.
23. Rajendra Patil, Tushar Deshmukh, Vijay Patil, and Kishanchand Khandelwa. REVIEW ON ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION. Research and Reviews: Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis, 2014; 3.
24. K.Ba.Huynh. HANDBOOK OF STABILITY TESTING IN PHARMACEUTICAL DEVELOPMENT: REGULATIONS, METHODOLOGIES, AND BEST PRACTICES. Springer, 2009; 21-41.
25. T.Pranshu, PR.Singh, V.Jakhmola .VALIDATION A CRITICAL PARAMETER FOR QUALITY CONTROL OF PHARMACEUTICALS. Journal of Drug Delivery & Therapeutics, 2012; 2(3): 34-40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v2i3.151.
26. N.Broad, P.Graham, P.Hailey, A.Hardy, S.Holland, S.Hughes. GUIDELINES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF NEAR-INFRARED SPECTROSCOPIC METHODS IN THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY. CHICHESTER. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., 2002; 1-5.
27. S.Sharma., S.Goyal, K.Chauhan .A REVIEW ON ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION. International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics, 2018; 10(6): 8-15.
28. Ashish Chauhan, Bharti Mittu1 and Priyanka Chauhan. ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION. J Anal Bioanal Tech, 2015; 6(1). DOI: 10.4172/2155-9872.1000233.
29. P.Mahar A. Verma. PHARMACEUTICAL PROCESS VALIDATION. AN OVERVIEW. Int J Pharm Res Biosci., 2014; 3: 243-62. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.22159/ijap.2018v10i6.28279.
30. Shivani Sharma, Navdeep Singh, DA. Ankalgi, A.Rana, SM.Ashawat. MODERN TRENDS IN ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES FOR METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF PHARMACEUTICALS. Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics, 2021; 11(1): 121-130. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v11i1-s.4515.
31. BK.Nanjwade, MS.Ali, VK.Nanjwade, FV.Manvi. EFFECT OF COMPRESSION PRESSURE ON DISSOLUTION AND SOLID-STATE CHARACTERIZATION OF CEFUROXIME AXETIL. J Anal Bioanal Techniques, 2010; 1(3): 112. DOI: 10.4172/2155-9872.1000112.
32. P.Araujo. KEY ASPECTS OF ANALYTICAL METHOD VALIDATION AND LINEARITY EVALUATION. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci., 2009; 877: 2224-34. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2008.09.030
33. J.Breaux, K.Jones, P.Boulas UNDERSTANDING AND IMPLEMENTING EFFICIENT ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION. Pharm Technol Anal Chem Test, 2003; 5: 6-13. DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.22270/jddt.v11i1-s.4515.
34. PC.Gupta. METHOD VALIDATION OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES. Pharma Tutor, 2015; 3(1): 32-39 35. MD.Rockvilie. Validation of compedial Assays Guidelines Pharmacopeial Convention, 1985.
35. DN.Bliesner. Validating Chromatographic Methods. John Wliey & sons Inc., 2006; 88-92. https://www. wiley.com/en-in. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22159/ ijap.2018v10i6.28279.

36. MD. Rockville. Validation Of Chromatographic Methods, Center For Drug Evaluation And Research CDER). US FDA Technical Review Guide, 1993; 4.
37. United States Pharmacopeia, 27th rev., 1225 & (UNITED STATES PHARMACOPEIAL CONVENTION, ROCKVILLE, MD, 2004; 2622–2635.
38. H. Liang, MB. Kays, KM. Sowinski. SEPARATION Of Levofloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, Gatifloxacin, Moxifloxacin, Trovafloxacin And Cinoxacin BY HPLC. Application to levofloxacin determination in human plasma. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci., 2002; 772: 53-63. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/S1570-0232(02)00046-6
39. R.Sistla, VS.Tata, YV.Kashyap, D.Chandrasekar, PV.Diwan. DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF A REVERSED-PHASE HPLC METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EZETIMIBE IN PHARMACEUTICAL DOSAGE FORMS. J Pharm Biomed Anal., 2005; 39: 517-522. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2005.04.026
40. Work of G.M. Holland. Hearn Perkin Elmer RA. www.standardbase.com. In: A Guide to Validation in HPLC Based.
41. SB.Bari, PS.Jain AR. Bakshi SJ. Surana. HPTLC METHOD VALIDATION FOR SIMULTANEOUS DETERMINATION OF TAMSULOSIN HYDROCHLORIDE AND FINASTERIDE IN BULK AND PHARMACEUTICAL DOSAGE FORM. J Anal Bioanal Techniques, 2011; 2: 119. DOI: 10.4172/2155-9872.1000119.
42. Y.Liu, S.Hou, L.Wang, S.Yang .DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF A LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY METHOD FOR THE ANALYSIS OF PAROMOMYCIN SULFATE AND ITS IMPURITIES. J Anal Bioanal Techniques, 2010; 1: 102. DOI: doi:10.4172/2155-9872.1000102.
43. PS.Jain, AU.Tatiya, SA. Bagel. SJ. Surana. Development and Validation of a Method for Densitometric Analysis of 6-Gingerol in Herbal extracts and Polyherbal Formulation, 2011; 2: 124.
44. RK.Srivastava, SS.Kumar. AN UPDATE REVIEW ANALYTICAL METHOD VALIDATION. European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research, 2017; 4(9): 774-784. DOI: https://doi. org/10.5958/2349-2988.2020.00029.7.
45. RR.Putheti, RN Okigbo. RN, Patil. SC, Advanapu. MS, Leburu. R Method development and validations: Characterization of critical elements in the development of pharmaceuticals. Int J Health Res, 2008; 1: 11-20. DOI: http://dx.doi.org /10.4314/ijhr. v1i1.55342.
46. Lavanya.G, Sunil, Eswarudu.MM, Eswaraiah.MC, Harisudha.K and Spandana.B Analytical Method Validation: An Updated Review. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, 2013; 42: 1280-1286.
47. Vibha Gupta et al. Development and validation of HPLC method - a review. International Research Journal of Pharmaceutical and Applied Sciences, 2012; 2(4): 22-23.
48. T.Nishant A. Kumar, D. Kumar, V. Shanti. Development And Validation Of Analytical Methods For Pharmaceuticals. J Anal Bioanal Techniques, 2011; 2: 127. DOI: 10.4172/2155- 9872.1000127.
49. PV.Pawar. et al. DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF UV-HPLC METHOD ON TABLET DOSAGE FORM. International Journal of Pharma Research and Development, 2011; 3(1): 187.
50. SK.Bansal., T. Layloff. T, Bush. ED, Hamilton .M, Hankinson. EA, et al. Qualification of Analytical Instruments for Use in the Pharmaceutical Industry. a Scientific Approach. AAPS Pharm Sci Tech, 2004; 5: 1-8. DOI: https://dx.doi. org/10.1208%2 Fpt050122.
51. P.Bedson, M.Sargent THE DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF GUIDANCE ON EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION OF ANALYTICAL INSTRUMENTS. Accred Qual. Assurance, 1996; 1: 265-274. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1007/s00769 0050083
52. E.Jatto OA.Okhamafe. An Overview Of Pharmaceutical Validation And Process Controls In Drug Development. Trop J Pharm Res., 2002; 1: 115- 22.
53. Lavanya G, Sunil M, Eswarudu MM, Eswaraiah MC, Harisudha K, Spandana BN, et al. Analytical method validation: an updated review. Int J Pharm Sci Res, 2013; 4: 1280.
54. ICH Q2A "INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON HARMONIZATION: GUIDELINE FOR INDUSTRY: TEXT ON THE VALIDATION OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES, AVAILABILITY," FED. REGIST, 1995; 60(40): 11260–11262.
55. ICH Q2B "INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON HARMONIZATION: GUIDANCE FOR INDUSTRY Q2B VALIDATION OF ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES: METHODOLOGY, AVAILABILITY," Fed. Regist, 1997; 62(96): 27464–27467.
56. Food and Drug Administration "DRAFT GUIDANCE FOR INDUSTRY ON ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES AND METHODS VALIDATION CHEMISTRY, MANUFACTURING, AND CONTROLS DOCUMENTATION," Fed. Regist, 2002; 65(169): 52776–52777.
57. Drugs Directorate Guidelines (HEALTH PRODUCTS AND FOOD BRANCH, HEALTH CANADA, OTTAWA, CANADA), 1992.
58. United States Pharmacopeia, 27th rev., 1225 & (United States Pharmacopeial Convention, Rockville, MD, 2004; 2622–2635.
59. Japanese Pharmacopeia, 14th rev., English translation, General Information 16& (Japanese Pharmacopeia, Tokyo, Japan, 2001; 1330– 1332.