



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL AND MEDICAL RESEARCH

www.ejpmr.com

Research Article ISSN 2394-3211 EJPMR

A COMPARATIVE CLINICAL STUDY TO EVALUATE THE EFFICACY OF MADHU-SHIGRUPALLAVA SWARASA ASCHYOTANA AND MADHU-SHIGRUPALLAVA ARKA ASCHYOTANA IN THE MANAGEMENT OF VATAJA ABHISHYANDA W.S.R. TO ALLERGIC CONJUNCTIVITIS

Dr. Sushobhitha M.* and Dr. Sujathamma K.

Assistant Professor, Dept of Shalakya Tantra SDMIAH, Bengaluru. Professor and H.O.D, Dept of Shalakya Tantra, SKAMCH &RC, Bengaluru.

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Sushobhitha M.

Assistant Professor, Dept of Shalakya Tantra SDMIAH, Bengaluru.

Article Received on 07/09/2023

Article Revised on 27/09/2023

Article Accepted on 17/10/2023

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Vataja abhishyanda explained in the context of Sarvagata netra roga is a commonly seen clinical condition. It can be compared to allergic conjunctivitis explained in the contemporary science, its lakshanas are nistodana, stambana, sangharsha, parushya, kandu, srava, raga and prakasha asahishnuta. Allergic diseases have dramatically increased in the last decades. Ocular allergy represents one of the most common ocular conditions encountered in clinical practice. Allergic conjunctivitis prevalence has been estimated in 6-30% of population and up to 30% in children. In India more than 10 million cases per year is recorded. Objective: To evaluate the therapeutic effect of Madhu-shigrupallava swarasa aschyotana and Madhu-shigrupallava arka aschyotana in the management of VatajaAbhishyanda w.s.r. to Allergic conjunctivitis. To compare the therapeutic efficacies of both the groups. Methods: 20 patients in each group fulfilling the inclusion criteria of vataja abhishyanda were selected from O.P.D and I.P.D of Shalakya Tantra, SKAMCH &RC, Bengaluru. Madhu-shigrupallava swarasa aschyotana was done in both Group A and Madhu-shigrupallava arka aschyotana Group B, 10 drops each for 14 consecutive days. Results and conclusion: Though both the forms of drugs beneficial in management of Vataja Abhishyanda w.s.r. to allergic conjunctivitis, however Madhu- Shigru pallava swarasa was comparatively better than Madhu-Shigru pallava arka aschyotana in parameters like Kandu, Vividha vedana (Discomfort), Sangharsha, Upadeha, Raga, Shopha and Papillary reactions. Madhu- Shigrupallava Arka Aschyotana was given better results in parameters like Srava and Prakasha asahishnuta. Due to krimighna, chakshushya, lekhana, ropana and shodhana properties of Madhu- Shigru pallava yoga, both forms of medicine found beneficialin Vataja Abhishyanda.

KEYWORDS: Vataja abhishyanda, Allergic conjunctivitis, Madhu-shigrupallava swarasa aschyotana, madhu-shigrupallava arka aschyotana.

INTRODUCTION

Among the 76 eye diseases mentioned by Acharya Sushruta, Abhishyanda is one among the vyadhana sadhya vyadhi.^[1] It is called as Ghora nayanamayakara told by Madhavakara as it is the main cause for all the eve diseases, and it is an Aupasargika roga.^[2] If not treated properly, it may lead to further complications like Adhimantha, Hathadhimantha, Drushtinasha. Vataja abhishyanda is characterized by toda (pricking sensation), stambha (rigidity), romaharsha (horripulation), sangharsha (foreign body sensation), parushyata (roughness), shiroabhitapa (headache), vishushkabhava (feeling of dryness), Shishirashruta (lacrimation), alpa shophata (mild chemosis)^[3] Allergic conjunctivitis is an atopic disorder commonly encountered in ocular clinical practice. It has been reported that about one-fifth of the entire human population suffers from one form of allergy or another of which about 20% is due to allergic conjunctivitis. These variations may be attributed both to genetic and environmental factors (including warm, dry climate and extent of pollution)^[4] The prevalence of allergic conjunctivitis varies worldwide between 6-30% and is a major health concern in the rural areas of developing countries particularly in India.^[5] Allergic conjunctivitis is the inflammation of the conjunctiva which is caused due to an allergic reaction of body"s immune system to an allergen. It is characterized by intense itching, burning sensation in the eyes associated with watery mucus, mild photophobia, hyperemia, chemosis, and edema of lids.^[6]

Aschyotana one among the Kriyakalpa is indicated as Adyopakrama for acute conditions of eye.^[7] Madhu-Shigrupallava swarasa aschyotana is mentioned under vataja abhishyanda chikitsa by Acharya Vagbhata which includes Madhu and Shigrupallava.^[8] Both being Chakshushya and krimihara helps to alleviate vitiated Vata dosha and to reduce Abhishyanda. Shigrupallava arka is mentioned by Ravana in Arka prakasha,^[9] which is having more shelf life i.e. 1-2 years when compared to swarasa. Hence, the present study was an attempt to scientifically validate the effect of Madhu-Shigrupallava swarasa aschyotana and Madhu- Shigrupallava arka aschyotana in the management of Vataja abhishyanda w.s.r. toAllergic conjunctivitis.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Total 40 Subjects with the clinical features of Vataja Abhishyanda w.s.r. to Allergic conjunctivitis coming under the inclusion criteria approaching the OPD and IPD of Shalakya Tantra, Sri Kalabyraveshwara Ayurvedic Medical College Hospital & Research Center, Bangalore were selected for the study. The sample collection was initiated with post approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee. Study design-Randomized double arm open label clinical study. Sampling Technique- The subjects who fulfil the inclusion criteria were selected using random sampling technique of lottery method. Study was also registered under Clinical Trial Registry India of (CTRI/2019/01/016961).

The Madhu and fresh Shigrupallava required for swarasa and Arka Ashcyotana was collected from the vendors and the drugs were authenticated by the faculty ofDravya Guna of Sri Kalabyraveshwara Ayurvedic Medical College Hospital & Research Center, Banglore.

Diagnostic criteria^[10]

- Subjects presenting with lakshanas of Vataja Abhishyanda.
- Subjects presenting with signs and symptoms of Allergic conjunctivitis.

Inclusion criteria

- Subjects of either gender from 17-55 years of age.
- Subjects presenting with lakshanas of Vataja Abhishyanda.
- Subjects presenting with signs and symptoms of Allergic conjunctivitis.

Exclusion Criteria

- Subjects with complications like Marginal corneal ulcer, Trachoma, Dacryocystitis, Kerato-conjunctivitis, Infective conjunctivitis and other ocularinfections.
- Subjects with other systemic diseases that interfere with the course of treatment.

Intervention: The study was intervened for a duration of 21 days which is divided into treatment and follow up study comprising of 14 days and 7 days respectively. The total duration of the study in both the groups was 21 days.

Group A- Madhu- Shigrupallava swarasa aschyotana 10 drops were administeredin 5 divided doses i.e., 2 drops at a time for 14 days.

Group B- Madhu-Shigrupallava arka aschyotana10 drops were administered in 5 divided doses i.e., 2 drops at a time for 14 days.

The Swarasa was prepared freshly every day and arka was prepared at Banglore test house, Rajajinagar, Bengaluru.

Assessment parameters

Subjective parameters: 1. Nistoda/vividha vedana (Pricking sensation). 2. Sangharsha (Foreign body sensation). 3. Shishirashruta (Lacrimation) and mild Upadeha (mucoid discharge). 4. Kandu(itching) 5. Prakasha asahishnuta (Photophobia).

Lakshanas(Signs and symptoms)	0	1	2	3	
Kandu (Itching)	Absent	Occasional	Frequent	Constant	
Vividha vedana(Discomfort including burning, pricking sensations)	Absent	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
Sangharsha (Foreign-body sensation)	Absent	Occasional	Intermittent	Continuous	
Srava (Discharge) Jalasrava (Watering ofeyes)	Normaltear	Sensation offullness of the conjunctivalsac	Infrequent spilling of tears from the lid margin	Constant spilling of tears from the lid margin	
Upadeha (Mucoid discharge)	Absent	Small amountof mucoid discharge	Moderate amount of mucoid discharge, presence of crust uponawakening	Eyelids tightly matted togetheron awakening; warm soaks necessary to open eyelids	
Prakasha asahishnuta (Photophobia)	Absent	Mild intolerance to bright light, can manage without dark glasses	Moderate, necessitating dark glasses	Extreme photophobia, even with dark glasses	

Objective parameter: 1. Raga (Congestion). 2. Presence of Papillae. 3. Vartmasopha (Odema).

		Slightly dilated blood	More apparent	Numerous and obvious
Raga (Hyperaemia)	Absent	Singhtly unated blood	vessel dilatation,	dilated
		vesseis, pilikili coloi	vessel coloris red	blood vessels,color deep red
Papillary reactions	Absent	small size nanillae	Cobble stone	Giant papillae seen
i apinary reactions	Ausent	sinan size papinae	appearance	Glaint papillae seen
			swelling of lower	Extremely swollen lower
			and	and upper lids along with
Shotha (Oedema of lids And		swelling of	upper lids, mild	chemosis, swelling beyond
conjunctiva)	Absent	lower lid	decrease in	lower lid fold and in upper
conjunctiva)		iowei nu	palpebral fissure,	lid, severe decrease in
			effort to keep eyes	palpebral fissure, extreme
			open	difficulty in opening eyes.

Observations

Age

Among the subjects registered, 18(45%)subjects belonged to the age group of 17-22years, 5(12.5%) subjects belonged to the age group of 23-28years, 4 (10%)subjects belonged to the age group of 29-34 years, 4(10%) subjects belonged to the age group of 35-40 years, 1(2.5%) subject belonged to the age group of 41-46 years, 4(10%) subjects belonged to the age group of 47-52 years and 4(10%) subjects belonged to the age group of 53-58 years.

Gender

In the present study, 21 (52.5%) were females and 19(47.5%) were males.

Religion

Out of 40 subjects in both the groups, 29(72.5%) subjects were Hindus, 8(20%) subjects were Muslims and 3(7.5%) were Christians.

Socio-economic status

Out of 40 subjects in both the groups, 33(82.5%) subjects belonged to middle socio-economic class, 6(15%) subjects belonged to lower socio-economic class.

Occupation

In the present study, 21 (52.5%) of the subjects were students, 9(22.5%) subjects were Homemakers, 3(7.5%) were from Business field and 7 (17.5%) were other field (Driver, bank employee, teacher, watchman, sales manager, scrapematerial dealer).

Addiction

Out of 40 subjects in both the groups, 2(5%) subjects were habituated to smoking, 19(47.5%) subjects were habituated to coffee and 13(32.5%) were habituated to tea.

Nidana

Out of 40 subjects, 34(85%) Subjects were exposed to wind and dust, 11(45%) subjects were having contact with flower, animals and pollens, 6 (15%) subject had *exposure to AC* and 18 (45%) subjects had *Upper respiratory tract infection*, 17 (42.5%) subjects had habit of using eye cosmetics, 15 (37.5%) subjects were habituated to *dadhi sevana*, 22(55%) subjects had the habit of*Ratrijagarana*.

Lakshanas

Out of 40 subjects, 40(100%) subjects had Raga, 38(95%) subjects had Srava, 31(77.5%) subjects had Kandu, 23(57.5%) subjects had discomfort, 15(37.5%) subjects had photophobia, 14(35%) subjects had Sangharsha, 13(32.5%) subjects had edema of lid and conjunctiva, 21(52.5%) subjects had papillae and 2(5%) subject had Shirobhitapa.

Chronicity

Out of 40 subjects, 32 (80%) subjects had duration up to ≤ 6 months, 2 (5%) subjects had duration of > 6 months to ≤ 12 months, 3 (7.5%) subjects had duration of > 18 months ≤ 24 months and 3(7.5%) had duration >2 years.

RESULTS

The parameters considered for the clinical study were subjected to Wilcoxon Sign rank test to compare the Mean values within the groups and Mann Whitney,,U" test to compare the Mean difference values between the groups at different time points like before treatment(BT), after treatment (AT) and at follow-up(AF). The differences in the mean values were considered highly significant at p<0.001 and p<0.01, Significant at p<0.05 and Non- significant at p>0.05.

Srava (Watering of eyes)

Table-2: Effect of Treatment on watering of eyes Within Group A.

Watering of eyes	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p-value	Re
				NR	19	10.00	190.00			
BT - AT	1.05	.39	.088	PR	0	.00	.00	-4.18	< 0.001	HS
				Ties	1					
				NR	19	10.00	190.00			
BT -AF	1 1 5	19	100	PR	0	.00	.00	4.06	<0.001	цс
	1.15	.40	.109	Ties	1			-4.00	<0.001	115

Waterin g of eyes	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p- value	Re
				NR	18	9.50	171.00	-4.24	< 0.001	HS
BT-AT	.90	.307	.068	PR	0	.00	.00			
				Ties	2					
				NR	19	10.00	190.00			
BT-AF	1.00	.324	.072	PR	0	.00	.00	-4.26	< 0.001	HS
				Ties	1					

Table-4: Effect of Treatment on watering of eyes between Group A & GroupB

Wataringof	Gro	Group A Group B		oup B	Monn			
eyes	Mean rank	Sum of ranks	Mean rank	Sum of ranks	WhitneyU	Z value	p- value	Remarks
BT	23.42	468.50	17.58	351.50	141.500	-1.88	.059	NS
AT	22.50	450.00	18.50	370.00	160.000	-1.36	.173	NS
AF	22.50	450.00	18.50	370.00	160.000	-1.56	.118	NS

No significant difference in between the groups statistically at BT, AT and atAF (p value>0.05), however mean rank of group B is smaller, hence reduction of

watering of eyes of group B is comparatively better than group A.



Graph-1: Effect of Treatment on watering of eyes between Group A & GroupB.

Kandu (Itching)

Table-5: Effect of Treatment on Kandu Within Group A.

Itching	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxonrank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p- value	Re
				NR	15	8.00	120.00			
BT-AT	.85	.58	.13	PR	0	.00	.00	-3.69	< 0.001	HS
				Ties	5					
				NR	15	8.00	120.00			
BT-AF	1.00	.72	.16	PR	0	.00	.00	-3.54	< 0.001	HS
				Ties	5					

Table-6: Effect of Treatment on Kandu Within Group B.

Itching	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p-value	Re
				NR	16	8.50	136.00			
BT-AT	.95	60	13	PR	0	.00	.00	3 75	<0.001	цс
		.00	.15	Ties	4			-3.75	<0.001	пз
				NR	16	8.50	136.00			
BT-AF	1.20	76	17	PR	0	.00	.00	2.61	<0.001	цс
	1.20	.70	.17	Ties	4			-5.01	<0.001	пз

 Table-7: Effect of Treatment on Kandu between the Group A and Group B.

	Grou	Group A Group B		Monn				
Itching	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	WhitneyU	Z value	p- value	Remarks
BT	19.52	390.50	21.48	429.50	180.5	57	.569	NS
AT	20.00	400.00	21.00	420.00	190	31	.755	NS
AF	21.00	420.00	20.00	400.00	190	33	.739	NS

L

www.ejpmr.com

No significant difference in between the groups statistically at BT, AT and AF (p value>0.05), however

mean rank of group A(AT) is smaller, hence reduction of *Kandu* of group A is better than group B.



Graph-2: Effect of Treatment on Kandu between Group A & Group B.

Discomfort

 Table-8: Effect of Treatment on Discomfort Within Group A

Discomfort	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	N	MR	Sum	Z value	p- value	Re
				NR	11	6.00	66.00			
BT - AT	.600	.598	.133	PR	0	.00	.00	- 3.207	.001	HS
				Ties	9					
				NR	12	6.50	78.00			
BT-AF	.700	.656	.146	PR	0	.00	.00	- 3.276	.001	HS
				Ties	8					

 Table 9: Effect of Treatment on discomfort Within Group B.

Discomfort	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p- value	Re
				NR	10	5.50	55.00			
BT-AT	.550	.604	.135	PR	0	.00	.00	- 3.051	.002	HS
				Ties	10					
				NR	11	6.0	66.00			
BT-AF	.700	.732	.163	PR	0	.00	.00	- 3.071	.002	HS
				Ties	9					

Table-36: Effect of Treatment on discomfort between Group A & Group B.

Discomfort	Gr	oup A	Gr	oup B	Monn WhitnowI	Z		Domonka
Disconnort	Meanrank	Sum of ranks	Meanrank	Sum of ranks		value	p- value	Nemarks
BT	20.62	412.50	20.38	407.50	197.50	07	.942	NS
AT	20.42	408.50	20.58	411.50	198.50	06	.948	NS
AF	20.50	410.00	20.50	410.00	200.00	.00	1.0	NS

No significant difference in between the groups statistically at BT, AT and AF (p value>0.05), however mean rank of group A (AT) is less, hence reduction of

discomfort in group A is comparatively better than group B.



Graph-3: Effect of Treatment on Discomfort between Group A & Group B.

www.ejpmr.com	Vol 10, Issue 11, 2023.	ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal		285
	/ /			

Prakasha asahishnuta (photophobia)

Table-10: Effect of Treatment on Prakasha asahishnuta (photophobia) WithinGroup A.

Photophobia	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p- value	Re
				NR	7	4.00	28.00			
BT-AT	.35	.489	.109	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.64	.008	цс
				Ties	13			6		115
				NR	8	4.50	36.00			
BT-AF	.45	.604	.135	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.71	.007	цс
				Ties	12			4		115

Table-11: Effect of Treatment on Prakasha asahishnuta (photophobia) WithinGroup B.

Photophobia	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rar	ık N	MR	Sum	Z value	p- value	Re
				NR	6	3.50	21.00			
BT-AT	.30	.47	.105	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.449	.014	S
				Ties	14					
	25	10	100	NR	7	4.00	28.00	2646	008	цс
Ы -АГ	.55	.40	.109	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.040	.008	пэ
				Ties	13					

 Table-12: Effect of Treatment on Prakasha asahishnuta (photophobia)between Group A & Group B.

Dhotophobio	Gre	oup A	Gre	oup B	Monn WhitnowII	Ζ	n voluo	Domonka
r notopnobia	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	Meanrank	Sumof ranks		value	p- value	Remarks
BT	21.18	423.50	19.82	396.50	186.500	43	.665	NS
AT	21.00	420.00	20.00	400.00	190.000	59	.553	NS
AF	20.50	410.00	20.50	410.00	200.000	.00	1.00	NS

No significant difference in between the groups statistically at BT, AT and AF (p value>0.05), however mean rank of group B(AT) is smaller, hence reduction of

Prakasha asahishnuta (photophobia) of group B is comparatively better than group A.



Graph-4: Effect of Treatment on Prakasha asahishnuta (Photophobia)between Group A & Group B.

Sangharsha (foreign body sensation)

Table-13: Effect of Treatment on Sangharsha (foreign body sensation) WithinGroup A. Sangharsha MeanSDSEM Wilcoxon rank Z valuen-valueRe

sangnarsna	wiean	SD	SEN	VV II	CO.	XOII .	гапк	Z value	p-value	ĸe
				NR	5	3.00	15.00			
BT-AT	.30	.57	.127	PR	0	.00	.00	-2.121	.034	S
				Ties	15					
				NR	5	3.00	15.00			
BT -AF	.30	.57	.127	PR	0	.00	.00	-2.121	.034	S
				Ties	15					

Table-14: Effect of Treatment on Sangharsha (foreign body sensation) WithinGroup B.

Sangharsha	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	n	MR	Sum	Z value	p-value	Re
				NR	7	4.00	28.00			
BT-AT	.35	.489	.109	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.646	.008	HS
				Ties	13					
				NR	8	4.50	36.0			
BT-AF	.45	.604	.135	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.714	.007	HS
				Ties	12					

San ah anah a	Gr	oup A	Gr	oup B	Monn Whitney I	Z	n voluo	Domonico
Sangnarsna	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	wiann- winthey O	value	p- value	Remarks
BT	19.52	390.50	21.48	429.50	180.500	631	.528	NS
AT	19.98	399.50	21.02	420.50	189.500	622	.534	NS
AF	20.50	410.00	20.50	410.00	200.000	.000	1.000	NS

Table-	15: Effect of	Treatment on	Sangharsha	(foreign bod	y sensation)between	Group A &Gro	up B.
--------	---------------	--------------	------------	--------------	---------------------	--------------	-------

No significant difference in between the groups statistically at BT, AT and AF (p value>0.05), however mean rank of group A(AT) is smaller, hence reduction of

Sangharsha (foreign body sensation) of group A is comparatively better than group B.



Graph-5: Effect of Treatment on Sangharsha (foreign body sensation) between Group A & Group B Upadeha (mucoid ropy discharge)

Table-16: Effect of Tro	eatment o	on Up	adeh	<i>a</i> (n	nucoid	ropy	disch	arge) Witl	hinGrou	рA.

Upadeha	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	N	MR	Sum	Z value	p-value	Re
				NR	5	3.00	15.00			
BT-AT	.25	.44	.099	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.236	025	c
				Ties	15				.025	З
				NR	5	3.00	15.00			
BT-AF	.35	.67	15	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.070	028	c
			.13	Ties	15				.038	3

 Table-17: Effect of Treatment on Upadeha (mucoid ropy discharge) WithinGroup B.

Upadeha	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p- value	Re
				NR	5	3.00	15.00			
BT-AT	.35	.67	.15	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.070	.038	S
				Ties	15					
				NR	5	3.00	15.00			
BT-AF	.50	.94	.21	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.060	.039	S
				Ties	15					

 Table-18: Effect of Treatment on Upadeha (mucoid ropy discharge) betweenGroup A & Group B.

Unadaha	Gre	oup A	Gre	oup B	Monn Whitney I	Z	n voluo	Domonito
Opaaena	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	wiann- winthey	value	p- value	Kemarks
BT	20.20	404.00	20.80	416.00	194.0	214	.831	NS
AT	20.00	400.00	21.00	420.00	190.0	472	.637	NS
AF	20.50	410.00	20.50	410.00	200.0	.000	1.000	NS

L

No significant difference in between the groups statistically at BT, AT and AF (p value>0.05), however mean rank of group A(AT) is smaller, hence reduction of *Upadeha* of group A is comparatively better than group B.



Graph-6: Effect of Treatment on Upadeha (mucoid ropy discharge) betweenGroup A &Group B.

Raga (redness)

Table-19:	Effect of	Treatment	on Raga	(redness)	Within	Groun) A.
Table 17.	Litter	I I cutilitilit	on naga	(i cuirebb)	***	Orvup	, , , , ,

Redness	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p-value	Re
				NR	20	10.50	210.00			
BT-AT	1.10	.307	.068	PR	0	.00	.00	- 4.300	< 0.001	HS
				Ties	0					
	1.25	111	000	NR	20	10.50	210.00	4 124	<0.001	пс
DI- АГ	1-АГ 1.23		.099	PR	0	.00	.00	- 4.134	<0.001	пъ
				Ties	0					

Table -20: Effect of Treatment on Raga (redness) Within Group B.

Redness	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p-value	Re
				NR	20	10.50	210.00			
BT-AT	1.350	.489	.109	PR	0	.00	.00	- 4.072	< 0.001	HS
				Ties	0					
				NR	20	10.50	210.00			
BT-AF	1.60	.502	.112	PR	0	.00	.00	- 4.053	< 0.001	HS
				Ties	0					

 Table-21: Effect of Treatment on Raga (redness) between Group A & Group B.

D.	admaga	Gre	oup A	Gre	oup B	Monn Whitney I	7 voluo	n voluo	Domonica
ĸ	eaness	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	Mann- Winthey	Z value	p- value	Remarks
B	Г	17.30	346.00	23.70	474.00	136.000	-1.92	.054	NS
A'	Г	19.70	394.00	21.30	426.00	184.000	52	.598	NS
Al	F	20.00	400.00	21.00	420.00	190.000	41	.681	NS

No significant difference in between the groups statistically at BT, AT and AF (p value>0.05), however

mean rank of group A is less, hence reduction of redness of eyes in group A is comparatively better than group B.

288



Graph 7: Effect of Treatment on Raga (redness) between Group A & Group B.

www.ejpmr.com	Vol 10, Issue 11, 2023.	ISO 9001:2015 Certified Journal	
---------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------	--

Papillary reactions

Table-22: Effect of Treatment on Papillary reactions Within Group A.

Papillary reactions	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxon rank	N	MR	Sum	Z value	p-value	Re
				NR	7	4.00	28.00			
BT-AT	.35	.489	100	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.646	.008	цс
			.109	Ties	13					пэ
				NR	7	4.00	28.00			
BT-AF	.35	.489	.109	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.646	.008	цс
				Ties	13					пэ

Table-23: Effect of Treatment on Papillary reactions Within Group B.

Papillary reactions	Mean	SD	SEM	Wile	cox	kon i	rank	Z value	p- value	Re
				NR	10	5.50	55.00	- 3.162		
BT-AT	.50	.51	.11	PR	0	.00	.00		.002	HS
				Ties	10					
				NR	10	5.50	55.00	- 3.162		
BT-AF	.50	.51	.11	PR	0	.00	.00		.002	HS
				Ties	10					

Table-24: Effect of Treatment on papillary reaction between Group A & Group B.

Danillanymoactions	Gr	oup A	Gr	oup B	Monn WhitnowI	Z				
rapinaryreactions	Meanrank	Sum of ranks	Meanrank	Sum of ranks		value	p- value	Remarks		
BT	18.78	375.50	22.22	444.50	165.500	-1.06	.287	NS		
AT	19.95	399.00	21.05	421.00	189.000	519	.604	NS		
AF	19.95	399.00	21.05	421.00	189.000	519	.604	NS		

No significant difference in between the groups statistically at BT, AT and AF (p value>0.05), however mean rank of group A is less, hence reduction of

papillary reaction in group A is comparatively better than group B.



Graph-8: Effect of Treatment on Papillary reactions between Group A&Group B

Shopha (edema of lids and conjunctiva)

Table-25: Effect of Treatment on Shopha (edema of lids and conjunctiva)Within Group A

Edema of lids and conjunctiva	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxonrank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p- value	eRe
				NR	4	2.50	10.00			
BT-AT	.20	.410	.091	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.000	046	c
				Ties	16				.040	ъ
				NR	5	3.00	15.00			
BT-AF	25	111	000	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.236	025	ç
	.23	.444	.077	Ties	15				.025	3

Table-26: Effect of Treatment on Shopha (edema of lids and conjunctiva)Within Group B.

Edema oflids and conjunctiva	Mean	SD	SEM	Wilcoxonrank	Ν	MR	Sum	Z value	p- value	eRe
BT-AT	.35	.489	.109	NR	7	4.00	28.00	-	.008	HS
				PR	0	.00	.00	2616		
				Ties	13			2.040		
				NR	7	4.00	28.00			
BT-AF	35	180	100	PR	0	.00	.00	- 2.646	008	цс
	.55	.409	.109	Ties	13				.008	115

L

Edema of lidsand	Gro	oup A	Gre	oup B	Monn White		luon volu	Domonito
conjunctiva	Meanrank	Sumof anks	Meanrank	Sumof ranks	wiann- winu	leyUZ va	iuep- vaiu	Remarks
BT	19.00	380.00	22.00	440.00	170	-1.0	.317	NS
AT	20.50	410.00	20.50	410.00	200	.0	0 1.00	NS
AF	20.00	400.00	21.00	420.00	190	-1.(.317	NS

Table-27: Effect of Treatment on Shopha (edema of lids and conjunctiva)between Group A & Group B.

No significant difference in between the groups statistically at BT, AT and AF (p value>0.05), however mean rank of group A(AF) is less, hence reduction of

edema of lids and conjunctiva of group A is comparatively better than group B.



Graph-9: Effect of Treatment on Shopha (Edema of lids and conjunctiva) between Group A & Group B.

In both the groups (Group A &Group B) during follow up period sustained effect of medicine was observed.

DISCUSSION

According to Acharya Sushrutha, abhishyanda is an aupasargica roga and itis the root cause for all the Netra rogas, if not treated properly, it may lead to further complications like, Vathaja adhimantha, Hathadhimantha and Drishtinasha.^[11] Vathaja abhishyanda is one among seventeen sarvagatha netra rogas. It is charectarized by Thoda, Sthambha, Romaharsha, Sangharsha, parushya, shirobhithapa, vishushka bhava and shishirashrutha.

In classics no specific nidanas are mentioned for Vataja Abhishyanda.

Hence, Agantuja and Nija karanas can be considered as Nidanas.

Nija karana is due to vitiation of Vata pradhana tridoshas by achakshusya ahara like excessive intake of Dadhi, madya sevana and Viharaja karanas like Vegadharana (Nidra). Agantuja karana is exposure to external factors like Dhooma, Anila, Raja, contact with pollen, flower and animals, exposure to AC, which vitiates Vata pradhana tridosha.

Nistoda is considered as vikruta vata dosha lakshana. Increased vata triggers the nerve endings causing sangharsha, prakasha asahishnuta. The drutatwa property of vata draws other doshas causing shishirashruta. The increased kapha along with vata causes vartma sopha. Increased kapha leeads to the manifestation of Kandu. The vitiated rakta due to increased syandatwa hampers the normal functioning of siras causing raga. Chikitsasutra of Vataja Abhishyanda is Raktamokshana, Sneha virechana, tarpana, putapaka, aschyotana, nasya¹². Aschyotana is being mentioned as thetreatment of choice for Netraroga with Raga, Ashru, Shopha, Toda, Kandu and Gharsha.^[13] Aschotana with Shigrupallava arka is mentioned in Arka prakasha in the context of netra roga. Here Aschyotana with Madhu- Shigru pallava swarasa and Madhu- shigrupallava arka was carried out for the study.

Shigra pallava,^[14] madhu,^[15] having the property of Kashaya madhurarasa, Ushna veerya, Madhura Vipaka, Chakshushya, Krimighna, Srotoshodhana, Yogavahi, Ropana karma and properties of arka which enhances the property of drug, were found to be helpful in reducing the signs and symptoms of Vataja Abhishyanda.

The components of Madhu-Shigrupallava Aschyotana has Anti- microbial, Anti- inflammatory, Anti- bacterial, pittakapha shamaka, immuomodulator properties. The drugs are having katu, tikta, kashaya, madhura rasa which pacifies Tridoshas. Kashaya rasa does lekhana of the kapha dosha. Madhu being sroto shodhaka clears the channels. So, by the above-mentioned properties, drug may help in subsiding inflammation.

CONCLUSION

- The study was conducted on 40 patients of *Vataja Abhishyanda* (Allergic conjunctivitis), assigned into 2 groups of comprising 20 patients each.
- In Group A, *Madhu-Shigrupallava swarasa aschyotana* was done and in Group B, *Madhu-Shigrupallava arka aschyotana* was done for 14 consecutive days.
- Based on observations and results the following hypothesis were accepted.
- *Madhu-Shigrupallava Swarasa Aschyotana* is effective significantly in reducing the parameters of

Vataja Abhishyanda w.s.r. to allergic conjunctivitis.

- *Madhu- Shigrupallava Arka Aschyotana* is effective significantly in reducing the parameters of *Vataja Abhishyanda* w.s.r. to allergic conjunctivitis.
- Though both the forms of drugs beneficial in management of Vataja Abhishyanda w.s.r. to allergic conjunctivitis, however Madhu- Shigru pallava swarasa was comparatively better than Madhu- Shigru pallava arka aschyotana in parameters like Kandu, Vividha vedana (Discomfort), Sangharsha, Upadeha, Raga, Shopha and Papillary reactions.

Madhu- Shigrupallava Arka Aschyotana was given better results in parameters like Srava and Prakasha asahishnuta.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Sushrutha, Sushruta Samhita, with Sri Dalhanacharya teeka, edited by Narayan Ram Acharya "Kavyathirtha", Chaukhambha orientalia, Varanasi, reprint edition, Uttaratantra, 2009; 8(8): 824-610.
- Sri Madhavakara, Madhava nidana with Madhukosa Sanskrit commentary by Sri Vijayarakshita and Sri Kanthadatta with the Vidyothini Hindi commentary and notes by Sri Sudarsana sastri, Reprint and edition by Prof. Yadunandana Upadhyaya. Part-2, Reprint edition, 2004; 59(4): 600-320.
- Sushrutha, Sushrutha Samhita, with Sri Dalhanacharya teeka, edited by Nrayan Ram Acharya "Kavyathirtha", Chaukambha orientalia, Varanasi, reprint edition, Uttaratantra 6th chapter, 2012; 6: 824-603.
- Abokyi.S, Koffuor.G.A, Ntodie.M, Kyei.S, Gyanfosu.L. Epidemiological profile and pharmacological management of allergic conjunctivitis: A study in Ghana, International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical research, 4th Oct, 2012; 195-201.
- 5. http://www.waojournal.org/date of download/6/1/4
- 6. A K Khurana, Comphrehensive ophthalmology, 6th edition, New age International (P) limited, publishers, 623: 78.
- Acharya Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hridaya with commentaries sarvangasundari of Arunadatta and Ayurvedarasayana of Hemadri, Annotated by Dr Anna Moreswar Kunte and Krishna Ramachandra Sastri Narre, edited by Pt.Hari Sadasiva Sastri, Chaukhamba Surabharati Prakasan,Varanasi, Edition, Sutrasthanam, 23rd chapter, Verse-1, 2008; 956-303.
- Acharya Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hridaya with commentaries sarvangasundari of Arunadatta and Ayurvedarasayana of Hemadri, Annotated by Dr Anna Moreswar Kunte and Krishna Ramachandra Sastri Narre, edited by Pt.Hari Sadasiva Sastri, Chaukhamba Surabharati Prakasan,Varanasi, Edition, Uttarasthanam, 16th, 2008; 9: 956-831.

- Lankapati Ravana, Arka prakasha, Hindi teeka by Dr.Indradeva Tripathy, chaukhamba Krishnadas Academy Varanasi, Edition, chapter- 2nd, 1995; 16: 172-22.
- 10. A K Khurana, Comphrehensive ophthalmology, 6th edition, New age International (P) limited, publishers, 623-78.
- 11. Acharya Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hridaya with commentaries sarvangasundari of Arunadatta and Ayurvedarasayana of Hemadri, Annotated by Dr Anna Moreswar Kunte and Krishna Ramachandra Sastri Narre, edited by Pt.Hari Sadasiva Sastri, Chaukhamba Surabharati Prakasan,Varanasi, Edition, Uttarasthanam, 15th chapter, 2008; 3-5: 956-828.
- Sushrutha, Sushrutha Samhita, with Sri Dalhanacharya teeka, edited by Nrayan Ram Acharya "Kavyathirtha", Chaukambha orientalia, Varanasi, reprint edition, Uttaratantra 9th chapter, 2012; 4-13: 824.
- 13. Acharya Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hridaya with commentaries sarvangasundari of Arunadatta and Ayurvedarasayana of Hemadri, Annotated by Dr Anna Moreswar Kunte and Krishna Ramachandra Sastri Narre, edited by Pt.Hari Sadasiva Sastri, Chaukhamba Surabharati Prakasan,Varanasi, Edition, Sutrasthanam, 23rd chapter, 2008; 1: 956-303
- Bhavamishra, Edited with Vidyotini Hindi commentary Sri Brahma Sankara Mishra, Bhavaprakasha (part 1), Chaukhambha Sanskrit Bhavan, Varanasi, 11th Edition, Nighantu bhaga, chapter 6 (IV), Guduchyadi varga, 2010; 105-110: 255-742.
- 15. Acharya Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hridaya with commentaries sarvangasundari of Arunadatta and Ayurvedarasayana of Hemadri, Annotated by Dr Anna Moreswar Kunte and Krishna Ramachandra Sastri Narre, edited by Pt.Hari Sadasiva Sastri, Chaukhamba Surabharati Prakasan,Varanasi, Edition, Sutrasthanam, 5th chapter, 2008; 51-52: 956-76.