

**Select Board Meeting
August 22, 2022 – 6:30 PM
Sanford Hall
155 Village Street**

Present: Dennis Crowley, Chair; Glenn Trindade, Vice-Chair; Frank Rossi, Clerk; Todd Alessandri, Member; Maryjane White, Member.

Staff Present: Michael Boynton, Town Manager; Allison Potter, Assistant Town Manager; Carol Pratt, Finance Director; Pete Pelletier, Department of Public Works (DPW) Director; Chris Park, Principal Assessor; Rachel Cliff, Data Collector; Derek Kwok, Health Director; Sandra Johnston, Communications Director.

Other Participants: Jordan Warnick and Gail Hachenburg, Co-Chairs, Cultural Council; Mark Cerel, Chair, Community Preservation Committee; Energy and Sustainability Committee: Dave Travalini, member, Nicholas Fair, member, Martin Dietrich, member; Katherine Dennis, Attorney for Ocean State Job Lot; Matt Hayes, Chair, Planning and Economic Development Board (PEDB); Lauren Nassiff, School Committee member; James Sullivan, Finance Committee (FinCom) Vice-Chair; Cindy Sullivan, School Committee; Jeff Bernstein, BKC Law (via phone).

At 6:30 PM, Mr. Crowley called the meeting to order and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

At 6:30 PM, Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board enter Executive Session for Reason Exemption 6: To consider the purchase, exchange, taking, lease, or value of real property if such discussion may have a detrimental effect on the negotiating position of the governmental body and the Chair so declares [Oak Grove Urban Renewal Plan], with the intent to return to open session. Mr. Rossi seconded. It was voted by roll call: Alessandri aye; Crowley aye; Rossi aye. VOTE: 3-0-0.

At 7:00 PM, the Board returned to open session.

Public Comments: Pam Bolino and Kim Lobisser requested lights at the high school pickleball courts for safety reasons. Mr. Crowley asked how often they are being used. Ms. Bolino noted they are used a lot. Mr. Crowley asked if the process relative to sharing the courts was working. Ms. Bolino noted her appreciation for the courts noting at times there is a waiting line. Mr. Crowley noted his discussion with DPW Director Pelletier about the costs for this at \$75,000 to \$100,000. He noted that this will be discussed with the Capital Improvement Planning Committee (CIPC) and a decision will be made in conjunction with the Select Board. He requested that Mr. Pelletier obtain a more accurate cost estimate for this in the next month. It was noted that currently there is only lighting for the first two tennis courts. Mr. Alessandri asked if that estimate included everything. Mr. Pelletier confirmed assuming that bedrock is not hit. Mr. Alessandri then asked if the existing lighting infrastructure could be utilized. Mr. Pelletier stated the vendor who installed the existing lighting stated it was not feasible. There was discussion about using temporary lighting, but this was determined not to be an efficacious option. It was noted that the existing lighting is on a timer. Ms. Bolino also requested access to restrooms noting that pickleball users are older. The Parks Commission will be contacted regarding this request. There was further discussion about if this could be addressed with this year's CIPC plan or next year's CIPC plan relative to the timing and capital planning process.

Martin Dietrich, 46 Fisher Street, stated he is aware the Town is working on increasing inclusivity as well as volunteers, asking specifically about the Native American and Indigenous Peoples Day National Heritage Day Steering Committee (NAIPD) appointment on the agenda this evening and if it was advertised that this position needed to be filled. Mr. Crowley noted that this will come off the agenda and the position will be posted for anyone interested in serving. Mr. Dietrich stated this was out of the ordinary process and was wondering how Ms. Latosek came to be considered for appointment. Mr. Crowley stated he mentioned it to her, she indicated her interest, and he put it on the agenda, noting that Mr. Trindade stated that it should follow the same process, hence it is coming off the agenda for posting.

Jo-Ann Phillips, 63 West Street, Chair of NAIDP Steering Committee, asked respectfully that if the Select Board plans on making any changes, to speak to the Committee first, noting none of the Committee members knew anything about the item on the Select Board agenda for this evening. Mr. Crowley noted that since members of this Committee were here, that the change in constitution of this Committee be addressed next. Ms. Phillips said that they are not prepared to address this as a committee. There was further discussion about the need for the Select Board to discuss with every volunteer Committee/Commission/Board prior to making any changes. Mr. Rossi agreed with moving forward with the change. Mr. Alessandri stated that this committee should have been aware of this change. Ms. White stated that this really is not a major change as there were to be nine members and since the library did not want to participate, they are simply trying to keep the committee at nine members. Dave Travalini, Conservation Commission Chair and member of the Energy and Sustainability Committee stated he has been serving for over thirty years and that the Select Board has every right to make any change without the need to inform the impacted group noting the immense time and effort which would be required to implement this. In this instance, they are trying to ensure that there are enough members to not have any issue with votes, hence an odd number. Mr. Trindade stated this topic will not be discussed tonight but will be in the future and he will attend their meeting next week to inform them of the changes as the Select Board representative to this committee.

Mr. Trindade moved to remove the agenda item of the change in constitution of the committee from the agenda. Mr. Rossi seconded. Discussion: Mr. Rossi asked for confirmation that this was not discussed at their meeting last week. Ms. Phillips confirmed. Ms. Angelica Crosby, 4 Rockwood Road, stated that the Chair/Committee was blindsided that a Jessica Latosek was to be appointed tonight as she did not attend any meetings and noted that the other two residents for appointment on the agenda have attended meetings and have recommendations from the Chairs of these committees. She stated that talking to the Select Board is difficult especially when it gets aggressive with finger pointing and anger when what is said does not agree with the Select Board. Mr. Crowley noted that he admitted to his error and asked if his apology was accepted. Ms. Crosby stated her acceptance. Mr. Crowley stated that moving forward, he wants agreement that any changes to the constitution of a Board will require the Select Board to discuss this with the impacted Board. **VOTE: 5-0-0.**

New Staff Introductions: Christopher Park, Principal Assessor, and Derek Kwok, Health Director

There were no materials for the Board to review.

Christopher Park in his new role/promotion to Principal Assessor effective with the retirement of Donna Greenwood, Rachel Cliff, Data Collector, and Derek Kwok, the new Health Director were introduced to the Board. Mr. Park stated he has been employed for just over two years and is now the new Principal Assessor. Mr. Boynton introduced Mr. Park noting this promotion was the result of Donna Greenwood's retirement after ten years of service to the Town noting that her tutelage has brought Mr. Park up to speed to take over this role. Mr. Boynton then introduced Rachel Cliff as the new Data Collector

working with Mr. Park. Once Ms. Cliff completes her certifications, he anticipates she will then become the new Assistant Assessor. Ms. Cliff worked in the Medfield school system prior to this role. Mr. Boynton then introduced Derek Kwok, Health Director, who worked in the Health Department for the City of Framingham for several years. He noted that Beth Hallal stepped down to take a role in a new community and thanked her for her time and efforts over the past six and one-half years. Mr. Kwok stated he is a Milford resident and happy to be able to serve this community and has been here for less than two weeks. He worked in Framingham for two-years, Newton for the three years prior to that, and received his degree in Public Health from the University of Lowell.

Approval of Minutes: July 11 and 27, 2022, and August 10, 2022

The Board reviewed the draft minutes of July 11 and 27, 2022, and August 10, 2022.

Mr. Trindade moved that the Board approve the minutes of July 11, 2022. Ms. White seconded.

Discussion: Mr. Crowley requested on page 6, that line 252 be changed from “the Route 109 project” to “the sidewalks on Route 109”. He requested on page 8, line 351 be changed from “123 Holliston Street” to “the VFW at 123 Holliston Street”. **VOTE: 5-0-0.**

Mr. Trindade moved that the Board approve the minutes of July 27, 2022. Ms. White seconded.

Discussion: Mr. Crowley requested on page 3, that line 135 be changed from “Mr. Crowley ask for why” to “Mr. Crowley asked why”. **VOTE: 5-0-0.**

Mr. Trindade moved that the Board approve the minutes of August 10, 2022. Ms. White seconded.

Discussion: Mr. Rossi stated he participated via conference call and that should be noted. **VOTE: 5-0-0.**

Discussion/Approval: Proposed Flag Policy

The Board reviewed the proposed flag policy.

Mr. Crowley asked if this was prepared for and approved by legal counsel. Mr. Boynton confirmed. Ms. White asked if this is all flagpoles or just the ones on Route 109. Mr. Crowley stated it should just be the flag poles and the streetlight poles on Route 109. Mr. Boynton stated flagpole was interpreted as any pole that the Town places a flag on would be considered a flagpole. Mr. Rossi asked if the banners are included. Mr. Boynton stated no. Mr. Crowley read the draft policy to those present. Mr. Trindade stated this policy was well done. Jim Sullivan, 16 Diane Drive asked if this was vetted through legal. Mr. Crowley stated they wrote it. Laura Mullen, 16 Granite Street asked why the Pride flag would only be flown in the month of June and requested that the Select Board consider expanding this as she feels it is narrow. Mr. Trindade noted that the residents who requested this, specifically requested the month of June.

Mr. Trindade moved that the Board approve the flag policy as discussed. Ms. White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Discussion/Vote: Cost to Increase Thickness of Medway Drawstring Trash Bags

The Board reviewed the (1) memo from Peter Pelletier, and (2) cost spreadsheet.

Mr. Boynton stated we realize there has been an issue with the thickness of the drawstring bags so our next order will include an increase in the thickness of the bags. He noted that we just ordered a shipment that should last until January so the new bags with increased thickness should be available in January. He further noted there is no vote for the Select Board to take at this time. Mr. Crowley noted

that this results in an increase in cost of \$12,000. Mr. Boynton stated that the recycling revenue has done very well so we can cover the cost from that budget line. The Board agreed with this plan.

Authorization to Expend Grant Funds: Winter Recovery Assistance Program (WRAP) Grant - \$231,281.09

The Board reviewed the (1) Notice of Grant Award Form, (2) letter of notification of grant award, (3) Notice to Proceed, (4) agreement, and (5) WRAP Program overview.

Mr. Boynton stated that the State provided the Town with additional revenue for roads that degraded and disintegrated due to the last winter season. DPW is utilizing these funds toward our road maintenance and rehabilitation program. Mr. Pelletier noted that this is a reimbursement grant. Mr. Trindade asked for the strategy for the use of funds. Mr. Boynton stated the process is to establish which roads need to be addressed, obtain State approval, and then obtain the reimbursement noting it is very similar to the Chapter 90 program in that you cannot just do a road but must first receive State approval. Mr. Rossi asked for confirmation that the State formula utilized the accepted roads. Mr. Boynton confirmed. Mr. Rossi asked if we are above ninety percent. Mr. Boynton confirmed.

Mr. Trindade moved the Board authorize the expenditure of the WRAP grant as presented. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Change to Committee Composition - Native American & Indigenous Peoples National Heritage Commemoration Day Steering Committee

The Board reviewed the proposed change to the Committee composition.

This agenda item was not addressed as noted previously in the minutes.

Appointment Considerations:

- **Cultural Council – Stuthi Balaji**
- **Historical Commission – Isabel Nulter**
- **Native American & Indigenous Peoples National Heritage Commemoration Day Steering Committee - Jessica Latosek**

The Board reviewed the (1) Cultural Council candidate's letter requesting appointment and resume, (2) Historical Commission and NAIPD Committee candidates' e-mail requests for appointment, and (3) Historical and Cultural Commissions Co-Chair's e-mail recommendation.

Ms. Balaji explained her background and interest in serving in this role as follows: (1) she just moved to Medway at the beginning of this year, (2) is a labor and employment attorney for the State, (3) her experience as a night board secretary for the Town for the Cultural Council meetings led to her interest in serving on the Council. Co-Chair Hachenburg noted the Council voted unanimously to appoint Ms. Balaji. Ms. Balaji stated she would remain a night board secretary but for other committees.

Mr. Trindade moved that the Board appoint Stuthi Balaji to the Cultural Council for a term expiring on June 30, 2023. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Co-Chair Hachenburg stated that this caps the maximum number of members to fifteen as voted by the Cultural Council. Co-Chair Warnick noted that this is a one-year appointment to maintain staggered expiration terms of members. It was confirmed that the Cultural Council has the authority to cap its membership.

Ms. Nulter explained her background and interest in serving in this role as follows: (1) she has been a lifelong Medway resident, (2) recently graduated from Framingham State, (3) will begin next month as a key specialist in the Milford court, (4) has always been interested in preserving the Town's history, and (5) has enjoyed the two meetings she has attended.

Mr. Trindade moved that the Board appoint Isabel Nulter to fill a vacancy on the Historical Commission for a term expiring on June 30, 2023. Mr. Alessandri seconded. Discussion: The Board welcomed Ms. Nulter and Mr. Crowley asked if she had any friends who would also be interested in joining. **VOTE: 5-0-0.**

Ms. Johnson noted that they lost their night board secretary and encouraged anyone interested to apply to the Select Board's office.

Discussion: Tri-County Regional Technical Vocational High School Renovation Project – Kathy Gaudreau, Tri-County RTVHS Committee Representative

The Board reviewed the (1) Vocational School Districts 2020 per pupil expenditures, (2) Medway 2022-2023 Assessment Component for Tri-County RTVHS, (3) Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) Fiscal Year (FY)23 Apportionment of Local Contributions Across Districts and Medway, (4) Tri-County Building Project Plan, and (5) letters of recommendation from Tri-County RTVHS Superintendent and Business Manager for reappointment of Kathy Gaudreau as the Medway representative.

Mr. Crowley stated his intent was to not just discuss the renovation project but also enrollment, budgets, etc. Ms. Gaudreau stated there is a building project underway as Tri-County was established in 1977 so it has been a while since the building was constructed, prompting the building project. Tri-County provides an exceptional comprehensive technical and academic education anticipating the number of Medway students from grades 9-12 to be close to ninety for next year. They have an "A week" and a "B week" with one-week of technical education and one-week of academic education noting they were able to get the students back in the technical educational program sooner during COVID than the academic program. She reviewed some of the available programs including automotive, culinary arts, health assistant, refrigeration, plumbing, etc. noting the need drives the courses offered. She also reviewed the night post-secondary degree programs available including cosmetology and an LPN program for practical nursing, as well as Community Education type programs including flower arranging, etc.

Ms. Gaudreau then shared the Medway students' achievements including Emily Matheson graduating as the Salutatorian with a 4.5 grade point average in engineering, technology, and advanced manufacturing, who is going onto college to pursue a degree in biomedical engineering to obtain a job in research. She noted that almost fifty percent of the Tri-County students go onto college. Harrison Anton was an Abigail Adams recipient, which is aligned with the MCAS scores, as well as advanced or proficient scores in several tests for college admission, resulting in tuition assistance.

Ms. Gaudreau shared other specific programs including a new NASA program called HUNCH noting over the past few years, Tri-County had two teams go to Houston. Zachary Blenkhorn was one of the Medway students who presented their project on magnetic boots for the space-x human landing system. Another program that occurs during sophomore year called Skills USA was reviewed where students also present their project noting two Medway students presented this year: (1) Sophie Chisholm in Health Careers and Nursing, receiving a silver award, and (2) Emma DiGregorio in Early Childhood, receiving a gold award and went onto the National Finals. The Legal Protective Services Career Program was

explained noting Medway students Haden Briggs and Jack Gagliani worked together as this program helps to foster speaking skills. These students put programs together targeting senior housing and senior centers throughout the eleven member towns to help seniors learn to identify and respond to scammers. There was such an overwhelming response that they could not accommodate all the requests of all the participating member towns. She then explained the Hello Tri evening program that welcomes incoming freshmen over the summer.

Ms. Gaudreau then discussed enrollment referencing a comment was made this year about the increase in applications by Medway students noting it is not just Medway. The Massachusetts Association of Vocational Administrators (MAVA) publishes information about the number of students across the state currently enrolled in vocational programs. She noted that the Student Information Management System (SIMS) data goes into the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) yearly on October first which are the final enrollment numbers provided to participating towns. As of October 1, 2021, there were 287,284 students in high school and, of those, 54,300 students - almost twenty percent, were part of the vocational programs. The projected incoming Medway students at Tri-County is thirty-six which will be close to the ninety total from Medway in all grades if they all show up. It was noted that historically, not all students who submit applications attend. She noted that more Medway students requested applications but these thirty-six applied and have been accepted. Mr. Rossi noted that the capacity for the total number of students is 1,000 and asked about the process if more apply than can be accommodated. Ms. Gaudreau explained it is dependent on the enrollment increases and decreases of all participating towns. She also explained that students are also waitlisted so once they know their final total numbers of students, if there is capacity, then those students are then contacted. She stated there were sixty-four total Medway students last year accounting for seven percent of the total enrollment. She addressed the potential reasons for increased applications for enrollment including COVID, Tri-County now being allowed to present at certain schools that they could not in the past, as the State stepped in and said they must be allowed to present, students were being charged with a sick day if they participated in open houses, which is also no longer allowed by the State, Tri-County sent a postcard flyer to Medway residents who have eighth grade students, as well as put up signage in the participating towns, and Tri-County implemented a Saturday open house, which was very well attended. She lastly explained how the assessment is determined noting the State determines the required minimum contribution for each participating town, which will be close to \$918,000 for Medway. After that determination, the assessment amount is based on the percentage of Medway students out of the total after State aid, Chapter 70, etc. She noted that the Tri-County per pupil expenditures at \$20,000 are slightly over the bottom third of participating towns. She noted that relative to the building project, all the information that one would need is on the website including agendas, minutes, meeting packet information, etc.

Mr. Crowley explained the three options for the school building project including (1) renovations to the existing building, (2) renovation and extensions to the existing building, and (3) demolition of the existing building and building a new building. He noted they have already submitted funding applications to Massachusetts School Building Authority (MSBA) for options two and three and they have been approved for fifty-two percent of the funding. This leaves the participating towns to pick up the forty-eight percent. He stated based on memory, the renovation and extension option costs \$265 million, and using the forty-eight percent along with using sixty-five as Medway's enrollment number, it would cost Medway \$700,000 to \$800,000 per year in bond and interest. The demolition and building new option costs \$310 million and using the same formula, this would cost Medway \$1.1 million the first year, \$1,050,000 the second year, \$1,025,000 the third year. He noted his concern for these amounts and requested that Ms. Gaudreau notify Tri-County that he is not sure where Medway would find the \$1 million per year to fund that project. It was noted the cost for option one was \$52 million, and they did

not entertain that option. Mr. Trindade stated he understands the need and stated his concern about the project costs. Ms. Gaudreau explained that the decision on this project is a majority of the collective popular vote of all participating towns, noting this is slated for next fall.

Ms. Gaudreau explained her responsibilities in this role including monthly school committee meetings, as well as participation in subcommittees. Specifically, she sits on the warrant committee that meets every other week, and the policy committee, which is same as Medway's School Committee Sub-Committee with review of policies and staff and student handbooks, the finance/budget committee, and, for the past two years, was a member of the four person selection committee for a new superintendent where twenty-five applications were reviewed, seven interviews completed, and focus groups with parents and staff were conducted resulting in the hiring of the new superintendent. She was also part of the negotiations of the teachers' and administrators' contracts this past year. It was noted that Ms. Gaudreau is currently seeking reappointment to this role and both the superintendent and business manager provided written recommendations for her reappointment. Ms. White noted that once we have a candidate in a role that is doing a good job, we strive to keep them in that role. She asked about the constitution of the appointing committee and if the Select Board could make a recommendation to this committee to reappoint Ms. Gaudreau. Mr. Crowley noted the appointment committee includes the Susan Dietrich, School Committee Chair, Laura Mullen, Town Moderator, and himself as Select Board Chair.

Ms. White moved that the Select Board support the reappointment of Ms. Gaudreau as the Medway representative to Tri-County Vocational Technical High School and approve the forwarding of documentation to the appointing committee of this support. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Discussion with Community Preservation Committee (CPC): Long-term Vision for Ide House, 158 Main Street

There were no materials for the Board to review.

Mr. Boynton noted that Mr. Cerel, CPC Chair could not remain at this meeting and requested that this topic be reconsidered at a future Select Board meeting.

Discussion with Energy and Sustainability Committee

The Board reviewed the memo from the Energy and Sustainability Committee.

Mr. Travalini stated they would like to set-up quarterly meetings with the Select Board for input on the direction of their efforts and for them to report back on the information they have discovered. He wanted Select Board feedback on the current projects they are working on to determine if they should continue with their efforts. These projects have been driven by Governor Baker's Executive Order that the State must get to fifty percent renewable energy by 2030, seven years from now, and one hundred percent by 2050, noting we are currently not even close to the fifty percent. This Committee would like to be more involved in achieving these milestones. The first effort is the Town's use of electric vehicles (EVs) noting he met with both Mr. Boynton and Ms. Potter on this subject. He stated Police and Fire equipment under 8,500 pounds will no longer be exempt from following the State mandated emissions standards. They would like to start exploring EVs and fast charging stations at locations with the Police, Fire, and DPW departments if approved by the Select Board noting there is funding available to support these efforts via Mass EVIP and Eversource. He commended Stephanie Carlisle on her efforts toward energy conservation and sustainability. He would like the Select Board's approval to investigate the usage of EVs.

Mr. Fair then addressed solar canopies reminding the Board that he is in this industry as an electrical engineer. He noted that large projects are difficult to finance and gain community support. They would like to investigate small locations where we can get small wins to slowly implement this in town, for example, at a park with a parking lot that can support some of the systems with virtual metering. He noted that with the new bill signed by the President relative to sunseting renewable policies, there will be more aggressive numbers from the industry to try to assist the Town to implement these types of projects. Mr. Travalini noted that eventually we will be in competition with other towns and the Committee feels the federal government will be implementing more incentives, as well.

Mr. Travalini stated the last item they wanted to address was to ask if the Select Board would like this Committee to be involved with or weigh in on the Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS). Mr. Travalini asked for Select Board direction on these projects. Mr. Fair noted that they have been using the Master Plan as a guide but wanted to know if the Select Board had any other items that this Committee should address. Mr. Boynton stated that Mr. Travalini is on the right track noting that as of 2024, the exemption for Police and Fire vehicles goes away. He has been in discussions with Chief Kingsbury and Lieutenant Watson noting we have moved from the Explorer to the Tahoe due to cost. It looks like will be transitioning back over time to a hybrid approach noting the State Police has just obtained one hundred hybrid cruisers. He noted that he would be more than willing to work with the Committee and Ms. Carlisle to develop a plan as technology is changing. Mr. Boynton noted that relative to the solar canopy issue, the School Committee has been hard at work on this, we have just made zoning bylaw changes to improve that process, and we have one at the DPW facility. He noted that this should be part of a discussion with the Facility Committee relative to the replacement of buildings to include energy efficiency and solar. He noted assistance would be welcome in looking at current buildings and what could be implemented. Mr. Crowley asked for clarification on the ask of the Committee. Mr. Travalini stated he is asking if the first two priorities they identified are worth pursuing and, if yes, they will move forward. They would like to set-up a quarterly standing meeting with the Select Board moving forward to report progress and obtain further direction on any new focus areas. The Select Board agreed that the Committee should move forward with these two priorities and report back to them in October. Mr. Dietrich stated that once the Master Plan is officially published, they will probably have more items identified noting that future planned infrastructure would also be an appropriate area of the Committee's focus. Mr. Travalini reiterated his concern that if we do not meet State goals, they could implement a 40B like incentive to companies where the Town has no control/impact on what is implemented. Therefore, they would like to implement these two priority areas as soon as feasible. Mr. Crowley explained the initial charge of this Committee was relative to energy costs and how to decrease them and he would like to keep this in the forefront of the Committee's goals to address. Mr. Dietrich pointed out both cost and usage decreases will be the focus. Mr. Crowley requested a top priority in focusing on the low hanging fruit and the ability of obtaining grant funding. Mr. Fair pointed out that Medway has a very aggressive power brokered deal right now and are in the second of five years of this deal noting that it is unheard of and commended whoever brought that to fruition. Relative to usage, whenever projects come up in existing buildings, he suggested looping in this Committee to provide options and opportunities to decrease usage. The Board agreed that as an Intervenor with the BESS facility, they would welcome this Committee's input.

Approval: Amendments to Beer and Wine Package Store License Application- OSJL Spirits, LLC d/b/a Ocean State Job Lot:

- **Change of LLC Manager**
- **Change of Management Agreement**

The Board reviewed the applications.

Ms. Dennis stated there are two amendments to their liquor license application that is still pending on its own with the State Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission (ABCC). The first is a change of LLC manager because the LLC manager moved to North Carolina to work remotely, and Massachusetts requires that this person be a resident. The second amendment is to submit a management agreement between OSJL Spirits, which is the entity that holds the liquor license, and Ocean State Jobbers which is the largest OSJ entity that holds all the employees, payroll, training, etc. This agreement explains the relationship between these two entities. It was confirmed that they are not selling liquor yet as they still do not have the license approval from the State. Mr. Crowley asked when the residents can anticipate the ability to purchase alcohol. Ms. Dennis stated it is up to the State. Mr. Boynton noted it has taken sixteen months to get comments back. Mr. Crowley asked that Ms. Dennis make sure that the location of the alcohol be what was originally approved by the Select Board, that it be in view of the cashiers. Ms. Dennis confirmed.

Mr. Trindade moved that the Board approve the change in LLC Manager and change of Management Agreement amendments to the Beer and Wine Package Store License Application for OSJL Spirits, LLC d/b/a Ocean State Job Lot as discussed. Ms. White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Discussion/Approval: Medway Grid, LLC Host Community Agreement (HCA)

The Board reviewed the (1) proposed HCA clean and redlined versions, and (2) Planning and Economic Development Board (PEDB) comments.

Mr. Boynton stated the Board originally posted the draft HCA on July 11th for review and comment and we received one resident comment from Mr. Myers noting the PEDB did provide comments last week, as well. It was noted that the posting of the HCA went out via the Town's social media accounts. Mr. Boynton introduced Jeff Bernstein of BCK Law, the Town's technical legal consultant on energy matters, who also worked with the Town during the Exelon process. He noted that some of Mr. Myer's comments were able to be incorporated into the HCA. Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) discussions have continued with Medway Grid. We have not come to any agreement but have established a baseline threshold value on this facility that may be part of a PILOT agreement. He stated we are not proposing one for the fall. Based on the Energy Facilities Siting Board (EFSB) taking jurisdiction, the Town, much like with the Exelon process, needed to protect itself. Attorney Bernstein has worked with the Town to ensure we follow the legally correct process to protect the Town like we did with the Exelon process. Mr. Crowley requested public comments at this time. Paul Yorkis, 7 Independence Lane, stated he had many comments to make stating he is disappointed that this meeting is not using Zoom so that people can participate in the meeting who are not present noting this is doing a disservice to our community. He then reviewed dates relative to this project. The Town submitted to the EFSB an application to be an Intervenor, and, in that document, it specifically states that the Town has been in discussions and negotiations with first Able Grid and now Medway Grid going back to December of 2019. He noted the community was not notified of this. Mr. Boynton stated that two members of Able Grid approached the Town at that time stating they were interested in a project in Medway. He stated there are a number of folks on an ongoing basis that reach out to the Town for various projects, i.e., housing projects, powerplants, shopping centers, the development of Main Street, and we listen to them. We did not engage with them again as COVID hit. Mr. Boynton then reviewed the specific timeline of discussions and development of the HCA. We talked to them initially about the issues with their parcel including zoning issues noting the meeting included the Building Commissioner, Fire Chief and Deputy Fire Chief relative to fire safety, Ms. Sainte Andre relative to zoning, as well as Ms. Affleck-Childs, which constitutes the normal team that would be involved in this type of inquiry. They were told the parcel they were looking at was not zoned properly for this project and advised them of all the technical competencies that were involved. In the beginning of 2021, the PEDB began discussions on the zoning

of that parcel reminding Mr. Yorkis that himself and a group of residents came forward with a petition to put a hold on this project. Both the Select Board and PEDB decided to not move forward with the zoning change and not to move forward with a prohibition but with a study. The Town engaged a study at that point led by the PEDB and proceeded to conduct the study. When it became clear to us around August or September of last year that Able/Medway Grid had every intention of going forward with the EFSB, it became apparent that we needed to discuss formal negotiations of an HCA. They were notified early on that if they were serious in coming in with a proposal, that they would need an HCA and were told very clearly that the Town is well versed and experienced with the EFSB process as it related to Exelon. The draft HCA before the Board was developed from September of 2021 through a meeting this April/May. Mr. Yorkis stated hindsight is twenty-twenty and reiterated the specific sentence referencing discussions and negotiations beginning in 2019 and that means representatives of the Town were negotiating agreements without input from the residents and this is not the best way for town government to operate. The HCA needs to be the best possible document with community buy-in and you do not have it now as there has been no public hearing about this document. There needs to be transparency. Mr. Boynton noted that this document has had more public input than the Exelon process. Mr. Yorkis stated the difference is that abutters to Exelon knew they were purchasing property next to an energy zoned area and that is not true with Medway Grid as it is zoned agricultural/residential, and the assumption was that it would remain that way. He stated this will have a long-term impact on the Town due to rezoning, not all of which is positive. Mr. Yorkis then commented on his specific issues with the HCA. He noted that on page 3 did not mention the Design Review Committee as advisory to the PEDB and there was no mention of the Rivers Act, and this project would impact that. Mr. Boynton stated the EFSB process cannot exempt them from that Act, so it was not mentioned. Mr. Yorkis noted in reference to water connection approval, DPW is not needed, as this is not applicable. However, this is applicable in reference to hydrants that are connected to our water system. Mr. Crowley stated all these comments can be brought up during the intervenor process, which was confirmed by Attorney Bernstein. Mr. Crowley asked if the HCA was to include every detail that would be addressed in the intervenor process. Attorney Bernstein stated that on page 3 is a listing of known Town permits at the time of "drafting/execution" and if any additional ones exist outside of that, they would still have to complete them as this document does not remove Town jurisdiction of permits. Medway Grid was told that an HCA by law does not grant or approve any permit or waiver of any jurisdiction that the Select Board or any Town body has. Mr. Yorkis stated page 4, item 6, does not reference payment regarding building permits, inspections, etc. and wants to ensure they understand when payments are due. Attorney Bernstein stated this does not belong in an HCA as the HCA details additional commitments required. Mr. Yorkis referenced the technical review fund that will provide funding to the Town for independent consultants in the amount of \$100,000 and asked where that amount came from. Mr. Boynton stated we did the same as Exelon noting that Exelon paid more than what was stipulated in the HCA over the life of the project, and he will request further funding from Medway Grid if needed. Mr. Crowley noted that all the figures were part of a negotiation. Mr. Yorkis stated that he feels the Town should have notified abutters of the HCA in the same manner that the EFSB did regarding their public hearing and the Town needs to do a much better job of notifying residents of what is going on. Mr. Yorkis stated that on page 8, item B, the definition of best industry practice is not provided. Mr. Boynton pointed out it is in item D below. Mr. Yorkis referenced page 9, item 11A. He said that the sentence confuses him referencing when they are allowed to work on the active construction project. He said the statement excluding legal holidays is not grammatically correct. Attorney Bernstein disagreed. Mr. Yorkis referenced page 10, item C. This needs to be amended to reflect all the impacted roads including West Street. Mr. Boynton stated they are not allowed to use West Street noting there are two pieces to this project. Truck routes must use the State numbered routes. The intent of this section was that if any of the road was damaged during project construction, they must fix it. Mr. Yorkis stated on page 11, item D, regarding fire suppression references the

property not the facility noting both should be mentioned, and again item E should have the Rivers Act mentioned. Mr. Yorkis referenced page 12, item F. He does not understand the Town will review this after twenty years of commercial operation. Mr. Boynton stated it was a negotiation point as the Town did not want this in perpetuity should a new standard come out that is more protective. The twenty-year term will allow the Town to implement that change. Mr. Yorkis noted on page 11, item G, referencing space between battery packs, asking how this number was determined and per what standards. Mr. Boynton noted we were not able to change this, but this may be changed as be part of the EFSB process. Mr. Boynton noted that Tetra Tech completed a site plan and that will be part of the submission to the EFSB. Mr. Yorkis asked what local labor means for item 14, as this is very vague. Mr. Yorkis referenced item 15 regarding the decommissioning, noting the current issues in Plymouth and that this is a high priority for the Select Board to ensure that we are not in the same situation. Attorney Bernstein said that is not analogous to the removal of this type of facility and the attempt was to put a process and set of standards in place as this is so new and there is no history for this process. There was further discussion on the lack of knowledge on how this type of facility would be decommissioned noting this would also be part of the EFSB process. Mr. Crowley explained the discussion around this issue. It was noted that Mr. Yorkis, Mr. Myers, Eversource, and the Town all applied for intervenor status but there has been no decision to date. Mr. Yorkis referenced page 14, item D, noting the webpage is a good idea, but the concern is frequency of updates. Mr. Yorkis asked for an explanation from Atty. Bernstein on page 17, item E, regarding no punitive damages. Mr. Bernstein stated it is not an unusual provision in an agreement and means that if either party makes a claim that the other party breached its obligations, they can seek only their actual damages. For example, a party cannot assert if you only did this, then x, y, or z would have happened. They cannot claim x, y, or z only actual out of pocket lost costs. Mr. Yorkis noted that this does impact individual property owners as they are not part of the agreement, only the Town. Mr. Yorkis' final comment was on page 5, item D, relative to the property security fund and stated, as a realtor, protection of private property rights is very important, and it is his opinion that in this agreement the Town is giving away individuals' private property rights by limiting damages to \$25,000. Attorney Bernstein disagreed stating that private property owners have the right to sue for damages based on the laws of the Commonwealth noting this is an expedited process providing an additional right, but no property owner is obligated to use it. Mr. Yorkis stated that if this is such a great project/opportunity for Medway and is not going to harm the property value, Medway Grid could offer to purchase abutting properties at fair market value with the burden on Medway Grid. Mr. Yorkis stated he would e-mail Mr. Boynton with additional comments.

Mr. Myers wanted to suggest what the Board should do tonight and talk about good things about Medway and some of his concerns. He does not believe the Select Board should vote on the HCA tonight, as three of the members were not part of the negotiation process and this is the first time the Board is going through this in public. He stated the good thing about the Town of Medway is they have listened to things on the technical side, which he has offered comments on. He reported that he has filed to be an intervenor in the process as an individual, as he does have technical concerns on the project relative to scope, size, and location. It could have been located on one of the other energy parcels. He has provided comment on zoning language to the PEDB with additional comments this week. The EFSB does one half mile notifications on their projects and Medway does abutters. His suggestion for zoning language for BESS or energy related projects is that the Town adopt a half mile notification for any future activities for projects of this scale. His technical concerns fall in two areas, and he thanked the group for putting the UL9540A in the HCA. He noted there is riverfront and wetlands on that property, and with the scale of the facility on that the property, his concern is if there is a thermal event, he is unconvinced that the site as laid out can protect the wetlands and river area from the water collection of the thermal event and the chemistry associated with it. His second concern is noise restrictions that the Secretary Theoharides of Energy and Environmental Affairs picked up on that he

missed in his initial review that limit the operation of the thermal fans on that site, noting Medway Grid agreed to the limitation. He questions the amount of energy they will store onsite as they have a commitment to the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) for 500 megawatts. If they will restrict the thermal output, he questioned how they will reach the 500 megawatts, 250 for each of the two hours. What the Secretary and Medway Grid said is that if ISO declares a particular grid condition, all noise exemptions are exempt. That means any noise resulting from a ramp up of the facility will impact all the abutters and beyond if that ISO condition exists. He noted that due to his technical concerns, he is not saying no to BESS, but to the location. He recommends the Select Board not welcome this project to Medway or vote on this HCA tonight. Mr. Boynton pointed out there is nothing in the HCA stating that we welcome this project. Based on our experience with the EFSB in the past and their potential of taking jurisdiction, this HCA is to protect the Town to the greatest extent possible. He noted we did do a formal study through ARUP via the PEDB and hopes that both the PEDB and Select Board were satisfied with the results of the study. He noted that Mr. Myers' questions along the way were helpful in doing the best that we could with this agreement. This agreement in no way states that the Select Board and residents endorse this project but asks the EFSB to include this as part of any final adjudication on this project. Mr. Crowley stated if Mr. Myers and Mr. Yorkis are not granted intervenor status even though they are supported as such by Medway Grid, the Town can bring up their issues in this EFSB process. Mr. Boynton stated that since the Town did not seek to rezone this parcel in the spring of 2021, sending the message that we were not interested in this project, the unintended consequence was that the applicant had no other recourse than to contact the EFSB. Mr. Myers' final comment was that the language stating that if this HCA is signed, the Town would facilitate permits, should be changed to clarify local Town permitting requirements.

Adam Houser, 14 Little Tree Road, stated he could not find the HCA on the Town's social media platforms and noted that the Town should have notified abutters and followed the half mile EFSB notification process for this HCA. He stated that the applicant said they did not go to EFSB because the Town would not rezone. He did not see any of the zoning or noise ordinance work done in preparation for potential rezoning in the HCA noting his concern about the noise and ability of to differentiate its source between Exelon and this plant. Attorney Bernstein noted that the HCA does not impact anyone's rights relative to the issues of notification discussed, but the EFSB process does hence their notification procedure to allow participation. Additional conditions can be discussed in the EFSB process, and the content of the HCA does not prevent any additional conditions to be sought. Mr. Crowley asked if these additional issues brought up by residents can be brought up in the EFSB intervenor process. Attorney Bernstein stated that the HCA includes what was agreed upon between the Town and Medway Grid so to attempt to add additional conditions that the Town could not get them to agree to in the HCA would be seen as trying to take another bite of the same apple and could be an issue. You could not start fresh on an issue but could address a number of issues that were not addressed in the HCA. Mr. Boynton noted that other intervenors will have the ability to ask for further explanations and detail on the items included in the HCA i.e., decommissioning. Mr. Houser and several other abutters were specifically included as the Town took their feedback from prior discussions and took an added step to mitigate the visual impacts of up to \$5,000 to these direct abutters since this site will be close to some of the owners. Mr. Houser referenced the July 11th Select Board meeting where the HCA was discussed as being ninety-nine percent complete and comments were welcome but most likely could not be included. He would have appreciated the opportunity before this evening to have the opportunity for feedback. Mr. Boynton reiterated the Town process of the development and implementation of HCAs.

Kathleen Yorkis, 7 Independence Lane and within the half mile radius, stated that they received five copies of the same notice from the Siting Board in June inviting them to participate in their public hearing Zoom meeting on July 15th. Medway Grid presented followed by an EFSB presentation and then

there was open discussion. She said the HCA was referenced and yet she did not receive any information from the Town about any HCA. She went on the Town website to find the HCA and it was difficult to find but did read the entire agreement. Those direct abutters named in the HCA received no communication from the Town that their names were in this document. As a half mile abutter, she and others, as a professional courtesy, should have been notified of the process and project status. She requested an official notice to all residents of the project status and next steps, as when things occur without complete and thorough communication, it causes people to wonder and unintended consequences occur. The reception received is how you all reach out to the residents of Medway.

Mr. Trindade moved to continue the meeting beyond the 10pm limit. Ms. White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Michael Henry, 6 Little Tree Road, commended the PEDB BESS website page. He wanted confirmation that we are not conceding any variance to existing zoning. That was confirmed. He asked about the impact on the HCA based on the EFSB final decision. Mr. Boynton stated, if the EFSB rejects this application, the project is dead, and the HCA no longer exists. If the EFSB determines that storage is not generation or some other entity makes that determination, the HCA does not exist. The HCA only exists in the context if the EFSB ultimately approves the project. The only recourse for Medway Grid if the EFSB does not approve the project would be to seek rezoning of the parcel through a local process and there has been no ask for that. He asked for a Town resource for further questions related to this project. Mr. Boynton stated to call his office at any time.

Chris Bell, 8 Little Tree Road, stated anything that we can do to keep this as far away from residential properties as possible is his ask. He asked if anyone has investigated how the wall will impact weather, wind, etc. Mr. Boynton does not believe that any engineering studies have looked at this as they must put up a twenty-foot sound wall and as discussed, it would face Milford Street and there will also be a sound wall on the back. That would be a good EFSB question.

Ancelin Wolf, 3 Kingson Lane, discovered this as a result of the EFSB hearing and asked if there is any mechanism for the Town to take back any control over where this facility is going. Mr. Crowley stated he would not want this in his own back yard and would be raising the same issues. He stated speaking for himself, if he had his preference, this would not be built. Based on discussions with the consultant and the attorney, we had to decide are we going to fight this as a Town or are we going to do the best we can with a Host Agreement. He previously asked that what would the attorneys' fees be if we fight this. The answer was somewhere north of \$100,000. He then asked what the chances are we would win, and the answer was less than five percent. So do you gamble to spend \$100,000 with a less than five percent chance of winning or make your mind up that this is going to go in there. He noted that the EFSB is appointed by the State House and Senate who have given this power to the EFSB. They made the decision that EFSB will oversee these projects and the EFSB will decide if this is approved or not. Mr. Boynton noted that EFSB is appointed by the Governor but was created by the legislature. Mr. Boynton noted there are a lot of questions about these facilities because they are so brand-new, including storage versus generation, and we do not know how that will play out. Based on current legislation, we know that these types of facilities will be popping up at an increasing rate. Mr. Myers stated they will be exploding across the State. Mr. Boynton stated there is one other company looking at a parcel in Town just outside of the energy zone noting we have not seen anything yet and were just notified of their interest. He noted the attraction point for Medway is the proximity to the grid. Because our location is so critical to the grid, that is why ISO has permitted it. As far as the local piece, he is struggling with how the State will meet the 4.8 gigawatts of energy storage needed to be developed over the next ten to fifteen years based on the amount of effort in dealing with just one of two now in the Commonwealth.

At what point will the Commonwealth say that these are exempt from local zoning and then the whole EFSB process takes a different tack. He stated we are fortunate to have Representative Jeff Roy who is looking out for us, as he is at the forefront of this as Chair of the House Energy Committee. It is extremely beneficial to have him as a liaison and ally noting that we have zero ability to claw back local control. It would have to be done state-wide legislatively. Mr. Alessandri noted that the State budget has millions to support the building of these types of facilities. Mr. Boynton noted that the Inflation Reduction Act signed by President Biden provides a thirty percent tax credit to those companies wishing to do this type of a project. There is State and Federal funding behind these projects, and we are being encouraged to do it. We are being told that without this type of technology, wind and solar power will not be there to supplant fossil fuel driven facilities in the next ten years. He referenced the Energy and Sustainability Committee's earlier discussion on promoting EVs and does not know how the State will meet these electrical needs/expectations for 2035 if we have these types of challenges relative to these facilities in each of the communities. Mr. Sullivan asked that Mr. Boynton spend time explaining the zoning issues. Mr. Boynton noted that right now, these facilities are not exempt from local zoning and there is an allowance for these in the energy resource zone. They are not allowed in the agricultural resource zone. The energy zone is along the power lines in Town where most of the land is owned by Eversource, but Exelon, New England Power, National Grid also have pieces of land in this zone. At the moment, those companies are not proposing this technology and are not selling their land in case they decide to pursue this in the future. The third-party companies not directly connected to these utilities looking to get into this technology where tons of federal funding is available right now, are looking at parcels as close as possible to sections of the grid that can have the greatest influence. West Medway is in the target zone for these types of facilities due to the ability to connect to the grid for the biggest bang for the buck.

Laura Mullen, 17 Granite Street, stated that the Board can dispel some confusion by noting that Medway Grid, LLC has nothing to do with Medway.

Mr. Crowley opened the discussion to the Select Board. Mr. Alessandri stated his only question was the potential impact of postponing the vote on the HCA. Mr. Boynton does not think EFSB will act on anything before the next Select Board meeting on September 12th but would not want to extend beyond that date. Attorney Bernstein would like to have a decision before the EFSB votes on intervenors as there is a period of discovery where the document would be needed, and it would be difficult to protect the Town's interest if a decision has not been made on the HCA. He also does not believe the EFSB would act on anything before September 12th. There was further discussion on the timing to allow for review and comment of the HCA and the potential for an EFSB decision. The Board agreed to meet on Wednesday, September 7th to vote on the HCA. Mr. Boynton requested if there are specific provisions of the HCA that there are questions on, he would like these submitted as soon as possible during this week. He will review them with Attorney Bernstein for inclusion and will discuss with Medway Grid's attorney no later than Thursday. Any changes will need to be negotiated with Medway Grid. Mr. Crowley noted that most of the comments were reviewed at this meeting, and most cannot be included. He requested that residents be reasonable and realistic with their comments.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board vote to schedule a special meeting for September 7th for the purpose of discussion and vote on the Host Community Agreement with Medway Grid, LLC. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Mr. Yorkis stated that the Select Board did not ask for public comments about the Energy and Sustainability Committee discussion and would suggest the Select Board, DPW, and this committee look at the landfill that has been capped, as it is an ideal location for a solar farm. He noted many other

communities in the Commonwealth have used capped landfills for their solar facilities. Mr. Alessandri and Mr. Rossi noted that Mr. Travalini has investigated that site, and it was deemed not to be feasible. Mr. Boynton stated this is worth exploring. Mr. Yorkis noted there is grant funding available for these types of projects.

Vote: Open November 14, 2022, Fall Town Meeting Warrant

There were no materials for the Board to review.

Mr. Boynton asked the Board to open the Fall Town Meeting Warrant.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board vote to open the November 14, 2022, Fall Town Meeting Warrant. Mr. Trindade seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Vote: School Committee Member Lauren Nassiff's Conflict of Interest Disclosure

The Board reviewed the (1) e-mail request for exemption, and (2) disclosure form.

Mr. Boynton stated that he needs the Select Board to vote that School Committee members are Special Municipal Employees.

Mr. Rossi moved that the Board vote to approve Lauren Nassiff's exemption of financial interest in her contract with the School Department as the Fall 2022 Middle School Field Hockey Coach and to approve the designation of Medway School Committee members as Special Municipal Employees. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Action Items from Previous Meeting

The Board reviewed the action items dated July 11, 2022.

Mr. Crowley requested to add an item to start tracking the grant from the Federal government with a date of March of 2023.

Town Manager's Report

There were no items for the Board to review.

Cassidy Field Paving Update – Not Good News: Mr. Boynton stated one year ago we appropriated \$300,000 for the paving at Cassidy Field. We had the engineering and prep work completed for a cost of \$34,000 and change. The lowest bid came in at \$448,000 so we are back to the drawing board as to what to do with that project noting the PEDB had input. Mr. Rossi noted the costs are directly related to the increase in oil costs. Mr. Crowley requested that someone inform Medway Youth Baseball. Mr. Trindade stated he would inform them.

Cannabis Host Community Agreements – Changes In State Law: Mr. Boynton stated changes are occurring in cannabis laws noting fortunately Medway does not bank on funds from the HCAs as part of our funding mechanism. Those funds look like they will be going away. We are awaiting further clarification of other aspects of these changes. They are not only eliminating the ability for communities to collect on HCAs, but they are going to require us to provide certain services or frameworks for certain types of cannabis operations. The easiest thing the State could do would be to have the Cannabis Control Commission (CCC) function like the ABCC, which it is looking like that is the way they will be heading. He voiced his frustration with the industry having a strangle hold on legislation not allowing the communities to be where we need to be. There are two growing facilities in town now, we are collecting

from one and should begin collecting from the other in this calendar year. Phytotherapy is close to completing permitting through the PEDB. Mr. Crowley asked if they all came on-line, how much revenue we would be losing. Mr. Boynton said \$600,000.

Conflict of Interest Training Reminder: Mr. Boynton reminded members of all boards of the need to make sure that they complete the on-line conflict of interest training and forward the certificate to the Town Manager's office.

Town Manager Out of Office as follows: Mr. Boynton noted he is out of the office as follows:

- Thursday August 25 (PM) – Natick – Municipal Finance Meeting
- Wednesday August 31 – Canton - Norfolk County Retirement Board
- September 17-21 – ICMA Conference – Columbus, Ohio – Chief Kingsbury ATM

NEXT Select Board Meeting – September 12, 2022

Select Board's Reports

There were no items for the Board to review.

Mr. Alessandri stated he will work with Ms. Johnston and the Select Board members to reinstitute the quarterly "Coffee with a Selectman".

Mr. Boynton stated he wanted to ensure that we are doing enough outreach noting that we put a lot of information on the website.

Mr. Crowley stated he went online looking for the preliminary cherry sheets and could not find them. Ms. Pratt will assist him. Mr. Crowley asked Ms. Sullivan if the school has looked into MSBA funding for the school roofs noting that sometimes it costs more based on their requirements. Ms. Sullivan stated she does not believe so but noted it is on the capital plan and that she will speak with Superintendent Pires. It was noted that Jim Kane has said it was cheaper not to go through MSBA based on his experience in Natick. Mr. Crowley still would like this to be investigated.

At 10:50PM, Mr. Trindade moved to adjourn. Ms. White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Respectfully submitted,
Liz Langley
Executive Assistant
Town Manager's Office