#### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/8/2019 2018

### **Influent Flow and Loading**

- 1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	×	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	X	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.0324	Х	311	Х	8.34	=	84
February	0.0329	Х	325	х	8.34	=	89
March	0.0285	Х	349	Х	8.34	=	83
April	0.0317	Х	251	X	8.34	=	66
May	0.0336	Х	297	Х	8.34	=	83
June	0.0367	X	252	Х	8.34	=	77
July	0.0310	Х	269	х	8.34	=	70
August	0.0314	Х	239	х	8.34	=	63
September	0.0406	Х	294	X	8.34	=	100
October	0.0628	Х	139	Х	8.34	=	73
November	0.0393	Х	240	х	8.34	II	79
December	0.0520	Х	280	Х	8.34	=	122

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	.105	х	90		0.0945
		X	100	=	.105
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	135	×	90		121.5
		Х	100		135

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months	1	Number of times		Number of times
	of		flow was greater		
	Influent	than 90% of	than 100% of	than 90% of design	than 100% of desigr
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	1.	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances	;	0	0	1	0
Points		0	0	3	0
Total Numb	er of Po	ints			3

3

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

	5/8/2019 2	2018
<ul><li>3. Flow Meter</li><li>3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year?</li></ul>		
• Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) 2018-11-28		
○ No		-
If No, please explain:		
<ol> <li>Sewer Use Ordinance</li> <li>Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic s</li> </ol>	I or prohibited the discharge of	
industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences?  • Yes	documents to the sewer from	
O No If No, please explain:		
4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance?  O Yes		
• No		
If Yes, please explain:		
<ul><li>5. Septage Receiving</li><li>5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility?</li></ul>		
Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps		
o Yes o Yes		
• No • No • No		
5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volur Septic Tanks	ne in gallons.	77
o Yes gallons		
<ul><li>No</li><li>Holding Tanks</li></ul>		
o Yes gallons		
• No		
Grease Traps o Yes gallons		
• No		
5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant perform	ance is affected when receiving	
any of these wastes.		
6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit vio or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant t commercial or industrial discharges in the last year?		5,
o Yes ● No		
If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.		
6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leach	nate, etc.?	

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/8/2019	Reporting For <b>2018</b>
Resolution or Owner's Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner:		
Village of Montfort  Date of Resolution or  Action Taken:		
Resolution Number:		
Date of Submittal:		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):  Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A		C CMAR
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = D		
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A	7.	
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grade = A		
Financial Management: Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs well	re reported)	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS  (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less to G.P.A. = 3.72		ERALL

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

5/8/2019

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2019 **2018** 

Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	3
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	97
Section Grade	Α

#### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/8/2019 2018

### Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

- 1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD**

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	30	27	8	1	0	0
February	30	27	6	1	0	0
March	30	27	5	1	0	0
April	30	27	2	1	0	0
May	30	27	0	1	0	0
June	30	27	0	1	0	0
July	30	27	3	1	0	0
August	30	27	2	1	0	0
September	30	27	4	1	0	0
October	30	27	3	1	0	0
November	30	27	3	1	0	0
December	30	27	5	1.	0	0
* Equals limit if limit is <= 10						
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge					7	3
Exceedances					0	0
Points					0	0
Total numb	er of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

<ol><li>Flow Motor Calibration</li></ol>					
	2	Elawa	Matar	Calibration	,

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2018-11-28

O No

If No, please explain:

- 3. Treatment Problems
- 3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?
- o Yes
- No

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

ontfort Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/8/2019	Reporting For: 2018
If Yes, please explain:		
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an efflue toxicity (WET) test?  • Yes	ent acute or chronic whole ef	fluent
• No		
If Yes, please explain:		

4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?

Yes

o No

• N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Opdated 5/8/2019

Last Updated: Reporting For:

<sup>'</sup>2019 **2018** 

## **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)**

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit		Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)		,a, a g a (g, z)	with a Limit	Execedance	Exceedance
January	30	27	3	1	0	0
February	30	27	2	1	0	0
March	30	27	2	1	0	0
April	30	27	1	1	0	0
May	30	27	0	1	0	0
June	30	27	0	1	0	0
July	30	27	1	1	0	0
August	30	27	2	1	0	0
September	30	27	0	1	0	0
October	30	27	0	1	0	0
November	30	27	3	1	0	0
December	30	27	2	1	0	0
		* Eqı	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per	each exceeda	ance with 12	months of disch	arge:	7	3
Exceedance	S				0	0
Points					0	0
Total Numl	per of Points			00110		0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

#### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

5/8/2019

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2018

## **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)**

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit
	phosphorus Limit	Average phosphorus	Discharge with a	Exceedance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	Limit	
January	5.8	5.362	1	0
February	5.8	6.396	1	1
March	5.8	6.510	1	1
April	5.8	5.245	1	0
Мау	5.8	4.882	1	0
June	5.8	5.021	1	0
July	5.8	5.787	1	0
August	5.8	6.707	1	1
September	5.8	5.658	1	0
October	5.8	2.599	1	0
November	5.8	4.053	1	0
December	5.8	3.511	1	0
Months of Dischar	ge/yr		12	
Points per each	exceedance with 1	2 months of dischar	ge:	10
Exceedances				3
Total Number of	Points			30

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

We have done non point trading.

Total Points Generated	30
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	70
Section Grade	D

30

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/8/2019 2018

### **Biosolids Quality and Management**

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal	
1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)	
☐ Land applied under your permit	
☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids	
☐ Hauled to another permitted facility	
☐ Landfilled	
☐ Incinerated	
□ Other	
NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such	
as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.	
1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

Outfall No.	003	- SLI	JDGE															
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75						.081								0	0
Cadmium		39	85						<.083								0	0
Copper		1500	4300						19								0	0
Lead		300	840						64.5								0	0
Mercury		17	57						.01								0	0
Molybdenum	60		75						.283							0		0
Nickel	336		420						1.28							0		0
Selenium	80		100						.157							0		0
Zinc		2800	7500						51.2								0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

**Exceedence Points** 

- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- O N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

**Exceedence Points** 

- 0 (0 Points)
- (10 Points) 01
- $\circ$  > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- O Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.

3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?

6. Biosolids Storage
6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?

• >= 180 days (0 Points)

• 150 - 179 days (10 Points)

• 120 - 149 days (20 Points)

• 90 - 119 days (30 Points)

• < 90 days (40 Points)

• N/A (0 Points)

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7. Issues

7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

None

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/8/2019 2018

# **Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)**

1. Plant Staffing	
1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	
• Yes	
O No	
If No, please explain:	,
Could use more help/staff for:	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and	İ
fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?	
• Yes	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
2. Drayontativa Maintanana	<u> </u>
<ol> <li>Preventative Maintenance</li> <li>Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on</li> </ol>	
major equipment items?	
Yes (Continue with question 2) □□	
○ No (40 points)□□	-
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?	
• Yes	0
O No (10 points)	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and	
filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?	
• Yes	
Paper file system	
Computer system	
Both paper and computer system	
○ No (10 points)	
3. O&M Manual	
3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used	
as a reference when needed?	
• Yes	
○ No	
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs	
<ul><li>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</li><li>● Excellent</li></ul>	
• Very good	
O Good	
o Fair	
o Poor	
Describe your rating:	

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

5/8/2019

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2018

We have B&M tech services to calibrate and test equipment & when ever we need service. We also preform regular maintenance & exercised as needed. We did plant up grade so L.W. Allen set everything up in 2018.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

# Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/8/2019 **2018** 

### **Operator Certification and Education**

- p	. Colonidation and Educa								
	or-In-Charge ou have a designated operator-i points)	n-charge during the	e report year?						
1 .	0 points)								
Name:	- po					0			
TODD R GRIFFITHS									
Certifica	tion No:								
	36340								
2. Certifica	ation Requirements								
	cordance with Chapter NR 114.5	6 and 114.57, Wisc	consin Adminis	strative Code	e, what level				
and subcl	lass(es) were required for the op	erator-in-charge ((	DIC) to operat	e the waste	water				
treatmen	t plant and what level and subcla	ass(es) were held b	y the operato	r-in-charge?					
Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC					
Class		Basic	OIT	Basic	Advanced				
A1	Suspended Growth Processes					İ			
A2	Attached Growth Processes								
A3	Recirculating Media Filters	X		X					
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural								
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid								
В	Solids Separation								
С	Biological Solids/Sludges					0			
Р	Total Phosphorus		X						
N	Total Nitrogen								
D	Disinfection	Х		X					
L	Laboratory								
U	Unique Treatment Systems								
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	NA	NA				
2.2 Was t	the operator-in-charge certified a	at the appropriate I	evel and subc	lass(es) to o	perate this				
	ote: Certification in subclass SS,								
only.)									
1	points)								
O No (2									
l .	sion Planning			9					
	e event of the loss of your desigr the continued proper operation								
	lowing options (check all that ap		or the plant th	at melades c	one or more				
☑ One o	r more additional certified opera	tors on staff							
i	rangement with another certified	•							
E .	rangement with another commu	•							
	erator on staff who has an opera	tor-in-training certi	ificate for you	r plant and i	s expected to	0			
3	tified within one year sultant to serve as your certified	operator							
9	of the above (20 points)	operator							
	of the above (20 points) of the above is selected, please	e explain:				***************************************			
1. 110110	1. 1 upor a la paractar, product					1			
						Ц			
4. Continu	ing Education Credits								

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/8/2019

2018

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

OIT and Basic Certification:

- Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

- Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance

earned interest, etc.)

3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee,

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility** Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/8/2019 2018 Financial Management 1. Provider of Financial Information Name: Shelley Kazda Telephone: 608-943-6917 (XXX) XXX-XXXX E-Mail Address (optional): clerk@montfortvillage.com Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system? Yes (0 points) □□ O No (40 points) If No, please explain: 2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2018 0-2 years ago (0 points) □□ ○ 3 or more years ago (20 points) N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? Yes (0 points) No (40 points) REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3] 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2018 1-2 years ago (0 points)□□ ○ 3 or more years ago (20 points) o N/A If N/A, please explain: 3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity 3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR 71,310.60 \$ 3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, 0.00 audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.) 71,310.60

1,353.93

Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated 5/8/2019	d: Reporting For <b>2018</b>
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)	\$ 0.	00
3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year	\$ 72,664.	53
All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.		
3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major r	epairs from 3.2.5 a	bove.
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally bas	72,664.53	0
Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as neinstructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInsheader in the left-side menu.  3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?  • Yes  • No  If No, please explain.	tructions link unde	r Info
<ul> <li>4. Future Planning</li> <li>4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?</li> <li>Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not alreaded No</li> </ul>		
Project Project Description #	1 1	Approximate Construction Year
1 rehabbing existing plant and looking at in pack of phosphorus removal	1000000	2018
2 Replacement of 1050 ft. Of 8" sanitary sewer. replace 7 manholes.	95400	2014
3 Replacement of 1450 ft of 8" sanitary sewer and 9 manholes.	109325	2015
4 Replacing and updating collection system on North St. project.	110000	2019
5. Financial Management General Comments		
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE		
<ul><li>6. Collection System</li><li>6.1 Energy Usage</li><li>6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sour</li></ul>	rces:	
COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed		WATER
Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations:		

**Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/8/2019 **2018** 

	(kWh)	(therms)		
January	183			
February	264			
March	132			
April	140			
May	149			
June	204			
July	253			
August	215			
September	213			
October	209			
November	241			
December	208			
Total	2,411	0		
Average	201	0		
6.2.1 Indicate ☐ Comminut ☐ Extended	tion or Screening Shaft Pumps		stations (Check all that apply	):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut  Extended  Flow Mete  Pneumatio  SCADA Sy  Self-Primit  Submersit  Variable S	e equipment and practices tion or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Pumping stem ng Pumps ole Pumps		stations (Check all that apply	):
6.2.1 Indicate  ☐ Comminut ☐ Extended ☐ Flow Mete ☐ Pneumatic ☐ SCADA Sy ☐ Self-Primit ☒ Submersib	e equipment and practices tion or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Pumping stem ng Pumps ole Pumps		stations (Check all that apply	):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut Extended Flow Mete Pneumatio SCADA Sy Self-Primit Submersib Variable S Other:	e equipment and practices cion or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Pumping estem ng Pumps ple Pumps peed Drives		stations (Check all that apply	):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut  Extended  Flow Mete  Pneumatio  SCADA Sy  Self-Primit  Submersit  Variable S	e equipment and practices cion or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Pumping estem ng Pumps ple Pumps peed Drives		stations (Check all that apply	):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut Extended Flow Mete Pneumatio SCADA Sy Self-Primi Submersit Variable S Other:  6.2.2 Comme	e equipment and practices alon or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Equipment and Recording externing Pumps along Pumps peed Drives	s utilized at your pump/lift		):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut Extended Flow Mete Pneumatio SCADA Sy Self-Primit Submersit Variable S Other:  6.2.2 Comme	e equipment and practices alon or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Equipment and Recording externing Pumps along Pumps peed Drives			):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut Extended Flow Mete Pneumatio SCADA Sy Self-Primi Submersit Variable S Other:  6.2.2 Comme	e equipment and practices alon or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Equipment and Recording externing Pumps along Pumps peed Drives	s utilized at your pump/lift		):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut Extended Flow Mete Pneumatio SCADA Sy Self-Primit Submersit Variable S Other:  6.2.2 Comme	e equipment and practices alon or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Equipment and Recording externing Pumps along Pumps peed Drives	s utilized at your pump/lift		):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut Extended Flow Mete Pneumatio SCADA Sy Self-Primic Submersit Variable S Other: 6.2.2 Comme No Yes Year:	e equipment and practices alon or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Equipment and Recording externing Pumps along Pumps peed Drives	s utilized at your pump/lift		):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut Extended Flow Mete Pneumatio SCADA Sy Self-Primit Submersit Variable S Other:  6.2.2 Comme No No O Yes	e equipment and practices alon or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Equipment and Recording externing Pumps along Pumps peed Drives	s utilized at your pump/lift		·):
6.2.1 Indicate  Comminut Extended Flow Mete Pneumatio SCADA Sy Self-Primic Submersit Variable S Other: 6.2.2 Comme No Yes Year:	e equipment and practices alon or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Pumping stem and Pumps ple Pumps peed Drives	s utilized at your pump/lift		):

### **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/8/2019 **2018** 

6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment	
6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?	:

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

### TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	2,767	1.00	2,767	2.60	1,064	
February	4,394	0.92	4,776	2.49	1,765	
March	3,535	0.88	4,017	2.57	1,375	
April	3,804	0.95	4,004	1.98	1,921	
May	3,736	1.04	3,592	2.57	1,454	
June	3,856	1.10	3,505	2.31	1,669	
July	3,900	0.96	4,063	2.17	1,797	
August	3,181	0.97	3,279	1.95	1,631	
September	2,530	1.22	2,074	3.00	843	
October	1,736	1.95	890	2.26	768	***************************************
November	1,019	1.18	864	2.37	430	
December	1,439	1.61	894	3.78	381	
Total	35,897	13.78		30.05		0
Average	2,991	1.15	2,894	2,50	1,258	0

7.1.2 Comments:

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment
7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):
☐ Aerobic Digestion
☐ Anaerobic Digestion
☐ Biological Phosphorus Removal
☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
☐ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
☐ Effluent Pumping
☐ Fine Bubble Diffusers
☐ Influent Pumping
☐ Mechanical Sludge Processing

☐ Nitrification

☐ SCADA System

☑ UV Disinfection

☐ Variable Speed Drives

Other:

### Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/8/2019 2018 We pump to a recirculating sand filter. 7.2.2 Comments: U.V. disinfection is May-Oct. 7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment 7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? We have up graded U.V. and replaced pumps in 2018/2019. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No o Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ☐ Flared Off ☐ Building Heat ☐ Process Heat ☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other: 9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? No o Yes ☐ Entire facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment: ☐ Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:

Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/8/2019	Reporting For: <b>2018</b>	
Total Points Generated		0	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)		100	
Section Grade		Α	

## **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

5/8/2019

Last Updated: Reporting For:

/2019 **2018** 

## **Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems**

<ol> <li>Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program</li> <li>Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?</li> </ol>
• Yes
O No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
o No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)  ☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
To televise & clean 10% of sewer mains, inspect 25% of s.s.m.holes/year,upgrade or replace 5% of s.s.m.holes/year,replace or rehabilitate 5% of sewer mains,laterals/year,update maps, cont. with GIS program, cont. with a prioritized preventative maintenance schedule with assigned work hours to the colection system issues.
Did you accomplish them?
o Yes
• No
If No, explain:
We didn't replace any sewer main(we plan to do a project in 2019), we only upgraded two M.H.s. no I/I inspections were completed.
□ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)] □ □
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
oxtimes Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☑ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
oxtimes Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
□ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
sewer use ordinance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2004-04-09
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:  ☑ Private property inflow and infiltration
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
☑Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☐ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:

## Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility

	5/8/2019 <b>2</b>	018
<ul> <li>☑ Equipment and replacement part inventories</li> <li>☑ Up-to-date sewer system map</li> <li>☑ A management system (computer database information for O&amp;M activities, investigation</li> <li>☑ A description of routine operation and main</li> <li>☑ Capacity assessment program</li> <li>☑ Basement back assessment and correction</li> <li>☑ Regular O&amp;M training</li> <li>☑ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210</li> <li>☑ What standards and procedures are established the sewer collection system, including building property?</li> <li>☑ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standar</li> <li>☑ Construction, Inspection, and Testing</li> <li>☑ Others:</li> </ul>	e and/or file system) for collection system and rehabilitation of tenance activities (see question 2 below)  23 (4) (e)]  d for the design, construction, and inspection of sewers and interceptor sewers on private	
○ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.  Does your emergency response capability inclu     ○ Responsible personnel communication proc     ○ Response order, timing and clean-up     ○ Public notification protocols     ○ Training     ○ Emergency operation protocols and implem     ○ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program     ○ Special Studies Last Year (check only those the Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis     ○ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)     ○ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment     ○ Lift Station Evaluation Report     ○ Others:	nentation procedures  [NR 210.23 (5)]  hat apply):	0
2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system m maintenance activities? Complete all that apply a Cleaning 1!  Root removal 0  Flow monitoring 0  Smoke testing 0  Sewer line televising 15  Manhole inspections 25  Lift station O&M 2  Manhole rehabilitation 1  Mainline rehabilitation 0	and indicate the amount maintained.	

Last Updated: Reporting For:

**Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility	<b>y</b>		Last Updat 5/8/2019	
Private sewer inspections	0	% of system/year	r	
Private sewer I/I removal	0	% of private serv	ices	
River or water crossings	0	% of pipe crossin	gs evaluated or mai	intained
Please include additional comments about	ut you			
<ul><li>3. Performance Indicators</li><li>3.1 Provide the following collection system</li><li>51.00 Total actual amount</li></ul>	n and t of pro	flow information fo ecipitation last year	r the past year. in inches	
38.08 Annual average pre	cipitat	tion (for your location	on)	
4.2 Miles of sanitary se	wer			
2 Number of lift station	ons			
0 Number of lift station	on failu	ures		
0 Number of sewer pi	ipe fail	lures		
0 Number of basemer	nt back	kup occurrences		
0 Number of complain	nts			
Average daily flow i	in MGD	O (if available)		
Peak monthly flow i	in MGD	O (if available)		
Peak hourly flow in	MGD (	(if available)		***************************************
3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:  0.00 Lift station failures	(failure	es/year)		
0.00 Sewer pipe failures	(pipe 1	failures/sewer mile,	/yr)	
0.00 Sanitary sewer over	rflows	(number/sewer mil	e/yr)	
0.00 Basement backups	(numb	per/sewer mile)		
0.00 Complaints (numbe	:r/sewe	er mile)		
Peaking factor ratio	(Peak	Monthly:Annual Da	aily Avg)	
Peaking factor ratio	(Peak	( Hourly:Annual Dai	ly Avg)	
4. Overflows				
LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND	TREAT	MENT FACILITY (TF	O) OFERFLOWS RE	PORTED **
Date	Locatio	on	Cause	Estimated Volume (MG)
	None	e reported		
** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that ar on this section until corrected.	e not l	listed above, please	contact the DNR a	nd stop work
<ul> <li>5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)</li> <li>5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant</li> <li>O Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If Yes, please describe:</li> </ul>	: in you	ur community last y	⁄ear?	

## **Montfort Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/8/2019 2018

	3/0/2019	2018
5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the p o Yes	r created problem ast year?	ns in
• No		
If Yes, please describe:		
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous yea	rs:	
no issue		
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection syste	em?	
no issue		

Total Points Generated		
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100	
Section Grade	Α	

Montfort Wastewater Treatment FacilityLast Updated: Reporting For:5/8/20192018

### **Grading Summary**

WPDES No: 0024821

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	Α	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	Α	4	10	40
TSS	Α	4	5	20
Phosphorus	D	1	3	3
Biosolids	Α	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	Α	4	1	4
OpCert	Α	4	1	4
Financial	Α	4	1	4
Collection	Α	4	3	12
TOTALS			32	119
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.72				

#### Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)