



City of Weed

Addendum 4

**RFP – Demolition, Asbestos Abatement, and Environmental Remediation
Services**

**Main Street Properties (247/251, 259 Main Street)
and Additional Safety Mitigation at 269 Main Street**

Original RFP Issued: January 7, 2026

Addendum No. 4 Issued: February 12, 2026

1. PURPOSE

This Addendum No. 4 is issued to respond to additional questions received from prospective proposers and to clarify the project site addresses included in the scope of work.

This Addendum modifies the Request for Proposals (RFP) dated January 7, 2026, and all previously issued addenda. All prospective proposers shall acknowledge receipt of Addendum No. 4 in their proposal submission. Failure to do so may render a proposal non-responsive.

Except as expressly modified by this Addendum, all other terms and conditions of the RFP, Addendum No. 1, Addendum No. 2, and Addendum No. 3 remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

2. PROJECT SITE CLARIFICATION (IMPORTANT)

Clarification of Included Addresses

The City hereby clarifies that 219 Main Street is not an address included in the scope of this project.

The project sites subject to demolition, asbestos abatement, and environmental remediation under this RFP are limited to:

- 247/251 Main Street
- 259 Main Street

In addition, limited safety-related mitigation work applies to 269 Main Street, as described in Addendum No. 2.

Any prior references to 219 Main Street in the RFP or subsequent addenda were inadvertent and do not establish scope, pricing, access, or work requirements for that address.

Proposers shall not include any pricing, assumptions, or scope of work associated with 219 Main Street in their proposals.

3. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following questions have been received from prospective proposers. Questions are restated verbatim or substantively as submitted. Responses are provided for clarification only unless expressly stated otherwise.

Was the structure at 219 Main Street shown during the mandatory job site walk, and is it intended to be demolished as part of this project?

Response:

No. 219 Main Street is not included in the project scope and is not subject to demolition or remediation under this RFP.

Proposers shall base their proposals solely on the project sites identified in Section 2 of this Addendum.

Does the City own 219 Main Street or have legal authority to enter the property for demolition and remediation purposes?

Response:

Because 219 Main Street is not part of the project, the City does not require ownership or access rights to that property for purposes of this RFP. No work at that address is contemplated.

Did GuziWest obtain photographs when completing the Hazardous Materials Survey Report, and can additional pictures be provided?

Response:

To the best of the City's knowledge, all information and documentation obtained by GuziWest has been incorporated into the Hazardous Materials Survey Report included with the RFP. No additional photographs are available beyond those reflected in the report.

Can the City further define what is meant by "stabilization, securing, and mitigation of the existing basement area at 269 Main Street"?

Response:

The scope of work at 269 Main Street includes removing basement materials and backfilling the basement area with suitable base material to grade level to eliminate hazardous conditions and protect public safety.

This work is limited to safety-related mitigation as described in Addendum No. 2 and does not expand the overall project scope.

What are the dimensions of the basements at the project sites?

Response:

The City does not have verified dimensions or as-built drawings for the basements. These structures were constructed before the City's incorporation, and no building plans are available. Proposers shall account for unknown conditions consistent with the RFP and applicable law.

Has debris within the basement areas been tested for hazardous or contaminated materials?

Response:

No testing was conducted within the basement areas due to access limitations and safety hazards.

All testing reflected in the Hazardous Materials Survey Report was completed following the fire event. Proposers shall assume regulated materials may be encountered and manage them in accordance with applicable regulations.

Is there standing water in the basement areas from fire suppression activities, and if so, is it considered hazardous?

Response:

There is no standing water in the basements at 247 Main Street or 269 Main Street. The basement at 259 Main Street is not visible due to debris conditions.

If standing water is encountered during demolition activities, its classification as hazardous shall depend on the presence and type of regulated materials. It shall be managed in accordance with applicable law.

There appear to be shared walls or structural interfaces between project sites and adjacent properties. How should these conditions be addressed?

Response:

Proposers are responsible for evaluating site conditions and proposing appropriate demolition and remediation methods.

All work affecting shared structural elements shall be performed in compliance with all applicable local, state, and federal laws and regulations, and in a manner that protects adjacent properties. The City does not prescribe specific demolition methods.

4. ALL OTHER TERMS UNCHANGED

Except as expressly modified by Addendum No. 4, all other terms, conditions, requirements, and deadlines of the RFP and previously issued addenda remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

Issued by:

Dr. Dustin Stambaugh
City Manager