

# Wastewater **Treatment Plant Facility Plan**

Mondovi, WI

October 22nd, 2019

MONDOVI, WI **Buffalo County** 

Prepared by: CBS Squared, Inc. 770 Technology Way Chippewa Falls, WI 54729 715.861.5228



### **Executive Summary**

The City of Mondovi operates a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) with a capacity of 385,000 gallons per day and current flows average about 209,000 gallons per day (54% of design capacity). The plant serves mostly domestic wastewater with some commercial and light industrial wastewater customers. The City's only significant industrial input was SPF North America, LLC which produces dog and cat food products; however, after implementation of the City's industrial permitting and sewer surcharge system in 2006, SPF stopped discharging wastewater to the City. A second industry, Organic Choice LLC, ceased operations in Mondovi in 2013. The plant also provides treatment to holding tank wastes received from unsewered areas outside the City limits. The plant is not in ideal condition; however, does currently meet all Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) effluent limits. Even though current effluent limits are being met, there is now a new phosphorus effluent limit that will be phased in over the next several years. The current treatment capabilities at the WWTP will not be able to meet the phosphorus limit without additional tertiary treatment or by utilizing another acceptable alternative to meet the phosphorus requirement.

The collection system has excessive infiltration and borderline excessive inflow that should be addressed by the City. Inflow and infiltration is rainwater or groundwater that enters the collection system through cracked pipes or illicit cross connections. The higher cost of treating this extra water is absorbed by all the rate payers. The collection system should continue to be videotaped by doing a sub-section of piping every year. Inflow from basement drains and sump pumps needs to be addressed and enforced by the City.

#### **Alternatives:**

The facility plan uses a 20-year design period for alternatives that were examined for the WWTP.

One alternative would be to upgrade the existing plant to meet future loadings; however, this scenario is not feasible due to the location of the plant, existing footprint, and the poor condition of existing facilities. A second alternative calls for the construction of an oxidization ditch process WWTP with reactive sand filtration (RSF) tertiary treatment at a new location. The third alternative is to utilize a new activated sludge sequencing batch reactor (SBR) process WWTP with an advanced biological nutrient recovery (ABNR) tertiary treatment at a new location. The fourth and final alternative utilizes a modified oxidization ditch process known as a Bio-Loop with ABNR tertiary treatment. Alternatives two, three and four would include fine screen and grit removal, controls linked to SCADA, energy savings initiatives, UV disinfection, septage and RV waste receiving and monitoring, a new bio-solids handling process, and a new outfall location. The other alternative considered is to do nothing; however, this is not pursued because of the condition of existing facilities at the WWTP and the location. Also, projected future loadings could not be treated with the existing state of the plant and phosphorus reduction would not meet the future WDNR limit.

The capital costs were calculated for the alternatives and a present worth analysis along with a decision matrix method was used to evaluate the alternatives.

#### **Recommended Alternative:**

The City of Mondovi has maintained their existing WWTP extremely well over the last 50+ years. This time frame is longer than the service life of some WWTPs; however, the continuation of operation at the existing location with the upgrades of existing equipment would not be feasible in order to reliably treat wastewater to acceptable standards and without avoiding social and environmental impacts. The recommended alternative is for the City to construct a new WWTP at a new location. The new WWTP would utilize a SBR activated sludge technology with fine screen and grit removal, controls linked to SCADA, energy savings initiatives, UV disinfection, septage receiving and monitoring, a new bio-solids handling process, ABNR tertiary treatment for phosphorus removal and a new outfall location. Upgrades would also be included in the overall project scope which consist of slip-lining of sewer segments and utility improvement projects along with the construction of a new lift station and forcemain to convey wastewater to the new WWTP location.

#### Funding:

Potential funding options for the long-term improvements include working with Rural Development (RD), Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), WDNR Clean Water Fund (CWF) or a combination of agencies. RD has grant and loan funding where the loan funding is paid back over a 40-year period. CDBG provides grant money and will match \$2 dollars to very \$1 dollar of community match. Mondovi is eligible for CDBG's public facilities program. WDNR CWF provides some grant, but mostly loan money over a 20-year period. All programs have advantages and disadvantages. Applications for funding with USDA RD and CDBG have begun. The City has already been awarded a \$1,000,000 grant from CDBG with an \$500,000 applicant match.

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	Introduction	.1
1.1	Planning Objectives	.1
1.2	Planning Background	.1
2.0	Project Planning Area	.3
2.1	Location and Map	.3
3.0	Background Information and Existing Conditions	.4
3.1	Project History	.4
3.2	Existing Facilities	.5
3.	.2.1 WWTP Design	. 5
3.	.2.2 Projected	.6
3.	.2.3 Effluent Limits	. 8
3.	.2.4 Summary of Sanitary Sewer Collection System and I/I	11
3.	.2.5 Conditions of Existing Facilities	12
4.0	Need for Project	27
4.1	Effluent Limits	27
4.2	Health, Sanitation, & Safety	27
4.3	System O & M	28
4.4	Growth	28
5.0	Alternatives Identification	29
5.1	Alternative 1 – Existing WWTP Upgrades	30
5.2	Alternative 2 - New Oxidization Ditch WWTP with RSF Tertiary Treatment at New Location	31
5.3	Alternative 3 - New SBR WWTP with ABNR Tertiary Treatment at New Location	31
5.4	Alternative 4 – New Bio-loop WWTP with ABNR Tertiary Treatment at New Location	31
6.0	Alternative Analysis	32
6.1	Phasing of Improvements	32
6.2	Energy Reduction	32
6.3	Economic Analysis	33
6.4	Nonmonetary Analysis	33
6.5	Environmental Impact	33
7.0	Alternatives Selection	33
8.0	Proposed Project (Recommended Alternative)	34
9.0	Public Hearing	35
10.0	Conclusion	35

## **Table of Appendices**

- Appendix A WPDES Permit
- Appendix B 2018 CMAR
- Appendix C Final Phosphorus Compliance Alternatives Plan
- Appendix D Mondovi Wastewater Collection System Map
- Appendix E Mondovi Future Flows and Loadings Spreadsheet
- Appendix F Effluent Limits Request
- Appendix G Inflow & Infiltration Assessment
- Appendix H Sanitary Line Televising Report
- Appendix I Oxidization Ditch & RSF Design Summary
- Appendix J SBR & ABNR Design Summary
- Appendix K Bio-loop & ABNR Design Summary
- Appendix L Environmental Review
- Appendix M Alternative Capital Cost Estimate
- Appendix N Alternative Present Worth Analysis
- Appendix O Proposed Project Site Map
- Appendix P Public Hearing Summary, Sign In Sheet and Public Notice

#### **1.0 Introduction**

#### **1.1 Planning Objectives**

The purpose of this Facility Plan is to provide a long-range planning document for the City of Mondovi that will guide the City through potential changes to the Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) over the next 20 years, based on the design year 2039. The intent is to develop and evaluate viable alternatives for the upgrade of the existing WWTP or the potential construction of a new WWTP for the City of Mondovi.

This Facility Plan includes an evaluation of the existing facilities both in terms of pollutant loadings and a detailed accounting of equipment and building conditions. Using historical data and demographic projections, future design parameters are established upon which the alternative design concepts are based. A comparison of the alternatives is made to arrive at a cost-effective option that will meet the community's needs for the next 20 years. This Facility Plan take into account the following items: total resources; cost, including monetary costs; environmental and social considerations; and other non-monetary factors.

#### **1.2 Planning Background**

The City of Mondovi operates a WWTP with a capacity of 385,000 gallons per day and current flows average about 214,000 gallons per day (56% of design capacity). The plant serves mostly domestic wastewater with some commercial and light industrial wastewater customers. The City's only significant industrial input was SPF North America, LLC which produces dog and cat food products; however, after implementation of the City's industrial permitting and sewer surcharge system in 2006, SPF stopped discharging wastewater to the City. A second industry, Organic Choice LLC, ceased operations in Mondovi in 2013. The plant also provides treatment to holding tank wastes received from unsewered areas outside the City limits. The plant is not in ideal condition; however, does currently meet all Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) effluent limits. Even though current effluent limits are being met, there is now a new phosphorus effluent limit that will be phased in over the next several years. The current treatment capabilities at the WWTP will not be able to meet the phosphorus limit without additional tertiary treatment or by utilizing another acceptable alternative to meet the phosphorus requirement.

The City of Mondovi's Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (WPDES) permit was reissued on September 1st, 2018. The current permit expires on June 30, 2023. The WWTP has met the limits in the WPDES permit the majority of the time over the past several years; however, at times the facility has not been able to comply with permit limits. Flows and loadings are covered in Section 3. A copy of the WPDES Permit is included in **Appendix A**.

A 2018 Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR) was completed by the City to assess the performance of the WWTP, determine any collection or treatment needs and measure the level of compliance with WPDES permit requirements over a calendar year. The CMAR was a useful tool to improve operation and maintenance of the plant. The CMAR also assisted in determining key components that needed to be addressed as far as plant operation, capacity, and treatment issues which will be discussed further in this report. A copy of the 2018 CMAR is included in **Appendix B**.

A 2017 Final Phosphorus Compliance Alternatives Evaluation was completed by the City to investigate alternatives that would allow the City to meet the future phosphorus limit proposed by WDNR. Based on the evaluation, it was concluded that the City apply for a Statewide Multi-Discharger Economic Variance (MDV) as a short-term compliance alternative. The evaluation also stated that growth cannot occur within the City without a new WWTP at a new location due to the WWTP's age, condition, and location. All of these aspects will be described further in this report. A copy of the 2017 Final Phosphorus Compliance Alternatives Evaluation is included in **Appendix C**.

#### 2.0 Project Planning Area

#### 2.1 Location and Map

The City of Mondovi is located in the northeast corner of Buffalo County just south of Eau Claire County, at the intersection of US Highway 10 and State Highway 37. The existing WWTP is located in the southwest corner of the City limits and on a site owned by the City where it discharges to the Buffalo River. In Figure 1 below, a map displaying the WWTP location within the City limits is displayed. The current sanitary sewer service area is within the current municipal boundary. A complete map of the Mondovi wastewater collection system is included in **Appendix D**. The collection system map also displays the proposed upgraded areas and extensions that will be described further in this report.



Figure 1 – City of Mondovi Limits with WWTP Location

The existing WWTP is located at 649 West Riverside Drive on an approximate 1.6 acre parcel. The WWTP site is adjacent to several residential buildings. Structures within a 500 foot radius setback distance include four residential homes. Figure 2 displays a 500 foot setback distance from the edge of the facility displayed in blue. The figure shows that the facility is not adequately separated from residential units if an expansion were to occur in the future. Besides being in close proximity to homes, the WWTP is also located in the 100 year floodplain and surrounded by wetlands. The parcel is encircled by a dike to minimize flooding threats; however, this aspect and the surrounding wetlands prohibit the possible expansion.



Figure 2 - WWTP location with 500-ft setback displayed in blue from the edge of the WWTP in orange

#### **3.0 Background Information and Existing Conditions**

#### 3.1 Project History

A summary of key events related to the WWTP is provided below:

- 1970/1980's WWTP placed into operation and modified
- 2006 Chemical addition for phosphorus removal was added
- 2017 Final Compliance Alternatives Plan
- 2018 Compliance Maintenance Annual Report
- 2018/2019 Facility Plan

#### **3.2 Existing Facilities**

#### 3.2.1 WWTP Design

Mondovi's WWTP includes systems of varying ages, with certain components believed to be from the 1960's. The existing wastewater treatment process utilizes a Rotating Biological Contactor (RBC) process that includes chemical phosphorus removal. Influent flow first goes through a parshall flume for flow measurement and then to a screening and comminution process. A main lift station pumps the influent into a primary clarifier for the primary settling process and primary sludge is removed. The wastewater then flows through the RBC's where Ferric Chloride is added at the RBC effluent. Two clarifiers treat the process flow after chemical addition and chemical sludge is removed. The clarifiers allow solids to settle while the clear effluent is discharged to a chlorine contact tank which then discharges to the Buffalo River. The chlorination and dechlorination of the treated effluent is provided seasonally for disinfection. The primary and secondary sludge is pumped to the aerobic digester where the sludge is stored and periodically transported to the West Central Wisconsin Biosolids Facility (WCWBF). In Figure 3 below, a diagram detailing the processes currently in place is displayed.



Figure 3 – City of Mondovi WWTP schematic

Page 5

The design capacity of the existing plant is shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1 - Des	ign Capacity o	of Existing Plant
	Bil Capacity (	or Existing Flame

Parameter	Design Capacity
Design Flow Capacity	385,000 Gallons Per Day (0.385 MGD)
Average BOD Loading	865 lbs/day

The City of Mondovi has a stable but slightly growing population and there are several commercial and light industrial wastewater producers in the City. The plant also reported that septage was received at the facility, but no affect was noticed on the plant performance. The current WWTP flows and loadings are detailed in **Table 2**:

Parameter	Existing Flows and			
	Loadings			
Annual Average Flow	0.214 mgd			
Peak Week Flow	0.469 mgd			
Peak Day Flow	0.6 mgd			
Peak Hourly Flow	0.8 mgd (417 gpm)			
Average BOD₅	486 lbs/day			
Peak Month BOD₅	637 lbs/day			
Peak Day BOD₅	651 lbs/day			
Average TSS	400 lbs/day			
Peak Month TSS	624 lbs/day			
Peak Day TSS	1040 lbs/day			
Average Total Phosphorus	12.3 lbs/day			
Average TKN	105.5 lbs/day			
Average Ammonia 69.5 lbs/day				
*Based on testing from 2017 t	o 2019. TP, Ammonia,			
and TKN based on December 2018 sampling.				

Table 2 - Existing Flows & Loadings

#### 3.2.2 Projected

The facility plan design year is 2039 based on a planning period of 20 years. The population projection which is covered in more detail in a later section calls for only minor fluctuations in the community's population over the next 20 years with a net gain of 33 population growth by the year 2040. Domestic flow and loading projections were determined by per capita flow amounts developed from existing flow conditions and typical per capita loading numbers. Commercial and institutional flows and loadings were developed from accepted design numbers based on the number of employees, hospital beds, students, etc. Another key aspect in the flow and loading projections was

the City's request for a septage receiving station at the WWTP. With limited amounts of land for septage haulers to spread wastes and because most WWTP around the Eau Claire and Buffalo County area cannot accept the high strength waste, there is potential for the City to use this as a revenue stream. Also, the City has stated they would like to make this receiving station accessible to RV's and camper trailers to provide outdoor recreation near the City. Therefore, septage waste was accounted for in the flow and loading calculations. The flow and loading design spreadsheet developed for the Mondovi WWTP future flow conditions is included in **Appendix E**.

The 2039 projected flows and loadings are summarized in Table 3:

Parameter	Projected Flows and			
	Loadings for			
	Year 2039			
Annual Average Design Flow	0.300 mgd			
Peak Week Flow	0.438 mgd			
Peak Day Flow	0.584 mgd			
Peak Hourly Flow	0.7 mgd (584 gpm)			
Average BOD₅	1539 lbs/day			
Peak Month BOD₅	2071 lbs/day			
Peak Day BOD₅	2708 lbs/day			
Average TSS	2091 lbs/day			
Peak Month TSS	4030 lbs/day			
Peak Day TSS	4685 lbs/day			
Average Total-P	62.3 lbs/day			
Average TKN	211 lbs/day			
Average NH3	133 lbs/day			
* Peak values were generated	from multiplying the			
estimated future average values by the peaking factors				
for the existing flows and loadings				

**Table 3 - Projected Flows & Loadings** 

Industrial Projections:

Mondovi's industrial park is located in the north east portion of the City. Currently, the WWTP only receives light industrial wastes. One of the larger industries that was accounted for was Marten Transport as this is listed as Mondovi's largest employer with 1000+ people. This industry does not necessarily use significant amounts of water for production so mostly domestic waste generated from employees and truck washing was calculated. The City has been coordinating with an industry that is planning to build a new plant in the community that would consist of pet food manufacturing. The new industry would not generate a significant amount of flow, but the wastewater strength of the new industrial user would significantly affect the waste stream being sent to the WWTP. On top of the two industries mentioned above, another 20,000 GPD and medium strength wastewater was accounted for to allow future commercial and

industrial expansion that could someday benefit the City. The projected flows and loadings are summarized in **Table 4**:

Industry	Flow	BOD Loading	TSS Loading	Phosphorus		
	(GPD)	(lbs/day)	(lbs/day)	(lbs/day)		
Industry 1	6,186	120	329	19		
Marten Transport	6500	55	65	3		
Future Comm./Industry	20,000	22	26	1.2		
* Industry 1 loadings are based on 2003 data from Industry 1's wastewater testing. Flow is						
based on 2017 numbers with a 10% increase.						

#### **Table 4 – Projected Industrial Flows and Loadings**

#### 3.2.3 Effluent Limits

The City's current WPDES permit WI-0020591-10-0 was issued on September 1, 2018. A summary of the WPDES effluent limits are summarized in **Table 5** below. The current permit expires on June 30, 2023. The new permit includes Mondovi's Multi-Discharger Variance (MDV) requirements. A copy of the WPDES Permit is included in **Appendix A**.

Monitoring Requirements and Effluent Limitations							
Parameter	Limit Type	Limits and Sample		Sample	Notes		
		Units	Frequency	Туре			
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	Monthly Avg	25 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow			
				Prop Comp			
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	Weekly Avg	40 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow			
				Prop Comp			
Suspended Solids,	Monthly Avg	30 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow			
Total				Prop Comp			
Suspended Solids,	Weekly Avg	45 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow			
Total				Prop Comp			
pH Field	Daily Max	9.0 su	Daily	Grab			
pH Field	Daily Min	6.0 su	Daily	Grab			
Phosphorus, Total	Monthly Avg	1.0 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow	This is an interim limit		
				Prop Comp	effective through		
					9/30/2020. See the		
					MDV/Phosphorus		
					subsections and phosphorus		
					schedules.		
Phosphorus, Total	Monthly Avg	0.8 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow	This is an interim MDV		
				Prop Comp	effective through		
					10/01/2020. See the		
					MDV/Phosphorus		

#### Table 5 - Sampling Point (Outfall) 001 – EFFLUENT TO BUFFALO RIVER

					subsections and phosphorus schedules.
Phosphorus, Total		lbs/month	Monthly	Calculated	Report the total monthly phosphorus discharged in lbs/month on the last day of the month on the DMR. See Standard Requirements for 'Appropriate Formulas' to calculate the Total Monthly Discharge in lbs/month.
Phosphorus, Total		lbs/yr	Annual	Calculated	Report the sum of the total monthly discharges for the calendar year on the Annual report form.
Chlorine, Total Residual	Daily Max	38 μg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept annually.
Chlorine, Total Residual	Monthly Avg	38 μg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept annually.
Chlorine, Total Residual	Weekly Avg	38 μg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept annually.
Fecal Coliform	Geometric Mean - Monthly	400 #/100mL	Weekly	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept.
Fecal Coliform	Geometric Mean - Wkly	656 #/100mL	Weekly	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept.
Copper, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	36 μg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	0.17 lbs/day	Monthly	Calculated	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Monthly Avg	36 μg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Weekly Avg	36 μg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Acute WET		TUa	See Listed Qtr(s)	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	See WET subsection below.
Chronic WET		TUc	See Listed Qtr(s)	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	See WET subsection below.

A request for new effluent limits was submitted to the WDNR. The effluent limit request is included in **Appendix F**. WDNR responded to the effluent limits request with the proposed future limits seen in **Table 6** below. The limits were made on a chemical-specific basis for a new discharge location into Harvey Creek with an annual average design flow of 0.300 MGD. The correspondence with WDNR can also be found in **Appendix F** with the effluent limits request.

	Daily	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Six-Month	Footnotes
Parameter	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Average	Average	
BOD₅						
May – October			22 mg/L 55.7 lbs/day	22 mg/L		
November – April			33 mg/L 82.3 lbs/day	30 mg/L		
TSS						
May – October			22 mg/L 55.7 lbs/day	22 mg/L		
November – April			33 mg/L 82.3 lbs/day	30 mg/L		
рН	9.0 s.u.	6.0 s.u.				
Ammonia Nitrogen April – May June – September October – March	Variable		16 mg/L 18 mg/L 12 mg/L	7.3 mg/L 8.5 mg/L 5.7 mg/L		1
Fecal Coliform May – September			656#/100 mL geometric mean	400#/100 mL geometric mean		2
Residual Chlorine						
May – September	38 μg/L		38 μg/L	38 μg/L		2
Phosphorus				0.171 mg/L	0.057 mg/L 0.14 lbs/day	

Footnotes:

1. The variable daily maximum ammonia nitrogen limit table corresponding to various effluent pH values may be included in the permit in place of the single limit. These limits apply year-round.

Effluent pH	Limit	Effluent pH	Limit	Effluent pH	Limit
s.u.	mg/L	s.u.	mg/L	s.u.	mg/L
6.0 < pH ≤ 6.1	108	7.0 < pH ≤ 7.1	66	8.0 < pH ≤ 8.1	14
6.1 < pH ≤ 6.2	106	7.1 < pH ≤ 7.2	59	8.1 < pH ≤ 8.2	11
6.2 < pH ≤ 6.3	104	7.2 < pH ≤ 7.3	52	8.2 < pH ≤ 8.3	9.4
6.3 < pH ≤ 6.4	101	7.3 < pH ≤ 7.4	46	8.3 < pH ≤ 8.4	7.8
6.4 < pH ≤ 6.5	98	7.4 < pH ≤ 7.5	40	8.4 < pH ≤ 8.5	6.4
6.5 < pH ≤ 6.6	94	7.5 < pH ≤ 7.6	34	8.5 < pH ≤ 8.6	5.3
6.6 < pH ≤ 6.7	89	7.6 < pH ≤ 7.7	29	8.6 < pH ≤ 8.7	4.4
6.7 < pH ≤ 6.8	84	7.7 < pH ≤ 7.8	24	8.7 < pH ≤ 8.8	3.7

Effluent pH s.u.	Limit mg/L	Effluent pH s.u.	Limit mg/L	Effluent pH s.u.	Limit mg/L
6.8 < pH ≤ 6.9	78	7.8 < pH ≤ 7.9	20	8.8 < pH ≤ 8.9	3.1
6.9 < pH ≤ 7.0	72	7.9 < pH ≤ 8.0	17	8.9 < pH ≤ 9.0	2.6

- 2. Additional limits to comply with the expression of limits requirements in ss. NR 106.07 and NR 205.065(7) are included in bold.
- 3. Monitoring in the fourth year of the permit term

#### 3.2.4 Summary of Sanitary Sewer Collection System and I/I

Inflow and infiltration (I/I) is an important issue for wastewater systems. The collection of additional groundwater through direct connections (inflow) or cracks and leaks (infiltration) in piping/manholes can be a significant cost to the utility. If the utility is processing 20-50% more wastewater than what is billed to the customers, important funds are being spent on treating rainwater/groundwater(clearwater). Likewise, for new improvements, the amount of capital funds used for larger facilities to treat higher peak flows is often spent on infrastructure that is not utilized for large amounts of time.

The Mondovi wastewater collection system consists of 19.4-miles of sanitary sewers ranging between 6 to 18-inches. There are 3 lift stations and one WWTP in the utility system. Overall, the collection system meets the needs of the City; however, issues have come about from either old components, insufficient slopes of gravity mains, obstructions or the intrusion of roots. The collection system is not interconnected with any other system.

An I/I assessment was conducted for the City of Mondovi to determine the current clearwater loading to the WWTP. The first analysis conducted involved comparing precipitation data for Mondovi with the influent flowrates at the WWTP. In Figure 4, the relationship between high influent flows and large precipitation events can easily be distinguished. From the graph below, it can also be noted that there is also a significant amount of infiltration because of the downward trend of the influent flow in the low ground water months. The second analysis that was conducted involved verifying WDNR general indicator criteria for non-excessive I/I. The assessment concluded that the collection system does in fact have excessive infiltration and borderline excessive inflow that should be addressed by the City. The assessment document can be found in **Appendix G**.



Figure 4 – Influent Flow at the Mondovi WWTP Compared to Precipitation Data for 2017

The higher cost of treating the extra water caused by I/I is absorbed by all the rate payers. The City does clean one quarter of the sanitary lines in the system every year; however, it is recommended that the City videotape a significant portion of the collection system each year to identify sources of I/I and prioritize pipe segments for replacement. New inflow sources are prohibited by ordinance and it is also recommended that current inflow from basement drains and sump pumps needs to be addressed and enforced by the City. At the beginning of the Facility Planning process the City did video tape portions of sanitary lines that were causing issues for the collection system. The City asked that these sanitary sewer lines be added to the Facility Plan scope because of the potential impact the I/I has on the WWTP. The documents from the sanitary line televising are included in **Appendix H**.

#### 3.2.5 Conditions of Existing Facilities

Most of the existing facilities at the City of Mondovi's WWTP have either reached the end of their service life or are no longer seen as practical treatment techniques. In the following sections, figures displaying some of the existing facilities can be seen along with a description of existing facilities and ways in which certain components could be upgraded in the future when designing a new WWTP.

#### 3.2.5.1 Headworks

In Figures 5 and 6 below components of the headworks can be seen. In Figure 5, the area for septage receiving is displayed. This is a component that should be upgraded to allow not only worker safety but also better flow and loading control so that septage haulers can be held accountable for the wastes discharged. Figure 6 displays the condition of the stairs leading to the wet well of the main lift station. The condition is extremely dangerous to worker safety.



Figure 5 - Headworks at WWTP



Figure 6 - Access to Wet well at the WWTP Main Lift Station

#### 3.2.5.2 Control Building/Pumping Building

The components of the control building show significant signs of age. In Figures 8 and 9, the pumps located in the basement of the control building are displayed. Due to the age of the pumps, it is difficult for the operator to find some parts that are no longer being manufactured. The stairs to the basement displayed in Figure 10 are also a concern for worker safety and the laboratory displayed in Figure 11 is congested and out of date.



Figure 7 - WWTP Control Building



Figure 8 - WWTP Main Lift Station Pumps

Page 14



Figure 9 - WWTP Sludge Pumps



Figure 10 - Main Access to Control Building and Stairs to the Pump Room Below Building



Figure 11 - Control Building Lab

#### 3.2.5.3 Primary Clarifier

There are no major issues with the existing primary clarifier displayed in Figures 12, 13, and 14; however, it was noted that this structure seems to be towards the end of it's service life. A note for future design would be to place a dome over a new clarifier to help avoid issues with winter temperatures and avoid excessive rainfall entering the tank. One positive of the existing clarifier is it's hydraulic grade line elevation. After the main lift station pumps influent to the primary clarifier, the wastewater can gravity flow through the rest of the treatment process avoiding the need for more pumps.



Figure 12 - Primary Clarifier at WWTP



Figure 13 - East Side of Clarifier



Figure 14 - West Side of Clarifier

#### 3.2.5.4 Rotating Biological Contactors

Some of the RBC units are currently functioning properly to meet the effluent limits; however, the RBC process would not necessarily handle large slug loads that may arise from future industrial expansion. Currently, a bearing is out on one of the trains and the operators are having a difficult time with the maintenance.



Figure 15 - RBC Units at the WWTP



Figure 16 - Effluent from North RBC Units

#### 3.2.5.5 Secondary Clarifier

Much like the primary clarifier, the secondary clarifiers structures are at the end of their service life and one of the secondary clarifiers is out of service.



Figure 17 - Secondary Clarifiers at WWTP



Figure 18 - Secondary Clarifier Tank Not in Operation

#### 3.2.5.6 Chemical Building (Ferric Chloride)

The chemical building is one of the newer components of the existing WWTP and is in relatively good condition. The chemical building was placed into operation in order to add ferric chloride prior to the secondary clarifiers in order to chemically remove phosphorus. The removal will not meet future phosphorus effluent limits; however, the chemical building layout at the existing building is a concept that could be used in a new WWTP prior to tertiary treatment for phosphorus removal.



Figure 19 - Ferric Chloride Day Tank and Pumps



Figure 20 - Ferric Chloride Bulk Tank

#### 3.2.5.7 Chlorine Contact Tank

Chlorine is added for disinfection of the effluent wastewater during certain months of the year when there is a chance for recreation users of the Buffalo River to come into contact with the effluent. The structure itself is not failing and the concept does a good job of disinfection; however, for the future WWTP it is recommended that UV disinfection be used to replace the chlorine disinfection process. Not only is this a much more efficient process for disinfection, but it also prevents workers from being exposed to dangerous chemicals.



Figure 21 - Chlorine Contact Tank at WWTP

#### 3.2.5.8 Aerobic Digester & Centrate Tank

Of all the structures at the existing WWTP, the aerobic digesters and centrate tank seem to be in the worst condition. For a new WWTP design, a much different bio-solids handling process will be analyzed to avoid large structures seen in the Figures below and to avoid having to truck bio-solids to WCWBF in order to reduce annual operating costs.



Figure 22 - Centrate Tank at the WWTP



Figure 23 - Aerobic Digester at the WWTP



Figure 24 - Door of the Aerobic Digester



Figure 25 - Blowers for the Aerobic Digester

#### 3.2.5.9 Facility Infrastructure (Piping, Electrical, Concrete, Controls)

Due to the age of the WWTP, it is no surprise that a significant amount of the infrastructure is starting to fail. Crumbling concrete, aged pumping and blower equipment, rusting and leaking of process piping, deteriorating electrical equipment, and an obsolete controls system are the major issues with the infrastructure at the existing WWTP. The Figures below display images of the issues described.



Figure 26 – Leaking Yard Hydrant Near the Aerobic Digester



Figure 27 - Electrical Box Located Inside Aerobic Digester



Figure 28 - Piping and Concrete on the Roof of the Aerobic Digester



Figure 29 - Remote Monitoring System in Control Billing



Figure 30 - Control Panel at the WWTP

#### 4.0 Need for Project

#### 4.1 Effluent Limits

The current WWTP meets all effluent limits monitored by WDNR according to the 2017-2019 data analyzed; however, with larger projected loadings to accommodate residential and commercial expansion and industrial growth, the existing treatment process would not continue to meet the current effluent limits. Also, the most important driving factor is the future phosphorus limit of 0.057 mg/L that will be imposed by WDNR. With the existing treatment process at the WWTP, there would be no way of meeting this new stringent limit with the current phosphorus removal technique. Additional tertiary treatment would have to be added at either the existing plant or a completely new WWTP. Major upgrades will need to be completed in order for the Mondovi WWTP to meet future WDNR effluent limits.

#### 4.2 Health, Sanitation, & Safety

The new plant design will need to address health and safety issues at the plant. With process design, it will be important to minimize health risk to the plant operators. An upgrade of the fine screen and grit removal process will include a sanitary disposal method that minimizes contact the operators will have with waste product. The upgrade to the biosolids system will include a potential use of sludge treatment with reed beds which would reduce the chemical addition process to minimize operator contact with the chemicals. This new process would also reduce the need to truck the sludge to WCWBF and minimize more human contact with hazardous

waste. The disinfection upgrade will look at possible Ultra-Violet (UV) disinfection to not only minimize operator contact with chemicals but also reduce the residual chlorine being discharged. The upgrade will also include the addition of an ABNR tertiary treatment system for phosphorus removal. This would mean the reduction of chemical usage for phosphorus removal. By reducing the amounts of chemicals stored and used at the facility, worker safety is improved significantly.

#### 4.3 System O & M

Collection system operation and maintenance (O&M) consists of inspection, evaluation, preventative maintenance, and cleaning of sewer mains and laterals, manholes and lift stations to maintain flow and mitigate inflow and infiltration. O&M varies by the equipment type, condition, age and operating history with equipment identified as critical receiving maintenance at greater frequencies. It is recommended that the City update their Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) plan as often as possible. This allows the City to keep track of vital information regarding the collection system, WWTP, equipment inventory and emergency response. By updating the CMOM on a yearly basis, O&M is planned out and documented and also it outlines future sanitary system upgrades.

Video inspection of the system is one of the best tools for assessing maintenance concerns of the collection system infrastructure. As previously stated, it is recommended that the City continue to televise portions of the collection system each year so that certain segments can be prioritized for future utility improvement projects.

#### 4.4 Growth

The 2010 Census population for the City of Mondovi was 2,777 people (U.S. Census 2010). Projections are for the population to remain relatively stable with a slight fluctuation over the years resulting in a population growth of 1.2% by the year 2,040. Per NR 110.09(2)(j)(1), Regional Planning Commission population estimates must be used. Contact was made with the Mississippi River Regional Planning Commission (MRRPC) regarding their population estimate for the City of Mondovi. It was stated by the MRRPC that they utilize projections from the Wisconsin Department of Administration – Demographic Services Center. The projection trend is outlined in **Table 6** below:

2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Census	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection
2,777	2,760	2,785	2,825	2,845	2,860	2,810

#### Table 6 – City of Mondovi Municipal Projections 2010-2040

#### **5.0 Alternatives Identification**

Several alternatives have been considered for addressing the issues found at the WWTP. The assumptions used for developing the alternatives are as follows:

- Each alternative must include phosphorus reduction treatment to obtain the future WDNR limit.
- Each alternative includes a plan to expand loading capacity.
- Each alternative takes into consideration the addition of an industrial user.

One alternative would be to upgrade the existing plant to meet future loadings; however, this scenario is not feasible due to the location of the plant, existing footprint, and the poor condition of existing facilities. A second alternative calls for the construction of a new oxidization ditch activated sludge process WWTP at a new location. The third alternative is to utilize a new sequencing batch reactor (SBR) activated sludge process WWTP at a new location. The fourth alternative involves the construction of a new Bio-loop activated sludge process WWTP at a new location. Both alternative two, three and four would include fine screen and grit removal, controls linked to SCADA, energy savings initiatives, UV disinfection, septage receiving and monitoring, a new bio-solids handling process, tertiary treatment for phosphorus removal and a new outfall location. The other alternative considered is to do nothing; however, this is not pursued because of the condition of existing facilities at the WWTP and the location. Also, projected future loadings could not be treated with the existing state of the plant and phosphorus reduction would not meet the future WDNR limit.

Water Quality Trading (WQT) and the Multi-Discharger Variance (MDV) are two methods to temporarily delay phosphorus treatment. WDNR has made these options available for communities to reduce phosphorus from runoff either within the municipality but more realistically outside of the municipality or to meet phosphorus limits at moderate but increasing strict levels during subsequent permit cycles. WQT has a low initial cost but can have much higher ongoing cost with the subsequent addition of an additional tertiary treatment like that of the filtration or membrane process. The City has already applied for a MDV permit which required the City to increase sewer rates to reach at least one percent of the median household income (MDI). The MDV for phosphorus was an effective tool for Mondovi to temporarily relieve the stringent phosphorus limits that will be put in place. An evaluation of economic criteria will be analyzed further in Section 6 and 7.

As previously stated in the Facility Plan, the existing location of the WWTP is not a feasible location for the upgrade of existing facilities or a new WWTP to be constructed. Therefore, three separate locations were analyzed throughout the City limits to determine a location that would be the best option for a new WWTP. Factors considered included the proximity to residential, commercial, or industrial structures and also how the new WWTP would connect with the existing wastewater collection system. The three alternative locations can be seen in Figure 31. Location 1 would be located just northwest of the existing WWTP. The benefits of this location are the level topography, buffer distance from residential, commercial, and industrial areas and the proximity to the existing WWTP so that the existing collection system could be conveyed to the new WWTP with a short forcemain. The negative of this location is that there is a substantial distance from the existing outfall location. Location 2 would be located just south and across the river from the existing WWTP. The benefits of this location are the isolation because this is where the City landfill is located and the proximity to the original outfall location. The negative is that the majority of all the wastewater from the City would have to be rerouted and sent underneath the Buffalo River. Location 3 would be located on the northern end of the City limits near the industrial park. The benefits of this location are the isolation from residential areas but the proximity to industrial users which would benefit the City in case there was a substantial amount of industrial expansion in the industrial park. The negative of this location is the amount of work and money it would cost for a forcemain that would convey wastewater from the south end of the City to the north and also the piping that would be needed to discharge the effluent wastewater to a body of water. Based on the most practical engineering judgement and also the City's input, it was concluded that Location 1 should be used for the alternative's analysis process.



Figure 31 - Alternative Locations for New Mondovi WWTP

#### 5.1 Alternative 1 – Existing WWTP Upgrades

This alternative was not pursued because of the existing WWTP's location as previously mentioned. The WWTP is surrounded by wetlands and a flood dike so the site has no room for expansion. Also, it has been stated by City officials that flood waters still infiltrate the dikes in high water situations. Due to this reason and also the state of the existing facilities as described in Section 3.2.5, it was concluded that this alternative would not be feasible.
# 5.2 Alternative 2 - New Oxidization Ditch WWTP with RSF Tertiary Treatment at New Location

This alternative includes fine screen and grit removal, controls linked to SCADA, energy savings initiatives, UV disinfection, septage receiving and monitoring, a new bio-solids handling process, reactive sand filtration (RSF) tertiary treatment for phosphorus removal and a new outfall location.

The advantage of the oxidization ditch technology is that it can handle variable flows and loadings along with the ease of operation as compared to other technologies. The fact that an oxidization ditch system can handle variations is a benefit that allows for future expansion and allows the WWTP to handle industrial slug loadings. The disadvantage of the oxidization ditch technology is the larger footprint due to the oxidization ditch basins and the clarifiers. The advantage of the RSF technology is a smaller footprint and ease of operability. The disadvantage of this technology is the increase in sludge production meaning larger solids handling components at the WWTP and the continued use of chemicals for phosphorus removal. The design summary for the proposed oxidization ditch process and RSF tertiary treatment for this alternative can be found in **Appendix I**.

#### 5.3 Alternative 3 - New SBR WWTP with ABNR Tertiary Treatment at New Location

This alternative includes fine screen and grit removal, controls linked to SCADA, energy savings initiatives, UV disinfection, septage receiving and monitoring, a new bio-solids handling process, advanced biological nutrient recovery (ABNR) tertiary treatment for phosphorus removal and a new outfall location.

The advantage of this alternative is that the SBR treatment process could take place in a single/dual reactor tank and clarifiers would not be required. Therefore, the process would have a much smaller footprint than other technologies. The disadvantage of this process is the high operating cost due to more mechanical equipment and the operating complexity of the system. The advantage of the ABNR process is that chemicals would no longer have to be used, there is a potential revenue stream from the produced algae bi-product, and the entire process is extremely sustainable. The disadvantage of the process is the larger footprint, complexity of operability, and a larger initial investment. The design summary for the proposed SBR process and ABNR tertiary treatment for this alternative can be found in **Appendix J**.

#### 5.4 Alternative 4 – New Bio-loop WWTP with ABNR Tertiary Treatment at New Location

This alternative includes fine screen and grit removal, controls linked to SCADA, energy savings initiatives, UV disinfection, septage receiving and monitoring, a new bio-solids handling process, advanced biological nutrient recovery (ABNR) tertiary treatment for phosphorus removal and a new outfall location.

The Bio-Loop process is rather similar to an oxidization ditch type technology. The advantage of the bio-loop technology is that it can handle variable flows and loadings. The fact that a bio-loop system can handle variations is a benefit that allows for future expansion and allows the WWTP

to handle industrial slug loadings. The disadvantage of this process as compared to Alternative 3 is a larger footprint; however, the advantage as compared to Alternative 2 is a smaller ditch footprint to allow for a sorter Hydraulic Residence Time (HRT) that would allow the effluent from secondary treatment to meet the influent feed criteria of the ABNR process. Again, as previously stated, the advantage of the ABNR process is that chemicals would no longer have to be used, there is a potential revenue stream from the produced algae bi-product, and the entire process is extremely sustainable. The disadvantage of the ABNR process is the larger footprint, complexity of operability, and a larger initial investment. The design summary for the proposed Bio-loop process and ABNR tertiary treatment for this alternative can be found in **Appendix K**.

#### 6.0 Alternative Analysis

#### 6.1 Phasing of Improvements

The first step in the WWTP improvement project was for the City of Mondovi to apply for various funding options such as Rural Development (RD) and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) which involved submitting applications along with a Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) and an Environmental Report (ER). The City has already been awarded a \$1,000,000 CDBG grant with \$500,000 applicant match for the utility extension to the new WWTP location. The City is currently finalizing a funding application to USDA RD. The next step will be to develop the plans and specifications for the proposed WWTP utility extension project and WWTP project and then have final plans and specifications to WDNR for the WWTP utility extension project by November of 2019 and final plans and specifications to WDNR for the WWTP location (i.e. access street, watermain extension, forcemain and sanitary) would begin in May of 2020 and construction of the new WWTP to meet water quality based effluent limits will begin in Fall of 2020. Construction of the WWTP upgrades would be complete and the WWTP shall be up in running by Spring of 2022.

During the time period between developing the plans and specifications and the final completion of the project, it is recommended that wastewater collection system upgrades take place. This would involve televising sanitary sewer segments to check for I/I, slip-lining certain sections of sanitary sewer pipe, and also entire utility improvement projects. Therefore, a certain amount of cost was allotted to collection system improvements in the Capital Cost Present Worth Summary seen in Section 7.

#### 6.2 Energy Reduction

Energy reduction is a key component of any WWTP improvement. Motors used in the main process will utilize variable frequency drive (VFD) technology to reduce wasted energy when the motor is not operating a full load. Energy efficient LED lighting will be used in the design of the new plant facilities. The new SCADA control system will utilize process instrumentation to automatically adjust the energy supplied to various processes to match the required energy of each process. Solar energy panels may be utilized where plant area allows a solar array to utilized energy from the sun to supplement the plant's electrical loads. The use of reed beds for

sludge treatment would greatly reduce the energy costs needed for digestion of solid waste at the new WWTP.

#### **6.3 Economic Analysis**

The alternatives were evaluated using cost information prepared for each option. Present worth analysis is used to more accurately compare cost items that have shorter life expectancies and operation and maintenance cost items.

#### 6.4 Nonmonetary Analysis

Each of the alternatives was also evaluated for environmental and social considerations. Since the existing WWTP does not have the appropriate buffer zone to residential property, the social considerations are increased for the update of the existing WWTP alternative. Of the three alternatives being considered, the alternative of updating the existing WWTP has the largest social impact on the community and the three other alternatives involving the construction of a new WWTP at a new location would have the same social impact that would be substantially less.

#### 6.5 Environmental Impact

The existing WWTP is located in a flood plain and surrounded by wetlands; therefore, alternative one would have the largest environmental impact. The proposed new WWTP locations are not in the floodplain or located in wetlands. Also, buffer distances from residential and commercial properties are maintained. During construction, best management practices will be utilized to limit construction sediment runoff.

The SBR WWTP has the largest energy consumption of the three alternatives.

The alternatives include energy reduction components such as Variable Frequency Drives, LED lighting and the potential for solar energy panels. The SBR and modified oxidization ditch WWTP utilize an ABNR process that reduces the need for chemical phosphorus removal, utilizes the suns UV rays in a greenhouse setting to perform the tertiary treatment process, and provides beneficial reuse of the algae bi-product.

The ER that was completed for the funding applications is included in Appendix L.

#### 7.0 Alternatives Selection

The alternatives were evaluated using cost information prepared for each option. The cost estimates are included in **Appendix M**. All cost figures are in 2019 dollars and are based on preliminary flow volumes and loadings. A comparison of capital costs does not adequately compare the alternatives from a financial basis. A more complete cost analysis includes considering operation, maintenance and salvage costs for a life cycle cost analysis to calculate a present worth value. Present worth value is calculated by taking the long-term costs and including an interest adjustment to account for the time value of money. The interest rate of 3.625% that is used is determined by WDNR. A

summary of the capital costs and present worth costs is summarized in **Table 7**. The present worth analysis is included in **Appendix N**.

Alternative	WWTP, Utility Extension & Collection System Improvements Capital Cost	Total Present Worth
1	Not Pursued	Not Pursued
2	\$18,192,000	\$26,374,500
3	\$18,256,000	\$26,358,000
4	\$21,875,000	\$28,650,000

#### Table 7 – Capital Cost Present Worth Summary

The City of Mondovi also evaluated the alternatives by using a decision matrix. The decision matrix takes into account capital costs, present worth value, environmental concerns and social concerns. The decision matrix for this project is shown in **Table 8**.

Mondovi WWTP Alternatives								
1 = Not Desirable 2 = Neutral 3 = Desirable								
	Upgraded	Ox Ditch w/RSF	SBR w/ABNR	Bio-loop w/ABNR				
	WWTP	Treatment	Treatment	Treatment				
Capital Cost	1	3	2	1				
Present Worth Value	1	2	3	1				
Environmental Concerns	1	2	3	3				
Social Concerns (Impact on	1	2	2	n				
Public)								
Total	4	9	10	7				

#### Table 8 – Decision Matrix

#### 8.0 Proposed Project (Recommended Alternative)

Based on the information in the Alternatives Selection Section above, the recommended alternative is a new WWTP at a new location utilizing a SBR activated sludge technology. As previously stated, this alternative would include fine screen and grit removal, controls linked to SCADA, energy savings initiatives, UV disinfection, septage receiving and monitoring, a new bio-solids handling process, ABNR tertiary treatment for phosphorus removal and a new outfall location.

The advantage of this alternative is the smaller basin footprint and the ease of operation for the WWTP operators that have many other public works responsibilities for the City of Mondovi and also the variable flows and loadings that this technology can handle over the 20 year planning period. This alternative gives the City of Mondovi a technology that can handle future residential, commercial, and industrial expansion and offers the City reliability over the service life of the new WWTP. The tertiary treatment process included with this alternative provides the lowest present worth value because of the decrease in annual costs due to reduced chemical usage, smaller sized

solids handling components, and a potential revenue stream from the algae bi-product. The SBR and ABNR process is also scalable which allows expandability if future flows were to greatly increase past the 20 year projections in the future.

This alternative also includes upgrades to the City's wastewater collection system to reduce I/I at the source and to invest in the City's sanitary sewer infrastructure so that the collection system can operate for many years to come. An addition to the collection system will be a new lift station and forcemain which will convey wastewater from the manhole that currently discharges to the existing WWTP on State Highway 37 to the new WWTP location. A preliminary site map of the project area which includes the utility extension and WWTP locations can be seen in **Appendix O**. On this site map is another proposed City project. The City is looking to develop an industrial park adjacent to the new WWTP location area; therefore, industrial park utility upgrades can also be seen on the site map. Also included in the Appendix is a WWTP site map that includes the proposed layout and footprint of the different WWTP components.

#### 9.0 Public Hearing

The facility plan required an advertised public hearing. The first public hearing meeting date was Tuesday September 18<sup>th</sup>, 2018. At the public hearing, the City council and residents asked that a new activated sludge WWTP be constructed at Alternative Location 1 and that the City should move forward with obtaining project funding through RD. The City immediately started the application process for RD after the public hearing; however, it was not until after the first public hearing that the City elected to utilize the ABNR tertiary treatment process in the alternative analysis. Upon further preliminary engineering and analysis, a second public hearing was held on January 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2019. At this public hearing, the ABNR tertiary treatment process was introduced to the City council and residents along with the updated alternatives analysis. A final public hearing was held on September 10<sup>th</sup>, 2019 before submitting the finalized facility plan. In this public hearing, the final proposed alternative was outlined further and the construction budgets and funding sources for all components were explained. The City Council approved the submittal of the Facility Plan to WDNR. The public hearing notices, sign in sheet and summary are located in **Appendix P**.

#### 10.0 Conclusion

The City of Mondovi has maintained their existing WWTP extremely well over the last 50+ years. This time frame is longer than the service life of some WWTPs; however, the continuation of operation at the existing location with the upgrades of existing equipment would not be feasible in order to reliably treat wastewater to acceptable standards and without avoiding social and environmental impacts. The recommended alternative is for the City to construct a new WWTP at a new location. The new WWTP would utilize a SBR technology with fine screen and grit removal, controls linked to SCADA, energy savings initiatives, UV disinfection, septage receiving and monitoring, a new bio-solids handling process, ABNR tertiary treatment for phosphorus removal and a new outfall location. Upgrades would also be included in the overall project scope which consist of slip-lining of sewer segments and utility improvement projects along with the construction of a new lift station and forcemain to convey wastewater to the new WWTP location. The City will be working with Rural Development and Wisconsin CDBG to obtain funds that will aid the City in the WWTP, WWTP utility extension and collection system upgrade construction projects.

# **Table of Appendices**

- Appendix A WPDES Permit
- Appendix B 2018 CMAR
- Appendix C Final Phosphorus Compliance Alternatives Plan
- Appendix D Mondovi Wastewater Collection System Map
- Appendix E Mondovi Future Flows and Loadings Spreadsheet
- Appendix F Effluent Limits Request
- Appendix G Inflow & Infiltration Assessment
- Appendix H Sanitary Line Televising Report
- Appendix I Oxidization Ditch & RSF Design Summary
- Appendix J SBR & ABNR Design Summary
- Appendix K Bio-loop & ABNR Design Summary
- Appendix L Environmental Review
- Appendix M Alternative Capital Cost Estimate
- Appendix N Alternative Present Worth Analysis
- Appendix O Proposed Project Site Map
- Appendix P Public Hearing Summary, Sign In Sheet and Public Notice

Appendix A

WPDES Permit



# WPDES PERMIT

# STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES permit to discharge under the wisconsin pollutant discharge elimination system

**City of Mondovi** 

is permitted, under the authority of Chapter 283, Wisconsin Statutes, to discharge from a facility located at 649 W. Riverside Ave., Mondovi, WI

to

#### the Buffalo River, located in the Buffalo River Watershed of the Buffalo-Trempealeau River Basin in Buffalo County

in accordance with the effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in this permit.

The permittee shall not discharge after the date of expiration. If the permittee wishes to continue to discharge after this expiration date an application shall be filed for reissuance of this permit, according to Chapter NR 200, Wis. Adm. Code, at least 180 days prior to the expiration date given below.

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources For the Secretary

By

Lacey Hillman

Wastewater Field Supervisor

Date Permit Signed/Issued

PERMIT TERM: EFFECTIVE DATE - September 01, 2018

**EXPIRATION DATE - June 30, 2023** 

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INFLUENT REQUIREMENTS	1
1.1 SAMPLING POINT(S) 1.2 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS 1.2.1 Sampling Point 701 - INFLUENT AFTER COMMINUTOR	1 1 <i>1</i>
2 SURFACE WATER REQUIREMENTS	2
<ul> <li>2.1 SAMPLING POINT(S)</li> <li>2.2 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS AND EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS</li> <li>2.2.1 Sampling Point (Outfall) 001 - EFFLUENT TO BUFFALO RIVER</li> </ul>	2 2 2
3 LAND APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS	6
3.1 SAMPLING POINT(S) 3.2 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS AND LIMITATIONS 3.2.1 Sampling Point (Outfall) 002 - SLUDGE TO WCWBF	6 6 6
4 SCHEDULES	7
4.1 Phosphorus Schedule - Continued Optimization 4.2 Phosphorus Multi-Discharger Variance Interim Limit (0.8 mg/L) 4.3 Phosphorus Payment per Pound to County	7 7 7
5 STANDARD REQUIREMENTS	9
<ul> <li>5.1 REPORTING AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS <ol> <li>1.1 Monitoring Results</li> <li>1.2 Sampling and Testing Procedures</li> <li>1.3 Recording of Results</li> <li>1.4 Reporting of Monitoring Results</li> <li>1.5 Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports</li> <li>1.6 Records Retention</li> <li>1.7 Other Information</li> <li>1.8 Reporting Requirements – Alterations or Additions</li> </ol> </li> <li>5.2 SYSTEM OPERATING REQUIREMENTS <ol> <li>2.1 Noncompliance Reporting</li> <li>2.2 Flow Meters</li> <li>3.3 Raw Grit and Screenings</li> <li>2.4 Sludge Management</li> <li>5.2 SProhibited Wastes</li> <li>2.6 Bypass</li> <li>2.7 Scheduled Bypass</li> <li>2.8 Controlled Diversions</li> <li>2.9 Ammonia Limit Not Needed - Continue to Optimize Removal of Ammonia</li> <li>2.10 Proper Operation and Maintenance</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	9 9 9 9 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
<ul> <li>5.3 SEWAGE COLLECTION SYSTEMS</li> <li>5.3.1 Sanitary Sewage Overflows and Sewage Treatment Facility Overflows</li> <li>5.3.2 Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Program</li> <li>5.3.3 Sewer Cleaning Debris and Materials</li> <li>5.4 SURFACE WATER REQUIREMENTS</li> <li>5.4.1 Permittee-Determined Limit of Quantitation Incorporated into this Permit</li> <li>5.4.2 Appropriate Formulas for Effluent Calculations</li> <li>5.4.3 Effluent Temperature Requirements</li> <li>5.4.4 Visible Foam or Floating Solids</li> <li>5.4.5 Surface Water Uses and Criteria</li> <li>5.4.6 Percent Removal</li> </ul>	13 14 14 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 17

#### WPDES Permit No. WI-0020591-10-0 City of Mondovi

5.4.7 Fecal Coliforms	17
5.4.8 Seasonal Disinfection	17
5.4.9 Total Residual Chlorine Requirements (When De-Chlorinating Effluent)	17
5.4.10 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Monitoring Requirements	18
5.4.11 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Identification and Reduction	18
5.4.12 Reopener Clause	19
5.5 LAND APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS	19
5.5.1 Sludge Management Program Standards And Requirements Based Upon Federally Promulgated Regulations	19
5.5.2 General Sludge Management Information	19
5.5.3 Sludge Samples	19
5.5.4 Land Application Characteristic Report	19
5.5.5 Calculation of Water Extractable Phosphorus	19
5.5.6 Annual Land Application Report	20
5.5.7 Other Methods of Disposal or Distribution Report	20
5.5.8 Approval to Land Apply	20
5.5.9 Soil Analysis Requirements	20
5.5.10 Land Application Site Evaluation	20
5.5.11 Sludge Hauling	20
6 SUMMARY OF REPORTS DUE	22

# **1** Influent Requirements

# 1.1 Sampling Point(s)

	Sampling Point Designation					
Sampling	Sampling Point Location, WasteType/Sample Contents and Treatment Description (as applicable)					
Point						
Number						
701	Representative influent samples shall be collected after the comminutor, but prior to the primary					
	clarifier.					

## **1.2 Monitoring Requirements**

The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring requirements.

## **1.2.1 Sampling Point 701 - INFLUENT AFTER COMMINUTOR**

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations						
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes	
Flow Rate		MGD	Continuous	Continuous		
BOD <sub>5</sub> , Total		mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow		
				Prop Comp		
CBOD <sub>5</sub>		mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow		
				Prop Comp		
Suspended Solids,		mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow		
Total				Prop Comp		

# **2 Surface Water Requirements**

# 2.1 Sampling Point(s)

Sampling Point Designation					
Sampling Point	Sampling Point Location, WasteType/Sample Contents and Treatment Description (as applicable)				
Number					
001	Representative effluent samples (other than those for chlorine and fecal coliform) shall be collected				
	before the chlorine contact chamber; those for chlorine and fecal coliform shall be collected after				
	the chlorine contact chamber and dechlorination.				

## 2.2 Monitoring Requirements and Effluent Limitations

The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring requirements and limitations.

## 2.2.1 Sampling Point (Outfall) 001 - EFFLUENT TO BUFFALO RIVER

Monitoring Requirements and Effluent Limitations						
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and	Sample	Sample	Notes	
		Units	Frequency	Туре		
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	Monthly Avg	25 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow		
				Prop Comp		
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	Weekly Avg	40 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow		
				Prop Comp		
Suspended Solids,	Monthly Avg	30 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow		
Total				Prop Comp		
Suspended Solids,	Weekly Avg	45 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow		
Total				Prop Comp		
pH Field	Daily Max	9.0 su	Daily	Grab		
pH Field	Daily Min	6.0 su	Daily	Grab		
Phosphorus, Total	Monthly Avg	1.0 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow	This is an interim limit	
				Prop Comp	effective through	
					9/30/2020. See the	
					MDV/Phosphorus	
					subsections and phosphorus	
					schedules.	
Phosphorus, Total	Monthly Avg	0.8 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow	This is an interim MDV	
				Prop Comp	effective through	
					10/01/2020. See the	
					MDV/Phosphorus	
					subsections and phosphorus	
					schedules.	

	Monito	ring Requireme	nts and Effluen	t Limitations	
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and	Sample	Sample	Notes
		Units	Frequency	Туре	
Phosphorus, Total		lbs/month	Monthly	Calculated	Report the total monthly phosphorus discharged in lbs/month on the last day of the month on the DMR. See Standard Requirements for 'Appropriate Formulas' to calculate the Total Monthly Discharge in lbs/month.
Phosphorus, Total		lbs/yr	Annual	Calculated	Report the sum of the total monthly discharges for the calendar year on the Annual report form.
Chlorine, Total Residual	Daily Max	38 μg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept
Chlorine, Total Residual	Monthly Avg	38 μg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept
Chlorine, Total Residual	Weekly Avg	38 μg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept
Fecal Coliform	Geometric Mean - Monthly	400 #/100 ml	Weekly	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept
Fecal Coliform	Geometric Mean - Wkly	656 #/100 ml	Weekly	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept
Copper, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	36 µg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	0.17 lbs/day	Monthly	Calculated	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Monthly Avg	36 μg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Weekly Avg	36 µg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Acute WET		TU <sub>a</sub>	See Listed Qtr(s)	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	See WET subsection below
Chronic WET		TUc	See Listed Qtr(s)	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	See WET subsection below

#### 2.2.1.1 Annual Average Design Flow

The annual average design flow of the permittee's wastewater treatment facility is 0.385 MGD.

#### 2.2.1.2 MDV (Multi-Discharger Variance) Requirements

**Optimization:** The permittee shall continue to optimize performance to control phosphorus discharges in accordance with s. 283.16(6), Wis. Stats. See the Schedules section for optimization requirements.

**Watershed Provisions:** The permittee is required to implement watershed measures to reduce the amount of phosphorus entering the receiving water. The permittee has selected the following approved watershed measure.

**Payment to County for Phosphorus Reduction:** The permittee shall make payments for phosphorus reduction to the county or counties approved by the Department per s. 283.16(8), Wis. Stats. The permittee shall make a total payment by March 1 of each year in the amount equal to the per pound amount of \$52.02 times the number of pounds by which the effluent phosphorus discharged during the previous year exceeded the permittee's target value or \$640,000, whichever is less. The target value is 0.2 mg/L per s. 283.16(1)(h), Wis. Stats., and is applicable during the months that the MDV is in effect. The MDV is in effect year-round. Refer to the Schedules section for the scheduled annual requirements.

<u>Annual Payment Calculation</u>: The annual payment is equal to the phosphorus load that exceeds the target value multiplied by \$52.02 per pound. Use the steps shown below to calculate the annual payment. In addition, the Department shall send a statement to the permittee specifying total payment due to the participating counties each year in accordance with the Schedules section.

Annual Payment = [Annual Phosphorus Load – Annual Target Load] × Price Per Pound Calculation Steps:

•Calculate pounds of phosphorus discharged for each month that the MDV is in effect:

Monthly Phosphorus Load (lbs/month) = Total Monthly Flow (MG)  $\times$  Monthly Avg. TP effluent conc. (mg/L)  $\times$  8.34

•Sum the lbs/month discharged for the months that the MDV is in effect to calculate the annual phosphorus load:

Annual Phosphorus Load (lbs/year) =  $\sum$  [Monthly Phosphorus Load (lbs/month)]

•Calculate the Target Load (lbs/month) for each month that the MDV is in effect. <u>Target Value = 0.2 mg/L</u>: Monthly Target Load (lbs/month) = Total Monthly Flow (MG)  $\times$  0.2 mg/L  $\times$  8.34

•Sum the lbs/month for the months that the MDV is in effect to calculate the Annual Target Load:

Annual Target Load (lbs/year) =  $\sum$  [Monthly Target Load (lbs/month)]

•Calculate the annual payment: Annual Payment (\$) = [Annual Phosphorus Load – Annual Target Load] × Price Per Pound

#### 2.2.1.3 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing

Primary Control Water: Buffalo River

#### Instream Waste Concentration (IWC): 5%

Dilution series: At least five effluent concentrations and dual controls must be included in each test.

- Acute: 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25% and any additional selected by the permittee.
- Chronic: 100, 30, 10, 3, 1% (if the IWC  $\leq 30\%$ ) and any additional selected by the permittee.

Acute and Chronic WET Testing Frequency: Acute and Chronic tests shall be conducted in the following quarters in order to collect seasonal information about the discharge. Tests are required during the following quarters.

- 4th quarter (Oct-Dec) 2020
- 2nd quarter (April-June) 2022

WET testing shall continue after the permit expiration date (until the permit is reissued) in accordance with the WET requirements specified for the last full calendar year of this permit. For example, the next test would be required in 2nd quarter (April-June) 2024.

**Testing:** WET testing shall be performed during normal operating conditions. Permittees are not allowed to turn off or otherwise modify treatment systems, production processes, or change other operating or treatment conditions during WET tests.

**Reporting:** The permittee shall report test results on the Discharge Monitoring Report form, and also complete the "Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Report Form" (Section 6, "*State of Wisconsin Aquatic Life Toxicity Testing Methods Manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition*"), for each test. The original, complete, signed version of the Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Report Form shall be sent to the Biomonitoring Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality, 101 S. Webster St., P.O. Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921, within 45 days of test completion. The Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form shall be submitted electronically by the required deadline.

**Determination of Positive Results:** An acute toxicity test shall be considered positive if the Toxic Unit - Acute (TU<sub>a</sub>) is greater than 1.0 for either species. The TU<sub>a</sub> shall be calculated as follows:  $TU_a = 100 \div LC_{50}$ . A chronic toxicity test shall be considered positive if the Toxic Unit - Chronic (TU<sub>c</sub>) is greater than 20 for either species. The TU<sub>c</sub> shall be calculated as follows:  $TU_c = 100 \div IC_{25}$ .

Additional Testing Requirements: Within 90 days of a test which showed positive results, the permittee shall submit the results of at least 2 retests to the Biomonitoring Coordinator on "Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Report Forms". The 90 day reporting period shall begin the day after the test which showed a positive result. The retests shall be completed using the same species and test methods specified for the original test (see the Standard Requirements section herein).

# **3 Land Application Requirements**

# 3.1 Sampling Point(s)

The discharge(s) shall be limited to land application of the waste type(s) designated for the listed sampling point(s) on Department approved land spreading sites or by hauling to another facility.

Sampling Point Designation					
Sampling	Sampling Point Location, WasteType/Sample Contents and Treatment Description (as applicable)				
Point					
Number					
002	As long as sludge is shipped to the West Central Wisconsin Biosolids Facility (WCWBF) for disposal, representative sludge samples shall be collected once per year and monitored for List 1. Sludge samples shall be collected prior to hauling and test results shall be reported on Form 3400-49 "Waste Characteristics Report". Hauled sludge reports shall be submitted on Form 3400-52 "Other Methods of Disposal or Distribution Report" following each year that sludge is hauled.				

## **3.2 Monitoring Requirements and Limitations**

The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring requirements and limitations.

3.2.1	Sampling	Point (	Outfall)	002 - SL	UDGE	TO WCWBF
-------	----------	---------	----------	----------	------	----------

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations						
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and	Sample	Sample	Notes	
		Units	Frequency	Туре		
Solids, Total		Percent	Annual	Composite		
Arsenic Dry Wt	Ceiling	75 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Arsenic Dry Wt	High Quality	41 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Cadmium Dry Wt	Ceiling	85 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Cadmium Dry Wt	High Quality	39 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Copper Dry Wt	Ceiling	4,300 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Copper Dry Wt	High Quality	1,500 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Lead Dry Wt	Ceiling	840 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Lead Dry Wt	High Quality	300 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Mercury Dry Wt	Ceiling	57 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Mercury Dry Wt	High Quality	17 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Molybdenum Dry Wt	Ceiling	75 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Nickel Dry Wt	Ceiling	420 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Nickel Dry Wt	High Quality	420 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Selenium Dry Wt	Ceiling	100 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Selenium Dry Wt	High Quality	100 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Zinc Dry Wt	Ceiling	7,500 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		
Zinc Dry Wt	High Quality	2,800 mg/kg	Annual	Composite		

# 4 Schedules

# 4.1 Phosphorus Schedule - Continued Optimization

The permittee is required to optimize performance to control phosphorus discharges per the following schedule.

Required Action	Due Date
<b>Optimization:</b> The permittee shall continue to implement the optimization plan as previously approved to optimize performance to control phosphorus discharges. Submit a progress report on optimizing removal of phosphorus by the Due Date.	06/30/2019
Progress Report #2: Submit a progress report on optimizing removal of phosphorus.	06/30/2020
<b>Progress Report #3:</b> Submit a progress report on optimizing removal of phosphorus.	06/30/2021
Progress Report #4: Submit a progress report on optimizing removal of phosphorus.	06/30/2022
Progress Report #5: Submit a progress report on optimizing removal of phosphorus.	06/30/2023

# 4.2 Phosphorus Multi-Discharger Variance Interim Limit (0.8 mg/L)

This compliance schedule requires the permittee to achieve compliance with the specified MDV interim effluent limit in accordance with s. 283.16(6), Wis. Stats., by the due date.

Required Action	Due Date
<b>Report on Effluent Discharges:</b> Submit a report on effluent discharges of phosphorus with conclusions regarding compliance.	12/31/2018
Action Plan: Submit an action plan for complying with the specified interim effluent limit. If construction is required, include plans and specifications with the submittal.	06/30/2019
Initiate Actions: Initiate actions identified in the plan.	03/31/2020
<b>Complete Actions:</b> Complete actions identified in the plan and achieve compliance with the specified interim effluent limit.	10/01/2020

# 4.3 Phosphorus Payment per Pound to County

The permittee is required to make annual payments for phosphorus reductions to the participating county or counties in accordance with s. 283.16(8), Wis. Stats, and the following schedule. The price per pound will be set at the time of permit reissuance and will apply for the duration of the permit.

Required Action	Due Date
<b>Annual Verification of Phosphorus Payment to County:</b> The permittee shall make a total payment to the participating county or counties approved by the Department by March 1 of each calendar year. The amount due is equal to the following: [(lbs of phosphorus discharged minus the permittee's target value) times (\$52.02 per pound)] or \$640,000, whichever is less. See the payment calculation steps in the Surface Water section.	03/01/2019
The permittee shall submit Form 3200-151 to the Department by March 1 of each calendar year indicating total amount remitted to the participating counties to verify that the correct payment was made. The first payment verification form is due by the specified Due Date.	

Note: The applicable Target Value is 0.2 mg/L as defined by s. 283.16(1)(h), Wis. Stats. The "per pound" value is \$50.00 adjusted for CPI.		
<b>Annual Verification of Payment #2:</b> Submit Form 3200-151 to the Department indicating total amount remitted to the participating counties.		
Annual Verification of Payment #3: Submit Form 3200-151 to the Department indicating total amount remitted to the participating counties.	03/01/2021	
<b>Annual Verification of Payment #4:</b> Submit Form 3200-151 to the Department indicating total amount remitted to the participating counties.		
<b>Annual Verification of Payment #5:</b> Submit Form 3200-151 to the Department indicating total amount remitted to the participating counties.	03/01/2023	
<b>Continued Coverage:</b> If the permittee intends to seek a renewed variance, an application for the MDV (Multi Discharger Variance) shall be submitted as part of the application for permit reissuance in accordance with s. 283.16(4)(b), Wis. Stats.		
<b>Annual Verification of Payment After Permit Expiration:</b> In the event that this permit is not reissued prior to the expiration date, the permittee shall continue to submit Form 3200-151 to the Department indicating total amount remitted to the participating counties by March 1 each year.		

# **5 Standard Requirements**

**NR 205, Wisconsin Administrative Code:** The conditions in ss. NR 205.07(1) and NR 205.07(2), Wis. Adm. Code, are included by reference in this permit. The permittee shall comply with all of these requirements. Some of these requirements are outlined in the Standard Requirements section of this permit. Requirements not specifically outlined in the Standard Requirement section of this permit. NR 205.07(1) and NR 205.07(2).

# 5.1 Reporting and Monitoring Requirements

## 5.1.1 Monitoring Results

Monitoring results obtained during the previous month shall be summarized and reported on a Department Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report. The report may require reporting of any or all of the information specified below under 'Recording of Results'. This report is to be returned to the Department no later than the date indicated on the form. A copy of the Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report Form or an electronic file of the report shall be retained by the permittee.

Monitoring results shall be reported on an electronic discharge monitoring report (eDMR). The eDMR shall be certified electronically by a responsible executive or municipal officer, manager, partner or proprietor as specified in s. 283.37(3), Wis. Stats., or a duly authorized representative of the officer, manager, partner or proprietor that has been delegated signature authority pursuant to s. NR 205.07(1)(g)2, Wis. Adm. Code. The 'eReport Certify' page certifies that the electronic report form is true, accurate and complete.

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit, the results of such monitoring shall be included on the Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report.

The permittee shall comply with all limits for each parameter regardless of monitoring frequency. For example, monthly, weekly, and/or daily limits shall be met even with monthly monitoring. The permittee may monitor more frequently than required for any parameter.

## 5.1.2 Sampling and Testing Procedures

Sampling and laboratory testing procedures shall be performed in accordance with Chapters NR 218 and NR 219, Wis. Adm. Code and shall be performed by a laboratory certified or registered in accordance with the requirements of ch. NR 149, Wis. Adm. Code. Groundwater sample collection and analysis shall be performed in accordance with ch. NR 140, Wis. Adm. Code. The analytical methodologies used shall enable the laboratory to quantitate all substances for which monitoring is required at levels below the effluent limitation. If the required level cannot be met by any of the methods available in NR 219, Wis. Adm. Code, then the method with the lowest limit of detection shall be selected. Additional test procedures may be specified in this permit.

## 5.1.3 Recording of Results

The permittee shall maintain records which provide the following information for each effluent measurement or sample taken:

- the date, exact place, method and time of sampling or measurements;
- the individual who performed the sampling or measurements;
- the date the analysis was performed;
- the individual who performed the analysis;
- the analytical techniques or methods used; and
- the results of the analysis.

#### 5.1.4 Reporting of Monitoring Results

The permittee shall use the following conventions when reporting effluent monitoring results:

- Pollutant concentrations less than the limit of detection shall be reported as < (less than) the value of the limit of detection. For example, if a substance is not detected at a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L, report the pollutant concentration as < 0.1 mg/L.
- Pollutant concentrations equal to or greater than the limit of detection, but less than the limit of quantitation, shall be reported and the limit of quantitation shall be specified.
- For purposes of calculating NR 101 fees, the 2 mg/l lower reporting limits for BOD<sub>5</sub> and Total Suspended Solids shall be considered to be limits of quantitation
- For the purposes of reporting a calculated result, average or a mass discharge value, the permittee may substitute a 0 (zero) for any pollutant concentration that is less than the limit of detection. However, if the effluent limitation is less than the limit of detection, the department may substitute a value other than zero for results less than the limit of detection, after considering the number of monitoring results that are greater than the limit of detection and if warranted when applying appropriate statistical techniques.

#### 5.1.5 Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports

Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports (CMAR) shall be completed using information obtained over each calendar year regarding the wastewater conveyance and treatment system. The CMAR shall be submitted and certified by the permittee in accordance with ch. NR 208, Wis. Adm. Code, by June 30, each year on an electronic report form provided by the Department.

In the case of a publicly owned treatment works, a resolution shall be passed by the governing body and submitted as part of the CMAR, verifying its review of the report and providing responses as required. Private owners of wastewater treatment works are not required to pass a resolution; but they must provide an Owner Statement and responses as required, as part of the CMAR submittal.

The CMAR shall be certified electronically by a responsible executive or municipal officer, manager, partner or proprietor as specified in s. 283.37(3), Wis. Stats., or a duly authorized representative of the officer, manager, partner or proprietor that has been delegated signature authority pursuant to s. NR 205.07(1)(g)2, Wis. Adm. Code. The certification verifies that the electronic report is true, accurate and complete.

#### **5.1.6 Records Retention**

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings or electronic data records for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. All pertinent sludge information, including permit application information and other documents specified in this permit or s. NR 204.06(9), Wis. Adm. Code shall be retained for a minimum of 5 years.

#### 5.1.7 Other Information

Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or correct information to the Department.

#### 5.1.8 Reporting Requirements – Alterations or Additions

The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is only required when:

- The alteration or addition to the permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source.
- The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification requirement applies to pollutants which are not subject to effluent limitations in the existing permit.
- The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use of disposal sites not reported during the permit application process nor reported pursuant to an approved land application plan. Additional sites may not be used for the land application of sludge until department approval is received.

# 5.2 System Operating Requirements

## 5.2.1 Noncompliance Reporting

Sanitary sewer overflows and sewage treatment facility overflows shall be reported according to the 'Sanitary Sewer Overflows and Sewage Treatment Facility Overflows' section of this permit.

The permittee shall report the following types of noncompliance by a telephone call to the Department's regional office within 24 hours after becoming aware of the noncompliance:

- any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment;
- any violation of an effluent limitation resulting from a bypass;
- any violation of an effluent limitation resulting from an upset; and
- any violation of a maximum discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit, either for effluent or sludge.

A written report describing the noncompliance shall also be submitted to the Department's regional office within 5 days after the permittee becomes aware of the noncompliance. On a case-by-case basis, the Department may waive the requirement for submittal of a written report within 5 days and instruct the permittee to submit the written report with the next regularly scheduled monitoring report. In either case, the written report shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times; the steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance; and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the length of time it is expected to continue.

A scheduled bypass approved by the Department under the 'Scheduled Bypass' section of this permit shall not be subject to the reporting required under this section.

**NOTE**: Section 292.11(2)(a), Wisconsin Statutes, requires any person who possesses or controls a hazardous substance or who causes the discharge of a hazardous substance to notify the Department of Natural Resources **immediately** of any discharge not authorized by the permit. **The discharge of a hazardous substance that is not authorized by this permit or that violates this permit may be a hazardous substance spill**. **To report a hazardous substance spill, call DNR's 24-hour HOTLINE at 1-800-943-0003.** 

#### 5.2.2 Flow Meters

Flow meters shall be calibrated annually, as per s. NR 218.06, Wis. Adm. Code.

#### 5.2.3 Raw Grit and Screenings

All raw grit and screenings shall be disposed of at a properly licensed solid waste facility or picked up by a licensed waste hauler. If the facility or hauler are located in Wisconsin, then they shall be licensed under chs. NR 500-555, Wis. Adm. Code.

#### 5.2.4 Sludge Management

All sludge management activities shall be conducted in compliance with ch. NR 204 "Domestic Sewage Sludge Management", Wis. Adm. Code.

#### 5.2.5 Prohibited Wastes

Under no circumstances may the introduction of wastes prohibited by s. NR 211.10, Wis. Adm. Code, be allowed into the waste treatment system. Prohibited wastes include those:

- which create a fire or explosion hazard in the treatment work;
- which will cause corrosive structural damage to the treatment work;
- solid or viscous substances in amounts which cause obstructions to the flow in sewers or interference with the proper operation of the treatment work;
- wastewaters at a flow rate or pollutant loading which are excessive over relatively short time periods so as to cause a loss of treatment efficiency; and
- changes in discharge volume or composition from contributing industries which overload the treatment works or cause a loss of treatment efficiency.

#### 5.2.6 Bypass

This condition applies only to bypassing at a sewage treatment facility that is not a scheduled bypass, approved blending as a specific condition of this permit, a sewage treatment facility overflow or a controlled diversion as provided in the sections titled 'Scheduled Bypass', 'Blending' (if approved), 'SSO's and Sewage Treatment Facility Overflows' and 'Controlled Diversions' of this permit. Any other bypass at the sewage treatment facility is prohibited and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for such occurrences under s. 283.89, Wis. Stats. The Department may approve a bypass if the permittee demonstrates all the following conditions apply:

- The bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
- There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities or adequate back-up equipment, retention of untreated wastes, reduction of inflow and infiltration, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventative maintenance. When evaluating feasibility of alternatives, the department may consider factors such as technical achievability, costs and affordability of implementation and risks to public health, the environment and, where the permittee is a municipality, the welfare of the community served; and
- The bypass was reported in accordance with the Noncompliance Reporting section of this permit.

#### 5.2.7 Scheduled Bypass

Whenever the permittee anticipates the need to bypass for purposes of efficient operations and maintenance and the permittee may not meet the conditions for controlled diversions in the 'Controlled Diversions' section of this permit,

the permittee shall obtain prior written approval from the Department for the scheduled bypass. A permittee's written request for Department approval of a scheduled bypass shall demonstrate that the conditions for bypassing specified in the above section titled 'Bypass' are met and include the proposed date and reason for the bypass, estimated volume and duration of the bypass, alternatives to bypassing and measures to mitigate environmental harm caused by the bypass. The department may require the permittee to provide public notification for a scheduled bypass if it is determined there is significant public interest in the proposed action and may recommend mitigation measures to minimize the impact of such bypass.

#### 5.2.8 Controlled Diversions

Controlled diversions are allowed only when necessary for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. Sewage treatment facilities that have multiple treatment units to treat variable or seasonal loading conditions may shut down redundant treatment units when necessary for efficient operation. The following requirements shall be met during controlled diversions:

- Effluent from the sewage treatment facility shall meet the effluent limitations established in the permit. Wastewater that is diverted around a treatment unit or treatment process during a controlled diversion shall be recombined with wastewater that is not diverted prior to the effluent sampling location and prior to effluent discharge;
- A controlled diversion does not include blending as defined in s. NR 210.03(2e), Wis. Adm. Code, and as may only be approved under s. NR 210.12. A controlled diversion may not occur during periods of excessive flow or other abnormal wastewater characteristics;
- A controlled diversion may not result in a wastewater treatment facility overflow; and
- All instances of controlled diversions shall be documented in sewage treatment facility records and such records shall be available to the department on request.

#### 5.2.9 Ammonia Limit Not Needed - Continue to Optimize Removal of Ammonia

Applying the procedures in s. NR 106.05, Wis. Adm. Code, to ammonia data that is representative of the current operations of the wastewater treatment plant resulted in a determination that ammonia effluent limits are not necessary in this permit. Pursuant to NR 106.33, throughout the term of this permit, the wastewater treatment plant shall continue to be operated in a manner that optimizes the removal of ammonia within the design capabilities of the wastewater treatment plant.

#### 5.2.10 Proper Operation and Maintenance

The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance includes effective performance, adequate funding, adequate operator staffing and training as required in ch. NR 114, Wis. Adm. Code, and adequate laboratory and process controls, including appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems only when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

#### 5.2.11 Operator Certification

The wastewater treatment facility shall be under the direct supervision of a state certified operator. In accordance with s. NR 114.53, Wis. Adm. Code, every WPDES permitted treatment plant shall have a designated operator-incharge holding a current and valid certificate. The designated operator-in-charge shall be certified at the level and in all subclasses of the treatment plant, except laboratory. Treatment plant owners shall notify the department of any changes in the operator-in-charge within 30 days. Note that s. NR 114.52(22), Wis. Adm. Code, lists types of facilities that are excluded from operator certification requirements (i.e. private sewage systems, pretreatment facilities discharging to public sewers, industrial wastewater treatment that consists solely of land disposal, agricultural digesters and concentrated aquatic production facilities with no biological treatment).

## **5.3 Sewage Collection Systems**

#### 5.3.1 Sanitary Sewage Overflows and Sewage Treatment Facility Overflows

#### 5.3.1.1 Overflows Prohibited

Any overflow or discharge of wastewater from the sewage collection system or at the sewage treatment facility, other than from permitted outfalls, is prohibited. The permittee shall provide information on whether any of the following conditions existed when an overflow occurred:

- The sanitary sewer overflow or sewage treatment facility overflow was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury or severe property damage;
- There were no feasible alternatives to the sanitary sewer overflow or sewage treatment facility overflow such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities or adequate back-up equipment, retention of untreated wastes, reduction of inflow and infiltration, or preventative maintenance activities;
- The sanitary sewer overflow or the sewage treatment facility overflow was caused by unusual or severe weather related conditions such as large or successive precipitation events, snowmelt, saturated soil conditions, or severe weather occurring in the area served by the sewage collection system or sewage treatment facility; and
- The sanitary sewer overflow or the sewage treatment facility overflow was unintentional, temporary, and caused by an accident or other factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee.

#### 5.3.1.2 Permittee Response to Overflows

Whenever a sanitary sewer overflow or sewage treatment facility overflow occurs, the permittee shall take all feasible steps to control or limit the volume of untreated or partially treated wastewater discharged, and terminate the discharge as soon as practicable. Remedial actions, including those in NR 210.21 (3), Wis. Adm. Code, shall be implemented consistent with an emergency response plan developed under the CMOM program.

#### 5.3.1.3 Permittee Reporting

Permittees shall report all sanitary sewer overflows and sewage treatment overflows as follows:

- The permittee shall notify the department by telephone, fax or email as soon as practicable, but no later than 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the overflow;
- The permittee shall, no later than five days from the time the permittee becomes aware of the overflow, provide to the department the information identified in this paragraph using department form number 3400-184. If an overflow lasts for more than five days, an initial report shall be submitted within 5 days as required in this paragraph and an updated report submitted following cessation of the overflow. At a minimum, the following information shall be included in the report:

•The date and location of the overflow;

•The surface water to which the discharge occurred, if any;

•The duration of the overflow and an estimate of the volume of the overflow;

•A description of the sewer system or treatment facility component from which the discharge occurred such as manhole, lift station, constructed overflow pipe, or crack or other opening in a pipe; •The estimated date and time when the overflow began and stopped or will be stopped;

•The cause or suspected cause of the overflow including, if appropriate, precipitation, runoff conditions, areas of flooding, soil moisture and other relevant information;

•Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate and prevent reoccurrence of the overflow and a schedule of major milestones for those steps;

•A description of the actual or potential for human exposure and contact with the wastewater from the overflow;

•Steps taken or planned to mitigate the impacts of the overflow and a schedule of major milestones for those steps;

•To the extent known at the time of reporting, the number and location of building backups caused by excessive flow or other hydraulic constraints in the sewage collection system that occurred concurrently with the sanitary sewer overflow and that were within the same area of the sewage collection system as the sanitary sewer overflow; and

•The reason the overflow occurred or explanation of other contributing circumstances that resulted in the overflow event. This includes any information available including whether the overflow was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage and whether there were feasible alternatives to the overflow.

**NOTE**: A copy of form 3400-184 for reporting sanitary sewer overflows and sewage treatment facility overflows may be obtained from the department or accessed on the department's web site at http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wastewater/SSOreport.html. As indicated on the form, additional information may be submitted to supplement the information required by the form.

- The permittee shall identify each specific location and each day on which a sanitary sewer overflow or sewage treatment facility overflow occurs as a discrete sanitary sewer overflow or sewage treatment facility overflow occurrence. An occurrence may be more than one day if the circumstances causing the sanitary sewer overflow or sewage treatment facility overflow results in a discharge duration of greater than 24 hours. If there is a stop and restart of the overflow at the same location within 24 hours and the overflow is caused by the same circumstance, it may be reported as one occurrence. Sanitary sewer overflow occurrences at a specific location that are separated by more than 24 hours shall be reported as separate occurrences; and
- A permittee that is required to submit wastewater discharge monitoring reports under NR 205.07 (1) (r) shall also report all sanitary sewer overflows and sewage treatment facility overflows on that report.

#### 5.3.1.4 Public Notification

The permittee shall notify the public of any sanitary sewer and sewage treatment facility overflows consistent with its emergency response plan required under the CMOM (Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance) section of this permit and s. NR 210.23 (4) (f), Wis. Adm. Code. Such public notification shall occur promptly following any overflow event using the most effective and efficient communications available in the community. At minimum, a daily newspaper of general circulation in the county(s) and municipality whose waters may be affected by the overflow shall be notified by written or electronic communication.

## 5.3.2 Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Program

- The permittee shall have written documentation of the Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) program components in accordance with s. NR 210.23(4), Wis. Adm. Code. Such documentation shall be available for Department review upon request. The Department may request that the permittee provide this documentation or prepare a summary of the permittee's CMOM program at the time of application for reissuance of the WPDES permit.
- The permittee shall implement a CMOM program in accordance with s. NR 210.23, Wis. Adm. Code.
- The permittee shall at least annually conduct a self-audit of activities conducted under the permittee's CMOM program to ensure CMOM components are being implemented as necessary to meet the general standards of s. NR 210.23(3), Wis. Adm. Code.

## 5.3.3 Sewer Cleaning Debris and Materials

All debris and material removed from cleaning sanitary sewers shall be managed to prevent nuisances, run-off, ground infiltration or prohibited discharges.

- Debris and solid waste shall be dewatered, dried and then disposed of at a licensed solid waste facility.
- Liquid waste from the cleaning and dewatering operations shall be collected and disposed of at a permitted wastewater treatment facility.
- Combination waste including liquid waste along with debris and solid waste may be disposed of at a licensed solid waste facility or wastewater treatment facility willing to accept the waste.

## 5.4 Surface Water Requirements

#### 5.4.1 Permittee-Determined Limit of Quantitation Incorporated into this Permit

For pollutants with water quality-based effluent limits below the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) in this permit, the LOQ calculated by the permittee and reported on the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) is incorporated by reference into this permit. The LOQ shall be reported on the DMRs, shall be the lowest quantifiable level practicable, and shall be no greater than the minimum level (ML) specified in or approved under 40 CFR Part 136 for the pollutant at the time this permit was issued, unless this permit specifies a higher LOQ.

#### 5.4.2 Appropriate Formulas for Effluent Calculations

The permittee shall use the following formulas for calculating effluent results to determine compliance with average concentration limits and mass limits and total load limits:

**Weekly/Monthly/Six-Month/Annual Average Concentration** = the sum of all daily results for that week/month/sixmonth/year, divided by the number of results during that time period. [Note: When a six-month average effluent limit is specified for Total Phosphorus the applicable periods are May through October and November through April.]

Weekly Average Mass Discharge (lbs/day): Daily mass = daily concentration (mg/L) x daily flow (MGD) x 8.34, then average the daily mass values for the week.

Monthly Average Mass Discharge (lbs/day): Daily mass = daily concentration (mg/L) x daily flow (MGD) x 8.34, then average the daily mass values for the month.

**Six-Month Average Mass Discharge (lbs/day):** Daily mass = daily concentration (mg/L) x daily flow (MGD) x 8.34, then average the daily mass values for the six-month period. [Note: When a six-month average effluent limit is specified for Total Phosphorus the applicable periods are May through October and November through April.]

Annual Average Mass Discharge (lbs/day): Daily mass = daily concentration (mg/L) x daily flow (MGD) x 8.34, then average the daily mass values for the entire year.

Total Monthly Discharge: = monthly average concentration (mg/L) x total flow for the month (MG/month) x 8.34.

**Total Annual Discharge:** = sum of total monthly discharges for the calendar year.

**12-Month Rolling Sum of Total Monthly Discharge:** = the sum of the most recent 12 consecutive months of Total Monthly Discharges.

#### 5.4.3 Effluent Temperature Requirements

**Weekly Average Temperature** – The permittee shall use the following formula for calculating effluent results to determine compliance with the weekly average temperature limit (as applicable): Weekly Average Temperature = the sum of all daily maximum results for that week divided by the number of daily maximum results during that time period.

**Cold Shock Standard** – Water temperatures of the discharge shall be controlled in a manner as to protect fish and aquatic life uses from the deleterious effects of cold shock. 'Cold Shock' means exposure of aquatic organisms to a rapid decrease in temperature and a sustained exposure to low temperature that induces abnormal behavior or physiological performance and may lead to death.

**Rate of Temperature Change Standard** – Temperature of a water of the state or discharge to a water of the state may not be artificially raised or lowered at such a rate that it causes detrimental health or reproductive effects to fish or aquatic life of the water of the state.

## 5.4.4 Visible Foam or Floating Solids

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.

## 5.4.5 Surface Water Uses and Criteria

In accordance with NR 102.04, Wis. Adm. Code, surface water uses and criteria are established to govern water management decisions. Practices attributable to municipal, industrial, commercial, domestic, agricultural, land development or other activities shall be controlled so that all surface waters including the mixing zone meet the following conditions at all times and under all flow and water level conditions:

- a) Substances that will cause objectionable deposits on the shore or in the bed of a body of water, shall not be present in such amounts as to interfere with public rights in waters of the state.
- b) Floating or submerged debris, oil, scum or other material shall not be present in such amounts as to interfere with public rights in waters of the state.
- c) Materials producing color, odor, taste or unsightliness shall not be present in such amounts as to interfere with public rights in waters of the state.
- d) Substances in concentrations or in combinations which are toxic or harmful to humans shall not be present in amounts found to be of public health significance, nor shall substances be present in amounts which are acutely harmful to animal, plant or aquatic life.

#### 5.4.6 Percent Removal

During any 30 consecutive days, the average effluent concentrations of  $BOD_5$  and of total suspended solids shall not exceed 15% of the average influent concentrations, respectively. This requirement does not apply to removal of total suspended solids if the permittee operates a lagoon system and has received a variance for suspended solids granted under NR 210.07(2), Wis. Adm. Code.

## 5.4.7 Fecal Coliforms

The weekly and monthly limit(s) for fecal coliforms shall be expressed as a geometric mean.

## 5.4.8 Seasonal Disinfection

Disinfection shall be provided from May 1 through September 30 of each year. Monitoring requirements and the limitation for fecal coliforms apply only during the period in which disinfection is required. Whenever chlorine is used for disinfection or other uses, the limitations and monitoring requirements for residual chlorine shall apply. A dechlorination process shall be in operation whenever chlorine is used.

## 5.4.9 Total Residual Chlorine Requirements (When De-Chlorinating Effluent)

Test methods for total residual chlorine, approved in ch. NR 219 - Table B, Wis. Adm. Code, normally achieve a limit of detection of about 20 to 50 micrograms per liter and a limit of quantitation of about 100 micrograms per liter. Reporting of test results and compliance with effluent limitations for chlorine residual and total residual halogens shall be as follows:

- Sample results which show no detectable levels are in compliance with the limit. These test results shall be reported on Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report Forms as "< 100 μg/L". (Note: 0.1 mg/L converts to 100 μg/L)
- Samples showing detectable traces of chlorine are in compliance if measured at less than 100 µg/L, unless there is a consistent pattern of detectable values in this range. These values shall also be reported on Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report Forms as "<100 µg/L." The facility operating staff shall record actual readings on logs maintained at the plant, shall take action to determine the reliability of detected results (such as re-sampling and/or calculating dosages), and shall adjust the chemical feed system if necessary to reduce the chances of detects.
- Samples showing detectable levels greater than 100  $\mu$ g/L shall be considered as exceedances, and shall be reported as measured.
- To calculate average or mass discharge values, a "0" (zero) may be substituted for any test result less than 100 μg/L. Calculated values shall then be compared directly to the average or mass limitations to determine compliance.

## 5.4.10 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Monitoring Requirements

In order to determine the potential impact of the discharge on aquatic organisms, static-renewal toxicity tests shall be performed on the effluent in accordance with the procedures specified in the "State of Wisconsin Aquatic Life Toxicity Testing Methods Manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition" (PUB-WT-797, November 2004) as required by NR 219.04, Table A, Wis. Adm. Code). All of the WET tests required in this permit, including any required retests, shall be conducted on the Ceriodaphnia dubia and fathead minnow species. Receiving water samples shall not be collected from any point in contact with the permittee's mixing zone and every attempt shall be made to avoid contact with any other discharge's mixing zone.

## 5.4.11 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Identification and Reduction

Within 60 days of a retest which showed positive results, the permittee shall submit a written report to the Biomonitoring Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality, 101 S. Webster St., PO Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921, which details the following:

- A description of actions the permittee has taken or will take to remove toxicity and to prevent the recurrence of toxicity;
- A description of toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) investigations that have been or will be done to identify potential sources of toxicity, including some or all of the following actions:
  - (a) Evaluate the performance of the treatment system to identify deficiencies contributing to effluent toxicity (e.g., operational problems, chemical additives, incomplete treatment)
  - (b) Identify the compound(s) causing toxicity
  - (c) Trace the compound(s) causing toxicity to their sources (e.g., industrial, commercial, domestic)
  - (d) Evaluate, select, and implement methods or technologies to control effluent toxicity (e.g., in-plant or pretreatment controls, source reduction or removal)
- Where corrective actions including a TRE have not been completed, an expeditious schedule under which corrective actions will be implemented;

• If no actions have been taken, the reason for not taking action.

The permittee may also request approval from the Department to postpone additional retests in order to investigate the source(s) of toxicity. Postponed retests must be completed after toxicity is believed to have been removed.

#### 5.4.12 Reopener Clause

Pursuant to s. 283.15(11), Wis. Stat. and 40 CFR 131.20, the Department may modify or revoke and reissue this permit if, through the triennial standard review process, the Department determines that the terms and conditions of this permit need to be updated to reflect the highest attainable condition of the receiving water.

## 5.5 Land Application Requirements

# 5.5.1 Sludge Management Program Standards And Requirements Based Upon Federally Promulgated Regulations

In the event that new federal sludge standards or regulations are promulgated, the permittee shall comply with the new sludge requirements by the dates established in the regulations, if required by federal law, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the new federal regulations.

#### 5.5.2 General Sludge Management Information

The General Sludge Management Form 3400-48 shall be completed and submitted prior to any significant sludge management changes.

#### 5.5.3 Sludge Samples

All sludge samples shall be collected at a point and in a manner which will yield sample results which are representative of the sludge being tested, and collected at the time which is appropriate for the specific test.

#### 5.5.4 Land Application Characteristic Report

Each report shall consist of a Characteristic Form 3400-49 and Lab Report. The Characteristic Report Form 3400-49 shall be submitted electronically by January 31 following each year of analysis.

Following submittal of the electronic Characteristic Report Form 3400-49, this form shall be certified electronically via the 'eReport Certify' page by a responsible executive or municipal officer, manager, partner or proprietor as specified in s. 283.37(3), Wis. Stats., or a duly authorized representative of the officer, manager, partner or proprietor that has been delegated signature authority pursuant to s. NR 205.07(1)(g)2, Wis. Adm. Code. The 'eReport Certify' page certifies that the electronic report is true, accurate and complete. The Lab Report must be sent directly to the facility's DNR sludge representative or basin engineer unless approval for not submitting the lab reports has been given.

The permittee shall use the following convention when reporting sludge monitoring results: Pollutant concentrations less than the limit of detection shall be reported as < (less than) the value of the limit of detection. For example, if a substance is not detected at a detection limit of 1.0 mg/kg, report the pollutant concentration as < 1.0 mg/kg.

All results shall be reported on a dry weight basis.

#### 5.5.5 Calculation of Water Extractable Phosphorus

When sludge analysis for Water Extractable Phosphorus is required by this permit, the permittee shall use the following formula to calculate and report Water Extractable Phosphorus: Water Extractable Phosphorus (% of Total P) = [Water Extractable Phosphorus (mg/kg, dry wt) ÷ Total Phosphorus (mg/kg, dry wt)] x 100

## 5.5.6 Annual Land Application Report

Land Application Report Form 3400-55 shall be submitted electronically by January 31, each year whether or not non-exceptional quality sludge is land applied. Non-exceptional quality sludge is defined in s. NR 204.07(4), Wis. Adm. Code. Following submittal of the electronic Annual Land Application Report Form 3400-55, this form shall be certified electronically via the 'eReport Certify' page by a responsible executive or municipal officer, manager, partner or proprietor as specified in s. 283.37(3), Wis. Stats., or a duly authorized representative of the officer, manager, partner or proprietor that has been delegated signature authority pursuant to s. NR 205.07(1)(g)2, Wis. Adm. Code. The 'eReport Certify' page certifies that the electronic report form is true, accurate and complete.

## 5.5.7 Other Methods of Disposal or Distribution Report

The permittee shall submit electronically the Other Methods of Disposal or Distribution Report Form 3400-52 by January 31, each year whether or not sludge is hauled, landfilled, incinerated, or exceptional quality sludge is distributed or land applied. Following submittal of the electronic Report Form 3400-52, this form shall be certified electronically via the 'eReport Certify' page by a responsible executive or municipal officer, manager, partner or proprietor as specified in s. 283.37(3), Wis. Stats., or a duly authorized representative of the officer, manager, partner or proprietor that has been delegated signature authority pursuant to s. NR 205.07(1)(g)2, Wis. Adm. Code. The 'eReport Certify' page certifies that the electronic report form is true, accurate and complete.

## 5.5.8 Approval to Land Apply

Bulk non-exceptional quality sludge as defined in s. NR 204.07(4), Wis. Adm. Code, may not be applied to land without a written approval letter or Form 3400-122 from the Department unless the Permittee has obtained permission from the Department to self approve sites in accordance with s. NR 204.06 (6), Wis. Adm. Code. Analysis of sludge characteristics is required prior to land application. Application on frozen or snow covered ground is restricted to the extent specified in s. NR 204.07(3) (l), Wis. Adm. Code.

## 5.5.9 Soil Analysis Requirements

Each site requested for approval for land application must have the soil tested prior to use. Each approved site used for land application must subsequently be soil tested such that there is at least one valid soil test in the four years prior to land application. All soil sampling and submittal of information to the testing laboratory shall be done in accordance with UW Extension Bulletin A-2100. The testing shall be done by the UW Soils Lab in Madison or Marshfield, WI or at a lab approved by UW. The test results including the crop recommendations shall be submitted to the DNR contact listed for this permit, as they are available. Application rates shall be determined based on the crop nitrogen recommendations and with consideration for other sources of nitrogen applied to the site.

## 5.5.10 Land Application Site Evaluation

For non-exceptional quality sludge, as defined in s. NR 204.07(4), Wis. Adm. Code, a Land Application Site Request Form 3400-053 shall be submitted to the Department for the proposed land application site. The Department will evaluate the proposed site for acceptability and will either approve or deny use of the proposed site. The permittee may obtain permission to approve their own sites in accordance with s. NR 204.06(6), Wis. Adm. Code.

## 5.5.11 Sludge Hauling

The permittee is required to submit Form 3400-52 to the Department. If sludge is hauled to another facility, information shall include the quantity of sludge hauled, the name, address, phone number, contact person, and permit number of the receiving facility. Form 3400-52 shall be submitted annually by January 31 each year whether or not sludge is hauled.

#### WPDES Permit No. WI-0020591-10-0 City of Mondovi

# 6 Summary of Reports Due

FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Description	Date	Page
Phosphorus Schedule - Continued Optimization -Optimization	June 30, 2019	7
Phosphorus Schedule - Continued Optimization -Progress Report #2	June 30, 2020	7
Phosphorus Schedule - Continued Optimization -Progress Report #3	June 30, 2021	7
Phosphorus Schedule - Continued Optimization -Progress Report #4	June 30, 2022	7
Phosphorus Schedule - Continued Optimization -Progress Report #5	June 30, 2023	7
Phosphorus Multi-Discharger Variance Interim Limit (0.8 mg/L) -Report on Effluent Discharges	December 31, 2018	7
Phosphorus Multi-Discharger Variance Interim Limit (0.8 mg/L) -Action Plan	June 30, 2019	7
Phosphorus Multi-Discharger Variance Interim Limit (0.8 mg/L) -Initiate Actions	March 31, 2020	7
Phosphorus Multi-Discharger Variance Interim Limit (0.8 mg/L) -Complete Actions	October 1, 2020	7
Phosphorus Payment per Pound to County -Annual Verification of Phosphorus Payment to County	March 1, 2019	7
Phosphorus Payment per Pound to County -Annual Verification of Payment #2	March 1, 2020	8
Phosphorus Payment per Pound to County -Annual Verification of Payment #3	March 1, 2021	8
Phosphorus Payment per Pound to County -Annual Verification of Payment #4	March 1, 2022	8
Phosphorus Payment per Pound to County -Annual Verification of Payment #5	March 1, 2023	8
Phosphorus Payment per Pound to County -Continued Coverage	See Permit	8
Phosphorus Payment per Pound to County -Annual Verification of Payment After Permit Expiration	See Permit	8
Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports (CMAR)	by June 30, each year	10
General Sludge Management Form 3400-48	prior to any significant sludge management changes	19
Characteristic Form 3400-49 and Lab Report	by January 31 following each year of analysis	19
Land Application Report Form 3400-55	by January 31, each year whether or not non-exceptional	20

	quality sludge is land applied	
Other Methods of Disposal or Distribution Report Form 3400-52	by January 31, each year whether or not sludge is hauled, landfilled, incinerated, or exceptional quality sludge is distributed or land applied	20
Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report	no later than the date indicated on the form	9

Report forms shall be submitted electronically in accordance with the reporting requirements herein. Any facility plans or plans and specifications for municipal, industrial, industrial pretreatment and non industrial wastewater systems shall be submitted to the Bureau of Water Quality, P.O. Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921. All <u>other</u> submittals required by this permit shall be submitted to: West Central Region, 1300 W. Clairemont Ave., Eau Claire, WI 54701
Appendix B

2018 CMAR

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/13/2019	2018

#### **Influent Flow and Loading**

1. Monthly Av 1.1 Verify the	erage Fle e followii	ows and (C)B	OD L ows a	.oadings and (C)BOD loac	lings	s to you	facility		
Influent No. 701	Influ Avera	ent Monthly ge Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L		y x C g/L	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January		0.2230	X	258		X	8.34	=	480
February		0.2143	x	273		x	8.34	=	487
March		0.2213	x	297		x	8.34	=	547
April		0.2198	x	263		x	8.34	=	483
May		0.1866	x	345		x	8.34	=	536
June	1	0.1936	x	317		x	8.34	=	513
July	1	0.1695	x	355		x	8,34	=	502
August	1	0.1712	1 x	330		x	8.34	=	472
September		0.2106	x	291		x	8.34	=	511
October		0.2016	x	270		x	8.34	=	454
November		0.1927	x	285		x	8.34	=	458
December	· .	0.1882	x	309		x	8.34	=	485
2.1 Verify the	design	flow and load	ing f	or your facility.		ng 			-
	Design		D	esign Factor	х	Q	6	=	% of Design
Max Month D	Design Flow, MGD			.38	х	9	0	=	0.342
					х	1	00	=	.38
Design (C)BO	D, lbs/d	ay 850		850	x	9	0	=	765
					х	10	00	=	850
2.2 Verify the earned, and s	numbei core:	r of times the	flow	and (C)BOD ex	ceed	ded 90%	or 100	% 0	f design, points
	Months of Influent	Number of ti flow was gre than 90%	Number of times Number of times Number of times flow was greater flow was greater (C)BOD was greater than 90% of than 100% of than 90% of design t				Number of times (C)BOD was greater than 100% of design		
January	1	0		0			0		0 ·
February	1	0		0		·····	0		0
April	1	0		0		0 0		0	
May	1	0		0			0		0
June	1	0		0			0		· · ·
July	1	0		0	$\neg$		0 .		0
August	1	0		0	1		0		0
September	1	0		0		0			0
October	1	0		0			0		0
November	1	0		0			0		0
December		0		0			0		0
Points per ea	ch	2		1			3		2
Exceedances		0		0			0		0
Points		0		0			0		0

Mondovi Wastewate	r Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	Reporting Fo 2018
<ul> <li>3. Flow Meter</li> <li>3.1 Was the influent</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	flow meter calibrated in the last yea Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/Y 03/15/2018	ar? (YYY)	
o No If No, please explai	n:		
<ul> <li>4. Sewer Use Ordinar</li> <li>4.1 Did your community</li> <li>excessive convention</li> <li>industries, commerce</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	nce nity have a sewer use ordinance that nal pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or ial users, hauled waste, or residence	t limited or prohibited the discharge r toxic substances to the sewer fron s?	e of n
If No, please expla	ain:		
<ul> <li>4.2 Was it necessary</li> <li>o Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If Yes, please exp</li> </ul>	y to enforce the ordinance? lain:		
<ol> <li>Septage Receiving</li> <li>1 Did you have re</li> <li>Septic Tanks</li> </ol>	quests to receive septage at your fac Holding Tanks Grease T	cility? Fraps	
• Yes	• Yes O Yes		
	o No ● No	ate volume in gallons	
5.2 Did you receive Septic Tanks • Yes	339,700 gallons		
<ul> <li>○ No</li> <li>Holding Tanks</li> <li>● Yes</li> </ul>	64,900 gallons		
0 No Grease Traps 0 Yes	gallons		
<ul> <li>No</li> <li>5.2.1 If yes to any any of these waster</li> </ul>	of the above, please explain if plant	performance is affected when rece	living
plant was not affe	ected		
6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility or hazardous situat commercial or indu o Yes	experience operational problems, p ions in the sewer system or treatme strial discharges in the last year?	ermit violations, biosolids quality control of the second se	oncerns,
• NO If yes, describe t	he situation and your community's re	esponse.	
6.2 Did your facilit	v accept hauled industrial wastes, lar	ndfill leachate, etc.?	]

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	Reporting For: 2018
o Yes		

• No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

#### Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility

### Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

4	Effluont	(C)ROD	Doculto
1.	Ennuent		Nesuits

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit	
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit	
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance	
January	25	22.5	2	1	0	0	
February	25	22.5	7	1	0	0	
March	25	22.5	4	1	0	0	
April	25	22.5	4	1	0	0	
May	25	22.5	4	1	0	0	
June	25	22.5	2	1	0	0	
July	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
August	25	22.5	2	1	0	0	
September	25	22.5	2	1	0	0	
October	25	22.5	2	1	0	0	0
November	25	22.5	2	1	0	0	
December	25	22.5	3	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	s <= 10			
Months of c	lischarge/vr			12			
Points per e	each exceedan	ce with 12 mo	nths of discharge		7	3	]
Exceedance					0.	0	]
Points					0	0	
Total num	her of points					0	1
NOTE: For exceedance the number of the yea 1.2 If any y	systems that the for this sect or of months o r, the multiplic violations occu	discharge inte ion shall be ba f discharge. Ex cation factor is rred, what act	rmittently to state sed upon a multip cample: For a was 12/6 = 2.0 ion was taken to r	waters, the p lication factor tewater facility egain complian	oints per mont of 12 months / discharging o nce?	niy divided by nly 6 months	
							<u> </u>
2. Flow Met 2.1 Was th o Yes	ter Calibration e effluent flow Ente	meter calibrat r last calibrati	ted in the last yea on date (MM/DD/Y	r? YYY)			
• No	Kanangang Proto -						
If No, plea	ase explain:						-1
N/A							
						-	
3. Treatme	nt Problems	v were experi	enced over the las	st year that th	reatened treat	ment?	_
5.1 What p	eme	<b>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</b>					
4. Other Mc 4.1 At any such as ch o Yes ● No	onitoring and L time in the pa lorides, pH, re	imits ist year was th sidual chlorine	ere an exceedanc , fecal coliform, or	e of a permit l r metals?	imit for any ot	her pollutants	

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2018 5/13/2019

.

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	Reporting For 2018
If Yes, please explain:		
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute of toxicity (WET) test? o Yes	or chronic whole e	ffluent
• No	,	
If Yes, please explain:		
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to ide source(s) of toxicity? o Yes	entify and/or reduc	ce
O NO		
• N/A		
Please explain unless not applicable:		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Mondovi Wastewater	<b>Treatment Facility</b>
--------------------	---------------------------

Last Updated:	Reporting	For
5/13/2019	2018	

# Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance	
January	30	27	18	1	0	0	
February	30	27	13	1	0.	0	
March	30	27	16	1	0	0	
April	30	27	14	1 .	0	0	
May	30	27	15	1	0	0	
June	30	27	15	1	0	0	
July	30	27	13	1	0	0	
August	30	27	14	1	0	0	
September	30	27	16	1	0	0	
October	30	27	19	1	0	0	
November	30	27	19	1	0	0	0
December	30	27	18	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	s <= 10	-		
Months of D	Discharge/yr			12			
Points per	each exceed	ance with 12	months of discl	narge:	7	3	
Exceedance	25				0	0	
Points					0	0	
Total Num	ber of Points					0	
NOTE: For exceedance the number Example: factor is 1 1.2 If any	r systems that the for this sect er of months o For a wastews 2/6 = 2.0 violations occu	discharge inte ion shall be ba f discharge. ater facility dis rred, what act	rmittently to state sed upon a multip scharging only 6 m ion was taken to r	waters, the p lication factor onths of the y egain complia	oints per mont of 12 months ear, the multip nce?	hly divided by blication	

Total Points Generated	. 0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

#### Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility

# Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/13/2019 2018

# Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit
	phosphorus Limit (ma/L)	Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance
lanuary	1	0.447	1	0
February	1	0.566	1	0
March	1	0.601	1	0
April	1	0.537	1 .	0
May	1	0.484	1	0
June	1	0.484	1	0
July	1	0.792	1	0
August	1	0.763	1	0
September	1	0.588	1	0
October	1	0.646	1	0
November	1	0.737	1	0
December	1	0.735	1	0
Months of Discharc	je/yr		12	
Points per each e	exceedance with 1	2 months of dischar	rge:	10
Exceedances				0
Total Number of	Points			0
NOTE: For system exceedance for thi the number of mo Example: For a wa	s that discharge int is section shall be b nths of discharge. astewater facility dis	ermittently to waters of ased upon a multiplica scharging only 6 mont	of the state, the poin ition factor of 12 moins hs of the year, the m	ts per monthly nths divided by nultiplication factor

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

,

3/13/2019		2018
ur system ty	/pe suc	:h
ction was tal	ken? ast yea ous 4	ar?
facility durin	ng the High	last Ceiling
<u> </u>		-
	$+$ $\frac{1}{n}$	
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	+	
	+	+
.5	<u> </u>	+
j.4 0		
.4 0		0
.6 0		0
.90	0	0
	7 7 5 4 0 4 0 6 0 30 R 80% of the second	7     0       7     0       5     0       4     0       4     0       6     0       90     0       R<80% of the limit

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility		Last Updated: Reportin 5/13/2019 <b>201</b>	
<ul> <li>0 1-2 (10 Points)</li> <li>0 &gt; 2 (15 Points)</li> <li>3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quantum</li> </ul>	ality limits, did you cumulatively trac	k the metals loadin	g at
each land application site? (check	applicable box)		
o No (10 points)			
<ul> <li>N/A - Did not exceed limits or r</li> </ul>	no HO limit applies (0 points)		
o N/A - Did not land apply biosoli	ids until limit was met (0 points)		
3.1.3 Number of times any of the	metals exceeded the ceiling limits =	0	
Exceedence Points			0
• 0 (0 Points)			
0 1 (10 Points)			
0 > 1 (15 Points)	which exceeded the ceiling limit?		
3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied	which exceeded the centry innet		
o Yes (20 Points)			
• NO (0 Points)	lity or ceiling) was exceeded at any t	ime, what action w	as taken?
3.1.5 If any metal mine (mgn que	n identified?		
Has the source of the metals been			
4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):	n. If any information is incorrect, us	e the Report Issue	button
<ul> <li>4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):</li> <li>4.1 Verify the following informatio under the Options header in the le</li> </ul>	n. If any information is incorrect, us ft-side menu.	e the Report Issue	button
4. Pathogen Control (per outfall): 4.1 Verify the following informatio under the Options header in the le Outfall Number: Biosolids Class:	n. If any information is incorrect, us ft-side menu.	e the Report Issue	button
<ul> <li>4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):</li> <li>4.1 Verify the following informatio under the Options header in the le</li> <li>Outfall Number:</li> <li>Biosolids Class:</li> <li>Bacteria Type and Limit:</li> </ul>	n. If any information is incorrect, us ft-side menu.	e the Report Issue	button
4. Pathogen Control (per outfall): 4.1 Verify the following informatio under the Options header in the le Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates:	n. If any information is incorrect, us ft-side menu.	e the Report Issue	button
4. Pathogen Control (per outfall): 4.1 Verify the following informatio under the Options header in the le Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density:	n. If any information is incorrect, us ft-side menu.	e the Report Issue	button
4. Pathogen Control (per outfall): 4.1 Verify the following informatio under the Options header in the le Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density: Sample Concentration Amount:	n. If any information is incorrect, us ft-side menu.	e the Report Issue	button
4. Pathogen Control (per outfall): 4.1 Verify the following informatio under the Options header in the le Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density: Sample Concentration Amount: Requirement Met:	n. If any information is incorrect, us ft-side menu. - - No	e the Report Issue	button
4. Pathogen Control (per outfall): 4.1 Verify the following informatio under the Options header in the le Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density: Sample Concentration Amount: Requirement Met: Land Applied:	n. If any information is incorrect, us ft-side menu. - - No No	e the Report Issue	button
<ul> <li>4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):</li> <li>4.1 Verify the following informatio under the Options header in the le</li> <li>Outfall Number:</li> <li>Biosolids Class:</li> <li>Bacteria Type and Limit:</li> <li>Sample Dates:</li> <li>Density:</li> <li>Sample Concentration Amount:</li> <li>Requirement Met:</li> <li>Land Applied:</li> </ul>	n. If any information is incorrect, us ft-side menu. - - No No	e the Report Issue	button

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facil	ity	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	Reporting Fo 2018
Outfall Number:			
Method Date:			
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:			
Requirement Met:	Νο		
Land Applied:	Νο		
Limit (if applicable):			
Results (if applicable):			0
<ul> <li>o Yes (40 Points)</li> <li>No</li> <li>If yes, what action was taken?</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>6. Biosolids Storage</li> <li>6.1 How many days of actual, current be facility have either on-site or off-site?</li> <li>&gt;= 180 days (0 Points)</li> <li>150 - 179 days (10 Points)</li> <li>120 - 149 days (20 Points)</li> <li>90 - 119 days (30 Points)</li> <li>&lt; 90 days (40 Points)</li> <li>&lt; N/A (0 Points)</li> <li>6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain</li> </ul>	piosolids storage capacity did you why.	r wastewater treat	ment 0
7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids n/a	issues with treatment, use or ov	erall management	:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	Reporting For 2018
Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment	: Plants)	
<ol> <li>Plant Staffing</li> <li>1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last yea</li> <li>Yes</li> </ol>	ir?	
O NO If No, please explain:		
Could use more help/staff for:		
<ul> <li>1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operat fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>	e and maintain the p	lant and
If No, please explain:		
<ul> <li>2. Preventative Maintenance</li> <li>2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for promajor equipment items?</li> <li>Yes (Continue with question 2) □□</li> <li>o No (40 points)□□</li> </ul>	eventative maintena	nce on
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:		
<ul> <li>2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of i and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	intervals, types of lul	orication,
<ul> <li>o No (10 points)</li> <li>2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equivalent of the so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	quipment repairs, rec	orded and
<ul> <li>Paper file system</li> <li>Computer system</li> <li>Both paper and computer system</li> <li>No (10 points)</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>3. O&amp;M Manual</li> <li>3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&amp;M and Manufacturer Equipments as a reference when needed?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	ent Manuals that can	be used
<ul> <li>4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs</li> <li>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</li> <li>o Excellent</li> </ul>		
o Very good • Good • Fair		
o Poor Describe your rating:		
Plant is getting old		

,

Compliance France Fra

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility			vi Wastewater Treatment FacilityLast Updated: Reporting5/13/20192018		ig For: . <b>8</b>	
Operator	Certification and Educat	ion				
1. Operator 1.1 Did yc • Yes (0 o No (20 Name: RA Certificat	r-In-Charge ou have a designated operator-in points) D points) NDALL K GRUBER tion No: 32263	-charge during the	e report year?			0
2. Certifica 2.1 In acc and subcla treatment Sub	tion Requirements ordance with Chapter NR 114.56 ass(es) were required for the ope plant and what level and subcla SubClass Description	5 and 114.57, Wisc erator-in-charge (6 ss(es) were held t WWTP	consin Adminis DIC) to operate by the operator	trative Code the wastev -in-charge? OIC	e, what level water	]
Class		Basic	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
A1	Suspended Growth Processes					
A2	Attached Growth Processes	Х		Х		
A3	Recirculating Media Filters			·		
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural					
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid					
В	Solids Separation	Х		Х		
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х		Х		0
Р	Total Phosphorus	Х		Х		
N	Total Nitrogen					
D	Disinfection	Х		X		
L	Laboratory	Х		Х		
U	Unique Treatment Systems					
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	NA	NA	
2.2 Was t plant? (No only.) ● Yes (0 ○ No (2	the operator-in-charge certified a ote: Certification in subclass SS, points) 0 points)	it the appropriate N and A5 not requ	level and subcl uired in 2018; :	ass(es) to c subclass SS	operate this is basic level	
3. Success 3.1 In the to ensure of the foll ⊠ One o □ An an ⊠ An an □ An ope be cer □ A con □ None If "None	sion Planning e event of the loss of your design the continued proper operation lowing options (check all that app or more additional certified opera rangement with another certified rangement with another commun erator on staff who has an operat tified within one year sultant to serve as your certified of the above (20 points) e of the above" is selected, please	nated operator-in- and maintenance ply)? tors on staff operator nity with a certified tor-in-training cert operator e explain:	charge, did you of the plant the d operator cificate for your	have a cor at includes o	ntingency plan one or more is expected to	0
L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4. Continu	ing Education Credits					

.

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	Reporting For: 2018
<ul> <li>4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge Education Credits at the following rates?</li> <li>OIT and Basic Certification: <ul> <li>Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.</li> <li>Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.</li> <li>Advanced Certification: <ul> <li>Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.</li> <li>Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.</li> <li>Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	e earning Contin	uing

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/13/2019 <b>2018</b>
Financial Management	
1. Provider of Financial Information Name: Dawn Moy	
Telephone: 715-926-3866	(XXX) XXX-XXXX
E-Mail Address (optional):	
<ul> <li>2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues</li> <li>2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover treatment plant AND/OR collection system ?</li> <li>Yes (0 points) □□</li> <li>o No (40 points)</li> <li>If No. please explain:</li> </ul>	O&M expenses for your wastewater
<ul> <li>2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue so Year:</li> <li>2018</li> <li>0-2 years ago (0 points) □□</li> <li>o 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□</li> <li>o N/A (private facility)</li> </ul>	ource(s) last reviewed and/or revised?
<ul> <li>2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required so financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipplant and/or collection system?</li> <li>Yes (0 points)</li> </ul>	egregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or pment for your wastewater treatment
O NO (40 points)	HALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]
<ul> <li>3. Equipment Replacement Funds</li> <li>3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last revier Year: <ul> <li>2017</li> <li>1-2 years ago (0 points)□□</li> <li>3 or more years ago (20 points)□□</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>If N/A, please explain:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	ewed and/or revised?
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity	¢ 173 518 55
<b>3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR</b> 3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	\$ 173,518,55
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance 3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee,	+ \$ 3,122.52

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Reporting F 5/13/2019 <b>2018</b>
<ul> <li>3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box</li> <li>3.2.6.1 below*)</li> <li>3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR</li> </ul>	\$ 1,809.66 \$ 174,831.41
All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc. 3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or ma RBC Chain 3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was original Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated a instructions and an example can be found by clicking the Secti header in the left-side menu. 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)? o Yes • No If No, please explain. Transfer Deposit of \$18,400.00 made to the City Replacement balance equal to or greater than #3.3.	ajor repairs from 3.2.5 above. 193,217.89 ly based on the Financial as needed. Further calculation onInstructions link under Info : Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or ent fund in 2019 to bring the
<ul> <li>4. Future Planning</li> <li>4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal plot or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system</li> <li>Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if no</li> </ul>	anning for upgrading, rehabilitating, em? ot already listed below.ロロ
Project Project Description #	Estimated Approximate Cost Construction Year
1 Planning For a New WWTP.	7000000 2022
5. Financial Management General Comments	
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE	
6. Collection System 6.1 Energy Usage 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different ener	gy sources: d
COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumer	3

	ewater meatment rach		5/13/2019	2018
	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)		
January	1,899			
February	2,061			
March	2,117			
April	1,543			
May	788			
June	606		,	
July	576			
August	478			
September	594			
October	635			
November	561		· (	
December	618		:	
Total	12,476	0		
Average	1,040	0		
6.1.2 Comm .2 Energy R 6.2.1 Indicat ⊠ Comminu	ents: elated Processes and Equi te equipment and practice ution or Screening	ipment es utilized at your pump/lift sta	tions (Check all that	apply):
6.1.2 Comm 6.2 Energy Re 6.2.1 Indicat Comminu Extended Flow Met Pneumat SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other:	ents: elated Processes and Equi te equipment and practice ution or Screening I Shaft Pumps ering and Recording ic Pumping System hing Pumps sible Pumps Speed Drives	ipment es utilized at your pump/lift sta	tions (Check all that	apply):
6.1.2 Comm 6.2 Energy Re 6.2.1 Indicat Comminu Extended Flow Met Pneumat SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other:	ents: elated Processes and Equi te equipment and practice ution or Screening I Shaft Pumps ering and Recording ic Pumping System hing Pumps sible Pumps Speed Drives	ipment es utilized at your pump/lift sta	tions (Check all that	apply):
6.1.2 Comm 6.2 Energy Re 6.2.1 Indicat Comminu Extended Flow Met Pneumat SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other: 6.2.2 Comm	ents: elated Processes and Equi te equipment and practice ution or Screening I Shaft Pumps ering and Recording ic Pumping system ning Pumps sible Pumps Speed Drives	ipment es utilized at your pump/lift sta	tions (Check all that	apply):
6.1.2 Comm 6.2 Energy Re 6.2.1 Indicat Comminu Extended Flow Met Pneumat SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other: 6.2.2 Comm	ents: elated Processes and Equi te equipment and practice ution or Screening 1 Shaft Pumps tering and Recording tic Pumping System hing Pumps sible Pumps Speed Drives	ipment es utilized at your pump/lift sta	tions (Check all that	apply):
6.1.2 Comm	ents: elated Processes and Equi te equipment and practice ution or Screening 1 Shaft Pumps tering and Recording ic Pumping system ning Pumps sible Pumps Speed Drives eents:	ipment es utilized at your pump/lift sta ned for your pump/lift stations	tions (Check all that	apply):
6.1.2 Comm	ents:	ipment es utilized at your pump/lift sta ned for your pump/lift stations	tions (Check all that	apply):
6.1.2 Comm 6.2 Energy Re 6.2.1 Indicat Comminu Extended Flow Met Pneumat SCADA S Self-Prim Submers Variable Other: 6.2.2 Comm 6.3 Has an E  A No O Yes Year: By Whom: Describe at	ents: elated Processes and Equi te equipment and practice ution or Screening d Shaft Pumps ering and Recording ic Pumping system ning Pumps sible Pumps Speed Drives ents: nergy Study been perform	ipment es utilized at your pump/lift sta ned for your pump/lift stations	tions (Check all that	apply):

ondovi Wa	stewater Tr	eatment Facili	ty		Last Upd 5/13/20	ated: Reportir 19 <b>201</b>
6.4 Future	Energy Relate	ed Equipment				
6.4.1 Wha pump/lift s	t energy effic stations?	ient equipment	or practices d	o you have plan	ned for the futu	ire for your
n/a						
7. Treatmen 7.1 Energy 7.1.1 Ente <b>TREATME</b>	t Facility Usage or the monthly <b>ENT PLANT:</b>	y energy usage <b>Total Power Co</b>	from the differ	ent energy sou <b>nth</b>	rces:	
	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	45,240	6.91	6,547	14.88	3,040	340
February	40,600	6.00	6,767	13.64	2,977	305
March	39,920	6.86	5,819	16.96	2,354	251
April	37,520	6.59	5,693	14.49	2,589	172
May	33,240	5.78	5,751	16.62	2,000	18
June	30,000	5.81	5,164	15.39	1,949	. 38
July	35,240	5.25	6,712	15.56	2,265	4
August	32,450	5.31	6,111	14.63	2,218	1
September	36,880	6.32	5,835	15.33	2,406	19
October	37,360	6.25	5,978	14.07	2,655	130
November	32,680	5.78	5,654	13.74	2,378	272
December	42,640	5.83	7,314	15.04	2,835	294
		72.69		180.35		1,844
Total	443,770					

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):

Aerobic Digestion

□ Anaerobic Digestion

Biological Phosphorus Removal

Coarse Bubble Diffusers

Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control

Effluent Pumping

Fine Bubble Diffusers

Influent Pumping

□ Mechanical Sludge Processing

□ Nitrification

SCADA System

UV Disinfection

□ Variable Speed Drives

Other:

7.2.2 Comments:         7.3 Future Energy Related Équipment         7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?         8. Biogas Generation         8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?         • No         • Yes         If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):         Flared Off         Building Heat         Process Heat         Generate Electricity         Other:         Image: Comment:         9. Energy Efficiency Study         9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?         • No         • Yes         B Entire facility         Year:         2015         By Whom:         place and Comment:         Did not seem needed at this time         Part of the facility         Year:         By Whom:         Describe and Comment:         By Whom:         Describe and Comment:         Describe and Comment:	Iondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Reporting F 5/13/2019 <b>2018</b>
7.2.2 Comments:         7.3 Future Energy Related Équipment         7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?         8. Biogas Generation         8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?         • No         • Yes         If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):         Flared Off         Building Heat         Process Heat         Generate Electricity         Other:		
2.3 Future Energy Related Equipment         7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?         8. Biogas Generation         8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?         • No         • Yes         If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):         ☐ Hared Off         ☐ Building Heat         ☐ Process Heat         ☐ Generate Electricity         ☐ Other:	7.2.2 Comments:	
<ul> <li>7.3 Future Energy Related Équipment</li> <li>7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?</li> <li>8. Biogas Generation</li> <li>8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):</li> <li>Flared Off</li> <li>Building Heat</li> <li>Process Heat</li> <li>Generate Electricity</li> <li>O ther:</li> </ul> </li> <li>9. Energy Efficiency Study</li> <li>9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>gentre facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Part of the facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Part of the facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>Bescribe and Comment:</li> </ul>		
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?         8. Biogas Generation         8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?         • No         o Yes         If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):         □ Flared Off         □ Building Heat         □ Process Heat         □ Generate Electricity         ○ Other:         2         9. Energy Efficiency Study         9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?         o No         • Yes         ⊠ Entire facility         2015         By Whom:         beimans         Describe and Comment:         Did not seem needed at this time         □ Part of the facility         Year:         By Whom:         Describe and Comment:	7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment	
8. Biogas Generation          8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?         • No         • Yes         If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):         □ Flared Off         □ Building Heat         □ Process Heat         □ Generate Electricity         □ Other:         □         □         9. Energy Efficiency Study         9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?         • Yes         ⊠ Entrie facility         Year:         □         Did not seem needed at this time         □ Part of the facility         Year:         By Whom:         □         By Whom:         □         Did not seem needed at this time         □         By Whom:         □         □         By Whom:         □	7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have treatment facility?	planned for the future for your
8. Biogas Generation          8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?         • No         • Yes         If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):            Flared Off            Building Heat            Process Heat            Generate Electricity            Other:            Other:            Jhas an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?         • No         • Yes            Entire facility         Year:            Did not seem needed at this time            Did not seem needed at this time            Part of the facility         Year:            By Whom:            Describe and Comment:            Describe and Comment:		
8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?         ● No         o Yes         If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):         □ Hared Off         □ Building Heat         □ Process Heat         □ Generate Electricity         □ Other:	8. Biogas Generation	
<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes <ul> <li>If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):</li> <li>Flared Off</li> <li>Building Heat</li> <li>Process Heat</li> <li>Generate Electricity</li> <li>Other:</li> </ul> </li> <li>9. Energy Efficiency Study</li> <li>9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Entire facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>2015</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?	
If Yes, how is the blogas used (clices of all divergent)   ☐ Flared Off   ☐ Building Heat   ☐ Generate Electricity   ☐ Other:   9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? o No • Yes ⊠ Entire facility Year: 2015 By Whom: becribe and Comment: Describe and Comment: Describe and Comment:	• Yes	
<ul> <li>Building Heat</li> <li>Process Heat</li> <li>Generate Electricity</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>9. Energy Efficiency Study</li> <li>9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Entire facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>2015</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>Beimans</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Part of the facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>By Whom:</li></ul>	If Yes, how is the blogas used (Check all that appropriate Flared Off	
<ul> <li>□ Process Heat</li> <li>□ Generate Electricity</li> <li>□ Other:</li> <li>□ Other:</li> <li>□ Process Study</li> <li>9. Energy Efficiency Study</li> <li>9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?</li> <li>o No</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>∞ Entire facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>2015</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>bescribe and Comment:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>□ Part of the facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>Whom:</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> </ul>	Building Heat	
<ul> <li>Other:</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>Second at this time</li> <li>Part of the facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Dat of the facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> </ul>	☐ Process field □ Generate Electricity	
9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? o No • Yes S Entire facility Year: 2015 By Whom: Seimans Describe and Comment: Did not seem needed at this time Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:	□ Other:	
9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? o No • Yes ☑ Entire facility Year: 2015 By Whom: Eseimans Describe and Comment: Did not seem needed at this time □ Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:		
<ul> <li>9. Energy Efficiency Study</li> <li>9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>2015</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>bescribe and Comment:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Part of the facility</li> <li>Year:</li> <li>By Whom:</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> </ul></li></ul>		
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?         o No         • Yes         ⊠ Entire facility         Year:         2015         By Whom:         seimans         Describe and Comment:         Did not seem needed at this time         □ Part of the facility         Year:         □         Describe and Comment:         Describe and Comment:         □         Describe and Comment:         □ <td>9. Energy Efficiency Study</td> <td></td>	9. Energy Efficiency Study	
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Zo15</li> <li>By Whom: <ul> <li>seimans</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> <li>Did not seem needed at this time</li> <li>Part of the facility</li> <li>Year: <ul> <li>Year:</li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Describe and Comment:</li> </ul></li></ul>	9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment fa	cility?
☑ Entire facility   Year:   2015   By Whom:   seimans   Describe and Comment:   Did not seem needed at this time   □ Part of the facility   Year:   By Whom:   By Whom:   Describe and Comment:   Describe and Comment:	• Yes	
Year: 2015 By Whom: seimans Describe and Comment: Did not seem needed at this time Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:	Entire facility	
By Whom: seimans Describe and Comment: Did not seem needed at this time Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:	2015	
Describe and Comment: Did not seem needed at this time Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:	By Whom: seimans	
Did not seem needed at this time  Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:	Describe and Comment:	
Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:	Did not seem needed at this time	
Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:	□ Part of the facility	
By Whom: Describe and Comment:	Year:	
Describe and Comment:	By Whom:	
Describe and Comment:		
	Describe and Comment:	

.

Last Updated: Reporting For: Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility 5/13/2019 2018

The La Sub-Concreted	0
Total Points Generated)	100
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	Α
Section Grade	

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	2018
Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems		
<ol> <li>Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Progra</li> <li>1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ol>	m	
If No, explain:		
anon measure that contains all the applicable cor	nponents and items	
<ul> <li>1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains and a real according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>		~
o No (30 points) o N/A		
If No or N/A, explain:		
<ul> <li>L</li> <li>1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and components and items that apply)</li> <li>☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]</li> </ul>	items? (check the	
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last you had for your collection system last you had to you had t	Marked maps with p	roblem
spots.		
Did you accomplish them? • Yes • No If No, explain:	-	
<ul> <li>□ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]□□</li> <li>□ Does this chapter of your CMOM include:</li> <li>□ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart</li> <li>□ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities</li> <li>□ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the depart</li> </ul>	and position descrip	otions) lic
Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)] What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of you Sewer use ordinance If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, wh	ir sewer system? en was it last review	ved and
<ul> <li>Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document</li> <li>Private property inflow and infiltration</li> <li>New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installatio</li> <li>Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and installation</li> </ul>	address the followir n, testing and inspe- pection itored and controlled	ig: ction J, as
<ul> <li>Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are interview of the matrix necessary</li> <li>Fat, oil and grease control</li> <li>Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance</li> <li>Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]</li> <li>Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment in</li> <li>Equipment and replacement part inventories</li> </ul>	clude the following:	

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/13/2019 <b>2018</b>
<ul> <li>□ A management system (computer database and/or file system) information for O&amp;M activities, investigation and rehabilitation</li> <li>□ A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (se</li> <li>□ Capacity assessment program</li> <li>□ Basement back assessment and correction</li> <li>□ Regular O&amp;M training</li> <li>□ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]□□</li> <li>What standards and procedures are established for the design, con the sewer collection system, including building sewers and intercep property?</li> <li>□ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Muni</li> </ul>	for collection system ee question 2 below) struction, and inspection of tor sewers on private cipal Code Requirements
Construction, Inspection, and resting	
□ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]□□	0
Does your emergency response capability include:	U U
Responsible personnel communication procedures	
Response order, timing and clean-up	
Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures	5
Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)]	
Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply).	
$\Box \text{ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES)}$	
Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP)	
Lift Station Evaluation Report	
Others:	
2. Operation and Maintenance2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the am CleaningCleaning25Root removal25Flow monitoring0Smoke testing0	n include the following ount maintained. ar
Sewer line televising 10 % of system/yea	r
Manhole 25 % of system/yea	r ·
inspections 25 # per L.S./vear	
Lift station O&M	
Manhole 1 % of manholes rehabilitation	ehabbed
Mainline rehabilitation 1 % of sewer lines	rehabbed
Private sewer 0 % of system/yea	r

ondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	Reporting F 2018
Private sewer I/I emoval 0 % of private services River or water crossings 0 % of pipe crossings evalue Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection	uated or maintair system below:	ied
<ul> <li>Performance Indicators</li> <li>3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the participation and the following collection system and flow information for the participation and the following collection system and flow information for the participation and the following collection system and flow information for the participation and the following collection system and flow information for the participation and the participat</li></ul>	ast year. hes vg)	
4. Overflows           LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) O           Date           Location	FERFLOWS REPO Cause E Vo	RTED ** Estimated Iume (MG)
None reported     None reported     ** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please cont     on this section until corrected.	tact the DNR and	stop work
<ul> <li>5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)</li> <li>5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>If Yes, please describe:</li> </ul>	e or created probl	ems in

o Yes

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	Reporting For: 2018
● No		
If Yes, please describe:		
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from pre	vious years:	
none		
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collect	tion system?	
manhole re-hab		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	<b>A</b>

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/13/2019	2018

#### **Grading Summary**

WPDES No: 0020591

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	A	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	A	4	5	20
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12
Biosolids	A	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	A	4	1	4
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS		1	32	128
GRADE POINT AVE	$RAGE\left(GPA\right) = 4.00$			

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/13/2019	Reporting For <b>2018</b>
Resolution or Owner's Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner:		
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:		
Date of Submittal:		-
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER REL SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, o Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A	ATING TO SPECIF	
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A		
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A		
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grade = A		
Financial Management: Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSC	Os were reported)	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RE GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. G.P.A. = 4.00	LATING TO THE OV less than 3.00)	/ERALL

Appendix C

Final Phosphorus Compliance Alternatives Plan



# **Evaluation Report**

Final Phosphorus Compliance Alternatives Evaluation

City of Mondovi, Buffalo County, WI MONDO 143175 | September 14, 2017



Building a Better World for All of Us<sup>®</sup> Engineers | Architects | Planners | Scientists

# **Evaluation Report**

Evaluation Report City of Mondovi, Buffalo County, WI

> Prepared for: City of Mondovi Mondovi, Wisconsin

Prepared by: Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc. 10 North Bridge Street Chippewa Falls, WI 54729-2550 715.720.6200



# Contents

Title Page Table of Contents

1	Intro 1.1	Dduction
2	Opti 2.1 2.2 2.3	mization of the Existing WWTP 2 Introduction 2 Feasibility 2 Cost Analysis 3
3	Exis 3.1 3.2 3.3	ting WWTP Modifications
4	Ada 4.1 4.2 4.3	ptive Management
5	Wat 5.1 5.2 5.3	er Quality Trading
6	Alte 6.1 6.2 6.3	rnate Discharge Location
7	Land 7.1 7.2 7.3	d Disposal of Effluent
8	Indiv 8.1	vidual Economic Variance

# Contents (continued)

	8.2	Feasibility	8
	8.3	Cost Analysis	9
9	Stat	ewide (multi-discharger) Economic Variance Act	
	378	-	9
	9.1	Introduction	.9
	9.2	Feasibility1	0
	9.3	Cost Analysis1	0
10	Rec	ommendation1	0

#### List of Tables

Table 1 – Summary of User Charge Rates	9
Table 2 – Summary of Costs	11

#### List of Appendices

Appendix A	WPDES Permit
Appendix B	Phosphorus Optimization Worksheet
Appendix C	Existing WWTP Modifications – Estimate and Present Worth Analysis
Appendix D	Harvey Creek – Buffalo River Watershed Map
Appendix E	Watershed HUC-12 Delineation
Appendix F	Water Quality Trading Present Worth Analysis
Appendix G	Land Disposal Estimate and Present Worth Analysis
Appendix H	Draft Individual Economic Variance Worksheets
Appendix I	MDV Present Worth

# **Evaluation Report**

## Final Phosphorus Compliance Alternatives Evaluation

Prepared for City of Mondovi

# 1 Introduction

The purpose of this evaluation is to address alternatives the City of Mondovi may utilize to meet the new wastewater effluent phosphorus requirement/limit. A 20-year present worth analysis was prepared for each of the feasible compliance alternatives. Currently, the phosphorus limit is 1.0 mg/L with the final calculated water quality based effluent limitation for phosphorus at 0.075 mg/L. A copy of the WPDES Permit including phosphorus effluent limits and compliance schedule, is included as Appendix A. This report is being submitted to meet the Final Compliance Alternatives Plan compliance item.

The following compliance alternatives are evaluated in this report:

- Optimization of the Existing WWTP
- Existing WWTP modifications
- Adaptive Management
- Water Quality Trading
- Alternate Discharge Location
- Land Disposal of Effluent
- Individual Economic Variance
- Statewide Multi-user Variance (Act 378)

### 1.1 Existing WWTP and Operations

The City of Mondovi's WWTP is a Rotating Biological Contactor (RBC) plant that accepts mostly domestic waste, with some commercial and light industrial wastewater. The City's only significant industrial user, SPF North America, LLC, produces dog and cat food products. Following implementation of the City's industrial permitting and sewer surcharge system in 2006, SPF ceased discharge of process wastewater to the City and began storing wastewater for off-site disposal. Process wastewater from SPF has not been discharged to the City for about 10 years. Organic Choice LLC, a milk products receiving operation, ceased operations in Mondovi in 2013.

The Mondovi WWTP has a design flow capacity of 0.385 MGD. Current flows average about 0.24 MGD, or about 65 percent of design capacity.

The plant includes components of varying ages, with some tankage believed to be from the 1960's and the last major modifications in the 1980's when the Trickling Filtration process was

abandoned and the RBC's were constructed. Chemical addition for phosphorus removal was added in 2006. A centrate storage tank was also added when the City elected to send sludge to the West Central Wisconsin Biosolids Facility (WCWBF) for processing and disposal. Treatment processes at the Mondovi WWTP include flow measurement, comminution, raw wastewater pumping, primary settling, RBC secondary treatment, secondary settling, and disinfection. Ferric Chloride is added at the RBC effluent and chemical sludge is removed in the secondary settling tank. Chlorination/dechlorination of treated effluent is provided seasonally. Final effluent is discharged to the Buffalo River. Primary and secondary sludge is stored in the aerobic digester (sludge tank) and periodically hauled to the WCWBF for disposal. Centrate is returned from the WCWRBF to the centrate storage tank, and is returned to the plant influent at a low, controlled rate.

Although much of the plant is old, it is well maintained and provides effluent BOD, SS and phosphorus in compliance with the WPDES permit limits of 25 mg/l, 30 mg/l and 1.0 mg/l respectively. The City's 2015 CMAR report scored all A's, with a perfect 4.0 Grade Point Average.

The average daily flow for the baseline year 2013/2014, as included in the Phosphorus Optimization Report Worksheet, was 0.240 MGD. Results of testing of influent phosphorus in 2013/2014 averaged approximately 6.4 mg/l. The average effluent phosphorus concentration for the baseline year was 0.47 mg/l, or 343 lbs/year. Currently, approximately 30 gallons of ferric chloride are added per day to the treatment process. The currently achievable effluent phosphorus concentration was 0.47 mg/L.

# 2

# Optimization of the Existing WWTP

#### 2.1 Introduction

This item addresses how the existing WWTP's phosphorus treatment can be optimized. Such optimization efforts may include source reduction from industrial users, switching the type of chemical added, and improving treatment process efficiency where phosphorus would be removed.

A Phosphorus Optimization Report Worksheet was submitted to the WDNR in 2014. This worksheet identified areas within the system where phosphorus might be minimized or eliminated. The full worksheet is included as Appendix B.

### 2.2 Feasibility

The City of Mondovi does not have any significant wet industrial users. Commercial and minor industrial users were evaluated for possible phosphorus reductions. If the contributors are able to decrease or eliminate their phosphorus discharge, this will decrease the influent phosphorus to the WWTP, and may provide some reduction in effluent phosphorus levels. With the upcoming low phosphorus effluent limit of 0.075 mg/l, industrial dischargers were assessed to determine if their processes and chemicals contributed to the influent phosphorus loading. MSDS sheets collected for truck wash products used at Martin Transport did not identify any high phosphorus content cleaners

An evaluation of centrate returned from the Regional Biosolids Facility indicates that phosphorus in the centrate is normally near domestic concentrations (less than 10 mg/l), but occasionally can
be as high as 60 mg/l. Centrate returned to the plant via semi-tanker is stored in the centrate tank, then returned to the plant at an average rate of about 2,300 gallons per day or approximately 2 percent of the phosphorus load to the plant. This low centrate return rate results in a minimal impact on the overall phosphorous concentration and is not a source for significant phosphorous reduction potential.

Another minimization item included in the Worksheet included testing the sludge tank supernatant return to determine if it was a source of significant phosphorus loading. The tests revealed that the supernatant is relatively low in phosphorous (6.37 mg/l) which is similar to domestic strength, and is not a significant added phosphorus load.

Reduction of phosphorus to the 0.075 mg/L limit is not feasible with the current treatment plant, even with it operating at its highest efficiency level. Chemical addition offers diminishing returns after a point and will not be able to reach the very low limit of 0.075 mg/L.

## 2.3 Cost Analysis

Since this is not a feasible option to reach the WPDES limit of 0.075 mg/L, no cost analysis was performed.

# 3 Existing WWTP Modifications

## 3.1 Introduction

The current treatment plant will not be able to meet the 0.075 mg/l phosphorus limit without tertiary treatment. A second stage chemical addition and tertiary solids removal system is assumed to be required to comply with the 0.075 mg/l limit.

## 3.2 Feasibility

The Mondovi WWTP is located on a small site with limited space for tertiary treatment. Depending on final hydraulics, a pump station may be required to utilize the current WWTP site for tertiary treatment. Adding tertiary treatment may be viable, but a more in-depth investigation will need to be conducted.

## 3.3 Cost Analysis

An estimate of the capital and O&M cost of tertiary phosphorus treatment was made using the estimates prepared by the Wisconsin DNR/DOA in the document "Addendum to Economic Impact Analysis-Statewide Economic Impacts April 24, 2015" prepared in conjunction with the Act 378 variance submittals to EPA. This document assembled estimated capital and O&M costs to comply with the new phosphorus requirements for each Wisconsin municipality. For Mondovi, the estimated capital cost for compliance is \$3,390,918. Additional O&M costs for compliance are estimated as \$74,140/yr. The 20 year present worth cost of additional phosphorus compliance is \$4,345,966. See Appendix C for present worth calculations.

# 4 Adaptive Management

Adaptive Management allows point sources with strict effluent phosphorus limits to reduce the overall phosphorus pollution in the watershed so that water quality standards in the stream are met. The point source helps implement projects that will reduce the phosphorus loading to the body of water and bring it into compliance. Adaptive management may be the choice of point sources when the discharge body of water is already very close to being in compliance. For example, a stream with a current phosphorus concentration of 0.076 mg/L would be an ideal candidate for adaptive management, as the point source needs to only bring the concentration of the stream down by 0.001 mg/L. The headwaters of many streams and rivers are often better choices for adaptive management than further downstream.

The DNR has developed guidance manuals describing the Adaptive Management compliance option. To be eligible for adaptive management, the point source needs to be in a nonpoint source dominated watershed, a watershed with an approved TMDL, or a watershed where nonpoint sources must be controlled to meet water quality goals. Other requirements include: the receiving water must exceed the applicable phosphorus criteria; the point source must be able to meet an interim limit of 0.6 mg/L; and the point source must be willing to work with partners within the watershed to improve water quality.

## 4.2 Feasibility

The Harvey Creek – Buffalo River watershed in the Mondovi area is shown on the map in Appendix D. Phosphorus contribution estimates made by the DNR using the PRESTO modeling program indicate the watershed is nonpoint source dominated (point 1 percent: nonpoint 99 percent). This point to non-point ratio would qualify Mondovi administratively to consider Adaptive Management as a compliance option. The current WWTP is also able to meet the adaptive management effluent limit of 0.6 mg/L as seen on the Optimization Plan. However, the Buffalo River was reported to have a Total Phosphorus concentration of about 0.25 mg/l as included in the DNR Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations memo of November 20, 2012. This is approximately 3.3 times the 0.075 mg/l stream standard.

## 4.3 Cost Analysis

Due to the receiving water's high phosphorus concentration and high contribution from non-point sources, adaptive management would require comprehensive control of many dispersed non-point phosphorous sources and is not considered a feasible alternative. Cost estimates for adaptive management were not prepared.

5 Water Quality Trading

## 5.1 Introduction

Water Quality Trading allows a point source to offset their phosphorus load by arranging with another party to achieve less costly pollutant reduction with the same or greater water quality benefit. This may include trading with another point source in the watershed, or may involve trading with non-point sources such as the agricultural community to control phosphorus associated with agricultural runoff or field erosion. This is often accomplished by funding best

management practices (BMP) on farm fields in an adjacent portion of the watershed. Credits are generated by the BMPs and must be used in the same calendar year by the credit user. Stormwater runoff control may also be used in pollutant trading.

The DNR has developed guidance manuals describing the Water Quality Trading compliance option. Trading with the agricultural community would be the most likely trading option for Mondovi, considering the predominant land use in the watershed is agricultural. Generally steep slopes in this watershed results in high erodibility potential of cultivated lands. The predominately agricultural land use in the Mondovi area would result in more widespread opportunities for locating potential trading partners, but the current use of erosion control practices may result in a lower mass of phosphorus removed per project.

Trade ratios are used to account for uncertainty when analyzing the phosphorus removed by the chosen BMP. Five different uncertainties are used to determine the final trade ratio; Delivery (distance between the credit generator and the credit user), Downstream (accounts for impacts if the credit generator is downstream from the credit user), Equivalency (accounts for the different form of a pollutant), Uncertainty (modeling inaccuracies), and Habitat Adjustment (if the practice also benefits certain habitats, a lower trade ratio is used).

The best value BMPs in terms of cost per pound of phosphorus removed are likely those that are located in the same 12 digit local Hydrogeologic Unit Code (HUC-12) as the WWTP. Mondovi's HUC-12 Watershed is attached as Appendix E. By choosing a site within the HUC-12, Delivery and Downstream factors are 0. Equivalency for Phosphorus is 0. A common BMP, filter strip, has an uncertainty factor of 2, but doesn't allow for any Habitat Adjustment. The final trade ratio will be 2:1 meaning 2 pounds of Phosphorus must be removed by the filter strip for every 1 pound over the limit that the point source discharges. The minimum trade ratio for point to nonpoint sources is 1.2:1.

Due to the convergence of multiple HUC-12's within the City limits, as seen on Appendix E, the DNR may also allow trades in the HUC-12's listed as 070400030106 and 070400030107 with no additional trade ratio penalty.

## 5.2 Feasibility

Water quality trading is a potentially feasible option for the City of Mondovi. Assuming an average 2:1 trade ratio, Mondovi will need to find projects that remove at least 577 pounds of phosphorus per year to meet the DNR trading guidelines. A safety factor (assumed at an additional 10 percent) should also be included to remove more phosphorus than the minimum needed, since BMPs may fail to be installed properly or not at all during a certain year. The point source takes all responsibility for ensuring the BMPs are installed correctly and functioning as they should. Mondovi would therefore need to locate trading credits that total about 635 pounds of phosphorus per year.

As discussed in the Adaptive Management alternative, the point to non-point phosphorus ratio in the Buffalo River at Mondovi is 1:99. With 99 percent of the watershed phosphorous coming from non-point sources, non-point trading is likely the best opportunity for finding willing trading partners.

## 5.3 Cost Analysis

The cost per pound of phosphorus removed by trading varies with the type of trade and individual site specific factors. Based on recent correspondence with the Buffalo County Land Conservation and Management Department, Buffalo County is willing to work with communities interested in pollutant trading, but has not done any large scale projects. Based on previous correspondence with other land conservation departments that have implemented larger scale projects (though not specifically targeting phosphorus reduction) using stream buffers, wetland restoration, and groundwater scrapes, the cost per pound of phosphorus removed ranged from \$80 to \$159. For purposes of this evaluation, \$100/lb was used to calculate the cost of trading for Mondovi.

Total trading cost, based on the 635 pounds of trades needed as described above at a cost of \$100/lb, would be \$63,500 per year. The total 20 year present worth value of the water quality trading alternative is estimated as \$817,131. See Appendix F for the present worth calculations.

## 6 Alternate Discharge Location

## 6.1 Introduction

Discharging effluent to an alternate discharge location may result in less restrictive effluent requirements, including phosphorus. If a high flowrate stream can be located that already meets the target water quality standard, then dilution calculations are used to determine how much additional phosphorus can be discharged and still result in the standard being met in the stream. Another option is sending wastewater to another community or having another community send its wastewater to Mondovi.

## 6.2 Feasibility

No streams within a reasonable piping distance would likely be found in the Mondovi area that would result in a less stringent phosphorus discharge limits for the City. The predominately agricultural land use in the region would be expected to result in high phosphorus levels in any stream with larger drainage areas and higher flows. Smaller groundwater-fed streams may have better water quality, but would have insufficient flow for dilution. The Chippewa River at Durand, about 16 miles to the west, is borderline in meeting the large stream water quality criteria of 0.1 mg/l, but is too far away to be a cost effective disposal alternative. Based on the regions hydrogeological conditions, changing to a different discharge location is not considered feasible.

The nearest communities to Mondovi that might be considered for joint treatment are Durand (16 miles west), and Eleva (10 miles east). Neither of these communities would be economically feasible to consider joint treatment.

## 6.3 Cost Analysis

Since an alternate discharge location or joint treatment with another community are not feasible compliance options, no cost analysis was performed.

# 7 Land Disposal of Effluent

## 7.1 Introduction

Land disposal of effluent involves eliminating the discharge to the surface water and discharging instead to a disposal system that promotes effluent infiltration into the ground. The effluent percolates thru the soil to the groundwater system. Since phosphorus does not impact groundwater like it does surface waters, the phosphorus discharge requirement is eliminated. However, since nitrogen is a groundwater contaminant that is present in wastewater, discharges to land disposal systems have total nitrogen discharge limits as well as other constituent discharge limits as may be necessary to protect the groundwater.

Two basic types of land disposal systems are commonly used in Wisconsin. Spray irrigation ("slow rate land disposal) on crops utilizes the nitrogen uptake capability of the crop to limit the amount of nitrogen that reaches the groundwater.

Rapid infiltration systems, or seepage cells, utilize higher permeability soils without vegetative cover. Since there is no vegetation to take up the nitrogen, a total nitrogen discharge limit of 10 mg/l to seepage cells is applied.

## 7.2 Feasibility

A slow rate spay irrigation system would require large amounts of land, a significant piping system, and storage for at least 180 days during late fall, winter and early spring when crops are not growing and irrigation equipment is susceptible to freezing. Storage for 270 days is often used to provide additional ability to store effluent during wetter years when marketable crops may not withstand additional water. Effluent storage ponds require an impermeable liner. Considering the storage requirements and large land needs for disposal, spray irrigation would likely be more expensive than seepage cells.

A seepage cell land disposal system would require additional treatment to remove nitrogen to 10 mg/l prior to discharge to the seepage system. Nitrogen removal from wastewater is most commonly done using the biological processes of nitrification (converting ammonia and organic nitrogen to nitrates) followed by denitrification, which releases the nitrogen to the atmosphere. To meet treatment requirements prior to seepage cell land disposal, modifications would need to be added to include a nitrification-denitrification operation. For nitrogen removal, a tertiary nitrification/denitrification system would be added to the end of the treatment process before discharging to seepage cells. Due to the tight space at the current treatment plant, there is not room for a tertiary nitrification/denitrification system or seepage cells. Property adjacent to the plant are not suitable for expansion nor seepage cells. Pumping of effluent and additional land acquisition would needed for tertiary nitrification/denitrification and seepage cells for this alternative.

Approximately 15 acres of land is estimated to be needed for the seepage cell option. Additional land to meet Wisconsin Administrative Code requirements for a 500' setback from residential areas would also be needed. Soils within about a 2 mile radius of the existing WWTP were analyzed for soil suitability and in general, sites with suitable soils could be located for the seepage cell land disposal option. Site specific investigations of these areas would need to be conducted in further detail before this compliance option is deemed viable.

## 7.3 Cost Analysis

Cost estimates were prepared for the addition of tertiary nitrification/denitrification and land disposal of effluent in seepage cells. The estimated capital cost of a tertiary nitrification/denitrification system for nitrogen removal and construction of a seepage cell effluent disposal system is \$2,846,000.

Annual O&M costs would be reduced from the current operating costs since chemical addition for phosphorus removal would be eliminated and sludge accumulation/removal would be lower without chemical precipitation. O&M costs related to the chlorination/dechlorination system would also be eliminated since the City would no longer need to disinfect. Some added O&M costs would be associated with the pumping station for delivery of effluent to a new site and the tertiary nitrification/denitrification. The seepage cell land disposal system would also have added O&M costs associated with it, including groundwater quality monitoring costs. Net annual costs are estimated to be \$18,000 lower for the seepage cell land disposal system as compared to current operating costs.

The 20 year total present worth of this compliance option is \$2,614,372. See Appendix G for the estimate and present worth calculations. If the land disposal option is selected, additional site specific soil and groundwater investigations would be required prior to DNR approval.

# 8 Individual Economic Variance8.1 Introduction

An economic variance to water quality standards may be granted if the impact of complying with the standard causes "widespread adverse social and economic impacts". EPA guidance documents for economic variances identify preliminary and secondary screeners in assessing the "widespread adverse social and economic impacts" of complying with the standard. The preliminary screener for public entities is the impact complying with the water quality standard will have on sewer user charges, using the post-compliance user charge expressed as a percent of median household income (MHI). For user charges between 1 and 2 percent of the MHI, the impacts are expected to be "mid-range". EPA guidance indicates that user charges that exceed 2 percent of the MHI "may place an unreasonable financial burden on many of the households". Secondary indicators related to debt, socioeconomic and financial management data are also assessed to determine overall impact.

## 8.2 Feasibility

The DNR has developed a Phosphorus Variance Application Form (Form 3200-143) for municipalities. A copy of the form is included in Appendix H. The form includes general information about the WWTP, operation and users of the system. The form directs municipalities to the EPA economic worksheets to determine if the community may be eligible for an individual variance. A draft of the completed EPA economic worksheets related to preliminary screener methods and the Substantial Impacts Matrix for Mondovi are also included in Appendix H. This Matrix indicates whether a community may be eligible or not. A check mark indicates that the potential project will likely not cause substantial impacts. An 'X' indicates that the project will likely cause substantial impacts, while a '?' is up for determination by the EPA.

Based on these worksheets, Mondovi's average secondary indicators falls into the Mid-range category. The user charge, after implementing the lowest present worth alternative after disregarding variance options, increases Mondovi's rates to 1.16 percent of the MHI. With the post compliance user charge of between 1.0 and 2.0 percent of the MHI, Mondovi falls into a '?' category on the Substantial Impacts Matrix, which means that the City may or may not be eligible for an individual economic variance.

All correspondence with the DNR indicates that unless a community in the '?' category that Mondovi falls into is very close to 2 percent of the MHI, their variance will be rejected.

For reference, Table 1 shows what user rates would be at different percentages of the MHI.

Percent of MHI <sup>1</sup>	Average Annual Costs Per User	Average Monthly Costs Per User
0.74%	\$318.13	\$26.51
1.0%	\$429.91	\$35.83
1.16%	\$497.41	\$41.45
2.0%	\$859.82	\$71.65
<sup>1</sup> Mondovi's Community Mer	dian Household Income is \$42,991	

Table 1 – Summary of User Charge Rates

'Mondovi's Community Median Household Income is \$42,991.

#### 8.3 **Cost Analysis**

If an individual economic variance were granted, no additional capital or O&M costs would be incurred, but it is highly unlikely one would be granted.

#### Statewide (multi-discharger) Economic Variance 9 Act 378

#### 9.1 Introduction

Act 378 is an approved statewide variance predicated on the presumption that other phosphorous compliance options are not economically feasible. The permit holder has up to 4 permit terms (20 years) to comply with the water quality based effluent limit. Each permit term, the permittee will need to meet a specific declining limit (0.8 mg/L, 0.6 mg/L, 0.5 mg/L, 0.075 mg/L) and also pay \$50/lb for every pound discharged above 0.2 mg/L. The \$50/lb payment is made to the County to find and implement BMPs that will reduce the phosphorus in the watershed.

If a more economical solution is found during the four permit terms, the variance will no longer be valid. WWTPs that are utilizing this compliance option would need to switch to the new solution to remain in compliance with the permit. If no solution is found before the four permit cycles are up, the State will reevaluate if another statewide variance is warranted.

Municipalities with sewer use charges expressed as a percent of the MHI between 1 and 2 percent need to meet 3 secondary indicators of financial hardship on a county level. Communities with sewer use charges over 2 percent of the MHI need to meet 2 indicators of financial hardship on the county level. This differs from the individual economic variance as these indicators are evaluated on a county-wide, not a community-wide, level.

## 9.2 Feasibility

The existing treatment plant will likely be able to meet all of the staged permit limits except for the final 0.075 mg/l limit with minimal changes to the treatment system. This staged permit limit schedule gives municipalities more time to evaluate options and for new technology to emerge or current technology to become more affordable.

Mondovi's current sewer user charges are at 0.74 percent of the MHI. With the cost of the lowest phosphorus compliance alternative, not including variances as a compliance option, Mondovi's sewer user charges will raise to 1.16 percent of the MHI and would need 3 economic hardship indicators at the county-wide level to qualify for the variance. Buffalo County has enough indicators for the City to qualify for the MDV.

See Table 1 for summary of the user rates at different percentages of the MHI.

## 9.3 Cost Analysis

Based on the lowest phosphorus compliance alternative (disregarding variances) costs as presented in this report, Mondovi's sewer user charge would fall between 1 and 2 percent of the MHI following compliance with the phosphorous standard. The lowest present worth compliance alternative that would be able to meet the 0.075 mg/l requirement is water quality trading which would raise the sewer user charge from 0.74 percent to 1.16 percent of the MHI. Since Buffalo County has 5 economic hardship indicators, which is more than needed for sewer user charge rates are between 1 and 2 percent of the MHI, the statewide MDV variance would be an option for the City.

Costs associated with the Act 378 Variance include a \$50/lb charge for phosphorus above the 0.2 mg/l level that the City would not be able to remove. Based on an optimized WWTP effluent phosphorus level currently achievable of 0.47 mg/l, Mondovi would need to make the \$50/lb payment for an annual total of 197 lb/yr, or a total annual payment of \$9,863/yr. The 20 year present worth value of this alternative is \$126,919. See Appendix I for the present worth calculations.

## 10 Recommendation

A summary of compliance alternative costs is included in Table 2.

Compliance Option	Capital Cost	Additional Annual O&M Costs	Total Present Worth		
Optimization of the Existing WWTP	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Existing WWTP Modifications (Tertiary Treatment)	\$3,390,918	\$74,140	\$4,344,966		
Adaptive Management	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Water Quality Trading	\$0	\$63,500	\$817,131		
Alternate Discharge Location	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Land Disposal of Effluent	\$2,846,000	-\$18,000 <sup>1</sup>	\$2,614,372		
Individual Economic Variance	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Statewide(multi-discharger) Economic Variance(Act 378)	\$0	\$9,863	\$126,919		
<sup>1</sup> Annual O&M costs reduced from current O&M due to elimination of chemical addition for phosphorus removal,					

Table 2 – Summary of Costs

reduced chemical sludge for disposal and elimination of disinfection requirements.

Based on Table 1, the Individual Economic Variance has the lowest present worth as a phosphorus compliance alternative. This variance would defer compliance while the viability and details of other potential compliance options becomes better known. From unofficial correspondence with the WDNR, it is highly unlikely Mondovi would receive an individual economic variance unless their sewer user rates were much closer to 2 percent of the MHI.

The next lowest present worth option after an individual economic variance is the multidischarger variance. If an individual economic variance is not granted, then the multi-discharger variance may be a viable, albeit, short-term, compliance alternative.

Based on indications from the DNR, it is not recommended that Mondovi apply for the individual economic variance. Instead, it is recommended that Mondovi apply for the MDV variance as a short-term compliance alternative. The age, condition, and location of the existing WWTP is such that growth within the City cannot occur unless a new WWTP at a new location is constructed. A facility plan would need to be prepared for meeting Mondovi's treatment need that will include tertiary treatment to permanently achieve the 0.075 mg/L phosphorus limit.

P:\KO\M\Mondo\143175\5-final-dsgn\50-final-dsgn\75-WasteWater\Final Phos Eval Report\_9.13.17.docx

Appendix A WPDES Permit



# **WPDES PERMIT**

## STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES permit to discharge under the wisconsin pollutant discharge elimination system

**City of Mondovi** 

is permitted, under the authority of Chapter 283, Wisconsin Statutes, to discharge from a facility located at 24A Riverside Dr., Mondovi, WI to

> the Buffalo River, located in the Buffalo River Watershed of the Buffalo-Trempealeau River Basin in Buffalo County

in accordance with the effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in this permit.

The permittee shall not discharge after the date of expiration. If the permittee wishes to continue to discharge after this expiration date an application shall be filed for reissuance of this permit, according to Chapter NR 200, Wis. Adm. Code, at least 180 days prior to the expiration date given below.

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources For the Secretary

By

Paul LaLiberte Wastewater Field Supervisor

Date Permit Signed/Issued

PERMIT TERM: EFFECTIVE DATE - July 01, 2013

**EXPIRATION DATE - June 30, 2018** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INFLUENT REQUIREMENTS	1
1.1 SAMPLING POINT(S)	1
1.2 Monitoring Requirements	1
1.2.1 Sampling Point 701 - INFLUENT AFTER COMMINUTOR	1
2 SURFACE WATER REQUIREMENTS	2
2.1 Sampling Point(s)	2
2.2 Monitoring Requirements and Effluent Limitations	2
2.2.1 Sampling Point (Outfall) 001 - EFFLUENT TO BUFFALO RIVER	2
3 LAND APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS	5
3.1 Sampling Point(s)	5
3.2 Monitoring Requirements and Limitations	5
3.2.1 Sampling Point (Outfall) 002 - SLUDGE TO WCWBF	5
4 SCHEDULES	7
4.1 WATER QUALITY DASED EFFLUENT LIMITS (WQBELS) FOR TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	1
5 STANDARD REQUIREMENTS	10
5.1 Reporting and Monitoring Requirements	10
5.1.1 Monitoring Results	10
5.1.2 Sampling and Testing Procedures	10
5.1.3 Recording of Results	10
5.1.4 Reporting of Monitoring Results	10
5.1.5 Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports	11
5.1.6 Records Retention	11
5.1.7 Other Information	11
5.2 System Operating Requirements	11
5.2.1 Noncompliance Notification	12
5.2.2 Flow Meters	12
5.2.3 Raw Grit and Screenings	12
5.2.4 Sludge Management	12
5.2.5 Prohibited Wastes	12
5.2.6 Unscheduled Bypassing	13
5.2.7 Scheduled Bypassing	13
5.2.8 Ammonia Limit Not Needed - Continue to Optimize Removal of Ammonia	13
5.2.9 Proper Operation and Maintenance	13
5.3 SURFACE WATER REQUIREMENTS	14
5.3.1 Permittee-Determined Limit of Quantitation Incorporated into this Permit	14
5.3.2 Appropriate Formulas for Effluent Calculations	14
5.3.3 Effluent Temperature Requirements	14
5.3.4 Visible Foam or Floating Solids	15
5.3.5 Percent Removal	15
5.3.6 Fecal Coliforms	15
5.3.7 Seasonal Disinfection	15
5.3.8 Total Residual Chlorine Requirements (When De-Chlorinating Effluent)	15
5.3.9 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Monitoring Requirements	15
5.3.10 whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Identification and Reduction	10
5.4 LAND APPLICATION KEQUIREMENTS 5.4.1 Shidoo Managoomont Duognam Standardo And Deminimum to Duog d Human Estimatik, Duog da (100-1) (100-1) (1	10
5.4.1 Suage Management Program Standards And Requirements Based Upon Federally Promulgated Regulations	10
5.4.2 General Sluage Management Information 5.4.2 Studge Samples	10
5.4.5 Sinuge Sumples 5.4.4 Land Application Characteristic Report	17
э.т.т имы прриошин спинистению керон	1/

5.4.5 Calculation of Water Extractable Phosphorus	17
5.4.6 Annual Land Application Report	17
5.4.7 Other Methods of Disposal or Distribution Report	17
5.4.8 Approval to Land Apply	17
5.4.9 Soil Analysis Requirements	18
5.4.10 Land Application Site Evaluation	18
5.4.11 Sludge Hauling	18
6 SUMMARY OF REPORTS DUE	19

## **1 Influent Requirements**

## 1.1 Sampling Point(s)

	Sampling Point Designation				
Sampling	Sampling Point Location, WasteType/Sample Contents and Treatment Description (as applicable)				
Point					
Number					
701	Representative influent samples shall be collected after the comminutor, but prior to the primary				
	clarifier.				

#### **1.2 Monitoring Requirements**

The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring requirements.

#### **1.2.1 Sampling Point 701 - INFLUENT AFTER COMMINUTOR**

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations						
Parameter Limit Type Limit and Sample Sample Notes						
		Units	Frequency	Туре		
Flow Rate		MGD	Continuous	Continuous		
BOD <sub>5</sub> , Total		mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow		
				Prop Comp		
Suspended Solids,		mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow		
Total				Prop Comp		

## 2 Surface Water Requirements

## 2.1 Sampling Point(s)

	Sampling Point Designation				
Sampling	Sampling Point Location, WasteType/Sample Contents and Treatment Description (as applicable)				
Point					
Number					
001	Representative effluent samples (other than those for chlorine and fecal coliform) shall be collected				
	before the chlorine contact chamber; those for chlorine and fecal coliform shall be collected after the				
	chlorine contact chamber and dechlorination.				

#### 2.2 Monitoring Requirements and Effluent Limitations

The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring requirements and limitations.

#### 2.2.1 Sampling Point (Outfall) 001 - EFFLUENT TO BUFFALO RIVER

	Monitoring Requirements and Effluent Limitations					
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes	
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	Monthly Avg	25 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	Weekly Avg	40 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		
Suspended Solids, Total	Monthly Avg	30 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		
Suspended Solids, Total	Weekly Avg	45 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		
pH Field	Daily Max	9.0 su	Daily	Grab		
pH Field	Daily Min	6.0 su	Daily	Grab		
Phosphorus, Total	Monthly Avg	1.0 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	Interim limit effective throughout this permit term. Final phosphorus limits of 0.075 mg/L (6 month average) and 0.225 mg/L (monthly average) become effective during the next permit term. See footnote 2.2.1.2 below.	
Chlorine, Total Residual	Daily Max	38 mg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept annually	
Fecal Coliform	Geometric Mean	400 #/100 ml	Weekly	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept annually	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	36 μg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	See footnote 2.2.1.3 below	

Monitoring Requirements and Effluent Limitations						
Parameter Limit Type Limit and Sample Sample Notes						
		Units	Frequency	Туре		
Copper, Total	Daily Max	0.17 lbs/day	Monthly	Calculated	See footnote 2.2.1.3 below	
Recoverable						
Hardness, Total as		mg/L	Quarterly	24-Hr Flow	See footnote 2.2.1.3 below	
CaCO <sub>3</sub>		-	-	Prop Comp		
Acute WET		TU <sub>a</sub>	See Listed	24-Hr Flow	See footnote 2.2.1.4 below	
			Qtr(s)	Prop Comp		

#### 2.2.1.1 Average Annual Design Flow

The average annual design flow of the permittee's wastewater treatment facility is 0.385 MGD.

#### 2.2.1.2 Phosphorus Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations

See the Schedules section of this permit for more information on phosphorus effluent limitations.

The final water quality based effluent limits for phosphorus are 0.075 mg/L 6-Month Average and 0.225 mg/L Monthly Average unless:

(A.) As part of the application for the next reissuance, or prior to filing the application, the permittee submits either: 1.) a watershed adaptive management plan and a completed Watershed Adaptive Management Request Form 3200-139; or 2.) an application for water quality trading; or 3.) an application for a variance; or 4.) new information or additional data that supports a recalculation of the numeric limitation; and

(B) The Department modifies, revokes and reissues, or reissues the permit to incorporate a revised limitation before the expiration of the compliance schedule\*.

If Adaptive Management or Water Quality Trading is approved as part of the permit application for the next reissuance or as part of an application for a modification or revocation and reissuance, the plan and specification submittal, construction, and final effective dates for compliance with the total phosphorus WQBEL may change in the reissued or modified permit. In addition, the numeric value of the water quality based effluent limit may change based on new information (e.g. a TMDL) or additional data. If a variance is approved for the next reissuance, interim limits and conditions will be imposed in the reissued permit in accordance with s. 283.15, Stats., and applicable regulations. A permittee may apply for a variance to the phosphorus WQBEL at the next reissuance even if the permittee did not apply for a phosphorus variance as part of this permit reissuance.

If a water quality based effluent limit has taken effect in a permit, any increase in the limit is subject to s. NR 102.05(1) and ch. NR 207 Wis. Adm. Code.

When a six-month average effluent limit is specified for Total Phosphorus the applicable averaging periods are May through October and November through April.

\*Note: The Department will prioritize reissuances and revocations, modifications, and reissuances of permits to allow permittees the opportunity to implement adaptive management or nutrient trading in a timely and effective manner.

#### 2.2.1.3 Copper & Harness Monitoring

The quarterly sample for hardness shall be collected concurrently with a monthly copper sample

#### 2.2.1.4 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing

Primary Control Water: Buffalo River

Dilution series: At least five effluent concentrations and dual controls must be included in each test.

- Acute: 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25% and any additional selected by the permittee.
- **Chronic:** 100, 30, 10, 3, 1% (if the IWC  $\leq$  30%) or 100, 75, 50, 25, 12.5% (if the IWC > 30%) and any additional selected by the permittee.

WET Testing Frequency: Acute WET tests are required during the following quarters.

- 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter (July-Sept) 2013
- 1<sup>st</sup> quarter (Jan-March) 2016

**Reporting:** The permittee shall report test results on the Discharge Monitoring Report form, and also complete the "Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Report Form" (Section 6, "*State of Wisconsin Aquatic Life Toxicity Testing Methods Manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition*"), for each test. The original, complete, signed version of the Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Report Form shall be sent to the Biomonitoring Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality, 101 S. Webster St., P.O. Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921, within 45 days of test completion. The original Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form and one copy shall be sent to the contact and location provided on the DMR by the required deadline.

**Determination of Positive Results:** An acute toxicity test shall be considered positive if the Toxic Unit - Acute  $(TU_a)$  is greater than 1.0 for either species. The TU<sub>a</sub> shall be calculated as follows: If  $LC_{50} \ge 100$ , then  $TU_a = 1.0$ . If  $LC_{50}$  is < 100, then  $TU_a = 100 \div LC_{50}$ . A chronic toxicity test shall be considered positive if the Relative Toxic Unit - Chronic (rTU<sub>c</sub>) is greater than 1.0 for either species. The rTU<sub>c</sub> shall be calculated as follows: If  $IC_{25} \ge IWC$ , then  $rTU_c = 1.0$ . If  $IC_{25} < IWC$ , then  $rTU_c = IWC \div IC_{25}$ .

Additional Testing Requirements: Within 90 days of a test which showed positive results, the permittee shall submit the results of at least 2 retests to the Biomonitoring Coordinator on "Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Report Forms". The 90 day reporting period shall begin the day after the test which showed a positive result. The retests shall be completed using the same species and test methods specified for the original test (see the Standard Requirements section herein).

## **3 Land Application Requirements**

## 3.1 Sampling Point(s)

The discharge(s) shall be limited to land application of the waste type(s) designated for the listed sampling point(s) on Department approved land spreading sites or by hauling to another facility.

Sampling Point Designation				
Sampling	Sampling Point Location, WasteType/Sample Contents and Treatment Description (as applicable)			
Point				
Number				
002	As long as sludge is shipped to the West Central Wisconsin Biosolids Facility (WCWBF) for disposal,			
	representative sludge samples shall be collected once per year and monitored for List 1. Sludge samples			
	shall be collected prior to hauling and test results shall be reported on Form 3400-49 "Waste			
	Characteristics Report". Hauled sludge reports shall be submitted on Form 3400-52 "Other Methods of			
	Disposal or Distribution Report" following each year that sludge is hauled.			

#### **3.2 Monitoring Requirements and Limitations**

The permittee shall comply with the following monitoring requirements and limitations.

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations					
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes
Solids, Total		Percent	Once	Composite	
Arsenic Dry Wt	Ceiling	75 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Arsenic Dry Wt	High Quality	41 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Cadmium Dry Wt	Ceiling	85 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Cadmium Dry Wt	High Quality	39 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Copper Dry Wt	Ceiling	4,300 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Copper Dry Wt	High Quality	1,500 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Lead Dry Wt	Ceiling	840 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Lead Dry Wt	High Quality	300 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Mercury Dry Wt	Ceiling	57 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Mercury Dry Wt	High Quality	17 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Molybdenum Dry Wt	Ceiling	75 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Nickel Dry Wt	Ceiling	420 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Nickel Dry Wt	High Quality	420 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Selenium Dry Wt	Ceiling	100 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Selenium Dry Wt	High Quality	100 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Zinc Dry Wt	Ceiling	7,500 mg/kg	Once	Composite	
Zinc Dry Wt	High Quality	2,800 mg/kg	Once	Composite	

#### 3.2.1 Sampling Point (Outfall) 002 - SLUDGE TO WCWBF

#### 3.2.1.1 List 1

#### List 1 TOTAL SOLIDS AND METALS

See the Monitoring Requirements and Limitations table above for monitoring frequency and limitations for the

List 1 parameters

Solids, Total (percent)

Arsenic, mg/kg (dry weight)

Cadmium, mg/kg (dry weight) Copper, mg/kg (dry weight)

Lead, mg/kg (dry weight)

Mercury, mg/kg (dry weight)

Molybdenum, mg/kg (dry weight)

Nickel, mg/kg (dry weight)

Selenium, mg/kg (dry weight)

Zinc, mg/kg (dry weight)

## **4** Schedules

### 4.1 Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus

The permittee shall comply with the WQBELs for Phosphorus as specified. No later than 30 days following each compliance date, the permittee shall notify the Department in writing of its compliance or noncompliance. If a submittal is required, a timely submittal fulfills the notification requirement.

Required Action	Date Due
<b>Operational Evaluation Report:</b> The permittee shall prepare and submit to the Department for approval an operational evaluation report. The report shall include an evaluation of collected effluent data, possible source reduction measures, operational improvements or other minor facility modifications that will optimize reductions in phosphorus discharges from the treatment plant during the period prior to complying with final phosphorus WQBELs and, where possible, enable compliance with final phosphorus WQBELs by 06/30/2017. The report shall provide a plan and schedule for implementation of the measures, improvements, and modifications will enable compliance with final phosphorus WQBELs. Regardless of whether they are expected to result in compliance, the permittee shall implement the measures, improvements, and modifications in accordance with the plan and schedule specified in the operational evaluation report.	06/30/2014
If the operational evaluation report concludes that the facility can achieve final phosphorus WQBELs using the existing treatment system with only source reduction measures, operational improvements, and minor facility modifications, the permittee shall comply with the final phosphorus WQBEL by 06/30/2017 and is not required to comply with the milestones identified below for years 3 through 9 of this compliance schedule ('Preliminary Compliance Alternatives Plan', 'Final Compliance Alternatives Plan', 'Treatment Plant Upgrade to Meet WQBELs', 'Final Plans and Specifications, 'Complete Construction, 'Achieve Compliance').	
<b>Study of Feasible Alternatives:</b> If the Operational Evaluation Report concludes that the permittee cannot achieve final phosphorus WQBELs with source reduction measures, operational improvements and other minor facility modifications, the permittee shall initiate a study of feasible alternatives for meeting final phosphorus WQBELs and comply with the remaining required actions of this schedule of compliance. If the Department disagrees with the conclusion of the report, and determines that the permittee can achieve final phosphorus WQBELs using the existing treatment system with only source reduction measures, operational improvements, and minor facility modifications, the Department may reopen and modify the permit to include an implementation schedule for achieving the final phosphorus WQBELs sooner than 06/30/2022.	06/30/2014
<b>Compliance Alternatives, Source Reduction, Improvements and Modifications Status:</b> The permittee shall submit a 'Compliance Alternatives, Source Reduction, Operational Improvements and Minor Facility Modification' status report to the Department. The report shall provide an update on the permittee's: (1) progress implementing source reduction measures, operational improvements, and minor facility modifications to optimize reductions in phosphorus discharges and, to the extent that such measures, improvements, and modifications will not enable compliance with the WQBELs, (2) status evaluating feasible alternatives for meeting phosphorus WQBELs.	06/30/2015
<b>Preliminary Compliance Alternatives Plan:</b> The permittee shall submit a preliminary compliance alternatives plan to the Department. If the plan concludes upgrading of the permittee's wastewater treatment facility is necessary to achieve final phosphorus WQBELs, the submittal shall include a preliminary engineering design	06/30/2016

report.	
If the plan concludes Adaptive Management will be used, the submittal shall include a completed Watershed Adaptive Management Request Form 3200-139 without the Adaptive Management Plan.	
If water quality trading will be undertaken, the plan must state that trading will be pursued.	
<b>Final Compliance Alternatives Plan:</b> The permittee shall submit a final compliance alternatives plan to the Department.	06/30/2017
If the plan concludes upgrading of the permittee's wastewater treatment is necessary to meet final phosphorus WQBELs, the submittal shall include a final engineering design report addressing the treatment plant upgrades, and a facility plan if required pursuant to ch. NR 110, Wis. Adm. Code.	
If the plan concludes Adaptive Management will be implemented, the submittal shall include a completed Watershed Adaptive Management Request Form 3200-139 and an engineering report addressing any treatment system upgrades necessary to meet interim limits pursuant to s. NR 217.18, Wis. Adm. Code.	
If the plan concludes water quality trading will be used, the submittal shall identify potential trading partners.	
Note: See 'Alternative Approaches to Phosphorus WQBEL Compliance' in the Surface Water section of this permit.	
<b>Progress Report on Plans &amp; Specifications:</b> Submit progress report regarding the progress of preparing final plans and specifications. Note: See 'Alternative Approaches to Phosphorus WQBEL Compliance' in the Surface Water section of this permit.	06/30/2018
<b>Final Plans and Specifications:</b> Unless the permit has been modified, revoked and reissued, or reissued to include Adaptive Management or Water Quality Trading measures or to include a revised schedule based on factors in s. NR 217.17, Wis. Adm. Code, the permittee shall submit final construction plans to the Department for approval pursuant to s. 281.41, Stats., specifying treatment plant upgrades that must be constructed to achieve compliance with final phosphorus WQBELs, and a schedule for completing construction of the upgrades by the complete construction date specified below. (Note: Permit modification, revocation and reissuance, and reissuance are subject to s. 283.53(2), Stats.)	06/30/2019
Note: See 'Alternative Approaches to Phosphorus WQBEL Compliance' in the Surface Water section of this permit.	
<b>Treatment Plant Upgrade to Meet WQBELs:</b> The permittee shall initiate construction of the upgrades. The permittee shall obtain approval of the final construction plans and schedule from the Department pursuant to s. 281.41. Stats. Upon approval of the final construction plans and schedule by the Department pursuant to s. 281.41, Stats., the permittee shall construct the treatment plant upgrades in accordance with the approved plans and specifications. Note: See 'Alternative Approaches to Phosphorus WQBEL Compliance' in the Surface Water section of this permit.	09/30/2019
<b>Construction Upgrade Progress Report #1:</b> The permittee shall submit a progress report on construction upgrades. Note: See 'Alternative Approaches to Phosphorus WQBEL Compliance' in the Surface Water section of this permit.	09/30/2020
<b>Construction Upgrage Progress Report #2:</b> The permittee shall submit a progress report on construction upgrades. Note: See 'Alternative Approaches to Phosphorus WQBEL Compliance' in the Surface Water section of this permit.	09/30/2021
<b>Complete Construction:</b> The permittee shall complete construction of wastewater treatment system upgrades. Note: See 'Alternative Approaches to Phosphorus WQBEL Compliance' in the Surface	05/31/2022

Water section of this permit.	
Achieve Compliance: The permittee shall achieve compliance with final phosphorus WQBELs. Note: See 'Alternative Approaches to Phosphorus WQBEL Compliance' in the Surface Water section of this permit.	06/30/2022

## **5 Standard Requirements**

**NR 205, Wisconsin Administrative Code:** The conditions in ss. NR 205.07(1) and NR 205.07(2), Wis. Adm. Code, are included by reference in this permit. The permittee shall comply with all of these requirements. Some of these requirements are outlined in the Standard Requirements section of this permit. Requirements not specifically outlined in the Standard Requirement section of this permit. NR 205.07(1) and NR 205.07(2).

## 5.1 Reporting and Monitoring Requirements

#### 5.1.1 Monitoring Results

Monitoring results obtained during the previous month shall be summarized and reported on a Department Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report. The report may require reporting of any or all of the information specified below under 'Recording of Results'. This report is to be returned to the Department no later than the date indicated on the form. A copy of the Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report Form or an electronic file of the report shall be retained by the permittee.

Monitoring results shall be reported on an electronic discharge monitoring report (eDMR). The eDMR shall be certified electronically by a principal executive officer, a ranking elected official or other duly authorized representative. The 'eReport Certify' page certifies that the electronic report form is true, accurate and complete.

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit, the results of such monitoring shall be included on the Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report.

The permittee shall comply with all limits for each parameter regardless of monitoring frequency. For example, monthly, weekly, and/or daily limits shall be met even with monthly monitoring. The permittee may monitor more frequently than required for any parameter.

#### 5.1.2 Sampling and Testing Procedures

Sampling and laboratory testing procedures shall be performed in accordance with Chapters NR 218 and NR 219, Wis. Adm. Code and shall be performed by a laboratory certified or registered in accordance with the requirements of ch. NR 149, Wis. Adm. Code. Groundwater sample collection and analysis shall be performed in accordance with ch. NR 140, Wis. Adm. Code. The analytical methodologies used shall enable the laboratory to quantitate all substances for which monitoring is required at levels below the effluent limitation. If the required level cannot be met by any of the methods available in NR 219, Wis. Adm. Code, then the method with the lowest limit of detection shall be selected. Additional test procedures may be specified in this permit.

#### 5.1.3 Recording of Results

The permittee shall maintain records which provide the following information for each effluent measurement or sample taken:

- the date, exact place, method and time of sampling or measurements;
- the individual who performed the sampling or measurements;
- the date the analysis was performed;
- the individual who performed the analysis;
- the analytical techniques or methods used; and
- the results of the analysis.

#### 5.1.4 Reporting of Monitoring Results

The permittee shall use the following conventions when reporting effluent monitoring results:

- Pollutant concentrations less than the limit of detection shall be reported as < (less than) the value of the limit of detection. For example, if a substance is not detected at a detection limit of 0.1 mg/L, report the pollutant concentration as < 0.1 mg/L.
- Pollutant concentrations equal to or greater than the limit of detection, but less than the limit of quantitation, shall be reported and the limit of quantitation shall be specified.
- For purposes of calculating NR 101 fees, the 2 mg/l lower reporting limits for BOD<sub>5</sub> and Total Suspended Solids shall be considered to be limits of quantitation
- For the purposes of reporting a calculated result, average or a mass discharge value, the permittee may substitute a 0 (zero) for any pollutant concentration that is less than the limit of detection. However, if the effluent limitation is less than the limit of detection, the department may substitute a value other than zero for results less than the limit of detection, after considering the number of monitoring results that are greater than the limit of detection and if warranted when applying appropriate statistical techniques.

#### 5.1.5 Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports

Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports (CMAR) shall be completed using information obtained over each calendar year regarding the wastewater conveyance and treatment system. The CMAR shall be submitted by the permittee in accordance with ch. NR 208, Wis. Adm. Code, by June 30, each year on an electronic report form provided by the Department.

In the case of a publicly owned treatment works, a resolution shall be passed by the governing body and submitted as part of the CMAR, verifying its review of the report and providing responses as required. Private owners of wastewater treatment works are not required to pass a resolution; but they must provide an Owner Statement and responses as required, as part of the CMAR submittal.

A separate CMAR certification document, that is not part of the electronic report form, shall be mailed to the Department at the time of electronic submittal of the CMAR. The CMAR certification shall be signed and submitted by an authorized representative of the permittee. The certification shall be submitted by mail. The certification shall verify the electronic report is complete, accurate and contains information from the owner's treatment works.

#### **5.1.6 Records Retention**

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. All pertinent sludge information, including permit application information and other documents specified in this permit or s. NR 204.06(9), Wis. Adm. Code shall be retained for a minimum of 5 years.

#### 5.1.7 Other Information

Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or correct information to the Department.

#### **5.2 System Operating Requirements**

#### **5.2.1 Noncompliance Notification**

- The permittee shall report the following types of noncompliance by a telephone call to the Department's regional office within 24 hours after becoming aware of the noncompliance:
  - any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment;
  - any violation of an effluent limitation resulting from an unanticipated bypass;
  - any violation of an effluent limitation resulting from an upset; and
  - any violation of a maximum discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit, either for effluent or sludge.
- A written report describing the noncompliance shall also be submitted to the Department's regional office within 5 days after the permittee becomes aware of the noncompliance. On a case-by-case basis, the Department may waive the requirement for submittal of a written report within 5 days and instruct the permittee to submit the written report with the next regularly scheduled monitoring report. In either case, the written report shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times; the steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance; and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the length of time it is expected to continue.
- NOTE: Section 292.11(2)(a), Wisconsin Statutes, requires any person who possesses or controls a hazardous substance or who causes the discharge of a hazardous substance to notify the Department of Natural Resources **immediately** of any discharge not authorized by the permit. The discharge of a hazardous substance that is not authorized by this permit or that violates this permit may be a hazardous substance spill. To report a hazardous substance spill, call DNR's 24-hour HOTLINE at **1-800-943-0003**

#### 5.2.2 Flow Meters

Flow meters shall be calibrated annually, as per s. NR 218.06, Wis. Adm. Code.

#### 5.2.3 Raw Grit and Screenings

All raw grit and screenings shall be disposed of at a properly licensed solid waste facility or picked up by a licensed waste hauler. If the facility or hauler are located in Wisconsin, then they shall be licensed under chs. NR 500-536, Wis. Adm. Code.

#### 5.2.4 Sludge Management

All sludge management activities shall be conducted in compliance with ch. NR 204 "Domestic Sewage Sludge Management", Wis. Adm. Code.

#### 5.2.5 Prohibited Wastes

Under no circumstances may the introduction of wastes prohibited by s. NR 211.10, Wis. Adm. Code, be allowed into the waste treatment system. Prohibited wastes include those:

- which create a fire or explosion hazard in the treatment work;
- which will cause corrosive structural damage to the treatment work;
- solid or viscous substances in amounts which cause obstructions to the flow in sewers or interference with the proper operation of the treatment work;
- wastewaters at a flow rate or pollutant loading which are excessive over relatively short time periods so as to cause a loss of treatment efficiency; and

• changes in discharge volume or composition from contributing industries which overload the treatment works or cause a loss of treatment efficiency.

#### 5.2.6 Unscheduled Bypassing

Any unscheduled bypass or overflow of wastewater at the treatment works or from the collection system is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for such occurrences under s. 283.89, Wis. Stats., unless all of the following occur:

- The bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage.
- There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance.
- The permittee notifies the department of the unscheduled bypass or overflow. The permittee shall notify the department <u>within 24 hours</u> of initiation of the bypass or overflow occurrence by telephone, voicemail, fax or e-mail. <u>Within 5 days</u> of conclusion of the bypass or overflow occurrence, the permittee shall submit to the department in writing, all of the following information:
  - Reason the bypass or overflow occurred, or explanation of other contributing circumstances that resulted in the overflow event. If the overflow or bypass is associated with wet weather, provide data on the amount and duration of the rainfall or snow melt for each separate event.
  - Date the bypass or overflow occurred.
  - Location where the bypass or overflow occurred.
  - Duration of the bypass or overflow and estimated wastewater volume discharged.
  - Steps taken or the proposed corrective action planned to prevent similar future occurrences.
  - Any other information the permittee believes is relevant.

#### 5.2.7 Scheduled Bypassing

Any construction or normal maintenance which results in a bypass of wastewater is prohibited unless authorized by the Department in writing. If the Department determines that there is significant public interest in the proposed action, the Department may schedule a public hearing or notice a proposal to approve the bypass. Each request shall specify the following minimum information:

- Proposed date of bypass.
- Estimated duration of the bypass.
- Alternatives to bypassing.
- Measures to mitigate environmental harm caused by the bypass.
- Estimated volume of the bypass.

#### 5.2.8 Ammonia Limit Not Needed - Continue to Optimize Removal of Ammonia

Applying the procedures in s. NR 106.05, Wis. Adm. Code, to ammonia data that is representative of the current operations of the wastewater treatment plant resulted in a determination that ammonia effluent limits are not necessary in this permit. Pursuant to NR 106.33, throughout the term of this permit, the wastewater treatment plant shall continue to be operated in a manner that optimizes the removal of ammonia within the design capabilities of the wastewater treatment plant.

#### 5.2.9 Proper Operation and Maintenance

The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. The wastewater

treatment facility shall be under the direct supervision of a state certified operator as required in s. NR 108.06(2), Wis. Adm. Code. Proper operation and maintenance includes effective performance, adequate funding, adequate operator staffing and training as required in ch. NR 114, Wis. Adm. Code, and adequate laboratory and process controls, including appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems only when necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

#### **5.3 Surface Water Requirements**

#### 5.3.1 Permittee-Determined Limit of Quantitation Incorporated into this Permit

For pollutants with water quality-based effluent limits below the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) in this permit, the LOQ calculated by the permittee and reported on the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) is incorporated by reference into this permit. The LOQ shall be reported on the DMRs, shall be the lowest quantifiable level practicable, and shall be no greater than the minimum level (ML) specified in or approved under 40 CFR Part 136 for the pollutant at the time this permit was issued, unless this permit specifies a higher LOQ.

#### 5.3.2 Appropriate Formulas for Effluent Calculations

The permittee shall use the following formulas for calculating effluent results to determine compliance with average concentration limits and mass limits and total load limits:

**Weekly/Monthly/Six-Month/Annual Average Concentration** = the sum of all daily results for that week/month/sixmonth/year, divided by the number of results during that time period. [Note: When a six-month average effluent limit is specified for Total Phosphorus the applicable periods are May through October and November through April.]

Weekly Average Mass Discharge (lbs/day): Daily mass = daily concentration (mg/L) x daily flow (MGD) x 8.34, then average the daily mass values for the week.

Monthly Average Mass Discharge (lbs/day): Daily mass = daily concentration (mg/L) x daily flow (MGD) x 8.34, then average the daily mass values for the month.

**Six-Month Average Mass Discharge (lbs/day):** Daily mass = daily concentration (mg/L) x daily flow (MGD) x 8.34, then average the daily mass values for the six-month period. [Note: When a six-month average effluent limit is specified for Total Phosphorus the applicable periods are May through October and November through April.]

Annual Average Mass Discharge (lbs/day): Daily mass = daily concentration (mg/L) x daily flow (MGD) x 8.34, then average the daily mass values for the entire year.

**Total Monthly Discharge:** = monthly average concentration (mg/L) x total flow for the month (MG/month) x 8.34.

**Total Annual Discharge:** = sum of total monthly discharges for the calendar year.

#### 5.3.3 Effluent Temperature Requirements

**Weekly Average Temperature** – The permittee shall use the following formula for calculating effluent results to determine compliance with the weekly average temperature limit (as applicable): Weekly Average Temperature = the sum of all daily maximum results for that week divided by the number of daily maximum results during that time period.

**Cold Shock Standard** – Water temperatures of the discharge shall be controlled in a manner as to protect fish and aquatic life uses from the deleterious effects of cold shock. 'Cold Shock' means exposure of aquatic organisms to a rapid decrease in temperature and a sustained exposure to low temperature that induces abnormal behavior or physiological performance and may lead to death.

**Rate of Temperature Change Standard** – Temperature of a water of the state or discharge to a water of the state may not be artificially raised or lowered at such a rate that it causes detrimental health or reproductive effects to fish or aquatic life of the water of the state.

#### 5.3.4 Visible Foam or Floating Solids

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.

#### 5.3.5 Percent Removal

During any 30 consecutive days, the average effluent concentrations of  $BOD_5$  and of total suspended solids shall not exceed 15% of the average influent concentrations, respectively. This requirement does not apply to removal of total suspended solids if the permittee operates a lagoon system and has received a variance for suspended solids granted under NR 210.07(2), Wis. Adm. Code.

#### 5.3.6 Fecal Coliforms

The limit for fecal coliforms shall be expressed as a monthly geometric mean.

#### 5.3.7 Seasonal Disinfection

Disinfection shall be provided from May 1 through September 30 of each year. Monitoring requirements and the limitation for fecal coliforms apply only during the period in which disinfection is required. Whenever chlorine is used for disinfection or other uses, the limitations and monitoring requirements for residual chlorine shall apply. A dechlorination process shall be in operation whenever chlorine is used.

#### 5.3.8 Total Residual Chlorine Requirements (When De-Chlorinating Effluent)

Test methods for total residual chlorine, approved in ch. NR 219 - Table B, Wis. Adm. Code, normally achieve a limit of detection of about 20 to 50 micrograms per liter and a limit of quantitation of about 100 micrograms per liter. Reporting of test results and compliance with effluent limitations for chlorine residual and total residual halogens shall be as follows:

- Sample results which show no detectable levels are in compliance with the limit. These test results shall be reported on Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report Forms as "< 100  $\mu$ g/L". (Note: 0.1 mg/L converts to 100  $\mu$ g/L)
- Samples showing detectable traces of chlorine are in compliance if measured at less than 100 µg/L, unless
  there is a consistent pattern of detectable values in this range. These values shall also be reported on
  Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report Forms as "<100 µg/L." The facility operating staff shall record
  actual readings on logs maintained at the plant, shall take action to determine the reliability of detected
  results (such as re-sampling and/or calculating dosages), and shall adjust the chemical feed system if
  necessary to reduce the chances of detects.</li>
- Samples showing detectable levels greater than  $100 \ \mu g/L$  shall be considered as exceedances, and shall be reported as measured.
- To calculate average or mass discharge values, a "0" (zero) may be substituted for any test result less than 100 µg/L. Calculated values shall then be compared directly to the average or mass limitations to determine compliance.

#### 5.3.9 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Monitoring Requirements

In order to determine the potential impact of the discharge on aquatic organisms, static-renewal toxicity tests shall be performed on the effluent in accordance with the procedures specified in the "State of Wisconsin Aquatic Life Toxicity Testing Methods Manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition" (PUB-WT-797, November 2004) as required by NR 219.04, Table A, Wis. Adm. Code). All of the WET tests required in this permit, including any required retests, shall be conducted on the Ceriodaphnia dubia and fathead minnow species. Receiving water samples shall not be collected from any point in contact with the permittee's mixing zone and every attempt shall be made to avoid contact with any other discharge's mixing zone.

#### 5.3.10 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Identification and Reduction

Within 60 days of a retest which showed positive results, the permittee shall submit a written report to the Biomonitoring Coordinator, Bureau of Watershed Management, 101 S. Webster St., PO Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921, which details the following:

- A description of actions the permittee has taken or will take to remove toxicity and to prevent the recurrence of toxicity;
- A description of toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) investigations that have been or will be done to identify potential sources of toxicity, including some or all of the following actions:
  - (a) Evaluate the performance of the treatment system to identify deficiencies contributing to effluent toxicity (e.g., operational problems, chemical additives, incomplete treatment)
  - (b) Identify the compound(s) causing toxicity
  - (c) Trace the compound(s) causing toxicity to their sources (e.g., industrial, commercial, domestic)
  - (d) Evaluate, select, and implement methods or technologies to control effluent toxicity (e.g., in-plant or pretreatment controls, source reduction or removal)
- Where corrective actions including a TRE have not been completed, an expeditious schedule under which corrective actions will be implemented;
- If no actions have been taken, the reason for not taking action.

The permittee may also request approval from the Department to postpone additional retests in order to investigate the source(s) of toxicity. Postponed retests must be completed after toxicity is believed to have been removed.

#### **5.4 Land Application Requirements**

# 5.4.1 Sludge Management Program Standards And Requirements Based Upon Federally Promulgated Regulations

In the event that new federal sludge standards or regulations are promulgated, the permittee shall comply with the new sludge requirements by the dates established in the regulations, if required by federal law, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the new federal regulations.

#### 5.4.2 General Sludge Management Information

The General Sludge Management Form 3400-48 shall be completed and submitted prior to any significant sludge management changes.

#### 5.4.3 Sludge Samples

All sludge samples shall be collected at a point and in a manner which will yield sample results which are representative of the sludge being tested, and collected at the time which is appropriate for the specific test.

#### 5.4.4 Land Application Characteristic Report

Each report shall consist of a Characteristic Report Form 3400-49 and Lab Report. The Characteristic Report Form 3400-49 shall be submitted electronically by January 31 following each year of analysis. Following submittal of the electronic Characteristic Report Form 3400-49, this form shall be certified electronically via the 'eReport Certify' page by a principal executive officer, ranking elected official or duly authorized representative. The 'eReport Certify' page certifies that the electronic report form is true, accurate and complete. The Lab Report must be sent directly to the facility's DNR sludge representative or basin engineer unless approval for not submitting the lab reports has been given.

The permittee shall use the following convention when reporting sludge monitoring results: Pollutant concentrations less than the limit of detection shall be reported as < (less than) the value of the limit of detection. For example, if a substance is not detected at a detection limit of 1.0 mg/kg, report the pollutant concentration as < 1.0 mg/kg.

All results shall be reported on a dry weight basis.

#### 5.4.5 Calculation of Water Extractable Phosphorus

When sludge analysis for Water Extractable Phosphorus is required by this permit, the permittee shall use the following formula to calculate and report Water Extractable Phosphorus:

Water Extractable Phosphorus (% of Total P) =

[Water Extractable Phosphorus (mg/kg, dry wt) ÷ Total Phosphorus (mg/kg, dry wt)] x 100

#### 5.4.6 Annual Land Application Report

The Annual Land Application Report Form 3400-55 shall be submitted electronically by January 31 each year whether or not non-exceptional quality sludge is land applied. Non-exceptional quality sludge is defined in s. NR 204.07(4), Wis. Adm. Code. Following submittal of the electronic Annual Land Application Report Form 3400-55, this form shall be certified electronically via the 'eReport Certify' page by a principal executive officer, ranking elected official or duly authorized representative. The 'eReport Certify' page certifies that the electronic report form is true, accurate and complete.

#### 5.4.7 Other Methods of Disposal or Distribution Report

The permittee shall submit electronically the Other Methods of Disposal or Distribution Report Form 3400-52 by January 31 each year whether or not sludge is hauled, landfilled, incinerated, or exceptional quality sludge is distributed or land applied. Following submittal of the electronic Report Form 3400-52, this form shall be certified electronically via the 'eReport Certify' page by a principal executive officer, ranking elected official or duly authorized representative. The 'eReport Certify' page certifies that the electronic report form is true, accurate and complete.

#### 5.4.8 Approval to Land Apply

Bulk non-exceptional quality sludge as defined in s. NR 204.07(4), Wis. Adm. Code, may not be applied to land without a written approval letter or Form 3400-122 from the Department unless the Permittee has obtained permission from the Department to self approve sites in accordance with s. NR 204.06 (6), Wis. Adm. Code. Analysis of sludge characteristics is required prior to land application. Application on frozen or snow covered ground is restricted to the extent specified in s. NR 204.07(3) (1), Wis. Adm. Code.

#### 5.4.9 Soil Analysis Requirements

Each site requested for approval for land application must have the soil tested prior to use. Each approved site used for land application must subsequently be soil tested such that there is at least one valid soil test in the four years prior to land application. All soil sampling and submittal of information to the testing laboratory shall be done in accordance with UW Extension Bulletin A-2100. The testing shall be done by the UW Soils Lab in Madison or Marshfield, WI or at a lab approved by UW. The test results including the crop recommendations shall be submitted to the DNR contact listed for this permit, as they are available. Application rates shall be determined based on the crop nitrogen recommendations and with consideration for other sources of nitrogen applied to the site.

#### 5.4.10 Land Application Site Evaluation

For non-exceptional quality sludge, as defined in s. NR 204.07(4), Wis. Adm. Code, a Land Application Site Request Form 3400-053 shall be submitted to the Department for the proposed land application site. The Department will evaluate the proposed site for acceptability and will either approve or deny use of the proposed site. The permittee may obtain permission to approve their own sites in accordance with s. NR 204.06(6), Wis. Adm. Code.

#### 5.4.11 Sludge Hauling

If sludge is hauled to another facility, the permittee is required to submit Form 3400-52 to the Department. Information shall include the quantity of sludge hauled, the name, address, phone number, contact person, and permit number of the receiving facility. Form 3400-52 shall be submitted annually by January 31 following each year sludge is hauled.

## 6 Summary of Reports Due

FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Description	Date	Page
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Operational Evaluation Report	June 30, 2014	7
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Study of Feasible Alternatives	June 30, 2014	7
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Compliance Alternatives, Source Reduction, Improvements and Modifications Status	June 30, 2015	7
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Preliminary Compliance Alternatives Plan	June 30, 2016	7
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Final Compliance Alternatives Plan	June 30, 2017	8
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Progress Report on Plans & Specifications	June 30, 2018	8
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Final Plans and Specifications	June 30, 2019	8
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Treatment Plant Upgrade to Meet WQBELs	September 30, 2019	8
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Construction Upgrade Progress Report #1	September 30, 2020	8
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Construction Upgrage Progress Report #2	September 30, 2021	8
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Complete Construction	May 31, 2022	9
Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus - Achieve Compliance	June 30, 2022	9
Compliance Maintenance Annual Reports (CMAR)	by June 30, each year	11
General Sludge Management Form 3400-48	prior to any significant sludge management changes	16
Characteristic Report Form 3400-49 and Lab Report	by January 31 following each year of analysis	17
The Annual Land Application Report Form 3400-55	by January 31 each year whether or not non-exceptional quality sludge is land applied	17

#### WPDES Permit No. WI-0020591-09-0 City of Mondovi

electronically the Other Methods of Disposal or Distribution Report Form 3400-52	by January 31 each year whether or not sludge is hauled, landfilled, incinerated, or exceptional quality sludge is distributed or land applied	17
Wastewater Discharge Monitoring Report	no later than the date indicated on the form	10

Report forms shall be submitted to the address printed on the report form. Any facility plans or plans and specifications for municipal, industrial, industrial pretreatment and non industrial wastewater systems shall be submitted to the Bureau of Water Quality, P.O. Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921. All <u>other</u> submittals required by this permit shall be submitted to: West Central Region - BRF, 910 Hwy 54 E, Black River Falls, WI 54615

# Appendix B

Phosphorus Optimization Worksheet

#### PHOSPHORUS OPTIMIZATION REPORT WORKSHEET

Facility Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility

WPDES Permit #: <u>WI-0020591-09-0</u>

#### PART I - BACKGROUND INFORMATION

(A) Briefly describe wastewater treatment facility processes and operations and the means of treating phosphorus, including any chemicals used. Attach a flow schematic which shows the point(s) of chemical addition for TP control. Include both liquid and solids treatment trains.

#### See attached WWTP Process Flow Diagram

Treatment includes primary settling, rotating biological contactor (RBC) secondary treatment, secondary settling and seasonal effluent disinfection. Ferric Chloride is added to the RBC effluent and settled in the secondary clarifier. Primary and secondary sludge is pumped to the sludge storage tank for periodic hauling to the western Wisconsin regional biosolids facility (WWRBF) at Ellsworth. Supernatant from the sludge tank and centrate returned from the WWRBF are returned to the plant influent.

Month	Influent Avg. Flow (MCD)	Influent Avg.	Influent TP Mass	Effluent Avg. Flow	Effluent Avg.	Effluent
		Concentration	(lb/day)	(MGD)	Concentration	(lb/day)
A		(mg/l)			(mg/l)	
January 2014	0.1563	4.70	6.1	0.1563	0.62	0.8
February 2014	0.4855	2.22	9.0	0.4855	0.22	0.9
March 2014	0.6469	0.61	3.3	0.6469	0.28	1.5
April 2014	0.2533	6.94	14.7	0.2533	0.45	0.9
May 2014	0.1704	6.12	8.7	0.1704	0.24	0.3
June 2013	0.1989	7.34	12.2	0.1989	0.40	0.7
July 2013	0.1617	8.89	12.0	0.1617	0.42	0.6
August 2013	0.1555	9.13	11.8	0.1555	0.50	0.6
September 2013	0.1612	9.14	12.3	0.1612	0.63	0.8
October 2013	0.1503	7.01	8.8	0.1503	0.73	0.9
November 2013	0.1602	9.11	12.2	0.1602	0.62	0.8
December 2013	0.1485	5.62	7.0	0.1485	0.56	0.7
Avg	0.240	6.40	9.83	0.240	0.47	0.81

#### (B) Baseline Year: 2013/2014

## (C) Possible Contributors: For municipalities, list all possible industries, other commercial buildings and hauled in wastes that could be introducing phosphorus into the collection system.

Name Source	Type of Process	Already Contacted?	If so, possible contributor?
Martin Transport	Truck Wash	No	yes
SPF	Animal Feed	No	yes
Mondovi Car Wash	Carwash	No	yes

## Possible Contributors: For industrials list processes that could be introducing phosphorus into the collection system.

Type of Process	Chemicals used?	Product containing P?	

Water Supply: What are the phosphorus levels within your water supply? Does the water utility add phosphorus for corrosion control or iron and manganese sequestration?

*Not Applicable-polyphosphate is not added to the water supply*
#### PART 2 - OPTIMIZATION ACTION PLANS

List the items that will address to reduce the phosphorus in the effluent and provide a schedule for accomplishing each item. Note that all items must be completed by no later than 3 years after the date of permit reissuance. For each optimization action fill out a separate plan sheet.

#### **Optimization Action: (example: Address Phosphorus from Industries)**

See attached Phosphorus Optimization Action Plan

Briefly describe optimization action plan: (example: determine contributors of phosphorus throughout the sewer area and work with them to reduce the incoming phosphorus. Parts of the plan include meeting with the industries, etc.)

See attached Phosphorus Optimization Action Plan

#### Anticipated Time Frame for Optimization Action Plan:

Main Item to Complete	Date Start	Date Complete
See attached Phosphorus		
<b>Optimization</b> Action Plan		

Overall Optimization Action Plan Time Frame: 11 months

Overall Completion Date: 6/30/2015

#### **Outcome hoping for:**

Reduce Phosphorus to 0.5 mg/l or less to assess compliance options.

#### Anticipated reduction and/or comments:

*To be determined by the evaluation.* 

#### PART 3 - OPTIMIZATION APPROVAL

Facility Name	: Mondovi WWTP	WPDES Permit#:	WI-002059-09-0
Name and Co	ntact Information of Person P	reparing Report:	
Name:	Paul Gont, PE	E-mail Addre	ss:pgont@sehinc.com
Telephone #:	715.720.6252		

#### **OPTIMIATION ACTION PLANS**

Please provide a summary of the proposed action items and projected completion dates. The completion dates should be developed to enable the incorporation of the action items into the Preliminary Facilities Plan that is required in the WPDES Permit Phosphorus Compliance Schedule.

#### **INSERT OPTIMIZATION ITEMS (TITLE FROM EACH SHEET)**

#### Action Item

#### **Proposed Date of Completion**

See attached Phosphorus Optimization Action Plan

Fo	or DNR use only
	Complete
	Not Complete
	<b>Request more information?</b>
Co	omments:

Submitted for Approval by:\_\_\_\_\_\_(signed)

Date of Submittal:

(printed)

Authorized Permit Representative

Approved by:

**DNR Wastewater Engineer or Designee** 

Date of Approval:

# Mondovi WWTP Phosphorus Optimization Action Plan June 2014

tem #	Item	Action Plan	Start Date	End Date	Time Frame	Anticipated Outcome
						Assess significance of Centrate on
		Evaluate phosphorus levels in Centrate from the				phosphorus load that may be treated
	1 Centrate Return-Phosphorus assessment	Biosolids Facility prior to return to influent channel	7/31/2014	10/31/2015	3 months	separately prior to return to the WWTP
						Assess significance of Supernatant on
		Evaluate phosphorus levels in from the supernatant fron				phosphorus load that may be treated
	2 Supernatant Return-Phosphorus assessment	the sludge tank prior to return to influent channel	7/31/2014	10/31/2014	3 months	separately prior to return to the WWTP
		If appropriate from above assessments, proceed with				
		actions (chemical feed to sidestreams, etc)to evaluate				Optimize chemical effectiveness and/or
,	3 Centrate and supernatant phosphorus reduction	phosphorus reduction potential	11/1/2014	6/30/2015	8 months	reduce effiuent total phosphorus
		Evaluate Industrial Chemicals & Processes for possible				Identify and evaluate viable industrial source
•	4 Evaluate Industrial Source Reduction	source reduction of Phosphorus.	7/31/2014	12/31/2014	5 months	reduction options
		Change Chemicals or Processes per evaluation to reduce				Implement viable industrial source reduction
	5 Implement Industrial Source Reduction	phosphorus	1/1/2015	6/30/2015 (	6 months	options

Mondovi Phosphorus Optimization Action Plan.xlsx



.

### Appendix C

Existing WWTP Modifications – Estimate and Present Worth Analysis



City of Mondovi Existing WWTP Modifications				
Present Worth Analysis				
9/13/2017				
PW interest Rate	4.63%	6		
PW factor initial cost:	1.00			
PW factor annual cost for 20 yrs:	12.86	82		
Capital Cost	Cost		PW Factor	Present Worth
Item				
Capital Improvements	\$	3,390,918	1.00	\$ 3,390,918
Annual Costs				
Item	Cost		PW Factor	Present Worth
Annual Costs	\$	74,140	12.8682	\$ 954,048

#### TOTAL 20 YR PRESENT WORTH

\$ 4,344,966

Mondovi Present Worth Existing WWTP Modifications.xlsx

## Appendix D

Harvey Creek – Buffalo River Watershed Map



# Appendix E

Watershed HUC-12 Delineation



# Appendix F

Water Quality Trading Present Worth Analysis



City of Mondovi Water Quality Trading Present Worth Analysis 9/13/2017

PW interest Rate	4.63%
PW factor initial cost:	1.00
PW factor annual cost for 20 yrs:	12.8682

Cost		PW Factor	Present W	orth
\$	-	1.00	\$	-
Cost		PW Factor	Present W	orth
\$	63,500			
\$	63,500	12.8682	\$	817,131
	Cost \$ Cost \$ \$	Cost \$ - Cost \$ 63,500 \$ 63,500	Cost PW Factor   \$ - 1.00   Cost PW Factor   \$ 63,500 12.8682	CostPW FactorPresent W\$-1.00\$CostPW FactorPresent W\$63,50012.8682\$

**TOTAL 20 YR PRESENT WORTH** 

\$ 817,131

Mondovi Present Worth Existing WWTP Modifications.xlsx

### Appendix G

Land Disposal Estimate and Present Worth Analysis



City of Mondovi Land Disposal Cost Estimate 9/13/2017

#### Land Disposal

Estimated Project Total	\$ 2,846,000
Legal and Admin (2%)	\$ 48,000
Construction Engineering(10%)	\$ 178,000
Design Engineering (10%)	\$ 178,000
	\$ 2,442,000
Contingency (10%)	\$ 217,500
Contractor O&P (15%)	\$ 267,000
Contractor Mobilization/GC (7%)	\$ 125,000
Subtotal	\$ 1,832,500
Site Restoration	\$ 20,000
Monitoring Wells	\$ 15,000
Electrical Costs	\$ 130,000
Earthwork	\$ 181,500
Land Acquisition	\$ 400,000
Process Piping	\$ 500,000
Pump Station - Effluent	\$ 150,000
Nitrification/Denitrification System	\$ 436,000



City of Mondovi Land Disposal Present Worth Analysis 9/13/2017

PW interest Rate	4.63%
PW factor initial cost:	1.00
PW factor annual cost for 20 yrs:	12.8682

Capital Cost	Cost		PW Factor	Pres	esent Worth
Item					
Land Disposal	\$	2,846,000	1.00	\$	2,846,000
Annual Costs					
Item	Cost		PW Factor	Pres	esent Worth
Chemicals for Phosphorus Removal	\$	(26,000)	12.8682	\$	(334,573) Deduct
Sludge Costs for Phosphorus	\$	(25,000)	12.8682	\$	(321,705) Deduct
Chlorination	\$	(2,000)	12.8682	\$	(25,736) Deduct
Land Disposal O&M	\$	35,000	12.8682	\$	450,387 Addition (Monitoring Wells and Blower Costs
Subtotal	\$	(18,000)	12.8682	\$	(231,628)

**TOTAL 20 YR PRESENT WORTH** 

\$ 2,614,372

# Appendix H

Draft Individual Economic Variance Worksheets

#### Worksheet E

#### Data Used in the Secondary Test

Please list the following values used in deter mining the Secondary Score. Potential sources of the data are indicated.

#### A. Data Collection

Data	<b>Potential Source</b>	Value	
Direct Net Debt	Community Financial Statements		
	Town, County or State Assessor's Office	<sub>\$</sub> 12,832	(1)
Overlapping Debt	Community Financial Statements Town, County or State Assesor's Office	<sub>\$</sub> 2,042,121	(2)
Market Value of Property	Community Financial Statements		
	Town, County of State Assessor's Office	<sub>\$</sub> 135,556,500	(3)
Bond Rating	Standard and Poors or Moody's	N/A	(4)
Community Unemployment Rate	1990 Census of Population Regional Data Centers	4.1	%(5)
National Unemployment Rate	Bureau of Labor Statistics (202) 606-6392	4.8	%(6)
Community Median Household Income	1990 Census of Population	<sub>\$</sub> 42,991	(7)
State Median Household Income	1990 Census of Population	<sub>\$</sub> 52,513	(8)
Property Tax Collection Rate	Community Financial Statements Town, County or State Assessor's Office	N/A	%(9)
Property Tax Revenues	Community Financial Statements Town, County or State Assessor's Office	<sub>\$</sub> 1,230,286	(10)

#### Worksheet E, Continued

#### **B.** Calculation of Indicators

#### 1. Overall Net Debt as a Percent of Full Market Value of Taxable Property

Overall Net Debt (Calculate: (1) + (2))

Overall Net Debt as a Percent of Full Market Value of Taxable Property (Calculate: [(11)/(3)] x 100)

#### 2. Property Tax Revenues as a Percent of Full Market Value of Taxable Property

Property Tax Revenues as a Percent of Full Market Value of Taxable Property (Calculate: [(10)/(3)] x 100)

0.91 %(13)

1.52 %(12)

(11)

<sub>\$</sub>2,054,953

# Worksheet F

# **Calculating The Secondary Score**

Remember, if one of the debt or socioeconomic indicators is not available, average the two financial management indicators and use this averaged value as a Please check the appropriate box in each row, and record the corresponding score in the final column. Then, sum the scores and compute the average. single indicator with the remaining indicators.

		Secondary Indicators		Score
Indicator	Weak*	Mid-Range**	Strong***	
Bond Rating Worsksheet E, (4)	Below BBB (S&P) Below B <u>aa (M</u> oody's)	BBB (S&P) Baa (Moody's)	Above BBB (S&P) or Baa (Moody's)	N/A
Overall Net Debt as Percent of Full Market Value of Taxable Property Worksheet E, (12)	Above 5%	2%5%	Below 2%	3
Unemployment Worksheet E, (5)& (6)	Above National Average	National Average	Below National Average	2
Median Household Income Worksheet E, (7) & (8)	Below State Median	State Median	Above State Median	1
Property Tax Revenues as a Percent of Full Market Value of Taxable Property Worksheet E, (13)	Above 4%	2%4%	Below 2%	3
Property Tax Collection Rate Worksheet E, (9)	< 94%	94% - 98%	%86 <	N/A
* We	ak is a score of 1 point		SUM	o
** Mid	-Range is a score of 2 <sub>1</sub>	ooints		
*** Str	ong is a score of 3 poir	ıts	AVERAGE	2.25

Table 2-2Assessment of Substantial Impacts Matrix

Secondary		Municipal Preliminary Screener					
Score		Less than 1.0 Percent	Between 1.0 and 2.0 Percent	Greater than 2.0 Percent			
Less than 1.5		?	Х	Х			
Between 1.5 and 2.5		<b>√</b>	?	Х			
Greater than 2.5		<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$	?			

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Bureau of Watershed Management PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921 dnr.wi.gov

**Notice:** Pursuant to s. 283.15, Wis. Stats, and ss. NR 200.21 and 200.22, Wis. Adm. Code, an owner of an existing permitted wastewater treatment system may apply for a variance to phosphorus water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL). This form should be completed and submitted to the Department to request a variance. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requestors to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.]

Fa	acility and Permit Information	on		Facility Contact Information					
۷	VPDES Permit No.			Contact Name					
V	NI-	I							
Fa	acility Name			Title					
Fa	acility Street Address			Address					
	i4.,	[Ctot		City		10tete	ZID Code		
G	lt y	Stat		City		State	ZIP Code		
Re	eceiving Water			Phone Number	Fax Nu	Imber	<u></u>		
Sc	ource of Water Supply	Average Disc	harge Flow Rate	Email Address					
			MGD						
Va	ariance Request Deadlines						_		
1.	This variance is being reque	sted at the time of	of application for p	ermit reissuance		(	C		
2.	This variance is being reque	sted from a curre	nt WPDES Permi	t and, if approved, will require p	permit modifica	ation (	C		
	Date of Current Permit	Issuance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Va	ariance Requirements								
1.	Phosphorus Water Quality S	tandard from wh	ch variance is sou	ıght:mg/L	-				
	Do you believe this limit c	could be achieved	d during the term o	of the permit? $\bigcirc$ Yes $\bigcirc$ N	lo				
2.	Effluent level currently achieved	vable:	mg/L						
3.	Variance Limit being request	ted:	mg/L						
	Provide phosphorus monitori	ng data to suppo	rt this request in a	accordance with NR 200.22(1)(	e), Wis. Adm.	Code.			
4.	If granted, would the variance the effluent limit in the current	e limit result in in it permit?	creased phosphor	us discharge to surface water	from 🔿 Y	'es 🔿	No		
	If yes, provide information to	justify the increa	se in accordance	with ch. NR 207, Wis. Adm. Co	ode.				
F	Doop the requested water	lineit noon are in	ana a a d vials to boo	man basilib and the survivorus					
J.	If yes, explain:	mmit pose any in	Geaseu risk to nu	man neath and the environme	ян.? () Y	es ()	INO		

M	u	nic	sip	al	F	ac		ties	; -	S.	283.	.15,	Wis.	Stats.
---	---	-----	-----	----	---	----	--	------	-----	----	------	------	------	--------

Form 3200-143 (3/15)

mg/L

Page 2 of 4

		/ · · ·		
I 10 10 10 10 10	00000	10001000	attende manute	00 00000000

 Describe the wastewater treatment facility processes and operations and the means of treating phosphorus, including any chemicals used. Attach a flow schematic which shows the point(s) of chemical addition for total phosphorus (TP) control, including both liquid and solids treatment trains.

2. What are the phosphorus levels within your water supply?

3. Does the water utility add phosphorus for corrosion control or for iron or manganese sequestration? () Yes () No

Facility Operation and Performance
------------------------------------

 Current P Removal Capability – If the facility is currently required by a WPDES permit to monitor effluent phosphorus (P), provide a summary of the influent and effluent annual average P concentrations for each of the past three (3) years. If permit required P data is not available, the applicant should provide any other P data that may be applicable and available. If no data is available, the Department may estimate the P effluent concentration based on data from other similar facilities.

2. Facility Operation – Provide a summary description of overall facility operation. If not a continuously discharging facility, describe storage procedures and the time periods when effluent discharge occurs.

Sludge Management – For facilities that monitor the treatment system sludge for phosphorus, provide the most recent three (3) years of sludge testing, along with volumes disposed of so as to perform an approximate mass balance of phosphorus entering and leaving the plant.

 Previous Studies – Reference or attach any facility planning or evaluation study that evaluated facility performance capabilities (Note – Only include studies that are recent or otherwise applicable for the evaluation of the existing facility and current conditions).

#### **Phosphorus Variance Application for**

#### Municipal Facilities - s. 283.15, Wis. Stats.

Form 3200-143 (3/15)

Page 3 of 4

Service Area Information – Provide the following information for each municipality included in the wastewater facility service area.									
Municipality Name	Population Served	Customer Households Served	Median Household Income (MHI)						

#### Non-Residential Customers:

Percent of wastewater flow attributed to commercial, industrial, large institutional and any other special customer category: \_\_\_\_\_%

Describe types of non-domestic wastewater contributions that constitute a significant pollutant contribution or that significantly affect the capabilities of the treatment facility (for example, large food processors, dairies, or industries with unique wastewater).

#### Alternatives

1.	This	s variance is being sought in accordance with s. 283.15(4)(a)(1), Wis. Stats. (select all that apply)
		Naturally occurring pollutant concentrations prevent the attainment of the standard
		Natural, ephemeral, intermittent or low flow conditions or water levels prevent the attainment of the standard, unless these conditions may be compensated for by the discharge of sufficient volume of effluent discharges without violating water conservation requirements
		Human caused conditions or sources of pollution prevent the attainment of the standard and cannot be remedied or would cause more environmental damage to correct than to leave in place
		Dams, diversions, or other types of hydrologic modifications preclude the attainment of the standard, and it is not feasible to restore the water body to its original condition or to operate such modification in a way that would result in the attainment of the standard
		Physical conditions related to the natural features of the water body, such as the lack of proper substrate, cover, flow, depth, pools, riffles, and the like, unrelated to water quality, preclude attainment of aquatic life protection uses
		The standard, as applied to the permittee, will cause substantial and widespread adverse social and economic impacts in the area where the permittee is located
2.	Wh	at are the primary sources of phosphorus to your facility?

3. What feasible actions (e.g. pollution prevention or installation of treatment technology) have you taken and could you take to reduce phosphorus discharge levels to sufficiently meet, or make progress toward meeting, the water quality based effluent limit?

4. What types of waste materials or byproducts would be produced by these steps and what would be the ultimate means of disposal of those wastes?

\$

5. What are the estimated costs of these actions?

Capital

Annual Operational

\$

How do these costs compare with current treatment costs?

#### **Phosphorus Variance Application for**

Municipal Facilities - s. 283.15, Wis. Stats.

Form 3200-143 (3/15)

Page 4 of 4

#### Affordability to Municipal Discharger and Wide Spread Economic Impact

The U.S. EPA Interim Economic Guidance for Water Quality Standards Workbook that discusses these considerations is available on the following site:

http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/economics/

If you are applying for a variance based on substantial and widespread adverse social and economic impacts, please provide any information that is believed to be relevant as identified in the attached guidance document as well as other relevant information to support your variance request.

#### Variance Request and Certification

Based on the information provided, I believe that attainment of the applicable water quality standards for phosphorus are justified based on s. 283.15(4), Wis. Stats., in the area where this discharge is located. I understand that as a condition of the variance, the Department will impose initial limitations, interim limitations and source reduction measures in accordance with s. 283.15(5)(c), Wis. Stats.

I understand that these conditions will be included in the WPDES permit issued to this facility. I certify that the information provided is true, accurate, and complete.

Print or type name of individual submitting request*	Title

Signature of Official

\* must be an Authorized Representative for the treatment facility

(Date Signed)

Appendix I MDV Present Worth



City of Mondovi State Wide Variance (MDV) Present Worth Analysis 9/13/2017

PW interest Rate	4.63%
PW factor initial cost:	1.00
PW factor annual cost for 20 yrs:	12.8682

Capital Cost	Cost		PW Factor	Present	Worth
Capital Improvements (none)	\$	-	1.00	\$	-
Annual Costs					
Item	Cost		PW Factor	Present	Worth
Trade Cost (197 lb/year at \$50/lb)	\$	9,863			
Subtotatl	\$	9,863	12.8682	\$	126,919

TOTAL 20 YR PRESENT WORTH

\$ 126,919



# Building a Better World for All of Us®

Sustainable buildings, sound infrastructure, safe transportation systems, clean water, renewable energy and a balanced environment. Building a Better World for All of Us communicates a companywide commitment to act in the best interests of our clients and the world around us.

We're confident in our ability to balance these requirements.



Appendix D

Mondovi Wastewater Collection System Map



Appendix E

Mondovi Future Flows and Loadings Spreadsheet

Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Plant Future Design Conditions	Population:	2835 < Avg of projected 2035 and 2040 US Census Po	oulation Projection	ons				
Projected Wastewater Flow to Year 2038:		Projected Wastewater Loadings to Yea	r 2038:					
<u>·····································</u>			BOD (lb/day)	TSS (lb/day)	Total P (lb/day)	TKN (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/day)	FOG(lb/day)
Village Domestic (Pop. X 80 gpcd)	226800 GPD	Village Domestic	453.6	425.25	14.175	62.37	70.875	212.625
Major Commercial:		Major Commercial:						
Countryside Cooperative	e 650 GPD	Countryside Cooperative	11	10	0.25	1.6	0.95	3.75
Mondovi Marke	t 500 GPD	Mondovi Market	11	10	0.25	1.6	0.95	3.75
Tractor Centra	I 500 GPD	Tractor Central	11	10	0.25	1.6	0.95	3.75
Alliance Banl	< 300 GPD	Alliance Bank	6.6	6	0.15	0.96	0.57	2.25
Othe	r 5000 GPD	Other	44	40	1	6.4	3.8	37.5
Major Industry:		Major Industry:						
Marten Transpor	t 6500 GPD	Marten Transport	55	65	3	10	5	11
Proposed Industry	y 6186 GPD	Proposed Industry	301.6	103.2	32.2	41.5	2.3	100.0
Healthcare:		Healthcare:						
Prevea Health	n 750 GPD	Prevea Health	8.25	9.75	0.45	1.5	0.825	1.65
American Lutheran Homes, Inc	1000 GPD	American Lutheran Homes, Inc	1.1	1.3	0.06	0.2	0.11	0.22
Homeplace LLC	2000 GPD	Homeplace LLC	2.2	2.6	0.12	0.4	0.22	0.44
Midwest Dental Care	e 2500 GPD	Midwest Dental Care	1.1	1.3	0.06	0.2	0.11	0.22
Public Schools (1,000 Students x 15 gal/student/d)	15,000 GPD	Public Schools (1000 students)	110	130	5	20	11	22
Sewage/Grease Receiving	g 10000 GPD	Sewage/Grease Receiving	500.4	1251	4.17	58.38	33.36	8.34
Future Commercial/Industria	l 20000 GPD	Future Commercial/Industrial	22	26	1.2	4	2.2	4.4
			BOD (lb/day)	TSS (lb/day)	Total P (lb/day)	TKN (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/day)	FOG(lb/day)
Total WW Design Flow (without I/I) :	297686	Total WW Design Loadings:	1538.8	2091.4	62.3	210.7	133.2	411.9
		Design Concentrations (mg/L)	619.8	842.4	25.1	84.9	53.6	165.9
Appendix F

Effluent Limits Request

### General Information:

Name City of Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) 649 W. Riverside Ave, Mondovi, WI

#### **Contact Information**

Randy Gruber Public Works Director City of Mondovi 156 S Franklin St Mondovi, WI 54755 Phone: (715) 495-2803



### County

Buffalo

#### WPDES Permit Number WI-0020591-10-0

#### Design Flows & Loadings

Table 1. Mondovi WWTP Design Flows & Loadings referenced from WPDES Permit & CMAR

Parameter	Design Flows and Loadings		
Annual Average Design Flow	385,000 GPD (0.385 MGD)		
Average COD	865 lbs/day		

### Current Flows & Loadings

Table 2. Current Flows & Loadings at the Mondovi WWTP

Parameter	Current Flows and Loadings		
Annual Average Flow	0.209 mgd		
Peak Week Flow	0.318 mgd		
Peak Day Flow	0.407 mgd		
Peak Hourly Flow	0.5 mgd (417 gpm)		
Average BOD <sub>5</sub>	453 lbs/day		
Peak Month BOD <sub>5</sub>	584 lbs/day		
Peak Day BOD <sub>5</sub>	784 lbs/day		
Average TSS	401 lbs/day		
Peak Month TSS	622 lbs/day		
Peak Day TSS	746 lbs/day		
Average Total	12.3 lbs/day		
Average TKN	105.5 lbs/day		
Average Ammonia	69.5 lbs/day		
*Based on testing from January to December 2017. TP, Ammonia, and TKN based on December 2018 sampling.			

### Current Limits

The City's current WPDES permit WI-0020591-10-0 was issued on September 1, 2018. A summary of the WPDES effluent limits are summarized in Table 3 below. The current permit expires on June 30, 2023.

Monitoring Requirements and Effluent Limitations							
Parameter	Limit Type	Limits and	Sample	Sample	Notes		
		Units	Frequency	Туре			
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	Monthly Avg	25 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow			
				Prop Comp			
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	Weekly Avg	40 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow			
				Prop Comp			
Suspended Solids,	Monthly Avg	30 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow			
Total				Prop Comp			
Suspended Solids,	Weekly Avg	45 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow			
Total				Prop Comp			
pH Field	Daily Max	9.0 su	Daily	Grab			
pH Field	Daily Min	6.0 su	Daily	Grab			
Phosphorus, Total	Monthly Avg	1.0 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow	This is an interim limit		
				Prop Comp	effective through		
					9/30/2020. See the		
					MDV/Phosphorus		
					subsections and phosphorus		
					schedules.		
Phosphorus, Total	Monthly Avg	0.8 mg/L	3/Week	24-Hr Flow	This is an interim MDV		
				Prop Comp	effective through		
					10/01/2020. See the		
					MDV/Phosphorus		
					subsections and phosphorus		
					schedules.		
Phosphorus, Total		lbs/month	Monthly	Calculated	Report the total monthly		
					phosphorus discharged in		
					lbs/month on the last day of		
					the month on the DMR. See		
					Standard Requirements for		
					'Appropriate Formulas' to		
					calculate the Total Monthly		
					Discharge in lbs/month.		
Phosphorus, Total		lbs/yr	Annual	Calculated	Report the sum of the total		
					monthly discharges for the		
					calendar year on the Annual		
					report form.		
Chlorine, Total	Daily Max	38 μg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply		
Residual					May-Sept annually.		
Chlorine, Total	Monthly Avg	38 μg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply		
Residual					May-Sept annually.		

Table 3. The Current Effluent Limitations for the Mondovi WWTP

Chlorine, Total Residual	Weekly Avg	38 μg/L	Daily	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept annually.
Fecal Coliform	Geometric Mean - Monthly	400 #/100mL	Weekly	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept.
Fecal Coliform	Geometric Mean - Wkly	656 #/100mL	Weekly	Grab	Limit & monitoring apply May-Sept.
Copper, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	36 μg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	0.17 lbs/day	Monthly	Calculated	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Monthly Avg	36 μg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Copper, Total Recoverable	Weekly Avg	36 μg/L	Monthly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Acute WET		TUa	See Listed Qtr(s)	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	See WET subsection below.
Chronic WET		TUc	See Listed Qtr(s)	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	See WET subsection below.

#### Proposal for a New Discharge

The average annual design flow of the wastewater treatment facility is 0.385 MGD and the location of the current discharge can be seen in the following section. The City has typical domestic and commercial flows for a municipality of its size. Future projections estimate that the existing flows will not increase above the existing WPDES permit annual average design flow; however, due to the potential for a new industrial user relocating to Mondovi and also to allow capacity for additional commercial and industrial growth within the City, the wastewater strengths are projected to increase. Therefore, the City is requesting that larger design loadings be analyzed in the facility planning process.

### Proposed Discharge Location:

Based on the Facility Planning process, the City is requesting a change in the current discharge location. The location of the existing and proposed WWTP and outfall locations are displayed in Figure 1 below. Clear effluent is currently discharged to the Buffalo River; however, based on the recommended location for a new WWTP, a new discharge location to Harvey Creek is proposed. The Facility Plan will outline the proposed WWTP in more detail.



Figure 1. Locations of the Existing and Proposed Mondovi WWTP and Outfall Locations

### Proposed Facility Design Flows:

The facility plan design year is 2038 based on a planning period of 20 years. The population projection which is covered in more detail in a later section calls for only minor fluctuations in the community's population over the next 20 years with a net gain of 33 population growth by the year 2040. Domestic flow and loading projections were determined by per capita flow amounts developed from existing flow conditions and typical per capita loading numbers. Commercial and institutional flows and loadings were developed from accepted design numbers based on the number of employees, hospital beds, students, etc. Mondovi's industrial park is located on the north east portion of the City. Currently, the WWTP only receives light industrial wastes. One of the larger industries that was accounted for was Marten Transport as this is listed as Mondovi's largest employer with 1000+ people. This industry does not necessarily use significant amounts of water for production so mostly domestic waste generated from employees and truck washing was calculated. The City has been coordinating with an industry that is planning to build a new plant in the community that would consist of pet food manufacturing. The new industry would not generate a significant amount of flow, but the wastewater strength of the new industrial user would significantly affect the waste stream being sent to the WWTP. On top of the two industries mentioned above, another 20,000 GPD and typical medium strength wastewater were accounted for to allow future commercial and industrial expansion that could someday benefit the City. Another key aspect in the flow and loading projections was the City's request for a septage receiving station at the WWTP. With limited amounts of land for septage haulers to spread wastes and because most WWTP around the Eau Claire and Buffalo County area cannot accept the high strength waste. there is potential for the City to use this as a revenue stream. Therefore, septage waste was accounted for in the flow and loading calculations. The 2038 projected flows and loadings are summarized in Table 4 below. The flow and loading design spreadsheet developed for the Mondovi WWTP future flow conditions is included in Appendix A.

Parameter	<b>Projected Flows and</b>			
	Loadings for			
	Year 2039			
Annual Average Design	0.300 mgd			
Flow	-			
Peak Week Flow	0.438 mgd			
Peak Day Flow	0.584 mgd			
Peak Hourly Flow	0.7 mgd (584 gpm)			
Average BOD <sub>5</sub>	1539 lbs/day			
Peak Month BOD <sub>5</sub>	2071 lbs/day			
Peak Day BOD <sub>5</sub>	2708 lbs/day			
Average TSS	2091 lbs/day			
Peak Month TSS	4030 lbs/day			
Peak Day TSS	4685 lbs/day			
Average Total-P	62.3 lb/day			
Average TKN	211 lb/day			
Average NH3	133 lb/day			
* Peak values were generated from multiplying the				
estimated future average values by the peaking factors				
for the existing flows and load	ings			

	Table 4.	Proposed	Future	Flows a	and	Loadings
--	----------	----------	--------	---------	-----	----------

The 2010 Census population for the City of Mondovi was 2,777 people (U.S. Census 2010). Projections are for the population to remain relatively stable with a slight fluctuation over the years resulting in a population growth of 1.2% by the year 2,040. The projection trend is outlined in Table 5 below (taken from the Wisconsin Department of Administration, Demographic Services Center):

Table 5. City of Mondovi Municipal Projections

2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Census	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection	Projection
2,777	2,760	2,785	2,825	2,845	2,860	2,810

The Mondovi wastewater collection system consists of 9.4-miles of sanitary sewers ranging between 6 to 15-inches. There are 3 lift stations and one WWTP in theutility system. A map of the sewer service area is included in Appendix B.

### Potential Effluent Discharge Options

Discharge will stay as a continuous discharge.

**Effluent Limits Request** 

Appendix A - Future Wastewater Flow and Loading Estimates for the City of Mondovi

#### Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Plant

#### Future Design Conditions

Population:

#### 2835 <-- Avg of projected 2035 and 2040 US Census Population Projections

Design Concentrations (mg/L)

619.8

842.4

25.1

212.625

3.75

3.75

3.75

2.25

37.5

11

100.0

1.65

0.22

0.44

0.22

22

8.34

4.4

165.9

53.6

84.9

Projected Wastewater Flow to Year 2038:		Projected Wastewater Loadings to Yea	r 2038:					
			BOD (lb/day)	TSS (lb/day)	Total P (lb/day)	TKN (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/day)	FOG(lb/day)
Village Domestic (Pop. X 80 gpcd)	226800 GPD	Village Domestic	453.6	425.25	14.175	62.37	70.875	212.625
Major Commercial:		Major Commercial:						
Countryside Cooperative	650 GPD	Countryside Cooperative	. 11	10	0.25	1.6	0.95	3.75
Mondovi Market	500 GPD	Mondovi Market	t 11	10	0.25	1.6	0.95	3.75
Tractor Central	500 GPD	Tractor Centra	11	10	0.25	1.6	0.95	3.75
Alliance Bank	300 GPD	Alliance Bank	6.6	6	0.15	0.96	0.57	2.25
Other	5000 GPD	Other	r 44	40	1	6.4	3.8	37.5
Major Industry:		Major Industry:						
Marten Transport	6500 GPD	Marten Transport	t 55	65	3	10	) 5	11
Proposed Industry	6186 GPD	Proposed Industry	301.6	103.2	32.2	41.5	2.3	100.0
Healthcare:		Healthcare:						
Prevea Health	750 GPD	Prevea Health	8.25	9.75	0.45	1.5	0.825	1.65
American Lutheran Homes, Inc	1000 GPD	American Lutheran Homes, Inc	: 1.1	1.3	0.06	0.2	0.11	0.22
Homeplace LLC	2000 GPD	Homeplace LLC	2.2	2.6	0.12	0.4	0.22	0.44
Midwest Dental Care	2500 GPD	Midwest Dental Care	. 1.1	1.3	0.06	0.2	0.11	0.22
Public Schools (1,000 Students x 15 gal/student/d)	15,000 GPD	Public Schools (1000 students)	110	130	5	20	) 11	. 22
Sewage/Grease Receiving	10000 GPD	Sewage/Grease Receiving	500.4	1251	4.17	58.38	33.36	8.34
Future Commercial/Industrial	20000 GPD	Future Commercial/Industrial	22	26	1.2	4	2.2	4.4
			BOD (lb/day)	TSS (lb/day)	Total P (lb/day)	TKN (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/day)	FOG(lb/day)
Total WW Design Flow (without I/I) :	297686	Total WW Design Loadings	: 1538.8	2091.4	62.3	210.7	133.2	411.9

**Effluent Limits Request** 

Appendix B – Mondovi Wastewater Collection System

#### **Alex Jaromin**

From:	Bellovary, Christopher W - DNR <christopher.bellovary@wisconsin.gov></christopher.bellovary@wisconsin.gov>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 27, 2019 1:21 PM
То:	Alex Jaromin
Subject:	Updated Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations for the Mondovi Wastewater
-	Treatment Facility for Facility Planning; WPDES Permit No. WI-0020591

Good afternoon Mr. Jaromin,

Please see the following charts, which are provided in response to your request for an evaluation of the water qualitybased effluent limitations (WQBELs) for facility planning for the Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Facility in Buffalo County. The proposed outfall location for the Facility is Harvey Creek, located in the Lower Buffalo River Watershed in the Buffalo-Trempealeau River Basin.

The following WQBELS were made on a chemical-specific basis for a new discharge with an annual average design flow of 0.300 MGD:

	Daily	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Six-Month	Footnotes
Parameter	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Average	Average	
BOD₅						
May – October			22 mg/L	22 mg/L		
			55.7 lbs/day			
November – April			33 mg/L	30 mg/L		
			82.3 lbs/day	0,		
TSS						
May – October			22 mg/L	22 mg/L		
			55.7 lbs/day			
November – April			33 mg/L	30 mg/L		
			82.3 lbs/day			
рН	9.0 s.u.	6.0 s.u.				
Ammonia Nitrogen						
April – May			16 mg/L	7.3 mg/L		1
June – September	Variable		18 mg/L	8.5 mg/L		
October – March			12 mg/L	5.7 mg/L		
Fecal Coliform			656#/100 mL	400#/100 mL		2
May – September			geometric mean	geometric mean		
Residual Chlorine						
May – September	38 μg/L		38 µg/L	38 μg/L		2
Phosphorus				0.171 mg/L	0.057 mg/L	
					0.14 lbs/day	

Footnotes:

1. The variable daily maximum ammonia nitrogen limit table corresponding to various effluent pH values may be included in the permit in place of the single limit. These limits apply year-round.

Effluent pH	Limit	Effluent pH	Limit	Effluent pH	Limit
s.u.	mg/L	s.u.	mg/L	s.u.	mg/L
6.0 < pH ≤ 6.1	108	7.0 < pH ≤ 7.1	66	8.0 < pH ≤ 8.1	14

Effluent pH	Limit	Effluent pH	Limit	Effluent pH	Limit
s.u.	mg/L	s.u.	mg/L	s.u.	mg/L
6.1 < pH ≤ 6.2	106	7.1 < pH ≤ 7.2	59	8.1 < pH ≤ 8.2	11
6.2 < pH ≤ 6.3	104	7.2 < pH ≤ 7.3	52	8.2 < pH ≤ 8.3	9.4
6.3 < pH ≤ 6.4	101	7.3 < pH ≤ 7.4	46	8.3 < pH ≤ 8.4	7.8
6.4 < pH ≤ 6.5	98	7.4 < pH ≤ 7.5	40	8.4 < pH ≤ 8.5	6.4
6.5 < pH ≤ 6.6	94	7.5 < pH ≤ 7.6	34	8.5 < pH ≤ 8.6	5.3
6.6 < pH ≤ 6.7	89	7.6 < pH ≤ 7.7	29	8.6 < pH ≤ 8.7	4.4
6.7 < pH ≤ 6.8	84	7.7 < pH ≤ 7.8	24	8.7 < pH ≤ 8.8	3.7
6.8 < pH ≤ 6.9	78	7.8 < pH ≤ 7.9	20	8.8 < pH ≤ 8.9	3.1
6.9 < pH ≤ 7.0	72	7.9 < pH ≤ 8.0	17	8.9 < pH ≤ 9.0	2.6

- 2. Additional limits to comply with the expression of limits requirements in ss. NR 106.07 and NR 205.065(7) are included in bold.
- 3. Monitoring in the fourth year of the permit term

If you have any questions, please let me know. Thank you.

#### Christopher Bellovary

Wastewater Engineer – Water Quality Bureau Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

Phone: (608) 267-7625 Christopher.Bellovary@Wisconsin.gov

From: Bellovary, Christopher W - DNR Sent: Friday, August 9, 2019 11:34 AM To: 'Alex Jaromin' <ajaromin@cbssquaredinc.com> Subject: RE: Mondovi WWTP Effluent Limits Request Importance: Low

Alex,

I finally got through to the limits coordinator. The hang-up was getting his supervisor's approval, so I spoke to her about it and hope to have something to you soon. Sorry about the delay.

Christopher Bellovary Wastewater Engineer – Water Quality Bureau Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

Phone: (608) 267-7625 Christopher.Bellovary@Wisconsin.gov

From: Alex Jaromin <<u>ajaromin@cbssquaredinc.com</u>> Sent: Monday, July 29, 2019 10:48 AM To: Bellovary, Christopher W - DNR <<u>Christopher.Bellovary@wisconsin.gov</u>> Subject: RE: Mondovi WWTP Effluent Limits Request

Good Morning Chris,

Just touching base on the limits memo. Would the proposed new limits for Mondovi based on the effluent limits request be releasable this week?

Thanks,

#### **Alex Jaromin**

Staff Engineer CBS Squared, Inc. Cell: 608.343.8018



The information contained in this e-mail (and any attachments) is confidential and may not be used by anyone other than the addressee. If you are not this intended recipient please notify us immediately.

From: Bellovary, Christopher W - DNR <<u>Christopher.Bellovary@wisconsin.gov</u>>Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 4:18 PMTo: Alex Jaromin <<u>ajaromin@cbssquaredinc.com</u>>Subject: RE: Mondovi WWTP Effluent Limits Request

Alex,

Ben prepared a draft limits memo on April 25, but it needs to be reviewed, which did not occur. Ben is working on making that happen, and will let me know when we can provide those limits. I expect they should be releasable before the close of this business week.

My apologies Alex. I thought Ben had already provided that information, and I should have verified that assumption.

Christopher Bellovary Wastewater Engineer – Water Quality Bureau Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

Phone: (608) 267-7625 Christopher.Bellovary@Wisconsin.gov

From: Alex Jaromin <<u>ajaromin@cbssquaredinc.com</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 2:16 PM
To: Bellovary, Christopher W - DNR <<u>Christopher.Bellovary@wisconsin.gov</u>>
Cc: Hartenbower, Benjamin P - DNR <<u>Benjamin.Hartenbower@wisconsin.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Mondovi WWTP Effluent Limits Request

Good Afternoon Chris,

Just wanted to touch base on Mondovi. Would it be possible to get an estimate of what the newer more stringent limits would be at some point in the near future?

Thanks,

#### **Alex Jaromin**

Staff Engineer CBS Squared, Inc.

#### Cell: 608.343.8018



The information contained in this e-mail (and any attachments) is confidential and may not be used by anyone other than the addressee. If you are not this intended recipient please notify us immediately.

From: Bellovary, Christopher W - DNR <<u>Christopher.Bellovary@wisconsin.gov</u>>Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2019 10:46 AMTo: Alex Jaromin <<u>ajaromin@cbssquaredinc.com</u>>Cc: Hartenbower, Benjamin P - DNR <<u>Benjamin.Hartenbower@wisconsin.gov</u>>Subject: RE: Mondovi WWTP Effluent Limits Request

Good morning Alex,

I was speaking with the Limits Calculator earlier this morning about how an outfall on Harvey Creek will have more stringent limits than an outfall on the Buffalo River. To avoid surprises at the end, we thought it was worth checking to make sure you were aware of that.

Thank you Alex.

- Chris

Christopher Bellovary Wastewater Engineer – Water Quality Bureau Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

Phone: (608) 267-7625 Christopher.Bellovary@Wisconsin.gov Appendix G

Inflow & Infiltration Assessment



#### General Indicator Criteria for Non-Excessive Inflow and/or Infiltration:

a. Per Capita Flow Rates

#### Non-Excessive Infiltration

• Per Capita Flow rates during dry weather and concurrent high GW conditions ≤ 120 gpcd

#### Non-Excessive Inflow

• Maximum daily flow during a storm ≤ 275 gpcd

Mondovi Population = 2,634 people

The per capita flow rate criteria assumes:

- 70 gpcd domestic
- 10 gpcd commercial/industry
- 40 gpcd non-excessive INFILTRATION -or- 155 gpcd non-excessive INFLOW

Therefore:

#### Non-Excessive Infiltration Criteria for Mondovi

• Per Capita Flow rates during dry weather and concurrent high GW conditions ≤ 40 gpcd

#### Non-Excessive Inflow Criteria for Mondovi

• Maximum daily flow during a storm ≤ **155 gpcd** 

Infiltration Analysis:

For the per capita flow estimate, the flowrates during months with high groundwater and dry weather months with no storm events were analyzed (early summer). The flowrate calculated for this time period was approximately **221,000 gpd**. By dividing this flowrate by the Mondovi population, the corresponding per capita flowrate is **84 gpcd**. This is more than the Non-Excessive Infiltration Criteria calculated above meaning infiltration is excessive for the City of Mondovi.

#### Inflow Analysis:

For the per capita flow estimate, the maximum daily average flows during heavy rainfall events were analyzed. The flowrate calculated for this time period was approximately **407,000 gpd**. By dividing this flowrate by the Mondovi population, the corresponding per capita flowrate is approximately **155 gpcd**. This is equal to the Non-Excessive Inflow Criteria above meaning inflow is non-excessive for the City of Mondovi but it is still a potential issue the City should address.



b. The ratio of Peak Hourly Flow to Average Daily Flow is seen below:

500,000 gpd / 209,000 gpd = 2.4 < 5

c. In talking to the Mondovi Director of Public Works and other Village staff, no sanitary sewer basement backups, sanitary sewer system overflow occurrences, or treatment facility upsets have occurred during wet weather events.

The City will continue to check for illicit connections when doing utility work, videotape portions of the collection system each year for visual inspection, and the City recently video taped a large portion of sanitary sewer that it plans to include in the scope of the Facility Plan for the wastewater treatment plant.

Appendix H

Sanitary Line Televising Report

### $oldsymbol{2}oldsymbol{)}$ Waste Systems Cleaning INC







MMC - Material Change @ 21.1 ft. cast iron pipe

Upstream MH Downstream MH 207 205	Size N 10 Vitrifi	laterial ed Clay Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Certificate Number Chris 43234	er Street Addres Highway 10	s Locatio	n Details	
Direction Purpose Upstream Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	Date 20180319	<b>Time</b> 10:19	Length Surveyed
Additional Information				
Ftg. Code Description 219.8 AMH Access Point - Manhe 218.4 MMC Material Change	Pct.	Position Cont.	Comment 207 concrete	
🔲 ——• 199.2 TB Tap, Break-in / Hamn	ner	10		
175.0 TFC Tap, Factory Made: C 172.5 TFC Tap, Factory Made: C 167.4 CC Crack Circumferentia 167.2 TB Tap, Break-in / Hamn	Capped Capped I ner	10 2 11 to 2 2		
•114.2 TB Tap, Break-in / Hamm	ıer	2		
95.1 TEC Tap. Factory Made: C	apped	2		
•87.6 TF Tap, Factory Made	appea	10		
• 30.1 TF Tap, Factory Made		10		
0.0 MWL Water Level 0.0 AMH Access Point - Manho	5		Starting Manhole: 205	

Upstream MH 207-A	Downstream MH Size 207 10	Material Vitrified Clay Pipe	Total Length City Mondovi	
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 5	Street Address Loc Highway 10	ation Details	
Direction Upstream	Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Da Dry 20180	te Time Length Sur 1319 10:32 219.5	veyed
Additional Information	1			
Ftg. Cod 219.5 AMH	e Description H Access Point - Manhole	Pct. Position C	ont. Comment 207-A	
• 155.2 TF	Tap, Factory Made	2		
■• 140.4 TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped	10		
₩ ▼ ■•92.1 TBI	Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding	10		
31.4 CL 27.4 TBI 22.5 TFC 22.2 TB 20.7 TFC	Crack Longitudinal Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped	12 2 10 10 2		
0.0 MWL 0.0 AMH	Water Level Access Point - Manhole	5	Starting Manhole: 207	

	Upstream MH 205-A		Downstream MH         Size           205         10	M Vitrifie	<b>aterial</b> d Clay Pipe	)	Total Length	City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Nai Chris	ne	Certificate Number	Street Address Highway 10	3	Locatio	n Details	
	irection Ipstream		Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	2	Date 0180319	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed 296.8
Ad	ditional Inform	ation						
ien A	Ftg.	Code AMH	Description Access Point - Manhole	Pct.	Position	Cont.	Comment 205-A	
	•255.3	CL	Crack Longitudinal		12			
	•240.2 • <b>234.4</b> •230.0 •227.6 •227.5	TF H CS CS TFC	Tap, Factory Made Hole in Pipe Crack Spiral Crack Spiral Tap, Factory Made: Capped		2 10 to 2 9 to 3 9 to 12 10		Patched	
	205.3 202.8 197.4 192.4 187.0	CL TF CS CS CS	Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made Crack Spiral Crack Spiral Crack Spiral Crack Spiral		12 10 7 to 12 9 to 4 8 to 4		roots in service	
	••• 173.5 ••• 167.5	CS CS	Crack Spiral Crack Spiral		11 to 1 10 to 12	F01 S01		
	•157.5 •152.6 •151.0	TF CS CL	Tap, Factory Made Crack Spiral Crack Longitudinal		2 9 to 12 12		possibly capped	
₩ ▼	135.0 130.6 127.6 120.0 117.8 115.0 105.5	CS CL CS TBI TB CS B	Crack Spiral Crack Longitudinal Crack Spiral Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding Tap, Break-in / Hammer Crack Spiral Pipe Broken		10 to 2 12 9 to 11 10 2 11 to 2 10 to 1			ge in the
	•82.4	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10			
	60.0	TF	Tap, Factory Made		2			
	•42.3	CS	Crack Spiral		11 to 2			
	0.0	MWL AMH	Water Level Access Point - Manhole	5			Starting Manhole: 205	

Upstream MH 205	Downstream MH 205-A	<b>Size</b> 10	Materia Vitrified Clay	l To Pipe	otal Length	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	Stre	eet Address lighway 10	Location I	Details	
Direction Downstream	Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather	Date 20180319	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed



B - Pipe Broken @ 105.5 ft.

H - Hole in Pipe @ 234.4 ft. Patched

Hull.

	Upstream MH 206		Downstream MH Size	Material Vitrified Clay Pipe	Total Length City Mondovi	
	Surveyor's Nar	ne	Certificate Number 5	Street Address Locati	on Details	]
	Direction Upstream	ation	Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Date Dry 2018031	Time         Length St           11:02         280.	urveyed
		adon				
	Ftg. 280.1	Code AMH	Description     Access Point - Manhole	Pct. Position Cont	Comment 206	
	•236.5	TBI TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding Tap, Break-in / Hammer	2 10		
	•==•221.5	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped	2		
	204.2	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped	10		
	•149.1	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped	10		
#	• 124.5	CS	Crack Spiral	9 to 3		
V	• <b>•••</b> 116.4	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped	2		
	98.9 98.7	CS TFC	Crack Spiral Tap, Factory Made: Capped	8 to 12 10		
	•63.5	TF	Tap, Factory Made	2		
	51.6 49.1 46.5 44.1	CL CL TFC TB	Crack Longitudinal Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer	11 3 2 10		
	9.0 3.9 0.0 0.0	TFC TF MWL AMH	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Factory Made Water Level Access Point - Manhole	10 2 5	Starting Manhole: 205-A	



er Street Addres Highway 10 Weather Dry	s Locatio Date 20180319	n Details Time Length Surveyed
Weather Dry	Date 20180319	Time Length Surveyed
e - Marine - Marine - Marine - Antonio - Marine		11:14 425.1
		······
nole 5	Position Cont.	<b>Comment</b> Starting Manhole: 205
en): Medium	9 to 1 12 to 2 10 9 to 2	dirt is coming through seperation
25	S01	
10 Capped mer Capped	F01 10 11 12 to 5 2 12 2 6 to 9 12 2 to 9	
	10 to 2 11	
	3 to 8	
	7 to 11	
	12 to 3	
Capped Capped mer: Intruding mer: Intruding	6 to 10 8 to 4 1 to 4 9 10 2 9 10 2 2 to 5 7 to 11 8 to 11 10 to 3 11	176
	hole Pct. 5	Pct.         Position         Cont.           5         5           en): Medium         10 9 to 2           25         \$01 10           Capped nmer         10 11           Capped         10 12           Capped         10 12           Capped         10 12           Capped         10 12           10         F01           11         12 to 5 12           2 to 9         12           2 to 9         10 12           10 to 2 11         11 3 to 8 7 to 11           12 to 3         6 to 10 8 to 4 1 to 4 9 9           Capped         10 2           Capped         10 2           Capped         10 10           2 to 3         7 to 11 8 to 11 10 to 3 11           hole         11

#### 715-533-2262

Upstream MH 205	Downstream MH	Size 12	Materia Vitrified Clay	al y Pipe	Total Leng	th	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	Stre	e <b>et Address</b> lighway 10	Locatio	n Details		
Direction Downstream	Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	Date 20180319	1	<b>'ime</b> 1:14	Length Surveyed 425.1



JSM - Joint Separated (open): Medium @ 57.7 ft. dirt is coming through seperation







FS - Fracture Spiral @ 347.4 ft.



		<b>E</b> 4	0-1-	Description	Det	Desition	Cont	Commont
		Ftg.	Code	Description	PCI.	Position	Cont.	Comment Starting Maphala: 166 A
<sup>i</sup> Cla	-	0.0	AMH	Access Point - Mannole	r			Starting Mannole. 166-A
	-	0.0	IVIVVL	Vvater Level	5	6 to 10		
V	2	0.0	69	Crack Spiral		6 to 9		
	1	01	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	S01	
		16.6	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	F01	8
		26.4	CI	Crack Longitudinal		12	101	
	1	26.4	CL	Crack Longitudinal		3		
	A	29.8	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	S02	
		\$55.5	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	F02	
	0	• 59.3	MGO	General Observation				joint chipped
		68.7	HSV	Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible		12		
		69.3	н	Hole in Pipe		12		patched
		•70.8	CL	Crack Longitudinal		11		
	llt.	•71.2	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	S03	
		88.4/\\ <sup>\$</sup>	н	Hole in Pipe		12		patched
		•91.1	Н	Hole in Pipe		12	500	patched
		•93.3	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	F03	
	11	•93.3	H	Hole in Pipe		11 to 1		Lataral full of roots
		94.8		Tap, Factory Made		12		Lateral full of roots
		94.0		Tan Fostony Mado		12		roots in lateral Possible hole
		90.4	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	\$04	
		104.2	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	F04	
		104.2	CI	Crack Longitudinal		3	104	
		•111.4	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	S05	
		117.1	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	F05	
		•124.8	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10		
\$35		• 127.0	CL	Crack Longitudinal		2		
***	.1	132.5	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	S06	
V	11	P135.8	HSV	Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible		11 to 1		
		P138.2	HSV	Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible		10 to 2		
	111	138.4	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	F06	
		P 148.1	CL	Crack Longitudinal		11		
		P 151.1	HSV	Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible		12 to 1	007	
	11	P153.4	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	507	
	1	168.6	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	FU7	
		101.0	CIVI	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	500	
		191.0	TEC	Tan Eactory Made: Canned		12 10 12	100	
		192.0	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	S09	
		207 3	CM	Crack Multiple		12 to 12	F09	
		235.6	TE	Tap Factory Made		10		
	x	265.3	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10		
	1	275.7	TF	Tap, Factory Made		2		
		290.8	TF	Tap, Factory Made		10		
		305.8	TF	Tap, Factory Made		10		full of debris
1	1	311.8	CS	Crack Spiral		2 to 7		
		330.1	TF	Tap, Factory Made		2		
		388.8	MGO	General Observation		M 11677		spawling
		•423.8	TF	Tap, Factory Made		10		
		•425.7	TF	Tap, Factory Made		2		full of debris
	-	<b>469.0</b>	MMC	Material Change				concrete
		°470.7	AMH	Access Point - Manhole				100

Upstream MH Downs 166-A	tream MH Size	Material Vitrified Clay Pi	pe Total Ler	ngth City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Cert	ificate Number 43234	Street Address Highway 10	Location Details	
Direction P Downstream Mainter Additional Information	Purpose nance Related	Weather Dry	Date 20180319	TimeLength Surveyed11:58470.7



HSV - Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible @ 68.7 ft.

H - Hole in Pipe @ 69.3 ft. patched



H - Hole in Pipe @ 93.3 ft.

HSV - Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible @ 135.8 ft.

	Upstream MH 166-A	Downstream MH 166	Size 8	Ma Vitrified	t <b>erial</b> Clay Pip	e Tota	al Leng	jth	City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234		Street Address Highway 10	]	Location De	etails		
D	Direction ownstream	Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	2	Date 20180319		Time 11:58	Length Surveyed 470.7
A	ditional Informatio	n					tere - rocks to take po		



HSV - Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible @ 138.2 ft. HSV - Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible @ 151.1 ft.


1	Jpstream MH 166		ownstream MH         Size           163         10	M Vitrifie	l <b>aterial</b> d Clay Pipe		otal Leng	jth	City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Nan Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Street Address Highway 10	<u>s</u>	ocation	Details		
Do	irection wnstream		Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather	201	Date 180319		Time 12:46	Length Surveyed
Ad	ditional Inform	ation							
ĕ⊒∙ ¥	Ftg. 0.0 0.0 8.7 12.2	Code AMH MWL CL MWLS	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level Crack Longitudinal Water Level: Sag	Pct. 5 25	Position 2	Cont. (	Comment Starting M	anhole: 166	
	•26.2	сс	Crack Circumferential		7 to 12				
	50.4 54.9	TFC TB	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10 10				
	<b>97.1</b>	TF	Tap, Factory Made		2				
	113.5 117.1 123.1 125.5	CL TF CC TBI	Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made Crack Circumferential Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding	l	12 10 7 to 10 10				
₩ ▼	• 161.1	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		2				
	216.3 218.3 219.7 221.9 234.0	CL TF TB TFC TFC	Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Factory Made: Capped		1 2 10 2 2				
	278.6 286.4 288.2	CL TF AMH	Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made Access Point - Manhole		9 12	1	63		











RBL - Roots, Ball: Lateral @ 193.3 ft.

Up	stream MH 185-C	D	ownstream MH 184	Size 8	Ma Concrete rein	a <b>terial</b> e Pipe (non Iforced)	-	Total Length	City Mondovi
Su	rveyor's Nar Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Str	r <b>eet Address</b> Highway 10		_ocation	n Details	
Dire	ection	N	Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	20	Time 11:21	Length Surveyed 296.3	
Addi	tional Inform	ation							
A Fen	Ftg. ●0.0 ●0.0	Code AMH MWL	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level		<b>Pct.</b> 10	Position	Cont.	Comment Starting Manhole: 185	5-C
	•65.3	MWLS	Water Level: Sag		25		S01		
	•76.7	MWLS	Water Level: Sag		5		F01	sag ends	
	93.5 98.5 102.7 103.4	MMC TF TF MMC	Material Change Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made Material Change			12 12		pvc pipe concrete pipe	
₩ ₩	8 144.0 146.9	TF TF	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made			12 12			
	224.5 226.6 227.5 2250.9	TF CL TF CL	Tap, Factory Made Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made Crack Longitudinal			12 12 12 12			
(	296.3	AMH	Access Point - Manhole					184	

### $\mathbf{Q}$ ) Waste Systems Cleaning INC



	Upstream MH 184-A		ownstream MH Size	Material Concrete Pipe (non- reinforced)	Total Length City Mondovi
<b></b>	Surveyor's Nan	ne	Certificate Number	Street Address Locati	ion Details
	Direction Jpstream	ation	Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Date Dry 2018032	Time         Length Surveyed           1         11:36         300.4
jer A	Ftg. 300.4 •294.1	Code AMH TF	Description Access Point - Manhole Tap, Factory Made	Pct. Position Con 1	t. Comment 184-A
	₽•270.5	TF	Tap, Factory Made	10	
	• 180.4	TBI	Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding	1	
₩ ₩	<b>147.9</b>	TF	Tap, Factory Made	10	
·	• 109.0	TF	Tap, Factory Made	10	
	0.0	MWL AMH	Water Level Access Point - Manhole	5	Starting Manhole: 182







MWLS - Water Level: Sag @ 371.3 ft.

Upstream MH D 181	Downstream MH 177	<b>Size</b> 10	Ma Concret reir	aterial te Pipe (non- nforced)	Total Length	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	Stre	eet Address Water St	Locat	tion Details	
Direction Upstream	Purpose Maintenance Related		Neather Dry	Date 201803	<b>Time</b> 21 12:26	Length Surveyed
Additional Information						
Ftg. Code 293.1 AMH	Description     Access Point - Manhole		Pct.	Position Cor	nt. Comment 181	
•225.6 TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer			2		
■• 173.9 TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer			10		
●● 144.5 TF	Tap, Factory Made			2		
0.0 MWL 0.0 AMH	Water Level Access Point - Manhole		5		177	

Upstream MH D	Downstream MHSize181-A10	M Concre rei	aterial te Pipe (non- nforced)		Total Length	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number S	treet Address Water St	; <u>L</u>	ocatio	n Details	
Direction	Purpose	Weather		Date	Time	Length Surveyed
Additional Information	Maintenance Related	Dry	201	80321	13:16	349.4
Ftg. Code 349.4 AMH	Description Access Point - Manhole	Pct.	Position	Cont.	Comment 181	
▲ 336.1 MWLS	Water Level: Sag	25		S02		
289.3 CC 284.1 TF 275.0 TF 272.1 CC 269.1 MWLS 253.8 TF	Crack Circumferential Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made Crack Circumferential Water Level: Sag Tap, Factory Made	10	12 to 12 2 10 12 to 12 10	F01		
233.0 M	Water Level: Sag	25	10	S01		
•203.7 TF •195.0 TF	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made		2 2			
₩ ▼ ■•136.8 TF	Tap, Factory Made		10			
99.1 TF	Tap, Factory Made		2			
•24.4 RFJ •14.9 TF •9.1 TF	Roots, Fine: Joint Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made		10 10 2		roots on ring of servoo	ce
0.0 MWL 0.0 AMH	Water Level Access Point - Manhole	5			Starting Manhole: 181	-A

# R Waste Systems Cleaning INC

Upstream MH Do	ownstream MH Size	Material Vitrified Clay Pipe	Total Length City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number Stree	et Address Locatio	n Details
Direction Upstream	Purpose W Aaintenance Related	Veather Date Dry 20180321	TimeLength Surveyed13:29295.2
Additional Information			
Ftg. Code 295.2 AMH	Description Access Point - Manhole	Pct. Position Cont.	Comment 183
268.2 TF 263.2 TF 260.0 MGO	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made General Observation	2 10	roots in lateral pipe chipped
198.7 TFC 198.7 TB 193.4 TB 184.0 TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped	10 1 10 2	
•157.0 CS	Crack Spiral	11 to 1	
₩ ■ 132.2 CS 132.2 TB 119.9 TF	Crack Spiral Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made	10 to 12 11 2 2	
• 109.2 TFC • 102.3 CS	Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding	2 8 to 12	
	Water Level Access Point - Manhole	5	Starting Manhole: 176

Generated on Wednesday, 3/21/2018 at 01:56 PM by the PipeTech® TV inspection system.

### Waste Systems Cleaning INC

	Upstream MH Dead End	D	ownstream MH Size 183 10	M Vitrifie	aterial ed Clay Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Nan Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Street Addres: Hudson St	s Locatio	on Details	
	Jirection Jpstream	1	Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	Date 20180321	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed 305.2
Ad	lditional Inform	ation					
ي ت ت	Ftg. • 305.2	Code MSA	Description Survey Abandoned	Pct.	Position Cont.	Comment Deadend	
•	•291.2	ТВ	Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10		
	*242.4 *240.3 *236.3 *231.3 *224.5	TFC TB MMC MMC TB	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer Material Change Material Change Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10 2 10	clay pipe pvc pipe	
	198.6 191.6 189.3	TFC TBI TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10 2 2		
₩ ₩	162.2 162.2 153.0 149.0 148.3	CS TF MMC TB MMC	Crack Spiral Tap, Factory Made Material Change Tap, Break-in / Hammer Material Change		9 to 3 10 2	clay pipe pvc pipe	
	•79.4	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10		
	• 62.4 • 62.4	CS TF	Crack Spiral Tap, Factory Made		12 to 4 2		
	12.1 • 8.5 • 0.0 • 0.0	TF CL MWL AMH	Tap, Factory Made Crack Longitudinal Water Level Access Point - Manhole	5	10 12	full of roots possibly of starting Manhole: 183	apped

()

Generated on Monday, 4/2/2018 at 12:45 PM by the PipeTech® TV inspection system.





MSA - Survey Abandoned @ 305.2 ft. Deadend

Upstream MH		176 Size	Ma Vitrified	terial Clay Pipe	Total Length City Mondovi
Surveyor's Na	me	Certificate Number	Street Address	Location	n Details
Direction Upstream		Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	Date 20180321	TimeLength Surveyed14:10354.6
Additional Inform	nation				
Ftg 354.1 354.1 348 340.3 340.3 322.3	Code AMH HVV TF TFC TFC TB	<b>Description</b> Access Point - Manhole Hole in Pipe: Void Visible Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer	Pct.	Position Cont. 12 to 4 2 10 10	Comment 175 <sup>-</sup> roots in lateral
•281. •275.	) TFC 7 TB	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10 10	
• 231. • 227. • 207.	TB TF TFC	Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10 2 10	full of roots
₩ • 148. • 148. • 147. • 136.	) TFC 7 TBI 1 TFC	Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding Tap, Factory Made: Capped	ł	12 2 10 10	
• 76. • 75. • 64. • 54.	3 TB 2 TB 3 TFC 4 TFC	Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10 1 10 2	
0.	) MWL ) AMH	Water Level Access Point - Manhole	5		Starting Manhole: 176



Upstream MH 175	Downstream MH 176	Size M 10 Vitrifie	aterial T d Clay Pipe	otal Length	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	Street Address Hudson St	Location	Details	
Direction Upstream Additional Informatio	Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	Date 20180321	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed 354.6



HVV - Hole in Pipe: Void Visible @ 351.2 ft.

U	pstream MH 174	D	ownstream MH Size	M Vitrifie	aterial d Clay Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi
S	urveyor's Nan Chris	ne	Certificate Number 5	Street Address Hudson St	Locatio	n Details	
Dir	rection	Ņ	Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	Date 20180323	<b>Time</b> 07:26	Length Surveyed 356.2
Add	itional Inform	ation					
L							
,Eh	Ftg. 0.0 0.0	Code AMH MWL	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level	Pct. 0	Position Cont.	Comment Starting Manhole: 174	
V							
	•29.9	CS	Crack Spiral		2 to 3		
	•42.3	CS	Crack Spiral		2 to 5		
	66.2 67.7 70.5	CM TB TFC	Crack Multiple Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped		7 to 2 10 10		
1	123.1 124.6	TBI TB TFC	Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10 2 2		
**	••175.8	в	Pipe Broken		4 to 6		
$\vee$	•209.3	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		2		
	•221.8	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10		
	231.8 235.0	TB CS	Tap, Break-in / Hammer Crack Spiral		2 7 to 8		
	• 286.3	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		2 6 to 12		
	• 296.7	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10		
	• 319.0	CL	Crack Longitudinal		2		
		тв	Tap, Break-in / Hammer		2		
	• 356.2	AMH	Access Point - Manhole			175	

# Waste Systems Cleaning INC

Upstream MH	Downstream MH	<b>Size</b>	Mate	erial	Total Length	City
174	175	10	Vitrified C	Clay Pipe		Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	S	treet Address Hudson St	Location	n Details	
Direction	Purpose		Weather	Date	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed
Downstream	Maintenance Related		Dry	20180323	07:26	356.2
Additional Information	n					



B - Pipe Broken @ 175.8 ft.

CS - Crack Spiral @ 296.7 ft.

03/23/18

06:34a

U	pstream MH 176	D	ownstream MH Size	)	Vitrif	Material ied Clay Pipe	e [	Total Ler	igth	City Mondovi
S	urveyor's Nan Chris	10	Certificate Number	Stro Th	eet Addre	<b>S</b> \$	Locatio	n Details		
Di	rection		Purpose		Weather		Date		Time	Length Surveyed
Dov	Instream	1	Maintenance Related		Dry	2	0180323		07:46	393.7
Add	itional Informa	ation								
¥.	Ftg. 0.0 0.0 7.2 14.8 34.1	Code AMH MWL MMC MMC CS	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level Material Change Material Change Crack Spiral		Pct. 5	Position 10 to 2	Cont.	Commen Starting I pvc pipe clay pipe	nt Manhole: 176	
	70.4	тв	Tap, Break-in / Hammer			10				
1	96.0 98.3 104.3 113.5	CL TFC CL CS	Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made: Capped Crack Longitudinal Crack Spiral			12 2 12 11 to 2				
	• 152.5	CS	Crack Spiral			10 to 1				
	• 167.6 • 170.4	CS CC	Crack Spiral Crack Circumferential			8 to 11 8 to 12				
₩ ₩										
	•244.1	RMJ REJ	Roots, Medium, Joint Roots, Fine: Joint		45	12 to 5 - 4 to 5				
	•271.8	CS	Crack Spiral			8 to 1				
	•298.9	CS	Crack Spiral			10 to 1				
	354.3 356.4	RFL TB	Roots, Fine: Lateral Tap, Break-in / Hammer			8 to 10 10		roots in la	ateral	
	380.9	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint			1				
	• 393.7	AMH	Access Point - Manhole					177		



Upstream MH 177	Downstream MH 177-A	Size Mat 12 Vitrified	erial Clay Pipe	Fotal Length	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	Street Address Lincoln St	Location	Details	
Direction Downstream Additional Informatio	Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	Date 20180323	<b>Time</b> 08:12	Length Surveyed



CM - Crack Multiple @ 0.0 ft.



Up	stream MH 178-A	D	ownstream MH Size	Mater Vitrified Cl	<b>ial</b> ay Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi
Su	rveyor's Nan Chris	ne	Certificate Number	Street Address Lincoln St	Locati	on Details	
Dire	stream	ſ	Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	Date 20180323	Time           3         08:30	Length Surveyed 228.8
Addit	ional Inform	ation					
,e⊒n I	Ftg. 0.0 0.0	Code AMH MWL	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level	Pct. Po 5	sition Cont	. Comment Starting Manhole: 17	8-A
	•19.1	TBI	Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding		10		
	•29.0	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10		
	48.8	TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10		
	66.3 68.8	RFJ TB	Roots, Fine: Joint Tap, Break-in / Hammer	2	1		
	78.9	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10		
	•87.3	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		2		
₩ ₩							
	143.8 145.2 145.2	TFC TB TB	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10 10 2		
	• 160.9	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		2		
	•211.2	rBI	I ap, Break-In / Hammer: Intruding		2		
	• 228.8	MSA	Survey Abandoned			Cant go any further	

# **RR** Waste Systems Cleaning INC



Generated on Friday, 3/23/2018 at 09:13 AM by the PipeTech® TV inspection system.

	<b>Ipstream MH</b> 178		ownstream MH Siz	e	M Vitrifie	<b>aterial</b> d Clay Pipe		Total Length	City Mondovi
5	Surveyor's Nan	ne	Certificate Number	Str	eet Address	• <b>I</b>	ocatio	n Details	
Di	rection wnstream		Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	20	Date 180323	<b>Time</b> 09:02	Length Surveyed
Ade	ditional Inform	ation							
¥ €	Ftg. 0.0 0.0	Code AMH MWL	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level		Pct. 10	Position	Cont.	Comment Starting Manhole: 178	3
	<b></b> •17.8	CL	Crack Longitudinal			12			
	• 55.8	CL	Crack Longitudinal			12	S01		
	66.2 67.9	CL TB	Crack Longitudinal Tap, Break-in / Hammer			12 2	F01		
₩ ₩									
	92.1 92.8	TB TFC	Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped			10 10			
	• 104.4	CL	Crack Longitudinal			12	S02		
	• 144.4 • 147.6	CL AMH	Crack Longitudinal Access Point - Manhole			12	F02	179	

	Upstream MH 179		Downstream MH Siz	ze 5	Ma Vitrified	a <b>terial</b> I Clay Pipe		Total Length	City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Nar Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Stre	et Address Garfield St		_ocatio	n Details	
D	virection		Purpose Maintenance Related		Neather Dry	20	Date 180323	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed
Ad	ditional Inform	ation							
L									
) Elh	Ftg. 0.0 0.0	Code AMH MWL	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level		Pct.	Position	Cont.	Comment Starting Manhole:	179
۷	0.0	CL	Crack Longitudinal			12	S01		
	60.0 60.8 62.5	rfj Rml Tf	Roots, Fine: Joint Roots, Medium: Lateral Tap, Factory Made		25	4 to 5 11 to 1 12			
		TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped			10	1		
	•92.5	CL	Crack Longitudinal			12	F01		
	• 100.0	IFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped			2			
	•111.3	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint			4 to 5			
₩ ▼	•130.9	CL	Crack Longitudinal			12			
	• 169.5	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint			2			
	•177.5	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint			10			
l	187.5 187.5 189.8 197.0 197.2	TB TFC CL TB TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped Crack Longitudinal Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Break-in / Hammer			10 2 12 2 10			
	•221.0	AMH	Access Point - Manhole					179-A	

## RR Waste Systems Cleaning INC

Upstream MH Do	wnstream MH 179-A	<b>Size</b> 15	Materi Vitrified Cla	al y Pipe	Total Lengt	h City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	St	reet Address Garfield St	Locatio	on Details	
Direction Downstream Additional Information	Purpose aintenance Related		Weather Dry	Date 20180323	<b>Ti</b> 900	me Length Surveyed 2:13 221



a



L	Jpstream MH 179-A	D	ownstream MH Size	M Vitrifie	aterial d Clay Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi	
\$	Surveyor's Nan Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Street Address Garfield St	s Locatio	on Details		
DirectionPurposeWeatherDateTimeLength SurveyDownstreamMaintenance RelatedDry2018032310:12145.9								
Ad	ditional Inform	ation						
L	E.	0.1	Deservation	Dot	Position Cont	Commont		
ien V	Ptg. 0.0 0.0 2.2 5.7	AMH MWL TFC RFJ	Access Point - Manhole Water Level Tap, Factory Made: Capped Roots, Fine: Joint	5	10 10	Starting Manhole: 179-/	4	
	•17.2	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint		4			
	• 32.3	ТВ	Tap, Break-in / Hammer		2			
	42.0 42.2 42.6 44.2 44.2	TB TFC RMJ TFC RFJ	Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped Roots, Medium, Joint Tap, Factory Made: Capped Roots, Fine: Joint	10	10 2 4 to 5 10 7 to 10			
₩ ▼								
v	•88.3	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint		7			
	•93.9	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint		4 to 6			
	•114.7 •115.6	TB TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer <mark>Tap, Break-in / Hammer</mark>		10 2	roots around service Full of roots		
	• 145.9	AMH	Access Point - Manhole			180 Extremely offset		

06:34a

Upstream MH 179-A	Downstream MH	Size 15	Mate	rial Iay Pipe	Total Ler	ngth	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	S	treet Address Garfield St	Locati	on Details		
Direction Downstream Additional Informatic	Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	Date 2018032	3	Time 10:12	Length Surveyed 145.9
Additional informatio	211						



TB - Tap, Break-in / Hammer @ 115.6 ft. Full of roots

AMH - Access Point - Manhole @ 145.9 ft. 180 Extremely offset

Up	stream MH 180		ownstream MH Siz	e N Vitrifie	laterial ed Clay Pipe	] [	Total Length	City Mondovi
Su	irveyor's Nan Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Street Addres Garfield St	s L	_ocatio	n Details	
Dire	ection		Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	20	Date 180323	<b>Time</b> 10:20	Length Surveyed 276
Addi	tional Inform	ation				°.		
èри ¥	Ftg. 0.0 0.0	Code AMH MWL	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level	Pct. 5	Position	Cont.	Comment Starting Manhole: 180	
	• 68.4	СМ	Crack Multiple		12 to 12			
	• 104.0	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint		12 to 3			
₩ ▼	• 169.8	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		10			
	•206.1	н	Hole in Pipe		1 to 2		possible lateral. full of	roots
(	◆259.0 ◆276.0	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint Access Point - Manhole		3 to 10	S01	4	

Upstream MH 180	Downstream MH 4	<b>Size</b> 15	Material Vitrified Clay Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	Street / Garfi	Address Loca	ation Details	
Direction Downstream	Purpose Maintenance Related	Wea	ther Dat ry 20180	e Time 323 10:20	Length Surveyed



H - Hole in Pipe @ 206.1 ft. possible lateral. full of roots

l	Jpstream MH 144	D	ownstream MH Size	M Concre	aterial te Pipe (non-	Total Length	City Mondovi
1	Surveyor's Nan Chris	ne	Certificate Number S	treet Address Water St	s Loca	tion Details	
D	irection pstream	1	Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	Date 201803	e Time 323 12:02	Length Surveyed 196.1
Ad	ditional Inform	ation					
	Ftg. • 196.1	Code AMH	Description Access Point - Manhole	Pct.	Position Co	ont. Comment 144	
	• 177.2	тв	Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10		
\$85	127.5 126.7	TFC TB	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10 2		
<b>¥</b>	●•76.9	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		12		
	•60.8	ТВІ	Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding		10		
	•7.7 •6.0 •0.0 •0.0	rfj Tf MWL AMH	Roots, Fine: Joint Tap, Factory Made Water Level Access Point - Manhole	5	11 10	Starting Manhol	e: 142









HVV - Hole in Pipe: Void Visible @ 110.2 ft.



HVV - Hole in Pipe: Void Visible @ 110.4 ft.



MSA - Survey Abandoned @ 110.4 ft. Massive hole in Pipe






HVV - Hole in Pipe: Void Visible @ 133.4 ft.



TBI - Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding @ 133.4 ft.



HVV - Hole in Pipe: Void Visible @ 134.0 ft.

Upstream MH 142	Downstream MH 141-A	Size M 8 Concre rei	<b>aterial</b> te Pipe (non- nforced)	Total Length	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name	Certificate Number	Street Address	Locati	on Details	
Direction	Purnose	Weather	Dete	Timo	Longth Suproved
Downstream	Maintenance Related	Dry	2018032	3 12:10	265.5
Additional Information	1				
Ftg. Cod	le Description H Access Point - Manhole	Pct.	Position Conf	. Comment Starting Manhole: 142	J
•0.0 MW •10.5 RF.	L Water Level J Roots, Fine: Joint	5	12 S01		
68.8 TE	Tan Factory Made		12		
	rap, ractory wate		12		
O <u></u> 122.5 TF	Tap, Factory Made		12		
■•131.2 TF	Tap, Factory Made		1		
¥					
<b>○</b> —•173.5 TF	Tap, Factory Made		12		
●—•191.4 TF	Tap, Factory Made		12		
8 236.1 TF 238.9 TF	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made		12 12		
265.5 RFJ 265.5 AMH	Roots, Fine: Joint Access Point - Manhole		12 F01	141-A	

l	Jpstream MH 141-A		Downstream MH 141	Size 8		M Concre rei	aterial te Pipe (nor nforced)	ז- [	Total L	ength		City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Nan Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234		Stre \	eet Address /an Buren	;	Locatio	n Detai	S		
Do	irection wnstream		Purpose Maintenance Related		١	Neather Dry	2	Date 0180323		Time 12:26	Le	ngth Surveyed 259.7
Ad	ditional Inform	ation		•								
ي تو	Ftg. 0.0 0.0 1.4 13.7	Code AMH MWL RFJ RMJ	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level Roots, Fine: Joint Roots, Medium, Joint			Pct. 5 10	Position 10 to 2 7 to 9	Cont. S01	Comm Startin	ient g Manhole:	141-A	
	⊙•54.2	TF	Tap, Factory Made				12					
	•90.3	В	Pipe Broken				4 to 8					
)	106.4	TF TF	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made				2 1					
₩ ₩	• 126.1 • 133.8	TF RFJ	Tap, Factory Made Roots, Fine: Joint				12 12	F01				
	O•210.0	TF	Tap, Factory Made				12					
	•259.7	АМН	Access Point - Manhole						141			

Size Mat 8 Concrete reinfo	erial T Pipe (non- prced)	otal Length	Mondovi
Street Address Van Buren	Location	Details	
Weather Dry	Date 20180323	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed
	Size     wat       8     Concrete       Street Address     reinfo       Van Buren     Weather       Dry     Dry	Size     Material       8     Concrete Pipe (non-reinforced)       Street Address     Location       Van Buren     Weather       Date     Dry       20180323	Size     Material     Four Length       8     Concrete Pipe (non-reinforced)       Street Address     Location Details       Van Buren



B - Pipe Broken @ 90.3 ft.

#### 715-533-2262

U	pstream MH 141	D	ownstream MH 140	Size 8	M Concret rei	<b>aterial</b> te Pipe (no nforced)	n-	Total L	ength	City Mondovi
S	urveyor's Nan Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Str	eet Address Gilman St	6	Location	n Detai	s	
Dov	rection vnstream	1	Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	2	Date 0180323		Time 12:51	Length Surveyed 347.8
Add	litional Inform	ation								
¥.	Ftg. 0.0 0.0 2.0	Code AMH MWL TF	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level Tap, Factory Made		Pct. 5	Position 12	Cont.	Comm Startin	ient g Manhole: 14	1
	<b>0</b> •69.3	TF	Tap, Factory Made			12				
	<b>0</b> 87.2	TF	Tap, Factory Made			12		full of o	lebris	
	•99.9	СС	Crack Circumferential			4 to 8				
	●•114.0	TF	Tap, Factory Made			12				
₩ ₩	9 <del>0</del> 167.8 → 173.9	TF TF	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made			12 12		full of c	lebris	
	•255.4	СС	Crack Circumferential			4 to 10				
	•347.8	AMH	Access Point - Manhole					140		



	Upstream MH 163		Downstream MH Siz	e Material Vitrified Clay Pipe	Total Length City Mondovi
[	Surveyor's Na Chris	me	Certificate Number 43234	Street Address Locatio	on Details
	Direction Upstream		Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather   Date     Dry   20180328	TimeLength Surveyed11:18280.6
A	dditional Inforr	nation			
ieji A	Ftg 280.6	. Code 6 AMH	Description Access Point - Manhole	Pct. Position Cont.	Comment 163
•	• 260.5	5 TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped	2	
	232.0 • 228.0	) TFC ) TF	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Factory Made	10 2	
		ТВ	Tap, Break-in / Hammer	2	
	175.0 167.7 167.3 167.3	CM TF BVV HVV	Crack Multiple Tap, Factory Made Pipe Broken: Void Visible Hole in Pipe: Void Visible	8 to 4 10 8 to 12 8 to 11	large void
**	• 132.4	CL	Crack Longitudinal	12	
V	112.3	ТВ	Tap, Break-in / Hammer	10	
	• 101.7	CS	Crack Spiral	10 to 2	
	•87.2	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped	10	
	•71.5	TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer	10	
	53.0	TF	Tap, Factory Made	2	
	24.4	ТВ	Tap, Break-in / Hammer	10	
	0.0	CL MWL AMH	Crack Longitudinal Water Level Access Point - Manhole	1 5	Starting Manhole: 162



	Upstream MH 163	Downstream MH 162	Size 10	Materia Vitrified Clay	l Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234		Street Address Hwy 10	Locatio	on Details	
	Direction Upstream	Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	Date 20180328	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed 280.6
-	Additional Informatio	n					



HVV - Hole in Pipe: Void Visible @ 167.3 ft. large void



BVV - Pipe Broken: Void Visible @ 167.3 ft. large void

	Upstream MH 162		Oownstream MH         Size           146         12	M Vitrifie	<b>aterial</b> d Clay Pipe	[	Total Length	City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Nar Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Street Address Hwy 10	s I	_ocatio	n Details	
D	Direction ownstream		Purpose Maintenance Related	Weather Dry	20	Date 180328	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed 360.9
A	dditional Inform	ation						
ien V	Ftg. 0.0 0.0	Code AMH MWL	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level	Pct. 5	Position	Cont.	Comment Starting Manhole: 162	
V	• 22.9	CL	Crack Longitudinal		3			
	•34.2	CS	Crack Spiral		9 to 1			
	•44.7 •52.8	CL CL	Crack Longitudinal Crack Longitudinal		1 8			
	•65.1 •72.9	TF CM	Tap, Factory Made Crack Multiple		2 7 to 12			
	94.9 97.4	TFC TB	Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10 10			
	118.0 121.6 123.8 139.3 144.1	CS CM CL TB TB	Crack Spiral Crack Multiple Crack Longitudinal Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Break-in / Hammer		11 to 12 8 to 2 10 10 2	S01		
	•176.9	TF	Tap, Factory Made		10			
¥	203.9 209.3 211.9 219.1 224.0 224.8	CM TFC CC TF TBI CL	Crack Multiple Tap, Factory Made: Capped Crack Circumferential Tap, Factory Made Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Intruding Crack Longitudinal		12 to 12 2 1 to 7 10 10 11	F01		
	262.1 264.2 266.2 266.2 266.2 266.2	CL CS TFC CL CL TFC	Crack Longitudinal Crack Spiral Tap, Factory Made: Capped Crack Longitudinal Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made: Capped		2 12 to 3 10 8 3 2	S02		
	* 342.4 * 347.5 * 360.9	CL CM AMH	Crack Longitudinal Crack Multiple Access Point - Manhole		11 11 to 3	F02	146	

#### 715-533-2262

# **R** Waste Systems Cleaning INC

	Jpstream MH 146		ownstream MH Siz	ze 2	Ma Vitrified	aterial d Clay Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Nar Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Stre	eet Address Hwy 10	Locatio	n Details	
Do	irection wnstream		Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	Date 20180328	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed 357.3
Ad	ditional Inform	ation						
Å	Ftg. 0.0 0.0 4.3 9.1 16.7	Code AMH MWL CL CL CL CL	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level Crack Longitudinal Crack Longitudinal Crack Longitudinal		<b>Pct.</b> 5	Position Cont. 1 2 11	Comment Starting Manhole: 146	
	<b>•••</b> 63.5	CS	Crack Spiral			6 to 10		
	90.4	тв	Tap, Break-in / Hammer			2		
	130.5 130.5 130.5 143.8 156.7 169.8	TF TB CM TB TFC TF	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Break-in / Hammer Crack Multiple Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made: Capped Tap, Factory Made			10 10 12 to 12 10 10 1	large crack in lateral	
₩ ₩	• 190.0 • 198.7 • 211.4 • 211.9 • 212.1 • 228.0	CS TF TB CL CL TF	Crack Spiral Tap, Factory Made Tap, Break-in / Hammer Crack Longitudinal Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made			2 to 4 10 1 2 12 10	Lateral Offset	
	•267.0 •270.9 •285.2 •286.9 •312.1	TF TB CL CL TF	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Break-in / Hammer Crack Longitudinal Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made			10 10 11 10 10	Offset Lateral with san	d and rock infiltration

- 357.3 AMH Access Point - Manhole

Upstream MH 146	Downstream MH 123	Size 12	Mater Vitrified Cl	<b>'ial</b> ay Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234		Street Address Hwy 10	Locatio	on Details	
Direction Downstream	Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	Date 20180328	<b>Time</b> 3 11:51	Length Surveyed



TF - Tap, Factory Made @ 198.7 ft. Lateral Offset

TF - Tap, Factory Made @ 312.1 ft. Offset Lateral with sand and rock infiltration



		Fta.	Code	Description	Pct	Position	Cont	Comment
		-0.0	AMH	Access Point - Manhole	1 01.	1 05/10/1	oont.	Starting Manhole: 124
Sh	X	0.0	MWL	Water Level	5			Starting Marinole, 124
$\mathbf{\vee}$		0.0	HSV	Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible		9 to 11		
		>2.0	В	Pipe Broken		7 to 8		
		• 18.7	CM	Crack Multiple		8 to 3		
	T	•24.6	CM	Crack Multiple		9 to 1		
	11	•34.7	HSV	Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible		11 to 12		cause by hammer tap
		34.8	IB	Tap, Break-In / Hammer		10		
	A	36.7	TEC	Tan Factory Made: Canned		10 to 1		
		42 6	CI	Crack Longitudinal		10		
		52.9	CL	Crack Longitudinal		12		
		\$56.7	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		2		
		\$58.2	CM	Crack Multiple		7 to 11		
		60.3	TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer		10		
		60.5	CM	Crack Multiple		7 to 12		
		•62.6	CS	Crack Spiral		7 to 10		
		•71.5	CS	Crack Spiral		11 to 3		
		79.0	CS	Crack Spiral		10 to 2		
		83.2	CIVI	Crack Spiral		9 to 12		
		85 1	CI	Crack Longitudinal		12 10 5		
		87.7	CS	Crack Spiral		7 to 10		
		91.1	CL	Crack Longitudinal		8		
		94.0	CS	Crack Spiral		12 to 4		
		105.4	MMC	Material Change				pvc pipe
		108.8	TF	Tap, Factory Made		10		
133	1	110.3	MMC	Material Change				clay pipe
***		124.0	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped		12		
$\mathbf{\vee}$		127.9		Tap, Factory Made		2		
V		140.1		Crack Longitudinal		2		
		153.3	CS	Crack Spiral		$\frac{2}{7}$ to 10		
		154.3	TF	Tap, Factory Made		10		
		156.7	CS	Crack Spiral		12 to 4		
		157.2	BSV	Pipe Broken: Soil Visible		11 to 3		
		181.1	CL	Crack Longitudinal		1		
		195.1	TF	Tap, Factory Made		10		
		218.0	CS	Crack Spiral		7 to 10		
		223.2	CS	Crack Spiral		2		offset sand and rock infiltration
	1/ 1/6	239.6	TEC	Tap. Factory Made: Capped		4100		
	// /۵	239.6	CL	Crack Longitudinal		2		
	110	244.1	CL	Crack Longitudinal		8		
	16	260.7	CL	Crack Longitudinal		9		
	~ \°	264.2	CL	Crack Longitudinal		11		
	III.º	286.2	TF	Tap, Factory Made		10		
		300.3	TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer		2		
		300.3	MCO	Vvaler Level: Sag	25		S01	Details of the la
	1116	305.1	HVV	Hole in Pine: Void Visible		11 to 1		Patched hole
	11/6	318.6	MWIS	Water Level: Sag	5	11.01	F01	sag ande
	-110	320.8	CS	Crack Spiral	5	11 to 3	101	Jay Chus
	110	327.7	CS	Crack Spiral		9 to 12		
	6	330.6	в	Pipe Broken		4 to 8		
	0	343.6	HSV	Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible		10 to 4		





Upstream MH 124	Downstream MH 123	Size 10	Materia Vitrified Clay	al / Pipe	Total Len	gth	City Mondovi
Surveyor's Name Chris	Certificate Number 43234	Str	eet Address eau claire st	Locatio	n Details		
Direction Downstream Additional Informatic	Purpose Maintenance Related	] [	Weather Dry	Date 20180328		Time 12:06	Length Surveyed



HSV - Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible @ 0.0 ft.

B - Pipe Broken @ 2.0 ft.



- HSV Hole in Pipe: Soil Visible @ 34.7 ft. cause by hammer tap
- B Pipe Broken @ 330.6 ft.





HVV - Hole in Pipe: Void Visible @ 343.6 ft.



HVV - Hole in Pipe: Void Visible @ 343.6 ft.



### 715-533-2262

	Upstream MH		Downstream MH	Size	M	aterial		Total Len	gth	City
	123-B		122	12	Polyvi	nyl Chloride	<u>)</u>			Mondovi
	Survevor's Na	me	Certificate Number		Street Address		Locatio	n Details		
	Chris		43234		S Eau Claire St					ii .
	lizaction		Durmana		Masthan		Dete		Times	Law with Dumunus of
	Jpstream		Maintenance Related		Dry	2	0180328		13:27	202.8
Ac	ditional Inform	nation								
	Ftg.	Code	Description		Pct.	Position	Cont.	Commen	t	
ig)u	202.8	AMH	Access Point - Manhole					123-B		
$\wedge$	193.8	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10				
	-192.1	IF	rap, raciory made			2				
	• 182.2	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10				
			Torna La dal Gunardian (Construction)							
	• 173.5	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10				
	• 172.9	TF	Tap, Factory Made			2				
	• 160.2	TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer			2				
	• 135.2	TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer			10				
	•133.3	TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer			2				
		-	Teo Footon Mode			40				
	118.8	TE	Tap, Factory Made			10				
	117.4		rap, ractory made			2				
	°98.2	TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer			10				
**	96.8	TF	Tap, Factory Made			2				
M										
		TE	Top Fostopy Made			10				
	• 77.0	TB	Tap, Factory Made			2				
	11.0	iD	rap, break-in / Hammer			2				
		TE	Tap Factory Made			2				
			rup, ruotory mado			-				
		8.414.0	Mater Level		10					
	0.0		VVater Level		10			Starting M	anhole: 100	
	-0.0	AWIT	Access Fourt - Mannole					Starting Wa	annole. 122	

	Jpstream MH 123-A		Downstream MH 123-B	Size	M Polyvi	aterial nyl Chloride	e	Total Len	gth	City Mondo	vi
	Surveyor's Na Chris	me	Certificate Number 43234	Stree S Ea	t Address	3	Locatio	n Details			
D	irection pstream		Purpose Maintenance Related	We	eather Dry	2	Date 0180328		<b>Time</b> 13:36	Length 16	Surveyed
Ad	ditional Inforn	nation									
) 	Ftg.	Code AMH	Description     Access Point - Manhole		Pct.	Position	Cont.	Commer 123-A	it		
	• 137.4	ŤF	Tap, Factory Made			10					
	• 133.0 • 131.1	TF TF	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made			2 2					
I	•112.2	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10					
	<b>→</b> 94.0	TF	Tap, Factory Made			2					
*	•77.2	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10					
۷	•64.7 •62.9	TF TF	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Factory Made			2 2					
	• 54.8	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10					
	•44.9	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10					
	31.2	TF	Tap, Factory Made			2					
	• 13.1	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10					
	0.0	MWL AMH	Water Level Access Point - Manhole		5			Starting M	anhole: 1	23-B	

	Upstream MH 122-A		Downstream MH S	Size 18	Ma Vitrified	terial I Clay Pipe	Total Length City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Nar Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Stre S E	eet Address Eau Claire St	Locatio	n Details
D	Direction ownstream		Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Drv	Date 20180328	Time Length Surveye
Ac	ditional Inform	ation					
¥ €	Ftg. 0.0 0.0	Code AMH MWL	<b>Description</b> Access Point - Manhole Water Level		Pct. 5	Position Cont.	Comment Starting Manhole: 122-A
	29.6	TF	Tap, Factory Made			1	roots in service
	•••• 61.6 •••• 70.4	TB TF TB	Tap, Break-in / Hammer Tap, Factory Made Tap, Break-in / Hammer			2 10 2	roots growing around service
**	••93.0	RFJ	Roots, Fine: Joint			3 to 5	
v	• 104.7	TF	Tap, Factory Made			2	
	•116.7	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10	
	• 154.7	TF TBI	Tap, Factory Made Tap, Break-in / Hammer: Inf	truding		2 10	
	•171.4	AMH	Access Point - Manhole				122-В



	Jpstream MH 122-B		Downstream MH Siz	2 <b>e</b>	Mate Vitrified (	e <b>rial</b> Clay Pipe		Total Ler	igth	Cit	y Jovi
	Surveyor's Nar Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	Street Ac	Idress aire St		_ocatio	n Details			
Do	irection wnstream		Purpose Maintenance Related	Weath Dry	ier	20	Date 180328		<b>Time</b> 14:11	Lengt	n Surveyed 194.5
Ad	ditional Inform	ation									
A	Ftg. 0.0 0.0 11.5	Code AMH MWL TFC	Description Access Point - Manhole Water Level Tap, Factory Made: Capped		Pct. P 5	osition	Cont.	Commer Starting I	nt Manhole: 12	22-B	
1	• 55.0 • 63.9 • 69.8 • 76.6	TB CL TFC CL	Tap, Break-in / Hammer Crack Longitudinal Tap, Factory Made: Capped Crack Longitudinal			2 12 2 12	S01 F01				
₩ ₩	<b></b> •87.7	TF	Tap, Factory Made			10		roots grov	ving out of s	service	
	• 125.1	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped			2					
	•194.5	AMH	Access Point - Manhole					121			



	Jpstream MH 121-B		Downstream MH Si	ze 8	M Vitrifie	aterial d Clay Pipe	Total Length	City Mondovi
	Surveyor's Naı Chris	ne	Certificate Number 43234	ç	Street Address S Eau Claire St	s Locatio	on Details	3
Do Ad	irection	ation	Purpose Maintenance Related		Weather Dry	Date 20180328	<b>Time</b>	Length Surveyed
		ation						
Бл	Ftg. 0.0 0.0 7.0 7.7	Code AMH MWL TF CS	Description     Access Point - Manhole     Water Level     Tap, Factory Made     Crack Spiral		Pct. 5	Position Cont. 10 7 to 12	Comment Starting Manhole: 12	1-B
)	• 59.0	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped			2		
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	CS CS	Crack Spiral Crack Spiral			1 to 4 10 to 3		
i	• 105.1	TF	Tap, Factory Made			2		
₩ ∀	•148.0	TFC	Tap, Factory Made: Capped			2		
	• 182.7	ТВ	Tap, Break-in / Hammer			2		
	•208.4 •212.7	CL CL	Crack Longitudinal Crack Longitudinal			12 9		
	229.1	AMH	Access Point - Manhole				9	

Appendix I

Oxidization Ditch & RSF Design Summary



### **Design Summary**

#### <u>Design Basis</u>

The A2C<sup>™</sup> Carrousel system described in this proposal has been designed to treat an influent wastewater flow of **0.30 MGD** with the following wastewater characteristics, in a flow sheet with no primary clarification.

Parameter	Influent	Effluent	Notes
Flow (MGD)	0.30	-	
BOD – Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	715	10	
TSS – Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	924	10	
TKN – Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	100	3.0	
NH₃-N – Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	-	1.0	
NO₃-N – Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)	-	3.0	
TN – Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	-	6.0	Includes est. refractory Org-N
TP – Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	30	1.0	May require chemical polishing and effluent filtration

#### **Design Criteria**

Design Parameter	Design Value
Process SRT – Nitrification (days)	20.0
Minimum Wastewater Temperature (°C)	9.0
Maximum Wastewater Temperature (°C)	25.0
MLSS Concentration (mg/L)	4,000
Net Yield (Ib TSS/Ib BOD <sub>removed</sub> )	1.02
Oxygen Coefficient	
Ib O <sub>2</sub> / Ib BOD <sub>removed</sub>	1.28
Ib O <sub>2</sub> / Ib N <sub>oxidized</sub>	4.60
Alpha (α)	0.90
Beta (β)	0.97
Elevation (feet above sea level)	804
Design Standard Oxygen Transfer Rate (lbs O2/motorHP/Hr)	3.60

Aeration Power Requirement	= 54 HP without accounting for denitrification
	= 46 HP with denitrification credit

Aeration Power Proposed

= 4 units at 25 HP each = 100 HP Total





### **Calculations for Biological Basins**

#### 1. DESIGN CONDITIONS

Design Parameter	Design Value	Design Effluent	Notes
Flow (MGD)	0.300	-	
BOD – Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	715	10	
TSS – Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	924	10	
TKN – Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	100	3.0	
NH₃-N – Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L)	-	1.0	
NO₃-N – Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)	-	3.0	
TN – Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	-	6.0	Includes estimated refractory organic nitrogen
TP – Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	30	1.0	May require chemical polishing and effluent filtration

### 2. DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS

Design Parameter	Design Value
Process SRT – Nitrification (days)	20.0
Minimum Wastewater Temperature (°C)	9.0
Maximum Wastewater Temperature (°C)	25.0
MLSS Concentration (mg/L)	4,000
Net Yield (Ib TSS/Ib BOD <sub>removed</sub> )	1.02
Oxygen Coefficient Ib O <sub>2</sub> / Ib BOD <sub>removed</sub> Ib O <sub>2</sub> / Ib N <sub>oxidized</sub>	1.28 4.60
Alpha (α)	0.90
Beta (β)	0.97
Elevation (feet above sea level)	804



#### 3. PROCESS CALCULATIONS

### a. AERATION VOLUME

BOD Removal	$= Q \times (BOD_{in} - BOD_{eff}) \times 8.34$				
	$= 0.300 \times (714.9 - 10) \times 8.34$				
	= 1,764 $\frac{\text{lbs BOD}}{\text{day}}$				
Sludge Production	$= Y \times Q \times (BOD_{in} - BOD_{eff}) \times 8.34$				
	$= 1.02 \times 0.300 \times (714.9 - 10) \times 8.34$				
	= 1,799 lbs TSS/ $day$				
System Mass	$= SRT \times Y \times Q \times (BOD_{in} - BOD_{eff}) \times 8.34$				
	$= 20.0 \times 1.02 \times 0.300 \times (714.9 - 10) \times 8.34$				
	= 35,979 lbs TSS				
Carrousel Volume	$=\frac{\text{SRT} \times \text{Y} \times \text{Q} \times (\text{BOD}_{\text{in}} - \text{BOD}_{\text{eff}})}{\text{MLSS}}$				
	$=\frac{20.0\times1.02\times0.300\times(714.9-10)}{4,000}$				
	= 1.078 M. G. (Two trains, each with 0.539 MG aerobic vol.)				
BOD loading per kft3 aer.vol. = $\frac{Q \times BOD_{in} \times 8.34 \times 7.481 \times 1,000}{Carrousel Volume \times 10,00,000}$					
	$=\frac{0.3\times714.9\times8.34\times7.481\times1000}{1.078\times1000000}$				
	= 12.41 lbs BOD/kft3/day				

.

Calculations

Mondovi, WI



### **b. AERATION POWER**

Nitrogen Synthesis = 4.8% of WAS  
= 34.8 
$$^{mg}/_{L}$$
  
Nitrogen Oxidized (N<sub>ox</sub>) = TKN<sub>in</sub> - TKN<sub>eff</sub> - N<sub>assimilation</sub>  
= 100 - 3.0 - 34.8  
= 62.3  $^{mg}/_{L}$   
= 156  $^{lbs} \cdot N/_{day}$   
Actual Oxygen Requirement @ 1.28  $^{lbs} O_2/_{lbs} BOD_{removed} \& 4.60 {}^{lbs} O_2/_{lbs} N_{oxidized}$   
AOR = (1.28 × 1,764) + (4.60 × 156)  
= 2,975  $^{lbs} O_2/_{day}$   
STD O<sub>2</sub>Requirement = AOR ×  $\frac{9.02}{\alpha \times (\beta \times C_{SW} - C_0)} \times 1.024^{(20-TMAX)}$   
 $\alpha = 0.90 \ \beta = 0.97 \ C_0 = 2.0 \ C_{SW}at 25.0^{\circ}C and 804 feet elevation = 7.93 {}^{mg}/_{L} O_2$   
SOR = 2,975 ×  $\frac{9.02}{0.90 \times (0.97 \times 7.93 - 2.0)} \times 1.024^{(20-25.0)}$   
= 4,648  ${}^{lbs} O_2/_{day}$   
Power Required =  $\frac{4.648}{3.60 \times 24}$   
= 54 HP 4 @ 25.0 HP = 100 HP Installed

Calculations



Denitrification Credit @ 2.86 
$$^{\text{lbs O}_2}/_{\text{lbs NO}_3-N_{\text{reduced}}}$$
  
lbs NO<sub>3</sub>-N<sub>reduced</sub> = (TKN<sub>in</sub> - TKN<sub>eff</sub> - N<sub>assimilation</sub> - NO<sub>3</sub>-N<sub>eff</sub>) × Q × 8.34  
= (100 - 3.0 - 34.8 - 3.0) × 0.300 × 8.34  
= 148  $^{\text{lbs}}/_{\text{day}}$   
O<sub>2</sub>Credit = 2.86 × (lbs NO<sub>3</sub> - N<sub>reduced</sub>)  
= 424  $^{\text{lbs O}_2}/_{\text{day}}$   
SOR Credit = O<sub>2</sub>Credit ×  $\frac{9.02}{\alpha(\beta \times C_{\text{SW}} - C_0)}$  × 1.024<sup>(20-TMAX)</sup>  
=  $\frac{663}{3.60 \times 24}$   
Horsepower Credit = (8 HP)



### c. ANOXIC VOLUME (A<sup>2</sup>C)

NO<sub>3</sub>-N Reduced in Carrousel Basin  $\binom{mg}{L} = Not Considered \binom{lbs}{day} = Not Considered$ 

Active Biomass  $= 2800 \frac{\text{mg VSS}}{\text{L}}$ 

Specific Denitrification Rate SDNR =  $0.0427 \frac{\text{gNO}_3 - \text{N}}{\text{gVSS} \cdot \text{day}}$ 

lb NO<sub>3</sub>-N<sub>reduced in Anoxic Basin</sub> = [NO<sub>OX</sub> - (N<sub>reduced in Carrousel</sub>) ×  $\frac{IR/Q}{(1 + IR/Q + Q_{RAS}/Q)}$ 

$$= (156 - 0) \times \frac{19.0}{1 + 19.0 + 0.75}$$
$$= 143 \text{ lbs}/_{day}$$

Anoxic Volume

$$= \frac{\text{lb NO}_3 - \text{N}_{\text{reduced}}}{\text{SDNR} \times \text{MLVSS} \times 8.34}$$
$$= \frac{143}{0.0427 \times 2800 \times 8.34}$$

= 0.143 M.G. (Two trains, each with 0.072 MG anox. vol.)



### d. ANAEROBIC VOLUME (A<sup>2</sup>C)

Anaerobic Volume 
$$= \frac{\text{HDT} \times \text{Q}}{24} \quad (\text{HDT in hours; Q in MGD})$$
$$= \frac{1.5 \times 0.300}{24}$$
$$= 0.019 \text{ M. G. (Four Cells, each with 0.0045 MG anaer. vol.)}$$
$$\text{NO}_{3}\text{-N}_{\text{Removed from RAS}} = (\frac{\text{Q}_{\text{RAS}}}{\text{Q}}) \times \text{NO}_{3}\text{-N}_{\text{eff}} \times 8.34$$
$$= 0.75 \times 0.300 \times 3.0 \times 8.34$$
$$= 6.00 \text{ lbs}/_{\text{day}}$$

BOD loading per kft3 total vol. 
$$= \frac{Q \times BOD_{in} \times 8.34 \times 7.481 \times 1,000}{\text{Total Volume} \times 10,00,000}$$
$$= \frac{0.3 \times 714.9 \times 8.34 \times 7.481 \times 1000}{(1.078 + 0.143 + 0.019) \times 1000000}$$

= 10.79 lbs BOD/kft3/day

# **Project Overview**

A Blue PRO<sup>®</sup> Wastewater Treatment system is proposed for Mondovi, WI. The proposed system design would consist of the following processes and technologies:

• Blue PRO continuous backwash up-flow sand filtration system with ferric dosing system for phosphorus removal and filtration.

### **System Design Parameters**

		Influent	Effluent
Design Average Daily Flow (ADF)	MGD		0.300
Peak Day Flow (PDF)	MGD		0.584
Peak Hour Flow (PHF)	MGD		0.700
Duty Filtration Area	ft <sup>2</sup>	115.5	
Filter Flux at ADF/PDF/PHF	gpm/ft <sup>2</sup>	2.1/3.8/4.5	
Alkalinity	mg/L	50 to 150	
рН		6 to 7.5	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/l	15	
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	1	0.075
SNRP	mg/L	< 0.015	< 0.015

- This design was computed at 48-inches hydraulic head. Other head profiles can be considered.
- The alkalinity and pH envelope is required for design conditions for the chemical regime described.
- The design provides a total of 4 filter cells, including 3 duty filters cells and 1 dutystandby filter cell.




Appendix J

SBR & ABNR Design Summary

#### **DESIGN PROPOSAL**

#### Mondovi WI Sanitaire #29264-19A

Operating Mode		Yea	r 20	Year 1		
Normal Cycle Flow	MGD	0.	30	0.	20	
Max Normal Cycle Flow	MGD	0.	58	0.	39	
Minimum Cycle Flow	MGD	0.	78	0.	52	
		mg/l	lb/day	mg/l	lb/day	
BOD <sub>5</sub> (20°C)		793	793 1985		584	
Suspended Solids		1295	1295 3241		622	
TKN		84	210	64	107	
Total Phosphorus		25	62	8	13	
Max Wastewater Temperature	°C	2	0	2	.0	
Min Wastewater Temperature	°C	5	8	5	8	
Ambient Air Temperature	°F	20	- 90	20	- 90	
Site Elevation	ft	80	04	80	04	
* - Maximum 30 day period mass	flow					
Table B: ICEAS® EFFLUENT QUALITY	(MONTHLY AVER	AGE)				
BOD <sub>5</sub> (20°C)	mg/l	20		20		
Suspended Solids	mg/l	20		20		
NH <sub>3</sub> -N	mg/l	8		8		
Total Phosphorus*	mg/l	1.0		1.0		
*Chemical Addition Required per	Table C					
Table C: ICEAS PROCESS DESIGN CF	RITERIA					
Operating Basins			3		2	
Operating Top Water Level	ft	18	.00	18.00		
F / M	BOD5/DAY/MLSS	0.0	)46	0.0	)51	
SVI (after 30 minutes settling)	ml/g	1	50	1	50	
MLSS at Bottom Water Level	mg/l	5,4	160	2,1	L48	
Waste Sludge Produced (Approx.)	lb/day	2,2	256	49	93	
Volume of Sludge Produced						
(Approx., 0.85% solids)	GPD	31,	800	7,1	150	
Normal Decant Rate	GPM	54	41	54	41	
Peak Decant Rate	GPM	72	22	72	22	
Hydraulic Retention Time	Days	3.	33	3.	33	
Sludge Age	Days	18	3.9	21	L.7	
Alkalinity	mg/l	10	09	2	52	
Chemical Dosage (as Alum)	mg/l			21	.65	
Dald Stallateral tout in diants many	antione made by Cr					

Bold, italicized text indicate assumptions made by Sanitaire

#### Cycle Timing

		Year 20		Year 1		
		Normal	Min	Normal	Min	
Air-On	min	144	108	144	108	
Air-Off	min	24	18	24	18	
Settle	min	48	36	48	36	
Decant	min	72	54	72	54	
Total	min	288	216	288	216	

Table D: KEY ICEAS DESIGN D	ETAILS		
Top Water Level	ft	18.00	
Basin Width (Inside)	ft	30.0	
Basin Length (Inside)	ft	87.0	
Bottom Water Level	ft	16.48	

ICEAS EQUIPMENT(Base Design)			Motor HP I	No. Req.
Decanter Mechanism	4 ' Weir length			3
Decanter Drive Unit			1/4	3
ICEAS Blower	620 SCFM	8.7 PSIG	50	3
ICEAS Fine Bubble Aeration System	392 Disc Diffusers/Basin			3
Air Control Valve	6 "			3
Waste Sludge Pump	110 GPM		2.4	3
Submersible Mixer			8.1	3
ICEAS Controls				1

ICEAS POWER REQUIREMENTS	Max Month			At Avera	age Aeration	Depth)	Kwh/Day		
Decant Drive Unit	0.2	BHP	3 ו	un	@	6 Hrs/day	2.7		
ICEAS Air Blowers	36.1	BHP	1 1	un*	@	24 Hrs/day	646.3		
ICEAS Air Blowers	36.1	BHP	1 1	un**	@	12 Hrs/day	323.2		
Waste Sludge Pump	1.9	BHP	3 ו	un	@	1.6 Hrs/day	6.9		
Submersible Mixer	4.7	BHP	3 ו	un	@	2 Hrs/day	20.9		
						KWH/DAY	1,000.0		
					AVERAGE	KWH/HR	41.67		
* Shared ICEAS Disware (Alternating Detwoon Desine 1.9.2)									

\* Shared ICEAS Blowers (Alternating Between Basins 1 & 2)

\*\* Dedicated ICEAS Blower (Basin 3)



#### DESIGN BASIS SUMMARY

To provide full visibility and transparency, below is a summary of the design basis used to generate the initial estimate. Please note that if any of our inputs are in error, we can revise the design basis and provide an update to you.

#### Influent Water Quality

Parameter	Units	Scenario I	Scenario II
Flow	MGD	0.30	0.30
Total Phosphorus (TP)	mg/L	1.0	4.0

#### Effluent Water Quality

Parameter	Units	Scenario I	Scenario II
Flow	MGD	0.30	0.30
ТР	mg/L	X<0.04	X<0.04

#### Price Estimate, Footprint & Biomass

Description	Scenario I	Scenario II
ABNR Equipment <sup>1</sup>	\$2,311,524	\$4,907,935
Total System Footprint (ft <sup>2</sup> )	4,120	9,820
Greenhouse Footprint (ft <sup>2</sup> )	2,910	8,379
Biomass Production (tons/day)	0.097	0.40
Estimated Annual Gross Revenue (\$/yr) <sup>2</sup>	\$35,273-\$70,547	\$144,932-\$289,864

<sup>1</sup>This estimate is for the cost of ABNR equipment and for the installation of all improvements located within, and including, the greenhouse structure. This estimate does NOT account for construction and installation associated with implementation of out of scope items. Relatively speaking, ABNR is considered light construction and therefore install costs should be estimated by Customer's consulting engineer.

<sup>2</sup>A range of estimated customer gross revenue is between \$1,000 and \$2,000 per dry weight ton were used in the above estimate. CLEARAS provides biomass market services to optimize the co-product value which includes: marketing, sales, logistics, characterization and validation, QA/QC and other general administration.

#### Consumables Forecast

Category	Units	Scenario I	Scenario II
Power Consumption <sup>1</sup>	kWh/day	426	2,343
Sodium Hypochlorite <sup>2</sup>	gal/day	2.45	2.45
Citric Acid <sup>3</sup>	gal/day	1.10	1.10
Carbon Source <sup>4</sup>	lbs./day	430	1,765

<sup>1</sup>Energy estimates only represent equipment supplied by CWR.

<sup>2</sup>Typical cost for Sodium Hypochlorite is \$2.00 per gallon.

<sup>3</sup>Typical cost for Citric Acid is \$5.00 per gallon.

<sup>4</sup>Industrial grade carbon can be purchased at a cost of \$0.06 per pound. However, if there are digesters onsite, or at nearby facilities, carbon can be recycled into the ABNR system thus minimizing cost.



P:\MONDO\18001 WWTP Facility Plan\5.0 Drawings and c3d\Prelim Ind Park Layout\_Rev3

Appendix K

Bio-loop & ABNR Design Summary

#### Bioloop® Design Proposal - NIT Process

Mondovi Sanitaire #A29264-19A

#### Table A: INFLUENT WASTEWATER CHARACTERISTICS AND SITE CONDITIONS

Number of Parallel Process Trains	3	
	Per Process Train	Total for all trains
Average Annual Flow	0.10 MGD	0.30 MGD
Maximum Month Influent Flow	0.10 MGD	0.30 MGD
Peak Hourly Flow	0.26 MGD	0.78 MGD
BOD <sub>5</sub> (20°C)	793 mg/l	
BOD <sub>5</sub> (20°C)	661 lb/d	
Suspended Solids	1,295 mg/l	
TKN	84 mg/l	
Total Phosphorus	25 mg/l	
Max Wastewater Temperature	20 °C	
Min Wastewater Temperature	8 °C	
Ambient Air Temperature	20 - 90 °F	
Site Elevation	804 ft	
Table B: BIOLOOP <sup>®</sup> NIT PROCESS EFFLUENT QUALITY (MONTHLY AVERAGE)		
BOD <sub>5</sub> (20°C)	20 mg/l	
Suspended Solids	20 mg/l	
NH <sub>3</sub> -N	>8 mg/l	
Table C: BIOLOOP <sup>®</sup> NIT PROCESS DESIGN CRITERIA		
F / M	0.122 lb BOD5/	lb MLSS / day
SVI (after 30 minutes settling)	150 ml/g	
Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids (MLSS) concentration	4,000 mg/l	
Waste Sludge Produced (Approx.)	842 lb/d	
Volume of Sludge Produced (Approx. 0.80% solids)	12,622 gpd	
Aerated Hydraulic Retention Time	38.89 Hrs	
Sludge Age	6.4 Days	
Alkalinity	46 mg/l	
RAS Pumping Rate	100% of Maxim	um Month Flow

#### Table D: BIOLOOP<sup>®</sup> NIT PROCESS BASIN DESIGN DETAILS (PER TRAIN)

	Ditch 1		
Basin Quantity	1		
Volume/Basin (MG)	0.162		
Basin Length (ft) - *	36.0		
Basin Width (ft)	12.0		
Basin Depth (ft)	16.0		
* - For oxidation ditches, basin length above is straight see	ction length for	Side by Side Ditch Type	(5

#### **BIOLOOP® NIT PROCESS EQUIPMENT**

		Ditch 1						
Mixer Quantity / Basin					1			
Mixer Motor Hp					10.7			
Fine Bubble Diffuser Quantity / Basin / Trair	า				112			
Blower scfm / Basin / Train					198			
Blowers (PD type) 2	Dut	y	+	1	Standby blowers, each with	20.0	Hp motor	
Airflow Modulating Control Valve / Basin					1			
OSCAR Controls and Instruments								

#### **BIOLOOP® NIT AERATION/MIXING POWER REQUIREMENTS (TOTAL FOR ALL TRAINS)**

Oxidation Ditches operated in Series Ditch 1 **Basin Quantity** 3 1 Mixer Quantity / Basin Mixer Operating Hp 6.8 364 Blowers Operating Hp 2 17.7 BHP 635 at Total 998

Kwh/Day



#### DESIGN BASIS SUMMARY

To provide full visibility and transparency, below is a summary of the design basis used to generate the initial estimate. Please note that if any of our inputs are in error, we can revise the design basis and provide an update to you.

#### Influent Water Quality

Parameter	Units	Scenario I	Scenario II
Flow	MGD	0.30	0.30
Total Phosphorus (TP)	mg/L	1.0	4.0

#### Effluent Water Quality

Parameter	Units	Scenario I	Scenario II
Flow	MGD	0.30	0.30
ТР	mg/L	X<0.04	X<0.04

#### Price Estimate, Footprint & Biomass

Description	Scenario I	Scenario II
ABNR Equipment <sup>1</sup>	\$2,311,524	\$4,907,935
Total System Footprint (ft <sup>2</sup> )	4,120	9,820
Greenhouse Footprint (ft <sup>2</sup> )	2,910	8,379
Biomass Production (tons/day)	0.097	0.40
Estimated Annual Gross Revenue (\$/yr) <sup>2</sup>	\$35,273-\$70,547	\$144,932-\$289,864

<sup>1</sup>This estimate is for the cost of ABNR equipment and for the installation of all improvements located within, and including, the greenhouse structure. This estimate does NOT account for construction and installation associated with implementation of out of scope items. Relatively speaking, ABNR is considered light construction and therefore install costs should be estimated by Customer's consulting engineer.

<sup>2</sup>A range of estimated customer gross revenue is between \$1,000 and \$2,000 per dry weight ton were used in the above estimate. CLEARAS provides biomass market services to optimize the co-product value which includes: marketing, sales, logistics, characterization and validation, QA/QC and other general administration.

#### Consumables Forecast

Category	Units	Scenario I	Scenario II
Power Consumption <sup>1</sup>	kWh/day	426	2,343
Sodium Hypochlorite <sup>2</sup>	gal/day	2.45	2.45
Citric Acid <sup>3</sup>	gal/day	1.10	1.10
Carbon Source <sup>4</sup>	lbs./day	430	1,765

<sup>1</sup>Energy estimates only represent equipment supplied by CWR.

<sup>2</sup>Typical cost for Sodium Hypochlorite is \$2.00 per gallon.

<sup>3</sup>Typical cost for Citric Acid is \$5.00 per gallon.

<sup>4</sup>Industrial grade carbon can be purchased at a cost of \$0.06 per pound. However, if there are digesters onsite, or at nearby facilities, carbon can be recycled into the ABNR system thus minimizing cost.



Appendix L

**Environmental Review** 



#### CITY OF MONDOVI

**Buffalo County** 

# Environmental Report

USDA – Rural Development

July 18th, 2019

Prepared by: CBS Squared, Inc. 770 Technology Way Chippewa Falls, WI 54729 715.861.5228

MONDO 18001

### Table of Contents

1.0	Purpos	urpose and need of the Proposal1		
1.1	Proj	Project Description1		
1.2	Purp	pose and Need of the Proposal	L	
2.0	Alterna	atives Selected for Proposed Action2	2	
2.1	Relo	cation and Construction of a New WWTP2	2	
2.2	Upg	rade to the Wastewater Collection System2	2	
2.3	Indu	istrial Park Utility Upgrade2	2	
3.0	Affecte	ed Environment/Environmental Consequences	3	
3.1	Land	d Use/Important Farmland/Formally Classified Lands	3	
3	.1.1	Land Use	3	
3	.1.2	Important Farmland	ł	
3.2	Forr	nally Classified Lands	ļ	
3.3	Floo	dplains	ļ	
3	.3.1	Affected Environment	1	
3	.3.2	Environmental Consequences	1	
3	.3.3	Mitigation	ļ	
3.4	Wet	lands5	5	
3	.4.1	Affected Environment	5	
3	.4.2	Environmental Consequences	5	
3	.4.3	Mitigation	5	
3.5	Cult	ural Resources – Historical Properties6	5	
3	.5.1	Affected Environment	5	
3	.5.2	Environmental Consequences	5	
3	.5.3	Mitigation6	5	
3.6	Biol	ogical Resources – Threatened and Endangered Species6	5	
3	.6.1	Affected Environment	5	
3	.6.2	Environmental Consequences	5	
3	.6.3	Mitigation	7	
3.7	Wat	er Quality Issues	3	
3	.7.1	Affected Environment	3	
3	.7.2	Environmental Consequences	3	
3	.7.3	Mitigation	3	

3	.8 Cc	bastal Resources	8
	3.8.1	Affected Environment	8
	3.8.2	Environmental Consequences	8
	3.8.3	Mitigation	9
3	.9 So	cio-Economic Issues/Environmental Justice	9
	3.9.1	Affected Environment	9
	3.9.2	Environmental Consequences	9
	3.9.3	Mitigation	9
3	.10 M	iscellaneous Issues	9
	3.10.1	Air Quality	9
	3.10.2	Noise	9
	3.10.3	Contaminated Lands	10
4.0	Sum	mary of Mitigation	10
5.0	Corre	espondence	10
6.0	Exhib	pits	11
7.0	List c	of Preparers	11

### List of Exhibits

- Exhibit A General Location Map
- Exhibit B Preliminary Project Site Map
- Exhibit C Mondovi Wastewater Collection System Map
- Exhibit D Web Soil Survey Map
- Exhibit E Farmland Classification Map and Data

#### Exhibit F – Floodplain Map

- Exhibit G WDNR Surface Water Data Viewer Wetland Map
- Exhibit H Wisconsin Historic and Archeological Database Results
- Exhibit I Federally Listed Threatened, Endangered Species; WDNR Endangered Resources Preliminary Review
- Exhibit J WDNR Remediation and Redevelopment Map for Contaminated Lands

#### 1.0 Purpose and need of the Proposal

#### 1.1 Project Description

The City of Mondovi is located in the northern portion of Buffalo County, at the intersection of US Highway 10 (USH 10) and State Highway 37 (STH 37), as shown on the General Location Map in **Exhibit A.** The City has a population of approximately 2,777 people (U.S. Census 2010). The Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Plant is owned and operated by the City of Mondovi, and provides sanitary treatment for residential, industrial, and commercial wastes within the City.

The proposed project would include the relocation and construction of a new Mondovi Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP), construction of a new lift station at the access road to the existing WWTP on STH 37, an upgrade to the collection system, the potential construction of a new anaerobic digester (Digester) adjacent to the new WWTP, and the construction of utilities for a new industrial park on the west side of the City where the WWTP and Digester will be located.

See **Exhibit B** for a preliminary project site map for the new WWTP infrastructure, Digester and potential industrial park utilities. See **Exhibit C** for a wastewater collection system map displaying existing utilities and proposed upgrades.

#### 1.2 Purpose and Need of the Proposal

There are two main reasons for the need for a new WWTP at a new location in the City of Mondovi. The first reason relates to the current location of the plant and the second reason corresponds to future Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) effluent limits.

The current WWTP is located in the floodplain adjacent to the Buffalo River and is surrounded by wetlands currently defined by the WDNR. The WWTP is also located within 500 ft of residential homes which is against current WDNR code.

The current treatment process at the plant meets all WDNR effluent limits defined in the City's current WPDES permit; however, the future phosphorus limit of 0.075 mg/L would not be possible to attain without additional tertiary treatment.

A new industrial park is needed because the city's existing 131-acre industrial park is full with the exception of five (5) lots with a total of 7.5 acres and an additional 40 acres that are undevelopable due to steep slope topography. An existing food manufacturer in the city needing additional space for its operations is another factor that is driving the demand for this new industrial park. The demand for a new industrial park also relates to the need for a Digester. The digester would accept food wastes from industry in the City and also provide a source of animal waste disposal for local farmers who currently land spread. The proposed west industrial park overall size can be seen in Exhibit B.

Another component of the construction of the new Mondovi Industrial Park is the upgrades to County Highway A (CTH A) and the installation of new utilities to extend from US Highway 10 (USH 10) to approximately 4,000-ft to the west. This upgrade would ensure that CTH A could handle the increased truck traffic due to the new industrial park and also extend utilities to the west end of the industrial park.

Collection system upgrades will be implemented to reduce the excessive infiltration and borderline excessive inflow. Cracked pipes also have a threat for exfiltration meaning that untreated wastewater can exit the sanitary pipes causing a major health risk. Another health risk is the potential for sanitary sewer backups and overflows due to the condition of the sewer segments.

Additionally, a new lift station and forcemain will be constructed near the manhole that currently discharges to the existing WWTP location in order to convey the wastewater to the new WWTP location. This will be referred to as the STH 37 lift station.

#### 2.0 Alternatives Selected for Proposed Action

Several alternatives for ensuring future treatment and conveyance of wastewater flows and loadings and also to install new infrastructure in the City of Mondovi were identified and evaluated. The following components were selected for this project:

2.1 Relocation and Construction of a New WWTP

The new WWTP will include building a sequencing batch reactor (SBR) activated sludge process which will include fine screen and grit removal, controls linked to SCADA, energy savings initiatives, UV disinfection, septage receiving and monitoring, a new bio-solids handling process, tertiary treatment for phosphorus removal and a new outfall location. These upgrades will allow for future expansion and be able to handle projected future flows. The STH 37 lift station and the City's water and sanitary utility system will be upgraded in order to re-route utilities to the new WWTP to the north of STH 37.

2.2 Upgrade to the Wastewater Collection System

The collection system upgrades will include lining and replacement of priority sanitary sewer segments throughout the City to reduce inflow and infiltration (I/I) received at the WWTP and invest in the sanitary sewer infrastructure to extend the useful life. It would also include the upgrade of aging manholes throughout the City and the addition of chimney seals on all manholes to prevent infiltration. This component would also include the upgrade to an existing lift station and GIS mapping upgrades.

2.3 Industrial Park Utility Upgrade

To provide utilities and access to the new industrial park on Mondovi's west side, roadway with curb, gutter, and storm sewer will need to be added to the City's current

infrastructure along with water and sanitary utilities. The roadway will connect to State Highway 37 and travel north to the County Highway A (CTH A). The upgrades would also include a regional storm pond and upgrades to CTH A.

Upon the development of the new industrial park, the potential Digester could be constructed to accept industrial food waste and animal manure from nearby farms. The effluent from the Digester would be piped to a pivot irrigation system where a cleaner effluent would be land spread and the produced biogas from the Digester would be sold to adjacent industries. The Digester would be owned and operated by the City but waste agreements would first be finalized with costumers of the Digester.

#### 3.0 Affected Environment/Environmental Consequences

This section describes the project area, the environmental resources within the project area, and the environmental effects or consequences of the project. Proposed mitigation measures to avoid or minimize any adverse effects caused by the project are also identified.

- 3.1 Land Use/Important Farmland/Formally Classified Lands
  - 3.1.1 Land Use

The proposed wastewater improvement projects are located within the City of Mondovi municipal limits. The large project area includes either work in current right-of-way or cultivated fields. The majority of proposed project land is zoned (A) Agriculture. It is planned to have all new utilities either on City owned property or within the right-of-way. The City is currently in land negotiations with the current landowners of the proposed project sites.

WWTP Relocation & Upgrade

See **Exhibit B** for project location map.

Lift Station Upgrade

See **Exhibit B** for a project location map.

Wastewater Collection System Upgrade

See **Exhibit B** for a project location map.

Industrial Park Utility Upgrade

See **Exhibit B** for a project location map.

#### 3.1.2 Important Farmland

The National Resources Conversation Service (NRCS) web soil survey maps <u>http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/app/</u> were reviewed to determine the soil types that are found in the project area. The database search results are attached as **Exhibit D** Web Soil Survey Map. Some soils in the project area are considered prime farmland. See **Exhibit E** for the Farmland Classification Map and Data.

There was correspondence with NRCS to determine what needed to be done because project areas are located in land classified as prime farmland and it was stated that because the prime farmland is located in the municipal limits no actions were needed. Therefore, the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) providing for the protection of important farmland does not apply.

#### 3.2 Formally Classified Lands

This project does not involve any property that have been designated as "formally classified lands".

#### 3.3 Floodplains

3.3.1 Affected Environment

The most relevant floodplain map for the project area is included as **Exhibit F**. Project components are located on land areas that are outside the floodplain.

#### 3.3.2 Environmental Consequences

The WDNR Surface Water Data Viewer <u>https://dnrmaps.wi.gov/H5/?Viewer=SWDV</u> was reviewed to determine the location of the existing 100-year floodplain. Based on this review none of the proposed construction would occur in the floodplain. The WDNR Surface Water Data Viewer indicated that this was an area of minimal flood hazard. See **Exhibit E** for Floodplain Map outlining the areas of the 100-year floodplain throughout the City of Mondovi and the corresponding locations of the projects.

#### 3.3.3 Mitigation

Because the proposed project construction will not be within the existing 100year floodplain and will not impede or redirect flood flows, no mitigation measures are necessary. The construction of the new STH 37 lift station will be constructed in a portion of the 500-year floodplain. See second page of **Exhibit E** displaying the location of the proposed new lift station. Measures will be taken to verify the lift station is flood proof. This would be accomplished by setting the tanks rim elevations higher than flood stage per WDNR code requirements.

#### 3.4 Wetlands

#### 3.4.1 Affected Environment

The most relevant Wetlands Map for the project area is included as **Exhibit G.** There appears to be some wetland areas along CTH A and near the proposed WWTP Location.

#### 3.4.2 Environmental Consequences

Wetlands are important environmental features that provide numerous beneficial services for people and also fish and wildlife. Some of the benefits include protecting and improving water quality, providing fish and wildlife habitats, storing floodwaters and maintaining surface water flow during dry periods. Because wetlands provide such an important benefit to the ecosystem, it is vital to ensure that disturbance does not occur in wetlands during construction projects. It is also important to verify that construction stormwater runoff does not enter wetlands because this can degrade the overall quality of the wetlands. The WDNR Surface Water Data Viewer Map indicates that there are wetland and maximum extent wetland indicators near the project area at the proposed WWTP location. There are maximum extent wetland indicators within the roadway and utility improvements proposed along CTH A on the North side of the project area.

#### 3.4.3 Mitigation

Directional boring will be used along the utility route to avoid any disturbance of wetlands that may be located in the utility corridor area. The roadway work along CTH A and site work for the WWTP will not affect any wetlands. During construction, WDNR erosion control best management practices (BMP's) with be utilized to avoid any construction runoff discharging to wetlands adjacent to the construction sites. All proper WDNR permitting will be in place before construction takes place to verify that all mitigation practices meet WDNR standards. During final design and permitting, wetland delineation may be used to further avoid any minor wetlands.

#### 3.5 Cultural Resources – Historical Properties

#### 3.5.1 Affected Environment

The project area includes cultivated fields, portions of state and county highway right-of-way, areas adjacent to private residences, and the existing wastewater treatment plant location.

#### 3.5.2 Environmental Consequences

The Mississippi Valley Archaeological Center conducted a comprehensive site search using the Wisconsin Historic Preservation Database's (WHPD) Archaeological Reports Inventory (ARI), Archaeological Site Inventory (ASI), and Architecture and History Inventory (AHI), which are all maintained by the Wisconsin Historical Society. The WHPD does not show any previously recorded archaeological sites or previously surveyed historic structures lying within the project area. See **Exhibit H** for the Mississippi Valley Archaeological Center's Archaeological and Historical Sites Review.

#### 3.5.3 Mitigation

Because the proposed project will not impact any identified historical structures or any known archaeological sites, no mitigation measures are necessary.

#### 3.6 Biological Resources – Threatened and Endangered Species

#### 3.6.1 Affected Environment

The proposed improvement projects are located within the City of Mondovi municipal limits. The majority of the project area that includes "greenfield" design is cultivated fields with some wooded area. Greenfield references construction in newly disturbed areas.

#### 3.6.2 Environmental Consequences

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 requires that actions authorized, funded, or carried out by Federal agencies not jeopardize federally threatened or endangered species or adversely modify designated critical habitat. Therefore, an Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) review was conducted to determine the potential presence of threatened or endangered resources. It was concluded that there were no critical habitats in the project location; however, a total of two threatened species were listed as being potentially affected by the project. Those two species were the Northern Long-eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis) and the Eastern Massasuga rattlesnake (Sistrurus catenatus). See **Exhibit I** for an IPaC report prepared using USFWS data. A state database was also analyzed for an Endangered Resources and Preliminary Assessment. This can also be found in **Exhibit I**.

The northern long-eared bat may inhabit the project area in early spring through mid-fall. They may roost in cavities, underneath bark, in crevices, or in the hollows of both live and dead trees. The project does have trees and of the sizes that could be used by northern long-eared bat during roost. Some trees will be removed during construction. Because this project may affect the threatened Northern long-eared bat, consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) pursuant to Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (87 Stat.884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) is required. However, based on the information provided in the IPaC review, this project may rely on the Service's January 5, 2016, *Programmatic Biological Opinion on Final 4(d) Rule for the Northern Long-Eared Bat and Activities Excepted from Take Prohibitions* to fulfill its Section 7(a)(2) consultation obligation.

Massasaugas are small snakes with thick bodies, heart-shaped heads and vertical pupils. The average length of an adult is about 2 feet. Adult massasaugas are gray or light brown with large, light-edged chocolate brown blotches on the back and smaller blotches on the sides. The snake's belly is marbled dark gray or black and there is a narrow, white stripe on its head. Its tail has several dark brown rings and is tipped by gray-yellow horny rattles. Massasaugas live in wet areas including wet prairies, marshes and low areas along rivers and lakes. In many areas massasaugas also use adjacent uplands during part of the year. They often hibernate in crayfish burrows but may also be found under logs and tree roots or in small mammal burrows. Unlike other rattlesnakes, massasaugas hibernate alone. Lack of management and improper timing of management are threats to massasaugas. The snake's habitat needs vegetation control such as prescribed fire and mowing to prevent invasion of shrubs, trees and non-native plants. Woody plant invasion is reducing the amount of available habitat in some areas. Where land is managed to prevent woody invasion, snakes may be killed by prescribed fire and mowing when it happens after snakes emerge from hibernation.

#### 3.6.3 Mitigation

None of the trees that are to be removed are currently known to be maternity roost trees (FWS Horton, A pers. Comm.) for the northern long-eared bat. Only trees directly impacting the construction of the utility extension and access road to the WWTP will be removed. All trees to be removed will be removed outside of the northern long-eared bat summer maternity season (June  $1^{st}$  – July  $31^{st}$ ) to avoid direct impacts to the species.

The majority of the project area will either be wooded or cultivated fields which are habitats that the eastern massasuga rattlesnake is not commonly found. In

areas where erosion mat will be utilized for erosion control practice, a biodegradable erosion mat will be used to avoid entangling the species. Clearing of any low-lying shrubs or vegetation will be avoided in early spring to avoid killing any massasugas when they are emerging from hibernation.

#### 3.7 Water Quality Issues

3.7.1 Affected Environment

There is a nearby stream running parallel with the proposed new road and WWTP as well as crossing under a bridge on CTH A where roadway and utility upgrades will occur.

3.7.2 Environmental Consequences

During construction, there is a potential for water quality degradation from erosion runoff during construction activities.

3.7.3 Mitigation

The project will include mitigation activities to reduce and prevent water quality degradation caused by temporary construction. The project plans and specifications will include erosion control measures such as silt fencing and erosion bales to reduce sediment from leaving the construction site and impacting surface water. Erosion control measures will be required to be installed before construction activities can begin. The contractor will be required to inspect and maintain erosion control devices. WDNR Best Practices for Construction, Notice of Intent and erosion control maintenance procedures will be followed by the contractor during the project.

#### 3.8 Coastal Resources

3.8.1 Affected Environment

This project site does not contain any coastal resources.

3.8.2 Environmental Consequences

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management's website was consulted to determine whether or not the project is within the boundaries of a coastal zone management area (CZMA). Wisconsin's CZMA is the 15 counties that front Lake Superior, Lake Michigan, or Green Bay. The proposed project is located in Buffalo County, which is not one of the 15 counties fronting these water bodies.

#### 3.8.3 Mitigation

Because the proposed project will not impact any coastal resources, no mitigation measures are necessary.

#### 3.9 Socio-Economic Issues/Environmental Justice

#### 3.9.1 Affected Environment

The median household income (MHI), based on 2013-2017 American Communities Survey 5-Year Estimates data, is at \$46,453, with 14.5% below poverty level. The City of Mondovi is located in Buffalo County.

#### 3.9.2 Environmental Consequences

This proposed project is intended to provide a higher flow capacity treatment for the City's wastewater and to meet new environmental regulations. Rather than having an adverse impact on the City of Mondovi, the proposed project would have positive socio-economic and environmental justice implications by improving the quality of the City's wastewater treatment and water quality downstream.

#### 3.9.3 Mitigation

Because the proposed project will have no adverse socio-economic and environmental justice implications, no mitigation measures are necessary.

#### 3.10 Miscellaneous Issues

#### 3.10.1 Air Quality

There are no anticipated effects on air quality from construction activities or operation of the proposed project. The types of construction activities and amount of equipment used during construction are minimal compared to normal equipment operation in and around the City. Construction activities will have a negligible impact on air quality.

#### 3.10.2 Noise

Construction activities will include equipment that generates noise levels that are similar to other activities that occur in and around the project area; such as, industry operation and trucking. The contractor will be required to limit construction activities from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. A majority of this project area is a significant distance from residential properties.

#### 3.10.3 Contaminated Lands

The WDNR Remediation and Redevelopment (RR) website was reviewed on March 11, 2019, for contaminated lands in the project area. One "open site" was located toward the east side of Mondovi at Marten Transport LTD. This site is a distance from the proposed project location. The database search results are attached as **Exhibit J**.

#### 4.0 Summary of Mitigation

Potentially Impacted Resources:	Mitigation Measures:
Farmland Classification	No mitigation
Formally Classified Land	No mitigation
Floodplains	Flood proofing lift station vaults and construction of other
	utilities outside floodplain
Wetlands	Directional drilling to avoid disturbance. WDNR erosion
	control standards will be followed and all proper permitting
	will be obtained.
Historic Properties	No mitigation
Visual Aesthetics	No mitigation
Threatened or Endangered Species	Northern Long-eared Bat:
	Avoiding the clearing of excess trees and avoiding the clearing
	of trees between Jun 1 <sup>st</sup> and July 31 <sup>st</sup> .
	Eastern Massasuga Rattlesnake:
	Avoiding the clearing of shrubs and low-lying vegetation
	during early spring.
Vegetation	No mitigation
Water Quality Issues	WDNR erosion control standards will be followed. Silt fences,
	erosion bails will be implemented to limit sediment leaving the
	construction site
Soils	No mitigation
Air Pollution	No mitigation
Transportation	No mitigation
Noise	The contractor will be required to limit construction activities
	from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Monitoring	No mitigation

#### 5.0 Correspondence

State/Federal Agency:	Type of correspondence:
MVAC	Historical literature review and archeological information
US Fish & Wildlife Service	Threatened and Endangered Species Review.
Wisconsin DNR	Surface Water Data Viewer
Wisconsin DNR	Remediation and Redevelopment Map

#### 6.0 Exhibits

See attached Exhibits.

#### 7.0 List of Preparers

Jon Strand, PE

Project Manager

CBS Squared Inc

Areas of Input:

Report writing of all sections. Final review and editing.

Alex Jaromin

Staff Engineer

CBS Squared Inc

Areas of Input:

Report writing of all sections. Created all exhibits. Final review and editing.

Jody Strand

Administrative Assistant

CBS Squared Inc

Areas of Input:

Correspondence with MVAC on obtaining the historical literature review. Final review, editing and packaging of the report.

Environmental Report Exhibit A – General Location Map



### **Environmental Report**

## Exhibit B – Preliminary Project Site Map


P:\MONDO\18001 WWTP Facility\5.0 Drawings and c3d\Prelim Ind Park Layout\_Rev6

# **Environmental Report**

Exhibit C – Mondovi Wastewater Collection System Map



# Environmental Report Exhibit D – Web Soil Survey Map



USDA Natural Resources

**Conservation Service** 

	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of Inter	<b>est (AOI)</b> Area of Interest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
Soils	Soil Map Unit Polygons	00	Very Stony Spot	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
	Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points	Q	Other	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Special Po	<b>int Features</b> Blowout Borrow Pit	Water Fea	special Line Features atures Streams and Canals tation	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
× (	Clay Spot		Rails Interstate Highways	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data a of the version date(s) listed below.
	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes Major Roads	Soil Survey Area: Buffalo County, Wisconsin Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 11, 2018
<u>م</u> ا	andtill .ava Flow Marsh or swamp	Backgrou	Local Roads Ind Aerial Photography	Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 5, 2015—Sep
* *	Aline or Quarry Aliscellaneous Water		, tondi i notography	2017 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor
	Perennial Water Rock Outcrop Saline Spot			shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
*** ** =	Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot			
\$ \$	Sinkhole Slide or Slip			



## Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
30A	Adder muck, 0 to 1 percent slopes, frequently flooded	2.4	0.3%
224B	Elevasil sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	24.2	2.5%
224C2	Elevasil sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, moderately eroded	39.3	4.1%
224D2	Elevasil sandy loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, moderately eroded	19.4	2.0%
224E2	Elevasil sandy loam, 20 to 30 percent slopes, moderately eroded	0.9	0.1%
255C2	Urne fine sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, moderately eroded	3.8	0.4%
255D2	Urne fine sandy loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, moderately eroded	16.4	1.7%
255E2	Urne fine sandy loam, 20 to 30 percent slopes, moderately eroded	6.2	0.6%
336A	Toddville silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0.2	0.0%
403A	Dakota silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	125.4	13.2%
423A	Meridian silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	3.2	0.3%
424E	Merit silt loam, 20 to 45 percent slopes	1.3	0.1%
429A	Lows loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	0.2	0.0%
432A	Kevilar sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	135.8	14.3%
432B	Kevilar sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	206.5	21.7%
432C2	Kevilar sandy loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, moderately eroded	2.0	0.2%
433A	Forkhorn sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	46.6	4.9%
433B	Forkhorn sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	62.3	6.6%
433D2	Forkhorn sandy loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, moderately eroded	45.9	4.8%

USDA

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
436A	Rusktown sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	1.5	0.2%
438A	Hoopeston sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	7.9	0.8%
511B	Plainfield loamy sand, river valley, 1 to 6 percent slopes	31.9	3.4%
561B	Tarr sand, 1 to 6 percent slopes	1.4	0.1%
561F	Tarr sand, 15 to 60 percent slopes	0.0	0.0%
569A	Newlang muck, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	39.8	4.2%
646A	Dunnbot fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	20.8	2.2%
666A	Absco loamy sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	2.7	0.3%
1648A	Northbend-Ettrick silt loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, frequently flooded	92.2	9.7%
2022	Pits, siliceous sand	0.9	0.1%
W	Water	9.1	1.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		950.1	100.0%

# **Environmental Report**

# Exhibit E – Farmland Classification Map and Data



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



- Prime farmland if subsoiled, completely removing the root inhibiting soil layer
- Prime farmland if irrigated and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60
- Prime farmland if irrigated and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium
- Farmland of statewide importance
- Farmland of statewide importance, if drained
- Farmland of statewide importance, if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
- Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated

- Farmland of statewide importance, if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the
- growing season Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated and drained

100

- Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
   Farmland of statewide importance, if subsoiled.
- completely removing the root inhibiting soil layer Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated

and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60

- Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium
- Farmland of statewide importance, if drained or either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
- Farmland of statewide importance, if warm enough, and either drained or either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
- Farmland of statewide importance, if warm enough
- Farmland of statewide importance, if thawed
- Farmland of local importance
- Farmland of local importance, if irrigated

- Farmland of unique importance
   Not rated or not available
- Soil Rating Points
  Not prime farmland
  - All areas are prime farmland
  - Prime farmland if drained
  - Prime farmland if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
  - Prime farmland if irrigated
  - Prime farmland if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
  - Prime farmland if irrigated and drained
  - Prime farmland if irrigated and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season

- Prime farmland if subsoiled, completely removing the root inhibiting soil layer
- Prime farmland if irrigated and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60
- Prime farmland if irrigated and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium
- Farmland of statewide importance
- Farmland of statewide importance, if drained
- Farmland of statewide importance, if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season
- Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated



	Farmland of statewide importance, if drained and		Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated		Farmland of unique importance	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.		
	either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the	_	and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium	U Water Fea	Not rated or not available	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.		
_	growing season Farmland of statewide		importance, if drained or	Streams and Canals		Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause		
	importance, if irrigated		flooding or not frequently	Transport	ation	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of		
	Farmland of statewide	_	growing season	+++	Ralls	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.		
	and either protected from		importance, if warm	~	US Routes	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map		
	flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season		drained or either	~	Major Roads	measurements.		
	growing season Farmland of statewide		not frequently flooded during the growing	Local Roads		Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:		
	importance, if subsoiled, completely removing the root inhibiting soil layer	_	season	Background		Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)		
	Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60	Farmland of statewide		importance, if warm	and the second s	Aerial Photography	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts	
		d the product of I (soil				distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more		
			Farmland of local			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.		
			importance Farmland of local			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.		
					Soil Survey Area: Buffalo County, Wisconsin Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 11, 2018			
						Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.		
						Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 5, 2015—Sep 28, 2017		
						The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.		



## **Farmland Classification**

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
432A	Kevilar sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	0.7	9.1%
433A	Forkhorn sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	All areas are prime farmland	4.0	49.0%
433D2	Forkhorn sandy loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, moderately eroded	Not prime farmland	2.1	26.4%
511B	Plainfield loamy sand, river valley, 1 to 6 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0.3	4.0%
646A	Dunnbot fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	All areas are prime farmland	0.9	11.4%
Totals for Area of Inter	est	1	8.1	100.0%

## Description

Farmland classification identifies map units as prime farmland, farmland of statewide importance, farmland of local importance, or unique farmland. It identifies the location and extent of the soils that are best suited to food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops. NRCS policy and procedures on prime and unique farmlands are published in the "Federal Register," Vol. 43, No. 21, January 31, 1978.

## **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: No Aggregation Necessary

Tie-break Rule: Lower

Environmental Report Exhibit F – Floodplain Map





# **Environmental Report**

Exhibit G – WDNR Surface Water Data Viewer Wetland Map



# **Environmental Report**

Exhibit H – Wisconsin Historic and Archeological Database Results



May 4, 2019

Submitted to: Jody Strand CBS Squared, Inc. 770 Technology Way Chippewa Falls, WI 54729 MVAC Short Report Series: 2019-20

Submitted by: Jean Dowiasch Senior Research Archaeologist Mississippi Valley Archaeology Center 1725 State Street La Crosse, WI 54601

Re: Mondovi Literature Review, Buffalo County, Wisconsin

Dear Ms. Strand:

This letter serves as a literature review conducted by the Mississippi Valley Archaeology Center (MVAC) for proposed improvements associated with a new Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) in the City of Mondovi, Buffalo County, Wisconsin (Figure 1). The proposed project is to construct a new WWTP at a new location, construct an anaerobic digester at a location adjacent to the new WWTP site, extend current City utilities to the new WWTP location, upgrade the City wastewater collection system, and construct infrastructure for a new industrial park on the west side of the City where the new WWTP and digester would be located (Figure 2). The majority of the project is located in the City of Mondovi, with a small portion west of the City involving upgrades to the County Road. This literature review covers Sections 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15 in T24N-R11W and Sections 6 and 7 in T24N-10W in Buffalo County.

A comprehensive site search was conducted using the Wisconsin Historic Preservation Database's (WHPD) Archaeological Reports Inventory (ARI), Archaeological Site Inventory (ASI), and Architecture and History Inventory (AHI), which are all maintained by the Wisconsin Historical Society. The WHPD contains those archaeological sites, previous surveys, and surveyed structures which have been reported to the Wisconsin Historical Society. It is possible that additional cultural materials which have not yet been recovered or reported may exist within the property.

#### Cultural Context

Native American occupation of the upper Mississippi River Valley, including what is now Wisconsin, began around the end of the Pleistocene epoch, when groups of hunter-gatherers moved into the region after the retreat of the last glaciers. Archaeologists have established a basic chronology of Native American traditions in the region (Green et al. 1986, Birmingham et al. 1998, Theler and Boszhardt 2003):

Paleoindian	12,000 - 1	10,000 B.P. (	Before Present)
Archaic	10,000 -	2,500 B.P.	
Woodland	2,500 -	1,000 B.P.	
Oneota 1,000	- 350 E	8.P.	
Early Historic	350 -	150 B.P.	
Late Historic	150 -	modern era	

These traditions are defined by patterns of material culture and by relative and chronometric dating. They are distinguished by differences in settlement and subsistence patterns; changes in styles

MVAC at the University of Wisconsi	n-La Crosse	1725 State Stre
office: <b>608-785-8463</b>	FAX: 608-785-6474	<u>www.u</u>

725 State Street, La Crosse, WI 54601-3788 <u>www.uwlax.edu/mvac</u> and functions of stone tools; the appearance of pottery and subsequent changes in types and design motifs; and the construction and changing forms and functions of earthen mounds.

#### **Environmental Setting**

The proposed improvement project is located within the Mondovi municipal limits, with a small portion in the Town of Mondovi. The project is in the Driftless Area, in Wisconsin's Western Upland geographic province (Martin 1965). The project area lies north and south of the Buffalo River, with Peeso Creek entering the project area from the northeast and Harvey Creek running along the western boundary. The Buffalo River joins the Mississippi River 19.5 miles southwest of Mondovi, north of Alma.

Early vegetation in the project area consisted of oak savanna including bur and white oak, and bluestem (Cottam and Loucks 1965). A 1939 air photo indicates the municipal boundaries were more limited; since then subdivisions have developed in multiple areas of the city, with limited expansion south of the Buffalo River. The city limits have been expanded to include the golf course to the northeast (Figure 3).

#### **Literature Review Results**

#### Archaeology

The ASI indicates there are 21 sites within one mile of the project area, two of which lie WITHIN the Mondovi city limits (Figure 4, Appendix A: Table 1). The two sites within the project area include Oak Park Cemetery (BBF-0033) and Riverside Cemetery (BBF-0034), both listed as Historic Euro-American sites. Oak Park Cemetery is located at 239 N. Washington Street, in the southwest corner of the Oak Street/N. Washington intersection. The site is listed as an active, uncatalogued burial site. Riverside Cemetery is located south of USH 10, approximately 600 feet east of its intersection with STH 37. The Historic Euro-American cemetery is divided into three parts: Sacred Heart (east), City Cemetery (central) and the Lutheran Cemetery (west); collectively knows as Riverside Cemetery. The site is listed as an active, uncatalogued cemetery. Both cemeteries are protected under Wis. Stats 157.70, and consultation with the Wisconsin Historical Society is required prior to any work within the site boundaries (WHPD 2019).

Prehistoric sites of known cultural affiliation within one mile of the project area include 1 Archaic campsite/village, 2 Archaic/Woodland campsite/villages, 2 Woodland campsites, and 1 Woodland mound/burial site. Prehistoric sites of unknown affiliation include 8 campsite/villages, 1 isolated find, 1 lithic scatter, and 1 isolated find with a Historic Indian site. Historic Euro-American sites include 1 cemetery/burial and 1 HCM concentration (WHPD 2019).

#### **Previous Archaeological Investigations**

One archaeological survey was conducted in the project area, with four additional investigations undertaken within one mile of the project area. In 1987, a Phase I survey was conducted for a proposed bridge replacement over Harvey Creek by CTH A, at the west end of the project area. No cultural resources were recovered (87-0778) [WHPD 2019].

In 1986, surface survey for the proposed relocation of the STH 37 bridge over Peeso Creek recovered no cultural resources. A total of 0.25 acres were surveyed to the northeast of the current Mondovi project area (86-0101). In 1996, a Phase I survey was conducted for intersection improvements and a bridge replacement along STH 37, northeast of the project area. Three archaeological sites were found, with no additional investigations recommended (96-5015). Three locations on the Denk property were investigated in 2011. The farm lies south of STH 37, approximately one mile northeast of the current project. No cultural materials were recovered, and no additional investigations were recommended (11-0436). In 2014, a Phase I archaeological survey of the proposed Mondovi Tap and Substation was

conducted in the southwest corner of the CTH TT/STH 37 intersection. No cultural materials were recovered (14-1228) [WHPD 2019].

#### **Architecture and History**

The Wisconsin Architecture and History Inventory (AHI) indicates there are 43 historic buildings/structures listed within the Mondovi city limits, with two additional structures reported within one mile (Figure 5, Appendix A: Table 2). These structures include 20 houses, 16 commercial buildings, 3 churches, 2 schools, and 2 statutes. None of the structures were listed as eligible for, or listed on, the National Register of Historic Places; however, the structures may not have been evaluated for eligibility at the time of survey. The AHI was utilized to collect information on historic buildings, structures, sites, objects, and historic districts along the project area. Inclusion in the inventory does not necessarily mean the object has a special status. The AHI is not a comprehensive list of all old Wisconsin buildings and structures.

#### **Summary**

Proposed improvements for a new Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP), anaerobic digester, and associated upgrades in the City of Mondovi lie within the municipal limits, and a small portion west of the City involving upgrades to the County Road. The project is located in Sections 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15 in T24N-R11W and Sections 6 and 7 in T24N-10W in Buffalo County. A comprehensive literature review was conducted using the Wisconsin Historic Preservation Database (WHPD). As a result of the literature search, it was determined that two previously recorded archaeological sites are located in the project area, both of which are Historic Euro-American cemeteries. Nineteen previously recorded archaeological sites are located within one mile of the project area. Forty-three previously surveyed historic structures lie within the proposed project limits, none of which are listed on the National Register of Historic Places. Five archaeological surveys have been conducted within one mile of the improvement projects, one of which was located in the current project area. No sites were discovered as a result of the survey within the project boundaries.

#### **References**

Birmingham, Robert A., Carol I. Mason and James B. Stoltman1998Wisconsin Archaeology. The Wisconsin Archeologist 78(1-2).

Cottam, G. and O.L. Loucks

1965 *Early Vegetation in Wisconsin*, a map compiled for the Geological and Natural History Survey. Madison: University of Wisconsin – Extension.

Green, William, James B. Stoltman and Thomas Kehoe

1986 Introduction to Wisconsin Archaeology: Background for Cultural Resources Planning. *The Wisconsin Archaeologist* 67(3-4).

#### Martin, Lawrence

1965 The Physical Geography of Wisconsin. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison.

Theler, James L. and Robert F. Boszhardt

2003 Twelve Millenia: Archaeology of the Upper Mississippi River Valley. University of Iowa Press, Iowa.

#### Wisconsin Historic Aerial Image Finder

2019 Mondovi Aerial Photograph. Electronic document accessed May 2019: http://maps.sco.wisc.edu/WHAIFinder/#.

Wisconsin Historic Preservation Database

2019 Archaeological Reports Inventory, Archaeological Site Inventory, and Architecture and History Inventory. Wisconsin Historical Society, Madison.

### Appendix A

Archaeological Sites, Architecture and History Sites Within one mile of the Mondovi project area

Site #	Site Name	Site Type	Culture	TRS
47Bf-137	Heck 2	campsite/village	unknown prehistoric	2411W-4
47Bf-138	Loomis 1	campsite/village	unknown prehistoric	2411W-10
47Bf-139	Loomis 2	campsite/village	Archaic, M. Woodland	2411W-10
47Bf-140	Loomis 3	campsite/village	unknown prehistoric	2411W-9
47Bf-141	Loomis 4	campsite/village	unknown prehistoric	2411W-9
47Bf-142	Loomis 5	campsite/village	unknown prehistoric	2411W-9
47Bf-143	Loomis 6	campsite/village	Late Woodland	2411W-9
47Bf-144	Loomis 7	campsite/village	Woodland	2411W-9
47Bf-163	Peeso Creek	isolated find	unknown prehistoric	2410W-6
47Bf-177	Heck III	campsite/village	unknown prehistoric	2411W-4
47Bf-186	BF-G	campsite/village	unknown prehistoric	2411W-4
47Bf-199	Buffalo Soujah	lithic scatter	unknown prehistoric	2410W-7
BBF-0033	Oak Park Cemetery	cemetery/burial	Historic Euro-American	2411W-12
BBF-0034	Riverside Cemetery	cemetery/burial	Historic Euro-American	2411W-24
BBF-0035	Waste Family Cemetery	cemetery/burial	Historic Euro-American	2411W-24
47Ec-67	Hugging Boy	HCM concentration	Historic Euro-American	2510W-32
47Ec-68	Pig Wilbur	isolated find	unknown prehistoric, Historic Indian	2510W-32
47Ec-69	Angel Girl	campsite/village	L. Archaic, L. Woodland	2510W-32
47Ec-70	Andress View	campsite/village	Late Archaic	2510W-32
47Ec-71	Nutting Stone Site	campsite/village	unknown prehistoric	2510W-31
47Ec-72/BEC-0041	Andress Mound Group	mounds- conical	Late Woodland	2510W-32

 Table 1. Previously recorded archaeological sites within one mile of the Mondovi Wastewater Treatment

 Plant Project and Associated Work.

Table 2. Previously recorded historic structures within one mile of the Mondovi project area.

	WISCONSIN NISTORICAL S O C I E T Y Wisconsin Architecture and History Inventory State Historic Preservation Office							
Home	Search			Re	ecent Properties			
<b>43</b> properties County = Bu Municipality	43 properties found where: County = Buffalo Municipality = Mondovi							
Refine Searc	: <u>h</u>							
	AHI Number	Historic Name	Street Address	County	Municipality	<u>Civil Town</u>		
	<u>2583</u>		314 COLUMBIA AVE	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2584</u>		126 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2585</u>	UNION BLOCK	122 EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2586</u>		141 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
a the second	<u>2587</u>	CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH	253 EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2588</u>		278 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
a and	<u>2589</u>		353 EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2590</u>		405 EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2591</u>		557 EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2592</u>		671 EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2593</u>	OPERA HOUSE	103 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2594</u>		119 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
an a	<u>2595</u>		129 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2596</u>	HERALD BLOCK	131-9 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2597</u>		162 S EAU CLAIRE S	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2598</u>		201 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2599</u>	CANAR BROTHERS BUILDING	202 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
and some the	2600	LOCKWOOD BLOCK	207-9 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	<u>2601</u>		210 S EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi			
	2602			Buffalo	Mondovi			

Wisconsin Architecture and History Inventory State Historic Preservation Office

Search

Recent Properties

~

43 properties for County = Buff Municipality = Refine Search	ound where: ialo : Mondovi					
	AHI Number	Historic Name	Street Address	County	Municipality	Civil Town
	<u>2603</u>	FIRST METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH	NE CNR EAU CLAIRE ST AND VINE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2604</u>		153 FRANKLIN ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2605</u>		261 FRANKLIN ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2606</u>		455 FRANKLIN ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
1	<u>2607</u>		540 FRANKLIN ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2608</u>		E SIDE FRANKLIN ST 50 FT S OF GLEN ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
T.C.	<u>2609</u>		E SIDE FRANKLIN ST, 70 FT N OF HUDSON	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2610</u>		E SIDE HOWARD ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2611</u>		676 HUDSON ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2612</u>		N CNR HUDSON ST AND STATE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2613</u>		SW CNR HUDSON ST AND STATE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2614</u>		259 JACKSON ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2615</u>		267 JACKSON ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2616</u>		225 E MAIN ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2617</u>		312 E MAIN ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2618</u>		S SIDE MAIN ST, 100 FT W OF MADISON ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2619</u>		N SIDE E MAIN, 0.25 MI E OF EAU CLAIRE ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2620</u>		155 WASHINGTON ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	<u>2621</u>		162 WASHINGTON ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	
	2622		WASHINGTON ST	Buffalo	Mondovi	

	Wisconsin Architecture and History Inventory State Historic Preservation Office						
Home	Search				Recent Properties	V	
43 properties County = Bu Municipality <u>Refine Searc</u>	: found where: Iffalo = Mondovi c <u>h</u>						
	AHI Number	<u>Historic Name</u>	Street Address	<u>County</u>	Municipality	<u>Civil Town</u>	
	2623		315 WATER ST	Buffalo	Mondovi		
	<u>46613</u>		MIRROR LAKE PARK	Buffalo	Mondovi		
1-	46617		W HUDSON ST	Buffalo	Mondovi		
43 properties	found   You are viewing pa	age 3 of 3			<< Firs	<u>t <prev< u=""></prev<></u>	

43 properties found ~|~ You are viewing page 3 of 3  $\,$ 

2622	121 N Eau Claire St, W SIDE EAU CLAIRE ST, 100 FT N OF MAIN ST			
43 properties found $\   \$ You are viewing page 1 of 3			Next >	Last >>
2485	E side Holmes Creek Rd. 0.5 mi N of USH 10	Buffalo	Mondovi	
2491	W side CTH H, 1 mi S of County Line	Buffalo	Mondovi	

Page 1 of 1

Figures



Figure 1. Project location in the State of Wisconsin.



Figure 2. Project location in the City of Mondovi, Buffalo County. Provided by CBS Squared, Inc.


Figure 3. 1939 air photo of the Mondovi Wasterwater Treatment Plant project area (WHAIFinder 2019).



Figure 4. Previously recorded archaeological sites in and adjacent to the project area. WHPD 2019, Mondovi 7.5' USGS topographic map.



Figure 5. Architecture and History Inventory properties in Mondovi, WI. WHPD 2019.

**Environmental Report** 

Exhibit I -

Federally Listed Threatened, Endangered Species; WDNR Endangered Resources Preliminary Review



# United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Green Bay Ecological Services Field Office 2661 Scott Tower Drive New Franken, WI 54229-9565 Phone: (920) 866-1717 Fax: (920) 866-1710



In Reply Refer To: Consultation Code: 03E17000-2019-SLI-1407 Event Code: 03E17000-2019-E-03574 Project Name: Mondovi WWTP Upgrade July 15, 2019

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location, and/or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The attached species list identifies any federally threatened, endangered, proposed and candidate species that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project or may be affected by your proposed project. The list also includes designated critical habitat if present within your proposed project area or affected by your project. This list is provided to you as the initial step of the consultation process required under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act, also referred to as Section 7 Consultation.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 requires that actions authorized, funded, or carried out by Federal agencies not jeopardize federally threatened or endangered species or adversely modify designated critical habitat. To fulfill this mandate, Federal agencies (or their designated non-federal representative) must consult with the Service if they determine their project "may affect" listed species or critical habitat.

Under 50 CFR 402.12(e) (the regulations that implement Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act) the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally. You may verify the list by visiting the ECOS-IPaC website <u>http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/</u> at regular intervals during project planning and implementation and completing the same process you used to receive the attached list. As an alternative, you may contact this Ecological Services Field Office for updates.

Please use the species list provided and visit the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Region 3 Section 7 Technical Assistance website at - <u>http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/section7/</u><u>s7process/index.html</u>. This website contains step-by-step instructions which will help you determine if your project will have an adverse effect on listed species and will help lead you through the Section 7 process. For all **wind energy projects** and **projects that include installing towers that use guy wires or are over 200 feet in height** (*e.g.*, **communication towers**), please contact this field office directly for assistance, even if no federally listed plants, animals or critical habitat are present within your proposed project or may be affected by your proposed project.

Guidance for minimizing impacts to migratory birds for projects including communications towers (e.g., cellular, digital television, radio, and emergency broadcast) can be found at: http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/towers.htm; http://www.towerkill.com; and http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/comtow.html.

Although no longer protected under the Endangered Species Act, be aware that bald eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668 *et seq.*) and Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703 *et seq*), as are golden eagles. Projects affecting these species may require measures to avoid harming eagles or may require a permit. If your project is near an eagle nest or winter roost area, see our Eagle Permits website at <u>http://www.fws.gov/midwest/</u><u>midwestbird/EaglePermits/index.html</u> to help you determine if you can avoid impacting eagles or if a permit may be necessary.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Tracking Number in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment(s):

Official Species List

# **Official Species List**

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

## **Green Bay Ecological Services Field Office**

2661 Scott Tower Drive New Franken, WI 54229-9565 (920) 866-1717

# **Project Summary**

Consultation Code:	03E17000-2019-SLI-1407
Event Code:	03E17000-2019-E-03574
Project Name:	Mondovi WWTP Upgrade
Project Type:	WASTEWATER FACILITY
Project Description:	The project consists of the construction of a new wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) for the City of Mondovi. The project also includes the extension of sanitary and water utilities to the new site along with a new access road. The access road and utility construction would start in Spring of 2020 with the ground breaking for the WWTP site to start in Fall 2020.

## Project Location:

Approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <u>https://www.google.com/maps/place/44.56264157320885N91.67772914418488W</u>



Counties: Buffalo, WI

# **Endangered Species Act Species**

There is a total of 2 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries<sup>1</sup>, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

1. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

## Mammals

NAME	STATUS
Northern Long-eared Bat Myotis septentrionalis	Threatened
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
Species profile: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045</u>	
Reptiles	

NAME	STATUS
Eastern Massasauga (=rattlesnake) Sistrurus catenatus	Threatened
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
Species profile: https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2202	

# **Critical habitats**

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.



# United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Green Bay Ecological Services Field Office 2661 Scott Tower Drive New Franken, WI 54229-9565 Phone: (920) 866-1717 Fax: (920) 866-1710



In Reply Refer To: Consultation Code: 03E17000-2019-TA-1407 Event Code: 03E17000-2019-E-03585 Project Name: Mondovi WWTP Upgrade July 15, 2019

Subject: Verification letter for the 'Mondovi WWTP Upgrade' project under the January 5, 2016, Programmatic Biological Opinion on Final 4(d) Rule for the Northern Longeared Bat and Activities Excepted from Take Prohibitions.

Dear Alex Jaromin:

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) received on July 15, 2019 your effects determination for the 'Mondovi WWTP Upgrade' (the Action) using the northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) key within the Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) system. This IPaC key assists users in determining whether a Federal action is consistent with the activities analyzed in the Service's January 5, 2016, Programmatic Biological Opinion (PBO). The PBO addresses activities excepted from "take"<sup>[1]</sup> prohibitions applicable to the northern long-eared bat under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA) (87 Stat.884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

Based upon your IPaC submission, the Action is consistent with activities analyzed in the PBO. The Action may affect the northern long-eared bat; however, any take that may occur as a result of the Action is not prohibited under the ESA Section 4(d) rule adopted for this species at 50 CFR 17.40(o). Unless the Service advises you within 30 days of the date of this letter that your IPaC-assisted determination was incorrect, this letter verifies that the PBO satisfies and concludes your responsibilities for this Action under ESA Section 7(a)(2) with respect to the northern long-eared bat.

Please report to our office any changes to the information about the Action that you submitted in IPaC, the results of any bat surveys conducted in the Action area, and any dead, injured, or sick northern long-eared bats that are found during Action implementation. If the Action is not completed within one year of the date of this letter, you must update and resubmit the information required in the IPaC key.

This IPaC-assisted determination allows you to rely on the PBO for compliance with ESA Section 7(a)(2) <u>only</u> for the northern long-eared bat. It **does not** apply to the following ESA-protected species that also may occur in the Action area:

• Eastern Massasauga (=rattlesnake), Sistrurus catenatus (Threatened)

If the Action may affect other federally listed species besides the northern long-eared bat, a proposed species, and/or designated critical habitat, additional consultation between you and this Service office is required. If the Action may disturb bald or golden eagles, additional coordination with the Service under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act is recommended.

<sup>[1]</sup>Take means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct [ESA Section 3(19)].

## **Action Description**

You provided to IPaC the following name and description for the subject Action.

1. Name

Mondovi WWTP Upgrade

## 2. Description

The following description was provided for the project 'Mondovi WWTP Upgrade':

The project consists of the construction of a new wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) for the City of Mondovi. The project also includes the extension of sanitary and water utilities to the new site along with a new access road. The access road and utility construction would start in Spring of 2020 with the ground breaking for the WWTP site to start in Fall 2020.

Approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <u>https://www.google.com/</u> maps/place/44.56264157320885N91.67772914418488W



## **Determination Key Result**

This Federal Action may affect the northern long-eared bat in a manner consistent with the description of activities addressed by the Service's PBO dated January 5, 2016. Any taking that may occur incidental to this Action is not prohibited under the final 4(d) rule at 50 CFR §17.40(o). Therefore, the PBO satisfies your responsibilities for this Action under ESA Section 7(a)(2) relative to the northern long-eared bat.

## Determination Key Description: Northern Long-eared Bat 4(d) Rule

This key was last updated in IPaC on May 15, 2017. Keys are subject to periodic revision.

This key is intended for actions that may affect the threatened northern long-eared bat.

The purpose of the key for Federal actions is to assist determinations as to whether proposed actions are consistent with those analyzed in the Service's PBO dated January 5, 2016.

Federal actions that may cause prohibited take of northern long-eared bats, affect ESA-listed species other than the northern long-eared bat, or affect any designated critical habitat, require ESA Section 7(a)(2) consultation in addition to the use of this key. Federal actions that may affect species proposed for listing or critical habitat proposed for designation may require a conference under ESA Section 7(a)(4).

# **Determination Key Result**

This project may affect the threatened Northern long-eared bat; therefore, consultation with the Service pursuant to Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (87 Stat.884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) is required. However, based on the information you provided, this project may rely on the Service's January 5, 2016, *Programmatic Biological Opinion on Final 4(d) Rule for the Northern Long-Eared Bat and Activities Excepted from Take Prohibitions* to fulfill its Section 7(a)(2) consultation obligation.

# **Qualification Interview**

- 1. Is the action authorized, funded, or being carried out by a Federal agency? *Yes*
- Have you determined that the proposed action will have "no effect" on the northern longeared bat? (If you are unsure select "No")

3. Will your activity purposefully **Take** northern long-eared bats?

No

- Is the project action area located wholly outside the White-nose Syndrome Zone? Automatically answered No
- 5. Have you contacted the appropriate agency to determine if your project is near a known hibernaculum or maternity roost tree?

Location information for northern long-eared bat hibernacula is generally kept in state Natural Heritage Inventory databases – the availability of this data varies state-by-state. Many states provide online access to their data, either directly by providing maps or by providing the opportunity to make a data request. In some cases, to protect those resources, access to the information may be limited. A web page with links to state Natural Heritage Inventory databases is available at <u>www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/</u><u>nhisites.html</u>.

Yes

6. Will the action affect a cave or mine where northern long-eared bats are known to hibernate (i.e., hibernaculum) or could it alter the entrance or the environment (physical or other alteration) of a hibernaculum?

No

- 7. Will the action involve Tree Removal? *Yes*
- 8. Will the action only remove hazardous trees for the protection of human life or property? *No*
- Will the action remove trees within 0.25 miles of a known northern long-eared bat hibernaculum at any time of year?
  No
- 10. Will the action remove a known occupied northern long-eared bat maternity roost tree or any trees within 150 feet of a known occupied maternity roost tree from June 1 through July 31?

No

# **Project Questionnaire**

If the project includes forest conversion, report the appropriate acreages below. Otherwise, type '0' in questions 1-3.

1. Estimated total acres of forest conversion:

1

2. If known, estimated acres of forest conversion from April 1 to October 31 *1* 

3. If known, estimated acres of forest conversion from June 1 to July 31

0

If the project includes timber harvest, report the appropriate acreages below. Otherwise, type '0' in questions 4-6.

4. Estimated total acres of timber harvest

0

5. If known, estimated acres of timber harvest from April 1 to October 31 *0* 

6. If known, estimated acres of timber harvest from June 1 to July 31 *0* 

If the project includes prescribed fire, report the appropriate acreages below. Otherwise, type '0' in questions 7-9.

7. Estimated total acres of prescribed fire

0

8. If known, estimated acres of prescribed fire from April 1 to October 31

0

9. If known, estimated acres of prescribed fire from June 1 to July 31

0

If the project includes new wind turbines, report the megawatts of wind capacity below. Otherwise, type '0' in question 10.

10. What is the estimated wind capacity (in megawatts) of the new turbine(s)?

0



## **Endangered Resources Preliminary Assessment**

Created on 4/17/2019. This report is good for one year after the created date.

## Results

**Further actions recommended.** You are encouraged to request a full Endangered Resources Review, although it is not required. If an ER Review is requested for this project, it would provide recommended (voluntary) actions that could be taken during the course of the project.

Project Information			
Landowner name	City of Mondovi		
Project address	State Hwy 37		
Project description	WWTP Upgrade		
Project Questions			
Does the project involve a public property?	Yes	Is the project a utility, agricultural, forestry or bulk sampling (associated	Yes
Is there any federal involvement with the project?	Yes	Is the project property in Managed Forest Law or Managed Forest Tax Law?	No



The information shown on these maps has been obtained from various sources, and is of varying age, reliability and resolution. These maps are not intended to be used for navigation, nor are these maps an authoritative source of information about legal land ownership or public access. Users of these maps should confirm the ownership of land through other means in order to avoid trespassing. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding accuracy, applicability for a particular use, completeness, or legality of the information depicted on this map. For more information, see the DNR Legal Notices web page: http://dnr.wi.gov/legal/.

https://dnrx.wisconsin.gov/nhiportal/public

101 S. Webster Street . PO Box 7921 . Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7921

# **Environmental Report**

# Exhibit J - WDNR Remediation and Redevelopment Map for Contaminated Lands



# Mondovi Remediation and Redevelopment Map



Appendix M

Alternative Capital Cost Estimate

Cost Estimate

Oxidation Ditch Alternative w/Reactive Sand Filtration	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Cost
Buildings (Admin/Lab, Garage, Headworks, Pump Building)	LS	1	\$800,000.00	\$800,000.00
WWTP Main Lift Station	LS	1	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00
Fine Screen System	LS	1	\$90,000.00	\$90,000.00
Grit Removal System	LS	1	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Headworks Install	LS	1	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00
Activated Sludge Basin Installation	LS	1	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00
Activated Sludge Basin & Anerobic/Anoxic Equip	LS	1	\$870,000.00	\$870,000.00
Activated Sludge Basin Concrete	CY	984	\$800.00	\$787,200.00
Energy Reduction Initiative	LS	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
Filtration for Phos Reduction (Equipment, Concrete, Install)	LS	1	\$2,650,000.00	\$2,650,000.00
SCADA Controls and Instrumentation	LS	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
Electrical	LS	1	\$700,000.00	\$700,000.00
Clarifiers (Includes Concrete, Equipment, Install, Cover)	Each	2	\$390,000.00	\$780,000.00
Process Piping	LS	1	\$425,000.00	\$425,000.00
Pumping	LS	1	\$145,000.00	\$145,000.00
Aerobic Digester (Concrete, Equipment, Blowers, Install)	LS	1	\$460,000.00	\$460,000.00
UV Disinfection Install	LS	1	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
UV Disinfection	LS	1	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00
Septage Receiving	LS	1	\$120,000.00	\$120,000.00
Reed Beds	LS	1	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00
Outfall	LS	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
Emergency Generator	LS	1	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Potable Water	LS	1	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00
Earthwork	LS	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
Turf Establishment	SY	10,000	\$5.00	\$50,000.00
Asphalt Paving	Ton	800	\$88.00	\$70,400.00
Concrete Pavement	SY	1890	\$ 57.00	\$ 107,730.00
Concrete Subbase	CY	315	\$25.00	\$5,906.25
Contractor Mobilization	LS	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00
Subtotal				\$10,504,236.25
Contingency				\$1,050,423.63
Construction Subtotal				\$11,554,659.88
Engineering				\$1,733,198.98
Soil Borings				\$10,000.00
Wetland Delineation				\$7,500.00
Funding Administration				\$55,000.00
Legal				\$39,000.00
Bonding & Financial Consultant				\$70,000.00
Interim Interest				\$1,116,683.00
Land Acquisition				\$159,000.00
Project Total				\$14,586,041.86

Cost Estimate

SBR Alternative/w ABNR				
Buildings (Admin/Lab, Garage, Headworks, Chemical)	LS	1	\$800,000.00	\$800,000.00
WWTP Main Lift Station	LS	1	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00
Fine Screen System	LS	1	\$90,000.00	\$90,000.00
Grit Removal System	LS	1	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Headworks Install	LS	1	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00
SBR (Equipment, Control & SCADA)	LS	1	\$990,000.00	\$990,000.00
SBR Concrete	CY	940	\$800.00	\$752,000.00
SBR Install	LS	1	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00
Energy Reduction Initiative	LS	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
ABNR Process for Phos Reduction	LS	1	\$3,376,769.78	\$3,376,769.78
SCADA Controls and Instrumentation	LS	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
Electrical	LS	1	\$700,000.00	\$700,000.00
Process Piping	LS	1	\$420,000.00	\$420,000.00
Pumping	LS	1	\$160,000.00	\$160,000.00
Aerobic Digester (Concrete, Equipment, Blowers, Install)	LS	1	\$450,000.00	\$450,000.00
UV Disinfection Install	LS	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
UV Disinfection	LS	1	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00
Septage Receiving	LS	1	\$120,000.00	\$120,000.00
Reed Beds	LS	1	\$347,000.00	\$347,000.00
Outfall	LS	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
Emergency Generator	LS	1	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Earthwork	LS	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
Potable Water	LS	1	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00
Turf Establishment	SY	10000	\$5.00	\$50,000.00
Asphalt Paving	Ton	800	\$88.00	\$70,400.00
Concrete Pavement	SY	1890	\$ 57.00	\$ 107,730.00
Concrete Subbase	CY	315	\$25.00	\$ 7,875.00
Contractor Mobilization	LS	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00
Subtotal				\$10,554,774.78
Contingency				\$1,055,477.48
Construction Subtotal				\$11,610,252.26
Engineering				\$1,741,537.84
Soil Borings				\$10,000.00
Wetland Delineation				\$7,500.00
Funding Administration				\$55,000.00
Legal				\$39,000.00
Bonding & Financial Consultant				\$70,000.00
Interim Interest				\$1,116,683.00
Land Acquisition				\$159,000.00
Project Total				\$14,649,973.09

### Cost Estimate

Bio-loop Alternative w/ABNR	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price	Cost
Buildings (Admin/Lab, Garage, Headworks, Pump Building)	LS	1	\$800,000.00	\$800,000.00
WWTP Main Lift Station	LS	1	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00
Fine Screen System	LS	1	\$90,000.00	\$90,000.00
Grit Removal System	LS	1	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Headworks Install	LS	1	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00
Oxidization Ditch Installation	LS	1	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00
Oxidization Ditch & Anerobic/Anoxic Equip	LS	1	\$870,000.00	\$870,000.00
Oxidation Ditch Concrete	CY	700	\$800.00	\$560,000.00
Energy Reduction Initiative	LS	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
ABNR Process for Phos Reduction (Equipment, Concrete, Install)	LS	1	\$5,845,935.00	\$5,845,935.00
SCADA Controls and Instrumentation	LS	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
Electrical	LS	1	\$700,000.00	\$700,000.00
Clarifiers (Includes Concrete, Equipment, Install, Cover)	Each	2	\$390,000.00	\$780,000.00
Process Piping	LS	1	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00
Pumping	LS	1	\$120,000.00	\$120,000.00
Aerobic Digester (Concrete, Equipment, Blowers, Install)	LS	1	\$410,000.00	\$410,000.00
UV Disinfection Install	LS	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
UV Disinfection	LS	1	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00
Septage Receiving	LS	1	\$120,000.00	\$120,000.00
Reed Beds	LS	1	\$347,000.00	\$347,000.00
Outfall	LS	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
Emergency Generator	LS	1	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Potable Water	LS	1	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00
Earthwork	LS	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
Turf Establishment	SY	10,000	\$5.00	\$50,000.00
Asphalt Paving	Ton	800	\$88.00	\$70,400.00
Concrete Pavement	SY	1890	\$ 57.00	\$ 107,730.00
Concrete Subbase	CY	315	\$25.00	\$5,906.25
Contractor Mobilization	LS	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00
Subtotal				\$13,289,971.25
Contingency				\$1,328,997.13
Construction Subtotal				\$14,618,968.38
Engineering				\$2,192,845.26
Soil Borings				\$10,000.00
Wetland Delineation				\$7,500.00
Funding Administration				\$55,000.00
Legal				\$39,000.00
Bonding & Financial Consultant				\$70,000.00
Interim Interest				\$1,116,683.00
Land Acquisition				\$159,000.00
Project Total				\$18,268,996.63

Mondovi Collection System Improvements Cost Estimate

Collection System Upgrades	Units	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost
Sewer:				
8-in Lining	LF	2096	\$ 35.00	\$ 73,360.00
12-in Lining	LF	1347	\$ 48.00	\$ 64,656.00
15-in Lining	LF	1041	\$ 52.00	\$ 54,132.00
8-in Replacement	LF	2755	\$ 212.00	\$ 584,060.00
10-in Replacement	LF	2767	\$ 218.00	\$ 603,206.00
Manholes:				
Chimney Seals	EACH	255	\$ 160.00	\$ 40,800.00
Sealing/Rehab	VF	80	\$ 300.00	\$ 24,000.00
Replacement:				
4-ft Manhole	EACH	20	\$ 2,550.00	\$ 51,000.00
НМА	TON	215	\$ 70.00	\$ 15,076.92
3/4-in Agg (8in)	CY	222	\$ 23.00	\$ 5,095.38
Granular Borrow (1ft)	CY	329	\$ 18.00	\$ 5,926.15
Rep. Backfill	TON	277	\$ 20.00	\$ 5,538.46
Industrial Park Lift Station:				
Lift Station Pump	LS	1	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00
Pump Rail Upgrade	LS	1	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00
New Controls	LS	1	\$ 17,500.00	\$ 17,500.00
GIS Upgrade:				
Mapping System/Software	LS	1	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
Subtotal				\$ 1,623,350.92
Contingency				\$ 162,335.09
Construction Subtotal				\$ 1,785,686.02
Engineering				\$ 267,852.90
Soil Borings				\$ 3,000.00
Funding Administration				\$ 15,000.00
Legal				\$ 3,000.00
Financial Consultant				\$ 2,000.00

Collection System Upgrades Project Total = \$ 2,076,538.92

# City of Mondovi WWTP Utility Extension Project Cost

	Description	Unit	Estimated	Bid Unit		Bid Price	Total	Contingency	Total	CDBG	City
Item No.			Quantity	Price			Construction		Const w/ Cont	\$1,000,000	
General Items											
01 45 00.01	Quality Control Testing	L.S.	1	\$ 1,16	57.00	\$1,167					
01 55 25.01	Maintenance of traffic	L.S.	1	\$ 2,72	17.00	\$2,717					
01 57 33.01	Application of Water	MGal	20	\$ :	13.33	\$267					
01 58 13.01	Funding Project Signs	Each	1	\$ 1,86	58.00	\$1,868					
01 71 13.01	Mobilization	L.S.	1	\$ 20,00	00.00	\$20,000					
31 11 00.01	Clearing and Grubbing	Inch-dia	700	\$ 2	21.33	\$14,931					
31 23 33.01	Replacement Backfill	C.Y.	600	\$ 2	16.66	\$9,996					
31 25 10.01	Erosion Mat	S.Y.	7615	\$	2.00	\$15,230					
31 25 10.02	Erosion Bales	Each	55	\$	7.67	\$422					
31 25 10.03	Silt Fence	L.F.	6853	\$	1.92	\$13,158					
32 92 12.01	Turf Establishment	S.Y.	12117	\$	3.63	\$43,985					
Access Road											
General Items	31%										
01 45 00.01	Quality Control Testing	L.S.	1	\$ 1,16	57.00	\$362					
01 55 25.01	Maintenance of traffic	L.S.	1	\$ 2,72	17.00	\$842					
01 57 33.01	Application of Water	MGal	20	\$ 2	13.33	\$83					
01 58 13.01	Project Signs	Each	1	\$ 1,86	58.00	\$579					
01 71 13.01	Mobilization	L.S.	1	\$ 20,00	00.00	\$6,200					
31 11 00.01	Clearing and Grubbing	Inch-dia	700	\$ 2	21.33	\$4,629					
31 23 33.01	Replacement Backfill	С.Ү.	600	\$ 2	16.66	\$3,099					
31 25 10.01	Erosion Mat	S.Y.	7615	\$	2.00	\$4,721					
31 25 10.02	Erosion Bales	Each	55	\$	7.67	\$131					
31 25 10.03	Silt Fence	L.F.	6853	\$	1.92	\$4,079					
32 92 12.01	Turf Establishment	S.Y.	12117	\$	3.63	\$13,635					
02 41 33.02	Relocate Sign	Each	2	\$ 12	25.00	\$250					
31 23 10.01	Common Excavation	C.Y.	9300	\$	8.00	\$74,400					
31 23 10.03	Granular Borrow	C.Y.	2700	\$	13.10	\$35,379					
31 23 50.01	Preparing Foundation	L.S.	1	\$ 16,00	00.00	\$16,000					
31 34 15.01	Geotextile Fabric	S.Y.	8000	\$	1.28	\$10,267					
32 11 27.01	Crushed Aggregate Base Course	C.Y.	1800	\$	25.00	\$45,000					
32 12 18.01	Hot Mix Asphalt Pavement	Ton	2100	\$	75.00	\$157,500					
32 12 18.02	Adjust Manhole	Each	11	\$ 16	51.67	\$1,778					
32 12 18.03	Adjust Gate Valve Box	Each	5	\$ 11	11.67	\$558					
32 12 50.01	Saw Cutting	L.F.	80	\$	2.00	\$160					
32 15 10.01	Aggregate Shouldering	C.Y.	107	\$ 2	26.33	\$2,818					
32 17 23.01	6-Inch White Stop Line	L.F.	24	\$	1.33	\$32					
Street Total							\$382,502	\$ 38,250	\$420,752	\$120,048	\$300,704

#### City of Mondovi Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements Mondovi, Buffalo County Wisconsin

	Description	Unit	Estimated	Bid Unit	Bid Price	Total	Contingency	Total	CDBG	City
Item No.			Quantity	Price		Construction		Const w/ Cont	\$1,000,000	
Water Main Item	15									
General Items	18%									
01 45 00.01	Quality Control Testing	L.S.	1	\$ 1,167.00	\$210					
01 55 25.01	Maintenance of traffic	L.S.	1	\$ 2,717.00	\$489					
01 57 33.01	Application of Water	MGal	20	\$ 13.33	\$48					
01 58 13.01	Project Signs	Each	1	\$ 1,868.00	\$336					
01 71 13.01	Mobilization	L.S.	1	\$ 20,000.00	\$3,600					
31 11 00.01	Clearing and Grubbing	Inch-dia	700	\$ 21.33	\$2,688					
31 23 33.01	Replacement Backfill	С.Ү.	600	\$ 16.66	\$1,799					
31 25 10.01	Erosion Mat	S.Y.	7615	\$ 2.00	\$2,741					
31 25 10.02	Erosion Bales	Each	55	\$ 7.67	\$76					
31 25 10.03	Silt Fence	L.F.	6853	\$ 1.92	\$2,368					
32 92 12.01	Turf Establishment	S.Y.	12117	\$ 3.63	\$7,917					
33 11 00.01	12-Inch DIP Water Main	L.F.	2600	\$ 56.00	\$145,600					
33 11 00.02	6-Inch DIP Water Main	L.F.	140	\$ 37.88	\$5,303					
33 11 00.03	Watermain Fittings	LB.	1,500	\$ 5.00	\$7,500					
33 11 00.04	8-Inch Gate Valve and Box	Each	7	\$ 3,031.00	\$21,217					
33 11 00.05	6-Inch Gate Valve and Box	Each	8	\$ 1,078.75	\$8,630					
33 11 00.06	Hydrant	Each	8	\$ 3,425.00	\$27,400					
33 11 00.07	8-Inch Service Valve and Box	Each	1	\$ 1,618.00	\$1,618					
33 11 00.08	12" x 8" Service Tee	LB.	125	\$ 5.00	\$625					
33 11 00.09	8-Inch Service Pipe	L.F.	20	\$ 26.25	\$525					
33 11 00.10	2-Inch Thick Insulation	S.F.	500	\$ 1.44	\$719					
22 11 00 11	Connecting of water Main to Existing water Main including 12" X 8" Tapping Sleeve	Fach	1	¢ 2,679,75	¢2,670					
Water Main Tota		Eduli	1	\$ 2,078.75	\$2,079	\$211 088	\$ 24.400	\$268 /07	\$268 407	
water main rota						Ş244,000	Ş 24,403	Ş200, <del>4</del> 37	Ş200, <del>4</del> 37	
Sanitary Sewer										
General Items	50%									
01 45 00.01	Quality Control Testing	L.S.	1	\$ 1,167.00	\$584					
01 55 25.01	Maintenance of traffic	L.S.	1	\$ 2,717.00	\$1,359					
01 57 33.01	Application of Water	MGal	20	\$ 13.33	\$133					
01 58 13.01	Project Signs	Each	1	\$ 1,868.00	\$934					
01 71 13.01	Mobilization	L.S.	1	\$ 20,000.00	\$10,000					
31 11 00.01	Clearing and Grubbing	Inch-dia	700	\$ 21.33	\$7,466					
31 23 33.01	Replacement Backfill	С.Ү.	600	\$ 16.66	\$4,998					
31 25 10.01	Erosion Mat	S.Y.	7615	\$ 2.00	\$7,615					
31 25 10.02	Erosion Bales	Each	55	\$ 7.67	\$211					
31 25 10.03	Silt Fence	L.F.	6853	\$ 1.92	\$6,579					
32 92 12.01	Turf Establishment	S.Y.	12117	\$ 3.63	\$21,992					
33 05 20.02	Horizontal Directional Drill 6-Inch HDPE Force Main	L.F.	60	\$ 154.00	\$9,240					
33 31 00.02	15-inch PVC Sanitary Sewer	L.F.	2400	\$ 55.00	\$132,000					
33 31 00.03	48-Inch Concrete Manhole	Each	8	\$ 2,550.00	\$20,400					
33 31 00.04	Connect to Existing Sanitary Sewer Manhole	Each	1	\$ 645.00	\$645					
33 31 00.07	Excess Manhole Depth	L.F.	60	\$ 175.00	\$10,500					
33 32 01.01	Wet Pit Sewage Pumping Station	Each	1	\$ 275,000.00	\$275,000					
33 34 00.01	b-Inch DIP Sewage Force Main	L.F.	950	\$ 32.00	\$30,400					
33 34 00.02		LB.	410	\$ 5.00	\$2,050	¢5 40 400	¢ 54.244	éroc ata	éroc ora	
Sanitary Sewer T						\$542,106	\$ 54,211	\$596,317	\$596,317	

#### City of Mondovi Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements Mondovi, Buffalo County Wisconsin

	Description	Unit	Estimated	Bid Unit	Bid Price	Total	Contingency	Total	CDBG	City	1
Item No.			Quantity	Price		Construction		Const w/ Cont	\$1,000,000		
Stormwater											
General Items 3	1%										
01 45 00.01	Quality Control Testing	L.S.	1	\$ 1,167.00	\$12						
01 55 25.01	Maintenance of traffic	L.S.	1	\$ 2,717.00	\$27						
01 57 33.01	Application of Water	MGal	20	\$ 13.33	\$3						
01 58 13.01	Project Signs	Each	1	\$ 1,868.00	\$19						
01 71 13.01	Mobilization	L.S.	1	\$ 20,000.00	\$200						
31 11 00.01	Clearing and Grubbing	Inch-dia	700	\$ 21.33	\$149						
31 23 33.01	Replacement Backfill	С.Ү.	600	\$ 16.66	\$100						
31 25 10.01	Erosion Mat	S.Y.	7615	\$ 2.00	\$152						
31 25 10.02	Erosion Bales	Each	55	\$ 7.67	\$4						
31 25 10.03	Silt Fence	L.F.	6853	\$ 1.92	\$132						
32 92 12.01	Turf Establishment	S.Y.	12117	\$ 3.63	\$440						
31 37 00.01	Riprap	C.Y.	10	\$ 44.00	\$440						
31 37 00.02	Geotextile Filter	S.Y.	15	\$ 3.33	\$50						
33 42 20.01	18-Inch HDPE Pipe Culvert	L.F.	200	\$ 40.67	\$8,134						
33 42 20.02	18-Inch HDPE Apron & Wall	Each	10	\$ 390.00	\$3,900						
Stormwater Tota	1					\$13,762	\$ 1,376	\$15,138	\$15,138		
Total of All Unit	Price Bid Items - Construction				\$1,182,458	\$1,182,458	\$ 118,246	\$ 1,300,704	\$ 1,000,000	\$	300,704
Other Costs										<u> </u>	
	Total Engineering				\$ 207,856			\$ 207,856		\$	207,856
	Engineering - Project Design and Construction			\$ 195,106						<u> </u>	
	Engineering - Wetland Delineation			\$ 3,000							
	Engineering - Archeological Study			\$ 4,500						<u> </u>	
	Engineering - Soil Borings			\$ 5,250							
	Legal and Administration				\$21,000			\$ 21,000		\$	21,000
	CDBG Adminstration			\$ 15,000				\$ -		\$	-
	Legal			\$ 6,000				\$ -		\$	-
<b>Total Project Cos</b>	ts							\$ 1,529,560	\$ 1,000,000	\$	529,560

Appendix N

Alternative Present Worth Analysis

Mondovi, WI

### WWTP Present Worth Analysis (**20 Year** Design Period, Discount Rate 3.625%)

Item	Ox Ditch/Sand Filter Option	Present Worth	SBR/ABNR Option	Present Worth	Bioloop/ABNR Option	Present Worth
Discount Rate	0.03625		0.03625		0.03625	
Design Period (yrs)	20		20		20	
WWTP Capital Cost	\$14,586,041.86	\$14,586,041.86	\$14,649,973.09	\$14,649,973.09	\$18,268,996.63	\$18,268,996.63
WWTP Utility Extension	\$1,529,560.00	\$1,529,560.00	\$1,529,560.00	\$1,529,560.00	\$1,529,560.00	\$1,529,560.00
Collection System Upgrades	\$2,076,539.00	\$2,076,539.00	\$2,076,539.00	\$2,076,539.00	\$2,076,539.00	\$2,076,539.00
O&M Present Worth	\$587,378.00	\$8,254,415.78	\$581,652.00	\$8,173,948.37	\$487,207.00	\$6,846,713.95
Salvage Value Present Worth	\$80,000.00	-\$72,000.00	\$80,000.00	-\$72,000.00	\$80,000.00	-\$72,000.00
Present Worth		\$26,374,556.63		\$26,358,020.46		\$28,649,809.58
Appendix O

Proposed Project Site Map



P:\MONDO\18001 WWTP Facility\5.0 Drawings and c3d\Prelim Ind Park Layout\_Rev6



P:\MONDO\18001 WWTP Facility\5.0 Drawings and c3d\Prelim Ind Park Layout\_Rev7



Appendix P

Public Hearing Summary, Sign In Sheet and Public Notice



156 S. Franklin St., Mondovi, WI 54755

Tel. 715-926-3866

# CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING AND <u>PUBLIC HEARING</u> AGENDA FINAL 2 OF 2

The Common Council of the City of Mondovi will be meeting for a special in City Hall Common Council Chambers, 156 S. Franklin St., Mondovi, Wisconsin on Tuesday, September 18, 2018.

All Agenda items are discussion topics and may be acted upon by Council and listed below is the proposed Agenda beginning at 6:30 P.M.:

- (a) Call meeting to order by Presiding Officer
- (b) Pledge of Allegiance
- (c) Roll Call
- (d) Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law
- (e) Citizen Comments
- (f) CONSENT AGENDA:
  - i. Accept the Agenda as Posted
- (g) UNFINISHED BUSINESS FROM PREVIOUS MEETING(S) [For heading purposes only]:
  - i. Swearing in of Ward II Councilmember Rita Brunkow
  - ii. Appointment of Councilmember Brunkow to <u>Committees</u>:
    - 1. Finance Committee
    - 2. Planning Commission through 2019
    - 3. Zoning Board of Appeals through 2019
  - iii. PUBLIC HEARING
    - 1. Open Public Hearing
    - 2. CBS Squared City of Mondovi's Waste Water Treatment Facilities Plan Presentation
    - 3. Public Input with regard to the City of Mondovi's Wastewater Treatment Facilities Plan
    - 4. Close Public Hearing
- (h) NEW BUSINESS [For heading purposes only]:
  - i. Resolution <u>R-18-09-02</u> Stating the Appropriate Direction for the New Waste Water Treatment Plant
  - ii. 600 block of W Main St Stormwater System repair
  - iii. Determining date of Union Negotiations suggest September 25, 2018
- (i) Reports of City Officers
- (j) Communications and other business
- (k) Adjournment

MONDOVE WUTP POBLIC HEARING SEPT 18

NAME (PRINT Gerry Goss Ritce BIUNKON Dascell Rogenbalt BRIAN WINNekins David Bonitas Beth Kraft Vanay Windserg Dwight Winberg Lynn D. Smith Ungje Kisen Brody Wei35 David Schultz

ADDRESS 600 B. Halo St # 25 250. S. Washington St 860 Jefferso- 9+ mondavi Media - WRON Rodo Miss River Regional Plan Com Mundovi Herald-News SII444 Maple Ridge Dr. Mondoù Jsame 548 W- Hudsonst- Mondou, 430 Parker Ave Mondovi 374 Motor Lake Dr. 201 S. Eau claire St 223 Oct Street Mondous ST 540 N. Franklin St. 131 S ZAU CULIEE ST #1, MONDOVI

770 TECHNOLOGY LAN, CHIPPETUR FALLS, (1)ST

Unginia R. Hunderson BRADLEY J. HANSON JON STRANG

Nathan No (sol)



156 S. Franklin St., Mondovi, WI 54755

Tel. 715-926-3866

# COUNCIL MINUTES – SPECIAL MEETING SEPTEMBER 18, 2018

# CALL TO ORDER:

Meeting was called to order at 6:30 P.M. by Mayor Weiss, presiding over the meeting at City Hall Council Chambers, 156 S. Franklin Street, Mondovi, WI.

### ROLL CALL:

Council members present: Nelson, Schultz, Risen, Smith, and Gunderson. Absent was Bauer. Also present were City Administrator/Clerk Hanson and Mayor Weiss.

### **CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETINGS LAW:**

Hanson advised Council that the Mondovi Herald-News was provided the agenda notice, it was posted at public posting notice locations, and delivered to Councilmembers through their place of business or residence on Friday, August 31, 2018.

### **CITIZEN COMMENTS:**

Brian Winnekins, owner of WRDN Radio in Durand, thanked the Council and the City for their support in allowing WRDN to broadcast on FM radio.

Mayor Weiss spoke about events he attended and was happy with local support of the community events.

### ACCEPTED AGENDA:

Motion by Schultz and seconded by Smith to accept the agenda as posted. Motion carried.

# UNFINISHED BUSINESS [for heading purposes only]:

- 1. <u>SWEARING IN OF WARD II COUNCILMEMBER Rita Brunkow:</u> Rita Brunkow sworn in to fill vacant Ward II seat on the council. Mayor Weiss requested Brunkow to be appointed to the following committees; finance, planning commission through 2019, and zoning board of appeals through 2019.
- <u>APPOINTMENT OF COUNCILMEMBER BRUNKOW TO COMMITTEES</u>: Motion by Nelson and seconded by Risen to appoint Brunkow to the Finance Committee, and the Planning Commission and Zoning Board of Appeals with terms expiring in 2019. Motion carried.
- 3. <u>PUBLIC HEARING:</u> At 6:40 p.m. the public hearing opened with John Strand, Project Engineer with CBS Squared, giving a presentation on Mondovi's Wastewater Treatment Plant Project. Discussion on the location of the current plant not meeting the Department of Natural Resource's current requirements of flood plain boundaries. Discussed concerns of current plant's age and deteriorating structure and equipment. Department of Natural Resources requires waste treatment plants to dispose of water that is safe for recreational use. Existing plant scores low on the environmental and social concern. Infrastructure funding available for the construction of the plant through Rural Development that are being looked at by the City Clerk. Environmental screening process on land that is chosen for the site location is required by the Department of Natural Resources. Addressed resolution R-18-09-02 wording stating the "partnership" of ownership regarding the treatment plant.
- 4. <u>PUBLIC INPUT REGARDING THE CITY OF MONDOVI'S WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES PLAN</u>: No concerns from the public regarding the City of Mondovi's Wastewater Treatment Plant.
- 5. <u>CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING</u>: At 8:18 p.m. motion by Schultz and seconded by Brunkow to close the Public Hearing. Motion carried.

# NEW BUSINESS [for heading purposes only]:

- 1. <u>RESOLUTION R-18-09-02 STATING THE APPROPRIATE DIRECTION FOR THE NEW WASTE WATER</u> <u>TREATMENT PLANT</u>: Motion by Smith and seconded by Nelson to accept Resolution R-18-09-02 with changes to the wording agreed upon after discussion. Motion carried with Brunkow voting no.
- 2. <u>600 BLOCK WEST MAIN STREET STORMWATER SYSTEM REPAIR</u>: Motion by Schultz and seconded by Gunderson to accept a bid from RM Schlosser Excavating for \$3,600 to repair the stormwater system on the 600 block of West Main Street. Motion carried.
- 3. <u>DETERMINING DATE OF UNION NEGOTIATIONS</u>: Adding Union Negotiations to the September 25, 2018 meeting agenda. No action.

# **REPORTS OF CITY OFFICERS:**

Mr. Hanson reported conversation with Ed Mahlum regarding property at 147 W. Hudson Street. After discussion Mr. Mahlum purchased and donated the property to the City for a parking lot for the library. He also updated council that the audio and video security is currently up and running.

# ADJOURNMENT:

At 8:55 p.m. motion by Risen and seconded by Schultz to adjourn. Motion carried.

Bradley J. Hanson, City Administrator/Clerk September 19, 2018



156 S. Franklin St., Mondovi, WI 54755

Tel. 715-926-3866

# CITY COUNCIL AGENDA FINAL 1 OF 1

The Common Council of the City of Mondovi will be meeting for their second meeting of the month in the City Hall Common Council Chambers, 156 S. Franklin St., Mondovi, Wisconsin on Tuesday, January 22, 2019.

Final subject matter on the Agenda is subject to change. The Final Agenda will be available by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, January 21, 2019.

All Agenda items are discussion topics and may be acted upon by Council and listed below is the proposed Agenda beginning at **6:30 P.M.**:

- (a) Call meeting to order by Presiding Officer
- (b) Pledge of Allegiance
- (c) Roll Call
- (d) Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law
- (e) Citizen Comments
- (f) CONSENT AGENDA:
  - i. Accept the Agenda as Posted
  - ii. Approval of Council Minutes from January 8, 2019
  - iii. Committee Report(s) Approval:
    - 1. None for this meeting
  - iv. Mondovi Activity Chart (MAC)
- (g) Items removed from the Consent Agenda
- (h) UNFINISHED BUSINESS FROM PREVIOUS MEETING(S) [For heading purposes only]:
  - i. CBS Squared Waste Water Treatment Plant update status and presentation
  - ii. <u>Motion</u> to rescind Council's previous motion <u>Not</u> to send the <u>letter</u> to owners of Peeso Creek Terrace <u>privately</u> held lots prior to January 1, 2018 made at the December 11, 2018 Council meeting
  - iii. Motion to send the <u>letter</u> to owners of Peeso Creek Terrace to privately held lots prior to January 1, 2018 (only if motion to rescind is approved)
  - iv. Public input regarding Common Councils potential direction with TIF 2 Repayment to the City of Mondovi's General Fund
  - v. Resolution <u>R-19-01-03</u> TIF 1 "Donor" District to transfer surplus to TIF 2 "Donee" District to repay the City's General Fund loan of nearly \$1.9 million
  - vi. Emergency Vehicle Operation and Control (EVOC) policy
  - vii. Appoint Library Board and Park Board Members to fill vacancies
  - viii. WIPFLi Agreement for 2018 Audit
  - ix. Resolution <u>R-19-01-04</u> a Resolution to Lift the Restrictions of the Open Container Ordinance, Section 9.01 Offenses Endangering Public Safety, Paragraph (5) Open Bottle, for the Mondovi Business Association's Annual Shamrock Shuffle on Thursday, March 14, 2019
  - x. Animal Control Ordinance update and sub-committee established

# (i) NEW BUSINESS [For heading purposes only]:

- i. Set joint meeting session date with School Board for 6:30 p.m. (Wednesday, February 13 or 27, 2019)
- ii. Ordinance <u>0-19-01-02</u> Fund Transfer Code repealed and recreated
- iii. Ordinance O-19-01-03 Council Meeting Order of Business Code repealed and recreated
- (j) Reports of City Officers
- (k) Approval of License Applications
  - i. Operators Licenses
  - ii. Class B Picnic Licenses
- (I) Communications and other business

(m) Set next meeting date

- (n) Adjourn into Closed Session pursuant to Wisconsin State Statutes 19.85 (1) (g) Regarding Bertrang v. City of Mondovi Attorney Update and direction
- (o) Adjournment



156 S. Franklin St., Mondovi, WI 54755

Tel. 715-926-3866

# COUNCIL MINUTES January 22, 2019

### CALL TO ORDER:

Meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Mayor Brady Weiss, presiding over the meeting at City Hall Council Chambers, 156 S. Franklin Street, Mondovi, WI.

# ROLL CALL:

Council members present: Nathan Nelson, David Schultz, Ginny Gunderson, Angie Risen, Lynn Smith, Greg Bauer, and Rita Brunkow arriving at 6:32 p.m. Also present were City Administrator/Clerk Hanson and Mayor Brady Weiss.

### **CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETINGS LAW:**

Hanson advised Council that the Mondovi Herald-News was provided the agenda notice on Friday, January 18, 2019. It was posted at public posting notice locations and delivered to Councilmembers through their place of business or residence also on Friday, January 18, 2019.

### **CITIZEN COMMENTS:**

Gerry Goss was present to hear information on Peeso Creek Terrace and Dwight Winberg was present to voice concerns regarding the lots at Peeso Creek.

### **ACCEPTED AGENDA:**

Motion by Nelson and seconded by Bauer to accept the consent agenda with the following changes made during discussion: January 8, 2019 minutes under accepted agenda to list the changes made during the discussion under the accepted agenda. MAC addition to add SPF's attendance at a future meeting in order to keep track of it. Motion carried.

### **ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA:**

No items were removed from the Consent Agenda.

# UNFINISHED BUSINESS [for heading purposes only]:

- 1. <u>CBS SQUARED WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT UPDATE AND PRESENTATION:</u> CBS Squared Engineer John Strand was present to update council and community on the Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP). Clearas Water Recovery representative Autumn Fisher presented a video explaining the process of removing nutrients from the water through algae use in a WWTP and harvesting it for other uses allowing for possible cost recovery for the facility. Strand explained the USDA financing program available for the plant. Grants are available along with 40-year financing with the USDA-RD. He explained the differences in Government versus private funding. He also explained the reason waste water rates will need to be increased to meet requirements for funding. Gerry Goss encouraged Council to reach out to local banks for their interest in financing the plant. Brunkow questioned whether the plant needs to be the size proposed and the cost associated with it. Strand explained the mandates with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) regarding the size of the plant allowing for future growth of a community. Brunkow would like information in writing before council is asked to take action on the plant. Hanson will supply the information requested. No action.
- MOTION TO RESCIND COUNCIL'S PREVIOUS MOTION NOT TO SEND THE LETTER TO OWNERS OF PEESO CREEK TERRACE PRIVATELY HELD LOTS PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 2018 MADE AT THE DECEMBER 11, 2018
  COUNCIL MEETING: At 7:34 Mayor Weiss and Bauer excused themselves from the meeting. Smith discussed

the reason for considering rescinding this motion was due to failure to follow policy in the city code. The letter was drafted after a closed session meeting, and now in open session before closed session approval. Smith voiced concerns with the previous vote with the presence of individuals owning Peeso Creek lots at the dias. Smith stated the City attorney shared that Bauer and Weiss should not be involved in discussions regarding this issue. Julie Weiss commented on her feelings about the council's intentions of the Peeso Creek lots. She stated previous council members ok'd the purchase of more than one lot when they purchased them knowing only one house would be built. Discussion about the TIF district's main purpose was its benefits to the city through taxes paid on a housing development. Motion by Brunkow and seconded by Risen to rescind Council's previous motion to send the letter to owners of Peeso Creek Terrace privately held lots prior to January 1, 2018 made at the December 11, 2018 council meeting. Motion carried.

- 3. MOTION TO SEND THE LETTER TO OWNERS OF PEESO CREEK TERRACE TO PRIVATELY HELD LOTS PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 2018 (ONLY IF MOTION TO RESCIND IS APPROVED): Motion by Risen and seconded by Brunkow not to send the letter to owners of Peeso Creek Terrace privately held lots prior to January 1, 2018 and from this day forward following the terms of the covenant. The purpose of the letter was to inform lot owners of the restrictions affiliated with the lot purchase. Smith read aloud the memo from the City Attorney to inform the public regarding information included in closed session; including restricted covenants that apply to Peeso Creek Terrace lots. Lot Covenants were recorded in 2006 at The Register of Deeds. City-owned lots have followed these covenants on the lots they have sold since taking ownership. Brunkow stated the Attorney mentioned too much time had lapsed to send the letter. She said we can't go back and fix it, furthermore, what would the point be. Gunderson and Smith both feel the public should be aware that there were covenants in place that were not followed. Schultz stated the city allowed the sale of two lots and they were aware that only one house was being built, and still gave building permits. Nelson stated council agreed to move forward in a past-meeting motion and the letter seems to be taking them backward. Gunderson felt it was important to note that the agreement (listing covenants) was signed by the purchasers stating the requirements of the lots. Motion carried with Smith voting no. At 8:07 Weiss and Bauer returned to the meeting.
- 4. <u>PUBLIC INPUT REGARDING COMMON COUNCILS POTENTIAL DIRECTION WITH TIF 2 REPAYMENT TO THE</u> <u>CITY OF MONDOVI'S GENERAL FUND:</u> No objections from public entities regarding Common Council's potential direction with TIF 2 repayment of nearly \$1.9 million to the City of Mondovi's general fund. Rob Ganshow from WIPFLi was present to explain this repayment direction. No action.
- 5. <u>RESOLUTION R-19-01-03 TIF 1 "DONOR" DISTRICT TO TRANSFER SURPLUS TO TIF 2 "DONEE" DISTRICT TO REPAY THE CITY'S GENERAL FUND LOAN OF NEARLY \$1.9 MILLION:</u> Motion by Nelson and seconded by Risen to approve Resolution R-19-01-03 TIF 1 "donor" district to transfer surplus to TIF 2 "donee" district to repay the city's general fund loan to assist in the repayment of the City's General Fund loan of \$1,899,250. Motion carried.
- 6. <u>EMERGENCY VEHICLE OPERATION AND CONTROL (EVOC) POLICY</u>: Sergeant Tim Hollister was present to explain the Emergency Vehicle Operation and Control Policy. State statutes allows first responders to use lights and sirens to respond to the garage in the case of an emergency within the city limits. Hanson will look into whether personal vehicles would be covered by city insurance if an emergency responder had a collision during their response. Motion by Schultz and seconded by Gunderson to review the Emergency Vehicle Operation and Control Policy with changes at the February meeting. Motion carried.
- 7. <u>APPOINT LIBRARY BOARD AND PARK BOARD MEMBERS TO FILL VACANCIES</u>: Mayor Weiss recommended the approval of Heidi Weber to the Library Board upon her acceptance. Motion by Schultz and seconded by Bauer to accept Mayor Weiss' appointment of Heidi Weber to the Library Board upon her acceptance. Motion passed with Gunderson abstaining. Weiss noting there is a vacancy on the Park Board. Anyone interested should notify City Hall.
- 8. <u>WIPFLI AGREEMENT FOR 2018 AUDIT</u>: Hanson reported costs of three agencies for the 2018 Audit recommending WIPFLi for the 2018 Audit. Motion by Gunderson and seconded by Nelson to accept WIPFLi's agreement for the 2018 audit. Motion carried.

- 9. <u>RESOLUTION R-19-01-04 A RESOLUTION TO LIFT THE RESTRICTIONS OF THE OPEN CONTAINER ORDINANCE, SECTION 9.01 OFFENSES ENDANGERING PUBLIC SAFETY, PARAGRAPH (5) OPEN BOTTLE, FOR THE MONDOVI BUSINESS ASSOCIATION'S ANNUAL SHAMROCK SHUFFLE ON THURSDAY, MARCH 14, 2019: Motion by Nelson and seconded by Risen to accept Resolution R-19-01-04 lifting the restrictions of the open container ordinance, section 9.01 offenses endangering public safety, paragraph (5) open bottle, for the Mondovi Business Association's annual Shamrock Shuffle on Thursday, March 14, 2019. Motion carried.</u>
- 10. <u>ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE UPDATE AND SUB-COMMITTEE ESTABLISHED</u>: Hanson updated Council on the animal control ordinance and sub-committee established thus far. He will publish notice in paper for citizen input and Council will act on it in March. No action.

# **NEW BUSINESS [for heading purposes only]:**

- 1. <u>SET JOINT MEETING SESSION DATE WITH SCHOOL BOARD FOR 6:30 P.M. WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 13, OR 27, 2019</u>: Motion by Bauer and seconded by Risen to set the date for the joint session meeting with the school board for February 27, 2019 at 6:30 p.m. located in the IMC at the High School. Motion carried
- ORDINANACE 0-19-01-02 FUND TRANSFER CODE REPEALED AND RECREATED: Motion by Nelson and seconded by Bauer to accept Ordinance O-19-01-02 fund transfer code repealed and recreated. The City Treasurer and/or City Administrator will explain to the Finance Committee the reason for the proposed transfer of funds, and the Finance Committee adopts a motion that includes a recommendation to the Common Council regarding Council action on the proposed fund transfer. Motion carried.
- 3. <u>ORDINANCE O-19-01-03 COUNCIL MEETING ORDER OF BUSINESS CODE REPEALED AND RECREATED</u>: Motion by Smith and seconded by Nelson to accept Ordinance O-19-01-03 Council meeting order of business code repealed and recreated to allow changing the agenda order during meetings if needed. Motion carried.

# **REPORTS OF CITY OFFICERS:**

Hanson noted Joel Street has a possible waste water line issue. Park Board Meeting is January 23, 2019; Personnel Meeting will be early February; Utility Meeting is January 31, 2019; Planning and Zoning Meeting February 21, 2019; He also said that property tax numbers were correct as stated in the City's article in the Mondovi Herald regarding the property tax increases.

Weiss will contact Durand Sanitation regarding contract. He will also meet with the police department regarding issues that have arose.

### **APPROVAL OF LICENSE APPLICATIONS:**

- 1. <u>OPERATOR'S LICENSE APPROVAL</u>: No license applications.
- 2. <u>PICNIC LICENSES:</u> No picnic license applications.

### **COMMUNICATIONS AND OTHER BUSINESS:**

Smith resigned from City Council effective January 23, 2019 as she no longer resides in the City of Mondovi.

### SET NEXT MEETING DATE:

Next regular meeting of the Common Council will be held on February 12, 2019 at 6:30 p.m.

### ADJOURN INTO CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO WISCONSIN STATE STATUTES 19.85 (1) (G) REGARDING

**BERTRANG V. CITY OF MONDOVI ATTORNEY UPDATE AND DIRECTION:** Motion by Nelson and seconded by Bauer to adjourn into closed session pursuant to Wisconsin State Statutes 19.85 (1) (G) regarding Bertrang V. City of Mondovi attorney update and direction. Motion carried.

**RETURN TO OPEN SESSION:** At 10:20 returned to open session.

<u>ACTION ON CLOSED SESSION</u>: Motion by Schultz and seconded by Nelson to approve action in closed session. Motion carried.

#### **ADJOURNMENT:**

Motion by Risen and seconded by Bauer to adjourn at 10:25 p.m. Motion carried.

Bradley J. Hanson, City Administrator/Clerk January 24, 2019



156 S. Franklin St., Mondovi, WI 54755

Tel. 715-926-3866

# **NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING**

In Accordance with the provisions of Section 19.84, 1975 Wisconsin Statutes:

# **CITY COUNCIL AGENDA FINAL 1 OF 1**

The Common Council of the City of Mondovi will be meeting for their second meeting of the month in the Council Chambers at City Hall, 156 S. Franklin St, Mondovi, Wisconsin on Tuesday, September 10, 2019.

Final subject matter on the Agenda is subject to change. The Final Agenda will be available by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, September 9, 2019. All Agenda items are discussion topics and may be acted upon by Council and listed below is the proposed Agenda beginning at **6:30 P.M.**:

- (a) Call meeting to order by Presiding Officer
- (b) Pledge of Allegiance
- (c) Roll Call
- (d) Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law
- (e) Citizen Comments
- (f) CONSENT AGENDA:
  - i. Accept the Agenda as Posted
  - ii. Approve Common Council Minutes for August 27, 2019
  - iii. Committee Report(s) Approval:
    - 1. Library Board Meeting August 6, 2019
    - 2. CDBG Residential Rehabilitation Committee September 4, 2019
    - 3. September 9, 2019 Finance Committee Report, additional accounts payable received after the meeting and recommendations of the committee
  - iv. Mondovi Activity Chart (MAC)
- (g) Items removed from the Consent Agenda

### (h) REGULAR AGENDA:

- i. Valley <u>Estates</u> Plat, or parts <u>thereof</u>, de-annexation or detachment from the City jurisdiction consideration and City Attorney Information
- ii. Hilltop property (between the Heike and Joel Additions to the City of Mondovi) access information
- iii. CBS Squared Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) and Industrial Park approvals to include, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>Geotechnical</u> Approval
  - 2. Wetland Delineation
  - 3. Archeological Study
  - 4. Relocation Plan
  - 5. FINAL Public Hearing regarding the City's application for a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) for the WWTP
  - 6. Emergency Repair work at the WWTP
- iv. <u>Resolutions for Council consideration:</u>
  - 1. <u>R-19-09-01</u> Declaring a Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) Repair Emergency
  - 2. <u>R-19-09-02</u> Adopt 2020 Waste Water Rate Increase in Preparation for the New Waste Water
  - 3. <u>R-19-09-03</u> Adopt Fee <u>Schedule</u> and Its Implementation Rates
- v. Stormwater Issue at the Mondovi School buildings at Jackson Street and Ayres & Associates Study Proposal
- vi. Elections voting location

- vii. 2020 US Census information
- viii. Forensic Audit Insurance Status
- ix. Ordinance for Council consideration:
  - 1. <u>O-19-09-01</u> To repeal and recreate section 1.05 Compensation (1) (a) Mayor's Monthly Salary of the City of Mondovi Municipal Code to clarify with current city pay practice and/or state law.
- (i) Reports of Mayor and Appointed Officials
- (j) Approval of License Applications
  - i. Operator/Bar Tender/Server License Application Renewals
  - 1. Shannon K. Johnson, Valley Golf
  - 2. Jenny M. Draughon, Hansen's IGA
  - 3. Micah P. Kautzman, Buzz's Bar & Grill
  - ii. Picnic Licenses
- (k) Communications and other business
- (I) Set next meeting date
- (m) Adjournment

SIGNED:

Bradley J. Hanson, City Administrator/Clerk Posted to Public Posting Locations on Friday, September 6, 2019 @ 1:00 p.m.

Copies Delivered to City Public Posting Locations of:

Mondovi City Hall Bulletin Board Mondovi Public Library Mondovi Police Station Mondovi Post Office Mondovi Herald-News

**PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES:** If you require special accommodations in order to attend this meeting, please contact City Hall at 715.926.3866 or <u>adminrecep@mondovi.com</u> at least 24 hours prior to meeting time.

MONDOVE WITP POBLIC HEARING 9-10-19 Tasm 2. Weiss ADORESS 125 S. Eau Claire St Apt / Mordovi W/ 354 Mirror Lake Dr Mondou; w5 Greg Baver angie Risen 430 Parker Ave Mondovi, WI 374 Mirror Lake Dr. Broch heiss 232 S WASHTINGTON ST Dainde Schuter 201 -S. Eau Claire St athen these 223 ock Strat Virginia R. Gunderson 540 71. Franklin St. Bury Bauer 150 madison St.



156 S. Franklin St., Mondovi, WI 54755

Tel. 715-926-3866

# COUNCIL MINUTES September 10, 2019

#### CALL TO ORDER:

Meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Mayor Weiss, presiding over the meeting at City Hall Council Chambers, 156 S. Franklin St., Mondovi, WI.

#### **ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:**

Council members present were David Schultz, Gary Stanton, Nathan Nelson, Angie Risen, Ginny Gunderson, Greg Bauer, and Duey Bauer. Also present were Mayor Brady Weiss and City Administrator/Clerk Bradley Hanson.

#### **CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETINGS LAW:**

Hanson advised Council that the Mondovi Herald-News was provided the agenda notice on Friday, August 30, 2019. It was posted at public posting notice locations and delivered to Councilmembers through their place of business or residence on Friday, September 6, 2019.

### **CITIZEN COMMENTS:**

No citizen comments.

#### CONSENT AGENDA:

- i. Accept the Agenda as Posted
- ii. Approve Common Council Minutes for August 27, 2019
- iii. Committee Report(s) Approval:
  - 1. Library Board Meeting August 6, 2019
  - 2. CDBG Residential Rehabilitation Committee September 4, 2019
  - 3. September 9, 2019 Finance Committee Report, additional accounts payable received after the meeting and recommendations of the committee
- iv. Mondovi Activity Chart (MAC)

Motion by Risen and seconded by Stanton to accept the consent agenda with additional vouchers payable and payroll checks #46089-46099 and manual checks #2019079-2019080 totaling \$108,583.07 added to Finance Report after the Finance meeting making the new voucher's payable total \$322,445.85. Motion carried.

#### **ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA:**

No items removed from the consent agenda.

#### **REGULAR AGENDA**

 <u>VALLEY ESTATES PLAT, OR PARTS THEREOF, DE-ANNEXATION OR DETACHMENT FROM THE CITY</u> <u>JURISDICTION CONSIDERATION AND CITY ATTORNEY INFORMATION:</u> Valley Estates Residents were present to discuss the process of detachment from the City jurisdiction. Residents made it known that they are engaging the legal process for detachment from the City and getting a war chest and attorney and just want to be let go. A former developer was present to explain how the annexation into the City came to be. No action.

Council recessed at 7:24 p.m. and reconvened at 7:27 p.m.

2. <u>HILLTOP PROPERTY (BETWEEN THE HEIKE AND JOEL ADDITIONS TO THE CITY OF MONDOVI) ACCESS</u> <u>INFORMATION</u>: Information discussed on the access of the property between the Heike and Joel additions to the City of Mondovi explaining the property and vacating of roads to that property. No action.

- 3. <u>CBS SQUARED WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT (WWTP) AND INDURSTRIAL PARK APPROVALS TO</u> <u>INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO</u>:
  - Geotechnical Approval Motion by Schultz and seconded by G. Bauer to approve the Geotechnical Engineering Services with Professional Services Industries (PSI) of Chippewa Falls, WI. Motion carried.
  - ii. Wetland Delineation Motion by Gunderson and seconded by Risen to approve hiring Ingraham Technical Services for the Wetland Delineation. Motion carried.
- iii. Archeological Study Motion by G. Bauer and seconded by Nelson to hire TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC) of Brookfield, WI for the Archeological Study. Motion carried.
- iv. Relocation Plan Motion by Schultz and seconded by Nelson directing the City Administrator to work with the City Attorney to work on the Relocation Plan. Motion carried.
- v. Final Public Hearing regarding the City's application for a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) for the WWTP At 7:41 p.m. the final Public Hearing regarding the City's application for a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) was opened for the WWTP. CBS Squared Jon Strand presented information on the current WWTP and its deterioration and need for a new plant. He explained costs associated with the treatment process and the facility plan. Motion by Schultz and seconded by Nelson to close the Public Meeting at 7:58 p.m. Motion by Nelson and seconded by Gunderson to send the facility plan to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for their review and approval. Motion carried.
- vi. Emergency repair work at the WWTP Hanson reported emergency repair work needed at the current waste water treatment plant for a pipe that has busted and needs to be repaired.

# 4. **<u>RESOLUTIONS FOR COUNCIL CONSIDERATION</u>**:

- i. <u>RESOLUTION R-19-09-01 DECLARING A WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT (WWTP) REPAIR</u> <u>EMERGENCY:</u> Motion by Gunderson and seconded by Schultz to approve Resolution R-19-09-01 declaring a Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) repair emergency. Motion carried.
- ii. <u>RESOLUTION R-19-09-02 ADOPT 2020 WASTE WATER RATE INCREASE IN PREPARATION FOR THE NEW</u> <u>WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT:</u> Motion by Schultz and seconded by G. Bauer to approve Resolution R-19-09-02 to adopt 2020 waste water rate increase in preparation for the new Waste Water Treatment Plant. Motion carried.
- iii. <u>RESOLUTION R-19-09-03 TO ADOPT FEE SCHEDULE AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION RATES</u>: Motion by Nelson and seconded by D. Bauer to approve Resolution R-19-09-03 to adopt fee schedule and its implementation rates. Motion carried.
- 5. <u>STORMWATER ISSUE AT THE MONDOVI SCHOOL BUILDINGS ON JACKSON STREET, AND AYRES & ASSOCIATES STUDY PROPOSAL</u>: During construction at Mondovi School it has become apparent the storm water drains cannot keep up with the amount of water that is coming off the school buildings and parking area. The pipes were installed many years ago when the building was not so large. Ayres & Associates studied the area discovering the pipes are over capacity. Motion by Gunderson and seconded by Schultz to give City Administrator Hanson to communicate with the School District on the water issue. Motion carried.
- 6. <u>ELECTIONS VOTING LOCATION</u>: Hanson informed Council election voting location may possibly move to the Marten Center for 2020 elections. No action.
- <u>2020 US CENSUS INFORMATION</u>: Mayor Weiss notified Council that the US Census will be in the area in 2020. Employment opportunities are possible with the Census company; more information can be obtained at City Hall. No action.
- 8. <u>FORENSIC AUDIT INSURANCE STATUS</u>: The insurance claim has been denied from the Forensic Audit. Motion by Schultz and seconded by Gunderson to continue to try and settle with the Hanover Insurance Company. Motion carried.
- 9. ORDINANCE FOR COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:
  - i. <u>O-19-09-01 TO REPEAL AND RECREATE SECTION 1.05 COMPENSATION (1) (A) MAYOR'S MONTHLY</u> <u>SALARY OF THE CITY OF MONDOVI MUNICIPAL CODE TO CLARIFY WITH CURRENT CITY PAY PRACTICE</u> <u>AND/OR STATE LAW</u>: Motion by Schultz and seconded by G. Bauer to accept Ordinance 0-19-09-01 to

repeal and recreate section 1.05 compensation (1) (a) Mayor's monthly salary of the City of Mondovi Municipal Code to clarify with current city pay practice and/or state law. Motion carried.

#### **REPORTS OF MAYOR AND APPOINTED OFFICERS:**

Mayor reported meeting with Brennen Company with gate repairs on the dam. A diver was able to make the repair to the dam gate and the water level has continued its draw down.

Hanson advised that Aptiv has advised there is no commitment for the intern to become an employee of the City. Employment can end anytime with no reimbursement requirements. Conventional rate case will be in 2020. Ron Kind met with Residents at the American Legion. Concerns from citizens and City Hall regarding Frontier Communications and their lack of service to the community.

#### **APPROVAL OF LICENSE APPLICATIONS:**

- i. <u>OPERATOR'S LICENSE APPROVAL</u>:
  - 1. Shannon K. Johnson Valley Golf
  - 2. Jenny M. Draughon Hansen's IGA
  - 3. Micah P. Kautzman Buzz's Bar & Grill
- Motion by Nelson and seconded by Stanton to approve the above listed operator's licenses. Motion carried.
- ii. <u>CLASS B PICNIC LICENSE APPROVAL:</u> No picnic license applications.

#### **COMMUNICATIONS AND OTHER BUSINESS:**

Gunderson shared the development of a Capital Improvement Campaign for the Library Building Fund. Stanton reported on the homes in Clear Lake, IA that he and Gunderson traveled to see with Hanson. He was impressed by their design and their price tag. They are energy free and run on solar panels. Future discussion of these homes for possible marketing of lots in Peeso Creek.

#### **SET NEXT MEETING DATE:**

Next meeting of the Common Council will be September 24, 2019 at 6:30 p.m. in Council Chambers. Personnel Committee will meet September 17, 2019 at 6:00 p.m. in Council Chambers. Joint Review Board also will meet September 17, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. in Council Chambers.

#### ADJOURNMENT:

Motion by Risen and seconded by D. Bauer to adjourn at 8:48 p.m. Motion carried.

Bradley J. Hanson, City Administrator/Clerk September 11, 2019