

Kite Realty Group Trust

GRESB Real Estate Assessment 2021

DATE: 30 Aug 2021 11:00:00pm Mon UTC SUBMITTED: 30 Jun 2021 1:14:42pm Wed UTC

Table of Contents

ASPECT CHECKLIST Aspect Checklist	3
ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS	
Entity Characteristics Reporting Characteristics.	
LEADERSHIP	
ESG Commitments and Objectives	
POLICIES ESG Policies	. 10
REPORTING	
ESG Disclosure	
RISK MANAGEMENT	
Risk Management Risk Assessments Climate-related Risk Management	. 15
STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT	
Employees Suppliers.	
REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS	
Reporting Characteristics	24
RISK ASSESSMENT	
Risk Assessments Efficiency Measures	
TARGETS	
Targets	28
TENANTS AND COMMUNITY	
Tenants/Occupiers	
ENERGY Energy Consumption	. 34
GHG	
GHG Emissions	44
WATER Water Use	. 51
WASTE	
Waste Management	59
DATA MONITORING AND REVIEW Review, verification and assurance of ESG data	. 65
BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS	

ASPECT CHECKLIST

There are no errors or warnings for	or this assessment! Click "Con	tents" to resume the assessment.
J		

ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Entity Characteristics

EC	2		
Natu	ure of ownership		
0	Public (listed on a Stock Exchange) entity Specify ISIN:		
	US49803T3005		
	Legal status:		
	 Property company Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) 		
0	·		
EC	3		
Enti	ty commencement date		
Year 200	of commencement (listed) or Year of establishment (non-listed)		
EC	4		
Repo	orting year		
	Calendar year Fiscal year		
	TITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS porting Characteristics		
RC	1		
Repo	orting currency		
Valu	es are reported in:		
USI	D United States Dollar		
RC	2		
Ecor	nomic size		
Wha	t was the gross asset value (GAV) of the portfolio at the end of the reporting year in millions?		
3,1	44		
RC	3		
Floo	or area metrics		
Metr	rics are reported in:		
	m2 sq. ft.		
RC	4		
Prop	perty type and Geography		
Port	folio predominant location (*): United States of America		
_	Portfolio predominant property type (**): Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall		

RC5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Nature of entity's business

The entity's core business:

Management of standing investments only (continue with Management and Performance Components)

Management of standing investments and development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with Management, Performance, and Development Components)

O Development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with Management and Development Components)

LEADERSHIP

ESG Commitments and Objectives

	E1	
ш	_	

ESG leadership commitments

Has the entity made a public commitment to ESG leadership standards and/or principles?

Yes

No

LE2

Max. score 1

ESG objectives

Does the entity have specific ESG objectives?

Yes

The objectives relate to (multiple answers possible)

General sustainability

Environment

Social

Governance

Health and well-being

The objectives are

Fully integrated into the overall business strategy

Partially integrated into the overall business strategy

O Not integrated into the overall business strategy

The objectives are

Publicly available

Provide applicable hyperlink

Provide hyperlink

https://kiterealty.com/company/corporate-responsibility

https://kiterealty.com/company/corporate-responsibility

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

The entity's ESG Policy Document, 2019 ESG Brochure, 2020 GRESB Assessment Response, Governance Guidelines, Conduct and Ethics Policy and Board Committee Charters are included as linked documents to the bottom of the page. Together, these policies and informational publications form the basis of the entity's ESG program.

Not publicly available

Communicate the objectives and explain how they are integrated into the overall business strategy (maximum 250 words)

Kite Realty's ESG Policy and Corporate Citizenship Report outlines the company's long-term focus on a commitment to its employees through training, competitive benefits, fair compensation and opportunities for advancement. It outlines the investments Kite makes in it's properties to revitalize surrounding communities, provide access to food and services for local residents and promote small business. It also outlines how Kite implements technologies that improve property-level efficiencies and reduce Kite's environmental impact. Finally, it outlines Kite's ethical treatment of its Tenants, Vendors and Shareholders.

E

SG Decision Making	
E3	• Max. score 2
lividual responsible for ESG and/or climate-related objectives	
es the entity have one or more persons responsible for implementing ESG and/or climate-related objectives?	
Yes	
✓ ESG	
Select the persons responsible (multiple answers possible)	
Dedicated employee(s) for whom ESG is the core responsibility	
Provide the details for the most senior of these employees	
Name	
Robert McGuinness	
Job title	
Asset Manager Corporate Sustainability Manager	
Employee(s) for whom ESG is among their responsibilities	
Provide the details for the most senior of these employees	
Name	
John A. Kite	
Job title	
Chairman & CEO	
External consultants/manager Investment partners (co-investors/JV partners) Climate-related risks and opportunities Select the persons responsible (multiple answers possible)	
Dedicated employee(s) for whom climate-related issues are core responsibilities	
Provide the details for the most senior of these employees	
Name	
Robert McGuinness	
Job title	
Asset Manager Corporate Sustainability Manager	
✓ Employee(s) for whom climate-related issues are among their responsibilities	
Provide the details for the most senior of these employees	
Name	
John A. Kite	
Job title	

Chairman & CEO

External consultants/manager
Investment partners (co-investors/JV partners)

LE4		⊙ Max. score 1			
ESG task	ESG taskforce/committee				
Does the	entity have an ESG taskforce or committee?				
O Yes					
Sele	ect the members of this taskforce or committee (multiple answers possible)				
	C-suite level staff Investment Committee Fund/portfolio managers Asset managers ESG portfolio manager Investment analysts				
O No					
LE5		⊙ Max. score 1			
ESG and	or climate-related senior decision-maker				
Does the	entity have a senior decision-maker accountable for ESG issues and/or climate-related issues?				
Yes					
\checkmark	ESG				
	Provide the details for the most senior decision-maker on ESG issues				
	Name				
	John Kite				
	Job title				
	Chairman & CEO				
	The individual's most senior role is as part of				
	 Board of Directors C-suite level staff Investment Committee Fund/portfolio managers Other 				
~	Climate-related risks and opportunities Provide the details for the most senior decision-maker on climate-related issues				
	Name				
	John Kite				
	Job title Chairman & CEO				
	The individual's most conjuntate is as part of				
	The individual's most senior role is as part of Board of Directors C-suite level staff Investment Committee Fund/portfolio managers Other				

Describe the process of informing the most senior decision-maker on the ESG performance of the entity (maximum 250 words)

The ESG Task Force, formed in November 2019, meets on a regular basis to discuss progress in the ESG-related initiatives being carried out across the organization. Agendas are prepared in advance of the meetings. PowerPoint presentations are also used to communicate program updates and to outline decisions that need to be

Throughout 2020, the Company's Corporate Sustainability Manager contributed to the monthly internal Company newsletter. Contributions in 2020 included Green Leasing Updates, Transition Risk, Benchmarking, On-Site Solar Production updates and trash diversion metrics. The newsletter is circulated to all employees within

O No Max. score 2 LE₆ Personnel ESG performance targets Does the entity include ESG factors in the annual performance targets of personnel? Yes Does performance on these targets have predetermined consequences? Yes Financial consequences Select the personnel to whom these factors apply (multiple answers possible): Board of Directors C-suite level staff Investment Committee Fund/portfolio managers Asset managers ESG portfolio manager Investment analysts ✓ Dedicated staff on ESG issues External managers or service providers Investor relations Other Non-financial consequences O No Provide applicable evidence

2020 Proxy.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Page 34 outlines 5 goal categories one of which is titled ESG Goals. There were 6 goals listed in the ESG category for 2020. The completion of these goals were directly tied to the short term financial incentive of the Company's NEOs.

Show investors

POLICIES

ESG Policies

P01 Max. score 1.5 Policy on environmental issues Does the entity have a policy/policies on environmental issues? Select all environmental issues included (multiple answers possible) Biodiversity and habitat Climate/climate change adaptation Energy consumption Greenhouse gas emissions Indoor environmental quality Material sourcing Pollution prevention Renewable energy Resilience to catastrophe/disaster Sustainable procurement Waste management Water consumption Other Other selected. Please describe

Provide applicable evidence

Green Leasing Program

Company ESG and Corporate Citizenship Document.pdf
Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Available on the entity's Corporate Responsibility Web Page, the ESG and Corporate Citizenship Document outlines ESG-related initiatives and achievements from 2019 and prior.

Energy Consumption: Outlined within the Statement on Climate Change on page 3 and explained in the Utility Impact section, Ongoing management of utility information is guided by the entity's engagement with a third-party utility management firm that helps benchmark assets and set goals for reductions.

Renewable Energy: As part of the process in energy reduction, ongoing exploration of renewable energy is a procedure within the asset management team. The entity has placed solar at its shopping centers and continues to engage with developers to source new deals. There is a corporate goal in 2021 to study at least 10 roof top

Waste Management: Evidenced by the diversion data in the Implementation section on Page 3, the entity keeps track of the waste leaving it's sites as a procedure within the asset management team. The entity engages with trash management vendors to oversee the trash program at its properties. Those vendors report on diversion and seek opportunities for increased recycling.

Water Consumption: Mitigating environmental impact statement within the Statement on Climate Change, includes the entity's efforts on water consumption. Through its engagement of the third-party utility management vendor, the entity tracks and seeks ways to reduce its water consumption. The entity is actively exploring the adoption of smart irrigation controls at several properties.

Green Leasing Program: The entity recognizes that cooperation with its Tenants is critical to understanding and improving its whole-building energy data. The entity's roll out of Green Lease language is explained on Page 4. Since then, the entity has signed leases with such language earning the entity a Gold designation from the Green Lease Leaders program.

Show investors

O No

P02 Max. score 1.5
 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Policy on social issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on social issues?

Yes

Select all social issues included (multiple answers possible)

Child labor

Community development

Customer satisfaction

Employee engagement

Employee health & well-being

Employee remuneration

Forced or compulsory labor

Freedom of association

Health and safety: employees Health and safety: tenants/customers

Human rights

Inclusion and diversity

Labor standards and working conditions

Social enterprise partnering

Stakeholder relations

Other

Provide applicable evidence

2020-KITE-Employee-Guidebook-Final.pdf
Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Employee Health & Well Being and Health & Safety: is outlined within the employee safety section on page 16, workplace violence prevention on page 18, disability accommodation on page 20, no smoking policy on page 21, lactation accommodation on page 21, the PTO Donation Bank on page 28 which allows unused PTO to be directed to a fellow staff member in need;

Employee Remuneration is outlined in pages 12-16;

Labor Standards & Working Conditions are outlined within pages 16-22. There you will find the employment categories, work schedules overtime policy, timekeeping and payday data.

Show investors

Provide hyperlink

https://kiterealty.com/company/community

https://kiterealty.com/company/community

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

The entity's Community Development is outlined within the Kite Cares imitative which is best explained on the Kite Cares web page. Kite Cares was launched to organize and brand the work the entity is doing to hold community events, fund raise for charities within it's communities, volunteer and provide disaster relief.

Employee Survey Responses.pdf
Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Employee Engagement: The entity engages with its employees through regular "Town Hall" meetings but a culmination of the years' engagement can be best quantified and showcased by the employee survey.

Show investors

One on One-Template-Employees-06272019-rev.pdf
Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Employee Engagement - Continuous feedback and engagement between employee and supervisor is the focal point of the entity's review process. These sessions are titled One-on-Ones and are performed at least monthly across the organization. The shared template provides an outline of conducting these meetings.

Show investors

2020 Kite Benefit Guide V7 Final.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Employee Health & Wellbeing - Page 19 benefits for employee wellness, including access to fitness center at headquarters. Page 20 - The Employee Assistance Plan for Work/Life Services. Pages 2-11 - Details of the entity's employee benefits program in effect during the reporting period.

Max. score 1.5
 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Show investors

O No

P03

Policy on governance issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on governance issues?

Select all governance issues included (multiple answers possible)

Bribery and corruption

Cybersecurity

Data protection and privacy

Executive compensation

Fiduciary duty

Fraud

Political contributions



Provide applicable evidence

2020-KITE-Employee-Guidebook-Final.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Cyber Security, Data Protection & Privacy Policies stem from the Entity's Information Security Policy but are outlined within the Employee Guidebook starting on p.

Show investors

2020 Proxy.pdf
Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Executive Compensation is outlined on p. 25 - 56 of the 2020 Proxy Statement; Shareholder Rights are outlined throughout the Proxy Statement.

Show investors

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics - February 15 2018.pdf

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

The entity's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics document is a document that is updated from time to time by the Board of Trustees. The most current document is available to the public on our Corporate Responsibility web page. Attached here is the document approved on February 13, 2018 and in effect during the reporting period. Bribery & Corruption is covered on p. 8. Political Contributions is covered on p. 9

Show investors

Corporate Governance Guidelines.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

The entity's corporate governance guidelines document outlines a set of policies that guide the entities overarching governance. This document was reviewed and approved by the Corporate Governance Committee on November 28, 2017 and was in place during the reporting period. This document is available on the entity's Investor Relations page with a link available on the Corporate Responsibility page.

Show investors

REPORTING

ESG Disclosure

RP1	⊙ Max. score 3.5
ESG reporting	
Does the entity disclose its ESG actions and/or performance?	
⊙ Yes	
Please select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)	
Section in Annual Report	
Select the applicable reporting level	
© Entity	
Investment manager Group	
Aligned with ■ Disclosure is third-party reviewed:	
O Yes	
Externally checked	
Externally verifiedExternally assured	
O No	
Provide applicable evidence	
2020-KRG-Annual-Report.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found	
Page 8 is a brief overview of some ESG related highlights and COVID response.	
Page 22 of the PDF contains a paragraph on the formation of the ESG Task Force and its achievements in 2020.	
The report is externally checked by Hogan Lovells before it is filed with the SEC.	
Show investors	
✓ Stand-alone sustainability report(s)	
Select the applicable reporting level	
EntityInvestment manager	
Group Aligned with	
Disclosure is third-party reviewed:	
O Yes	
© Externally checked	
Externally verifiedExternally assured	
O No	
Provide applicable evidence	
Provide hyperlink https://kiterealty.com/company/corporate-responsibility	
https://kiterealty.com/company/corporate-responsibility https://kiterealty.com/company/corporate-responsibility	
Indicate where the relevant information can be found	
The 2019 ESG Brochure and the 2020 GRESB Assessment Disclosure are available to the public. Both linked docume website.	ents on the Entity's Corporate Responsibility
E Interest d Procest	
 Integrated Report Dedicated section on corporate website 	
Select the applicable reporting level	
Entity	
○ Investment manager	

	○ Group		
	Provide hyperlink https://kiterealty.com/company/sustainability		
	https://kiterealty.com/company/sustainability Indicate where the relevant information can be found		
	Entire Page		
	Section in entity reporting to investors Aligned with		
	Disclosure is third-party reviewed:		
	O Yes No		
	Provide applicable evidence		
	2020 Proxy.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found		
	Page 34 of the 2020 Proxy outlines the Entity's ESG related goals and performance towards those goals.		
	Show investors		
	Other		
0	No .		
	ORTING 6 Incident Monitoring		
RP2	2.1		
ESG	incident monitoring		
Does	the entity have a process to monitor ESG-related controversies, misconduct, penalties, incidents, accidents, or breaches against the codes of conduct/ethics?		
0	Yes		
	The process includes external communication of controversies, misconduct, penalties, incidents or accidents to:		
	✓ Clients/Customers✓ Community/Public		
	✓ Community/Public ✓ Contractors		
	✓ Employees✓ Investors/Shareholders		
	✓ Investors/Shareholders ✓ Regulators/Government		
	Special interest groups (NGOs, Trade Unions, etc)		
	Suppliers Other stakeholders		
	Describe the process (maximum 250 words)		
	The Entity's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics policy outlines the procedures for monitoring ESG related controversies, misconduct, penalties, incidents, accidents, or breaches against the codes of conduct/ethics (page 12). The document is available to the public on the entity's Corporate Responsibility webpage.		
0	No		
RP2	2.2		
ESG			
	incident occurrences		
Has t	incident occurrences the entity been involved in any ESG-related breaches that resulted in fines or penalties during the reporting year?		
Has t	the entity been involved in any ESG-related breaches that resulted in fines or penalties during the reporting year?		

Health and safety: community
Health and safety: contractors

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Management

RN	41	⊙ Max. score 2		
Env	Environmental Management System (EMS)			
Doe	s the entity have an Environmental Management System (EMS)?			
0	Yes The EMS is aligned with a standard The EMS is externally certified by an independent third party using The EMS is not aligned with a standard nor certified externally Provide applicable evidence Profile Energy Dashboard Screen Shot.docx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found			
	The entity maintains a contract with Profile Energy. Profile receives, logs and tracks the entity's within its control. Profile Energy syncs data on its platform with Energy Star Portfolio Manager in			
	Show investors			
0	No			
RN	12	O Max. score 0.5 Score 2020: 0.5/0.5 ✔ Pre-filled from 2020		
Pro	cess to implement governance policies			
Doe	s the entity have processes to implement governance policy/policies?			
0	Yes			
	Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)			
	 ✓ Compliance linked to employee remuneration ✓ Dedicated help desks, focal points, ombudsman, hotlines ✓ Disciplinary actions in case of breach, i.e. warning, dismissal, zero tolerance policy ✓ Employee performance appraisal systems integrate compliance with codes of conduct ✓ Investment due diligence process ✓ Responsibilities, accountabilities and reporting lines are systematically defined in all divisio ✓ Training related to governance risks for employees (multiple answers possible) ✓ Regular follow-ups ✓ When an employee joins the organization 	ns and group companies		
	Whistle-blower mechanism Other			
0	No Not applicable			
	K MANAGEMENT Sk Assessments			
RN	43.1	⊙ Max. score 0.5 Score 2020: 0.5/0.5 ✔ Pre-filled from 2020		
Soc	ial risk assessments			
Has	the entity performed social risk assessments within the last three years?			
0	Yes			
	Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)			
	Child labor Community development Controversies linked to social enterprise partnering Customer satisfaction Employee engagement Employee health & well-being Forced or compulsory labor Freedom of association			

✓ Water efficiency✓ Water supplyOther

O No

Not applicable

RISK MANAGEMENT

Climate-related Risk Management

Does the entity's strategy incerperate resilience to climate-related risks? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.1	RM5
Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.1 Transition risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No No No No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.2 Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No	Resilience of strategy to climate-related risks
Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.1 Transition risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.2 Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No No No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	Does the entity's strategy incorporate resilience to climate-related risks?
RM6.1 Transition risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.2 Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No No	
Transition risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.2 Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No No	Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)
Transition risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.2 Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No No	
Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.2 Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No No	RM6.1
Yes No	Transition risk identification
No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.2 Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No No	Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity?
Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No No	
Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)
Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	
Transition risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	PM4.2
Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	
Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.3 Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	
Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	○ Yes
Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)
Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	
Physical risk identification Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	DM4.2
Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity? Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	
Yes No Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	
Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only) RM6.4 Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	O Yes
Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)
Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	
Physical risk impact assessment Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	
Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity? Yes No	
entity? Yes No	
⊙ No	Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity?
Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)	
	Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT Employees

SET UNITED TO THE PROPERTY OF	Max. score 1
Employee training	
Does the entity provide training and development for employees?	
 Yes Percentage of employees who received professional training during the reporting year 100 Percentage of employees who received ESG-specific training during the reporting year 100 ESG-specific training focuses on (multiple answers possible): ✓ Environmental issues ✓ Social issues ✓ Governance issues 	
O No	
SE2.1 O N	Max. score 1
Employee satisfaction survey	
Has the entity undertaken an employee satisfaction survey within the last three years?	
O Yes	
The survey is undertaken (multiple answers possible)	
Internally Percentage of employees covered 100 Survey response rate 84 By an independent third party The survey includes quantitative metrics Yes Metrics include Net Promoter Score Overall satisfaction score Other Other selected. Please describe	
Understanding of what is expected; Feeling Supported by Teammates; Leadership Support; Collaborative Work; Being Recognized; Find work R Management Competency; Effectiveness of Meetings & Performance Review; Confidence	Rewarding;
O No	
Provide applicable evidence	
2019 Employee Survey Question Bank FINAL.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found	
Entire Document	
Show investors	
Employee Survey Responses.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found	
Sample of Employee Survey Responses - Entire Document	
Show investors	
O No	

Lighting controls and/or daylight

SE2.	2	⊙ N	lax. score 1 Score 2020: 1/1 ✔ Pre-filled from 2020
Emplo	yee	engagement program	
Does 1	he e	entity have a program in place to improve its employee satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey rel	erred to in SE2.1?
O Y	'es		
S	elec	ct all applicable options (multiple answers possible)	
		Planning and preparation for engagement Development of action plan Implementation Training Program review and evaluation Feedback sessions with c-suite level staff Feedback sessions with separate teams/departments Focus groups Other	
0 1		applicable	
SE3.	1	⊙ Max. score	0.75 Score 2020: 0.19/0.75 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020
Emplo	yee	health & well-being program	
Does t	he e	entity have a program in place for promoting health & well-being of employees?	
O Y	'es		
Т	he p	program includes (multiple answers possible):	
		Needs assessment Goal setting Action Monitoring	
0 1	No		
SE3.	2		⊙ Max. score 1.25
Emplo	yee	health & well-being measures	
		entity take measures to incorporate the health & well-being program for employees described in SE3.1?	
O Y	'es		
S	elec	ct all applicable options (multiple answers possible)	
	☑ 1	Needs assessment	
	Т	The entity monitors employee health and well-being needs through (multiple answers possible):	
		Employee surveys on health and well-being Percentage of employees 100	
		Physical and/or mental health checks Percentage of employees 22	
		Other	
	V	Creation of goals to address: Action to promote health through: ✓ Acoustic comfort Biophilic design Childcare facilities contributions ✓ Flexible working hours ✓ Healthy eating Humidity Illumination ✓ Inclusive design Indoor air quality	

Entit	y & Reporting Unaracteristics > Entity Unaracteristics	
	 Noise control Paid maternity leave in excess of legally required minimum Paid paternity leave in excess of legally required minimum Physical activity Physical and/or mental healthcare access Social interaction and connection Thermal comfort Water quality Working from home arrangements Other 	
	 Monitor outcomes by tracking: Environmental quality Population experience and opinions Program performance Other 	
0	No Not applicable	
SE	4	⊙ Max. score 0.5
Emp	ployee safety indicators	
Has	the entity monitored conditions for and / or tracked indicators of employee safety during the last three years?	
0	Yes	
	Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)	
	Work station and/or workplace checks Absentee rate ✓ Injury rate 1	
	Cost day rate	
	Other metrics	
	Explain the employee occupational safety indicators calculation method (maximum 250 words)	
	This program is based on OSHA reporting requirements	
0	No	

SE5 **⊙** Max. score 0.5 Inclusion and diversity Does the entity monitor inclusion and diversity? Diversity of the entity's governance bodies Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible) Age group distribution Board tenure Gender pay gap Gender ratio Percentage of personnel that identify as: Women 22 Men 78 International background Racial diversity Socioeconomic background Diversity of the organization's employees Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible) Age group distribution Percentage of personnel that are: Under 30 years old 8 Between 30 and 50 years old 52 Over 50 years old 40 Gender pay gap Gender ratio Percentage of personnel that are: Women 43 Men 57 International background Racial diversity Socioeconomic background Provide additional context for the response (maximum 250 words) Employee Data: The entity is required to annually file an Equal Employment Opportunity report (EEO-1) of which a blank form is attached as evidence. Employee participation in this reporting is voluntary report but it helps the entity keep track of a majority of the diversity within the employee pool. The Gender and gender pay

gap are available and tracked within the entity's Human Resource Information System, part of the payroll system. Employee tenure is tracked within the employee

The Board is comprised of nine members. Diversity and Gender are both quickly identified and tracked. The entity's Proxy identifies each Trustee member by name, age and tenure.

Provide applicable evidence

2020 Proxy.pdf
Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

PDF Pgs. 6-12

Show investors

Employee Survey Responses.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

	Employee Tenure - pg. 3.			
	Show investors			
0 1	No			
	STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT Suppliers			
SE6	● Max. score 1.5			
Supply	y chain engagement program			
Does t	the entity include ESG-specific requirements in its procurement processes?			
YN	res No			
SE7.	1 O Max. score 1			
Monito	oring property/asset managers			
Does t	the entity monitor property/asset managers' compliance with the ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity?			
O Y	es es			
Т	he entity monitors compliance of:			
	Internal property/asset managers External property/asset managers Both internal and external property/asset managers			
S	elect all methods used (multiple answers possible)			
	Checks performed by independent third party Property/asset manager ESG training Property/asset manager self-assessments Regular meetings and/or checks performed by the entity's employees Require external property/asset managers' alignment with a professional standard Other			
_	No Not applicable			
SE7.:	2 Max. score 1 Score 2020: 0/1 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020			
Monito	oring external suppliers/service providers			
Does t	the entity monitor other direct external suppliers' and/or service providers' compliance with the ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity?			
_	ves No			
_	Not applicable			
SE8	● Max. score 0.5			
Stakel	nolder grievance process			
Is ther	re a formal process for stakeholders to communicate grievances?			
O Y	ves			
S	elect all characteristics applicable to the process (multiple answers possible)			
	 Accessible and easy to understand Anonymous Dialogue based Equitable & rights compatible Improvement based Legitimate & safe Predictable Prohibitive against retaliation Transparent Other 			

Which stakeholders does the process apply to? (multiple answers possible)

- ✓ Contractors
 ✓ Suppliers
 ✓ Supply chain (beyond tier 1 suppliers and contractors)
 ✓ Clients/Customers
 ✓ Community/Public

- Employees
- ✓ Investors/Shareholders
 ✓ Regulators/Government
- Special interest groups (NGO's, Trade Unions, etc)



REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Reporting Characteristics

The entity's standing investments portfolio during the reporting year

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Property type	Number of Assets	Floor Area (sq. ft.)	% GAV
Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office	1	279621.0	1.09
Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office	1	24583.4	0.02
Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office	1	81628.0	0.54
Other: Parking (Indoors)	2	675495.24	1.2
Retail: Other	2	65409.06	1.48
Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center	1	423103.0	6.4
Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall	86	12490944.34	89.27
Total	94	14040784.04	100.0

Note: This table is generated by GRESB and represents an aggregation of the data provided at the asset level. It is provided for review purposes and defines the scope of your 2021 GRESB Performance Component submission. It should reflect the total standing investments portfolio and exclude any development and/or major renovation projects, exclude vacant land, cash or other non real estate assets owned by the entity.

You are not able to amend information in this table, with the exception of "% GAV" (this is because GAV is an optional field at asset level and cannot be used for aggregation). Please note that % GAV is used for entity and peer group classification and should accurately reflect the composition of the portfolio.

Provide applicable evidence

2020-KRG-Annual-Report.pdf

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Pages 128-131 of the entity's annual report list the assets owned by the entity and its subsidiaries as well as their GAV.



Provide additional context on how the uploaded evidence supports the entity's reporting boundaries and portfolio composition in R1.1 (maximum 1000 words).

The uploaded evidence represents all assets within the entity's portfolio during the review period. The document provided is the entity's 2020 Annual Report which is publicaly available on the website: https://ir.kiterealty.com/corporate-profile/default.aspx

R1.2

Countries/states included in the entity's standing investments portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Country	% GAV
United States of America	100

RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk Assessments

⊙ Max. score 3 | Score 2020: 2.93/3 **✓** Pre-filled from 2020

Risk assessments performed on standing investments portfolio

Has the entity performed asset-level environmental and/or social risk assessments of its standing investments during the last three years?

RA1

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Building safety and materials
- Climate/climate change adaptation Percentage of portfolio covered

100

- Contaminated land
- Energy efficiency

Percentage of portfolio covered 100

Energy supply Percentage of portfolio covered

100

Flooding

GHG emissions

Percentage of portfolio covered

100

- Health and well-being
- Indoor environmental quality
- Natural hazards
- Regulatory
- Resilience
- Socio-economic
- Transportation
- ✓ Waste management

Percentage of portfolio covered

85

✓ Water efficiency

Percentage of portfolio covered

100

- Water supply
- Other

The risk assessment is aligned with a third-party standard

O Yes

No

Describe how the outcomes of the ESG risk assessments are used in order to mitigate the selected risks (maximum 250 words)

Ongoing risk assessments are performed by our Asset Management team using data from our energy data and waste management partners. We track all utility and most waste data at the property level and use that data to identify weaknesses or opportunities.

RA2 O Max. score 3

Technical building assessments

Technical building assessments performed during the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Topic	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Energy	13	18.691167761882334314
Water	3	2.458219989829001031
Waste	1	1.124144916340441057

RISK ASSESSMENT

Efficiency Measures

Energy efficiency measures

Energy efficiency measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Energy efficiency measures	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Automatic meter readings (AMR)	3	4.9
Automation system upgrades / replacements	1	3.01
Management systems upgrades / replacements	1	3.01
Installation of high-efficiency equipment and appliances	13	18.65
Installation of on-site renewable energy	3	3.24
Occupier engagement / informational technologies		
Smart grid / smart building technologies		
Systems commissioning or retro-commissioning	1	1.99
Wall / roof insulation	6	9.61
Window replacements	3	6.13

RA4 O Max. score 1

Water efficiency measures

Water efficiency measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Water efficiency measures	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Automatic meter readings (AMR)	18	27.15
Cooling tower		
Drip / smart irrigation	2	1.69
Drought tolerant / native landscaping	2	1.69
High efficiency / dry fixtures	1	3.01
Leak detection system	1	1.07
Metering of water subsystems	36	45.25
On-site waste water treatment		
Reuse of storm water and/or grey water		

RA5 O Max. score 0.5

Waste management measures

Waste management measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Waste management measures	Number of assets	% of portfolio covered
Composting landscape and/or food waste	10	14.0
Ongoing waste performance monitoring	77	82.87
Recycling	76	82.23
Waste stream management	77	82.87
Waste stream audit		

TARGETS Targets

T1.1	Max. score 2
Portfolio improvement targets	
Has the entity set long-term performance improvement targets?	
○ Yes○ No	
T1.2	
Science-based targets	

Is the entity's GHG emissions target science-based?

Yes
No
Not applicable

TENANTS AND COMMUNITY

Tenants/Occupiers

TC1 Max. score 1

Tenant engagement program

Does the entity have a tenant engagement program in place that includes ESG-specific issues?

Select all approaches to engage tenants (multiple answers possible)

Building/asset communication

>75, ≤100%

Feedback sessions with individual tenants

>75, ≤100%

Provide tenants with feedback on energy/water consumption and waste

≥50%, <75%

Social media/online platform

>75, ≤100%

Tenant engagement meetings

≥50%, <75%

Tenant ESG guide

≥50%, <75%

- Tenant ESG training
- Tenant events focused on increasing ESG awareness
- Other

Other selected. Please describe

Facebook-based Retailer Forum

≥75, ≤100%

Describe the tenant engagement program and methods used to improve tenant satisfaction (maximum 250 words)

Process: The entity operates a robust Tenant Touch program that requires at least 2 face to face meetings between the property's assigned Asset Manager and each Tenant. Data from those meetings is logged and reported on during semi-annual portfolio meetings.

The entity launched a public forum in 2019 on a Facebook platform and invited all tenants to participate. The forum is led by a third party tenant mentor who is in place to help guide tenants through their lease term.

In the wake of COVID the entity sent multiple email communications to its Tenants. That included a guideline to reopen businesses that included information on the entity's branded curbside pickup program and its unique Small Business Loan program which up to \$5million in Small Business Loans to Tenants in need.

O No

TC2.1 Max. score 1
 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Tenant satisfaction survey

Has the entity undertaken tenant satisfaction surveys within the last three years?

Yes

The survey is undertaken (multiple answers possible)

Internally

Percentage of tenants covered

100

Survey response rate

10

By an independent third party

	The survey includes quantitative metrics
	• Yes
	Metrics include
	 Net Promoter Score Overall satisfaction score Satisfaction with communication Satisfaction with property management Satisfaction with responsiveness Understanding tenant needs Value for money Other
	O No
	Provide applicable evidence 2020 Kite Realty Group Tenant Survey Form.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found
	Net Promoter Score: Question 5. Overall Satisfaction: Question 16 - Three Words to Describe interactions with the Kite Realty offered very insightful feelings about the Tenant's interaction with the company. Satisfaction with Communication: Question 6. Satisfaction with Property Management: Question 6. Satisfaction with Responsiveness: Question 6. Understanding Tenant Needs: Questions 9 (Rate your Center)], 10 (Space Question), COVID Response related questions 14 and 15 (Sustainability Practices) Value for Money: Question 10
	Show investors
	2021-01-18 - Tenant Survey Results.xlsx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found
	The aggregated results of the 2020 Tenant survey are attached.
	Show investors
0	No
TC	2.2
Pro	gram to improve tenant satisfaction
Pro	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1?
Pro	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1? Yes
Pro	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1?
Pro	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1? Yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Development of an asset-specific action plan Feedback sessions with asset/property managers Feedback sessions with individual tenants
Pro	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1? Yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Development of an asset-specific action plan Feedback sessions with asset/property managers Feedback sessions with individual tenants Other
Pro	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1? Yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Development of an asset-specific action plan Feedback sessions with asset/property managers Feedback sessions with individual tenants Other Describe the tenant satisfaction improvement program (maximum 250 words) Tenant responses regarding the condition of their spaces and the shopping centers are reviewed and taken into consideration for future asset-level OPEX and CAPEX
Pro	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1? Yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Development of an asset-specific action plan Feedback sessions with asset/property managers Feedback sessions with individual tenants Other Describe the tenant satisfaction improvement program (maximum 250 words) Tenant responses regarding the condition of their spaces and the shopping centers are reviewed and taken into consideration for future asset-level OPEX and CAPEX budgeting. The Entity's Asset Managers implemented a program to reach out to tenants that requested a call back and logged the results of those conversations. No Not applicable
Pro	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1? Yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Development of an asset-specific action plan Feedback sessions with asset/property managers Feedback sessions with individual tenants Other Describe the tenant satisfaction improvement program (maximum 250 words) Tenant responses regarding the condition of their spaces and the shopping centers are reviewed and taken into consideration for future asset-level OPEX and CAPEX budgeting. The Entity's Asset Managers implemented a program to reach out to tenants that requested a call back and logged the results of those conversations. No Not applicable
Pro Doe	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1? Yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Development of an asset-specific action plan Feedback sessions with asset/property managers Feedback sessions with individual tenants Other Describe the tenant satisfaction improvement program (maximum 250 words) Tenant responses regarding the condition of their spaces and the shopping centers are reviewed and taken into consideration for future asset-level OPEX and CAPEX budgeting. The Entity's Asset Managers implemented a program to reach out to tenants that requested a call back and logged the results of those conversations. No Not applicable
Pro Doe	gram to improve tenant satisfaction s the entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1? Yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Development of an asset-specific action plan Feedback sessions with asset/property managers Feedback sessions with individual tenants Other Describe the tenant satisfaction improvement program (maximum 250 words) Tenant responses regarding the condition of their spaces and the shopping centers are reviewed and taken into consideration for future asset-level OPEX and CAPEX budgeting. The Entity's Asset Managers implemented a program to reach out to tenants that requested a call back and logged the results of those conversations. No Not applicable
Pro Doe	yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Development of an asset-specific action plan Feedback sessions with individual tenants Other Describe the tenant satisfaction improvement program (maximum 250 words) Tenant responses regarding the condition of their spaces and the shopping centers are reviewed and taken into consideration for future asset-level OPEX and CAPEX budgeting. The Entity's Asset Managers implemented a program to reach out to tenants that requested a call back and logged the results of those conversations. No Not applicable 3 Max. score 1.5 Other and of their spaces and the shopping centers are reviewed and taken into consideration for future asset-level OPEX and CAPEX budgeting. The Entity's Asset Managers implemented a program to reach out to tenants that requested a call back and logged the results of those conversations.

TC5.1		9 Max. score 0.75 Score 2020: 0.38/0.75 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020
Tenant h	health & well-being program	
Does the	e entity have a program for promoting health & wellbeing of tenants, customers, and local surro	unding communities?
Yes	S	
The	e program includes (multiple answers possible):	
Y	Needs assessment Goal setting Action Monitoring	
O No		
TC5.2		9 Max. score 1.25 Score 2020: 0.94/1.25 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020
Tenant h	health & well-being measures	
Does the	e entity take measures to incorporate the health & well-being program for tenants and local con	nmunities described in TC5.1?
Yes	s	
Sel	lect all applicable options (multiple answers possible)	
✓	Needs assessment	
	The entity monitors tenant health and well-being needs through (multiple answers possible):	
	 Tenant survey Community engagement Use of secondary data Other 	
	Creation of goals to address: Action to promote health through: Monitor outcomes by tracking: Environmental quality Program performance Population experience and opinions Other	
O No	ot applicable	
	TS AND COMMUNITY nunity	
TC6.1		● Max. score 2
Commur	nity engagement program	
Does the	e entity have a community engagement program in place that includes ESG-specific issues?	
Yes	s	
Sel	lect all topics included (multiple answers possible)	
	Effective communication and process to address community concerns Enhancement programs for public spaces Employment creation in local communities Research and network activities	

Describe the community engagement program and the monitoring process (maximum 250 words)

Kite Realty Group's Kite Cares initiative contributes to the welfare of local youth and those in need. The efforts have included Charitable grants, hunger drives, school supply donations, clothing drives, fundraising for displaced workers, contributions to healthcare workers and first responders, employee volunteer activities, in-kind donations and partnered to construct a youth community center. In 2020 the entity put an aggressive public-facing COVID response in place by rolling out curbside

pickup across the portfolio and partnered with local municipalities to host COVID testing and vaccine sites at select locations.

○ No

TC6.2

○ Max. score 1 | Score 2020: 1/1 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Monitoring impact on community

Does the entity monitor its impact on the community?

Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

Housing affordability

Impact on crime levels

✓ Livability score

✓ Local income generated

Local residents' well-being

✓ Walkability score

Other

ENERGY

Energy Consumption

EN1 O Max. score 14

Energy consumption

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	279621.0
- Landlord Controlled	279621.0
^L Tenant Controlled	
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
- Landlord Controlled	
L Tenant Controlled	

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	24583.4
- Landlord Controlled	24583.4
^L Tenant Controlled	
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
- Landlord Controlled	
L Tenant Controlled	

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	81628.0
- Landlord Controlled	81628.0
^L Tenant Controlled	
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
- Landlord Controlled	
^L Tenant Controlled	

Other: Parking (Indoors)

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	675495.24
- Landlord Controlled	
^L Tenant Controlled	675495.24
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
- Landlord Controlled	

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
L Tenant Controlled	

Retail: Other

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	65409.06
- Landlord Controlled	53435.06
^L Tenant Controlled	11974.0
Common Areas	
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	
- Landlord Controlled	
L Tenant Controlled	

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	
- Landlord Controlled	
^L Tenant Controlled	
Common Areas	60000.0
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	363103.0
- Landlord Controlled	9513.3
L Tenant Controlled	353589.7

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

Floor Areas	Floor Area (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	319400.0
Landlord Controlled	
^L Tenant Controlled	319400.0
Common Areas	120510.34
Shared Services	
Tenant Space	12051034.0
- Landlord Controlled	1616790.67
^L Tenant Controlled	10435453.33

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the total area size reported in the Energy tab, split by floor area types.

Total energy consumption of the portfolio

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

			Absolute L			Like-for-Like			
	2019 2020			2019	2020				
		Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Covered	Area	Consumption	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
	Landlord Controlled	Fuels	8282.88	7523.59	279621.0	279621.0	8282.88	7523.59	279621.0
Whole Building		District Heating & Cooling	62.77	55.82	279621.0	279621.0	62.77	55.82	279621.0
		Electricity	3387.45	3038.2	279621.0	279621.0	3387.45	3038.2	279621.0
	Tenant Controlled	Fuels							
		District Heating &							

			Absolute				Like-for-Like			
			2019	2020			2019	2019 2020		
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
		Cooling								
		Electricity								
Sub-total			11733.1	10617.62	N/A	N/A	11733.1	10617.62	N/A	
		Fuels								
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling								
		Electricity								
	Landlord Controlled	Fuels								
Base Building - Shared Services		District Heating & Cooling								
		Electricity								
	Landlord Controlled	Fuels								
		District Heating & Cooling								
T		Electricity								
Tenant Spaces		Fuels								
	Tenant Controlled	District Heating & Cooling								
		Electricity								
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
	Landlord	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A	
Outdoor / Exterior areas /	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A	
Parking	Tenant	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A	
	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A	
Total			11733.1	10617.62	N/A	N/A	11733.1	10617.62	N/A	

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

			Absolute			Like-for-Like			
			2019	019 2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
		Fuels							
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
Whole Building		Electricity	379.67	311.18	24583.4	24583.4	379.67	311.18	24583.4
Whole Building		Fuels							
	Tenant Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Sub-total			379.67	311.18	N/A	N/A	379.67	311.18	N/A
	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
Base Building - Common Areas		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
		Fuels							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Tenant Spaces		Fuels							
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
	Tenant	Fuels							

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
		Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Covered	Area	Consumption	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
	Controlled	District Heating & Cooling Electricity							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Landlord	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas /	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Parking	Tenant	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			379.67	311.18	N/A	N/A	379.67	311.18	N/A

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

			Absolute	Absolute L			Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020		2019	2020		
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling							
Whole Building		Electricity				81628.0			
Cont	Tenant Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Base Building - Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity							
	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity							
Tenant Spaces –	Tenant Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas /	Landlord Controlled	Fuels Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A N/A
Parking	Tenant Controlled	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total	Controlled	Electricity	0.0	0.0	N/A		0.0	0.0	N/A

Other: Parking (Indoors)

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
				Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
		Fuels							
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
Whole Building		Electricity							
Whote Building		Fuels							
	Tenant Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
						675495.24			
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
		Fuels							
3	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
Base Building - Shared Services		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
		Fuels							
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
T		Electricity							
Tenant Spaces		Fuels							
	Tenant Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Landlord	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas /	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Parking	Tenant	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A

Retail: Other

			Absolute	Absolute I			Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)		Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
	Landlord Controlled	Fuels District Heating & Cooling							
	Tenant Controlled	Electricity Fuels				53435.06			
		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity				11974.0			
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Base Building -	Landlord	Fuels District Heating &							
Common Areas	Controlled	Cooling Electricity							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
	Controlled	District Heating &							

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
		Cooling							
		Electricity							
		Fuels							
	Landlord	District Heating &							
	Controlled	Cooling							
Toward Coassa		Electricity							
Tenant Spaces		Fuels							
	Tenant	District Heating &							
	Controlled	Cooling							
		Electricity							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Landlord	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas /	Controlled	Electricity	746.6	601.6	N/A	N/A	746.6	601.6	N/A
Parking	Tenant	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			746.6	601.6	N/A	N/A	746.6	601.6	N/A

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
		Fuels							
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
Whole Building		Electricity							
Whote Building		Fuels							
	Tenant Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
		Fuels	1010.38	326.23	60000.0	60000.0	1010.38	326.23	60000.0
3	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity	2141.32	2063.56	60000.0	60000.0	2141.32	2063.56	60000.0
	Landlord Controlled	Fuels							
Base Building - Shared Services		District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
		Fuels	295.14	258.46	9513.3	9513.3			
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
Tenant Spaces		Electricity	279.36	142.28	9513.3	9513.3			
renant spaces		Fuels	2775.09	1862.57	156139.0	353589.7	2775.09	1862.57	156139.0
	Tenant Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity	2273.8	1860.04	156139.0	353589.7	2273.8	1860.04	156139.0
Sub-total			8775.09	6513.13	N/A	N/A	8200.59	6112.4	N/A
	Landlord	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas /	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Parking	Tenant	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			8775.09	6513.13	N/A	N/A	8200.59	6112.4	N/A

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (MWh)	Consumption (MWh)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
		Fuels							
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
Whole Building		Electricity							
Whole Building		Fuels							
	Tenant Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity				319400.0			
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
		Fuels	41.73	20.83	4147.13	4147.13	41.46	20.83	4147.13
•	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity				120510.34			
		Fuels							
Base Building - Shared Services	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
		Electricity							
		Fuels	2344.91	4243.16	661088.68	773370.07			
	Landlord Controlled	District Heating & Cooling							
T+ C		Electricity	2521.32	3448.57	1555610.05	1616790.67			
Tenant Spaces		Fuels				115184.01			
	Tenant Controlled	District Heating & Cooling				0.0			
		Electricity	412.88	444.81	140218.0	10435453.33	400.51	320.64	95940.0
Sub-total			5320.84	8157.37	N/A	N/A	441.97	341.47	N/A
	Landlord	Fuels	726.26	466.42	N/A	N/A	726.26	466.42	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas /	Controlled	Electricity	24795.82	23145.49	N/A	N/A	24652.59	23145.49	N/A
Parking	Tenant	Fuels			N/A	N/A			N/A
	Controlled	Electricity			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			30842.91	31769.27	N/A	N/A	25820.83	23953.38	N/A

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Energy consumption values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like consumption changes [%]

Total data coverage of the portfolio

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like		
				, 33 3	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	100.0	100.0	100.0	-9.51	100.0
Tenant Controlled					

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like		
				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	100.0	100.0	100.0	-18.04	100.0
Tenant Controlled					

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like		
				, 33 3	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	0.0		0.0		0.0
Tenant Controlled					

Other: Parking (Indoors)

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
		Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled					
Tenant Controlled	0.0		0.0		0.0

Retail: Other

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like		
		Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)		, 33 3	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	0.0		0.0	-19.42	0.0
Tenant Controlled	0.0		0.0		0.0

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like			
					LFL data coverage (%)	
Landlord Controlled	100.0	100.0	100.0	-24.17	86.31	
Tenant Controlled	44.16	100.0	44.16	-26.27	44.16	

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like			
				. 33 3	LFL data coverage (%)	
Landlord Controlled	86.43	80.0	86.03	-7.03	0.23	
Tenant Controlled	1.3	8.0	1.3	-19.94	0.88	

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by Landlord Controlled and Tenant Controlled areas. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Renewable energy generated

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

		2019		2020		
				Consumption (MWh)		2020/2019 change (%)
	Generated and consumed by landlord					
On-site	Generated and exported by landlord					
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)					
On-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-	Purchased by Landlord					
site	Purchased by Tenant					
Off-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

ntity & R	Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics					
		2019		2020		
		Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
Renewa	ible Energy - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(
ffice: C	Corporate: Low-Rise Office					
		2019	2019 2020			
		Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
- 1	Generated and consumed by landlord					
n-site	Generated and exported by landlord					
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)					
n-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Off-	Purchased by Landlord					
site	Purchased by Tenant					
ff-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Renewa	ble Energy - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ffice: C	Corporate: Mid-Rise Office					
		2019 2020				
		Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (MWh)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)

		2019		2020		
			% of total Consumption	•		2020/2019 change (%)
	Generated and consumed by landlord					
On-site	Generated and exported by landlord					
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)					
On-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-	Purchased by Landlord					
site	Purchased by Tenant					
Off-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewa	ble Energy - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Other: Parking (Indoors)

		2019		2020		
			% of total Consumption		% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	Generated and consumed by landlord					
On-site	Generated and exported by landlord					
on site	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)					
On-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-	Purchased by Landlord					
site	Purchased by Tenant					
Off-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewa	ble Energy - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Retail: Other

		2019	19 2020			
		'		Consumption (MWh)		2020/2019 change (%)
	Generated and consumed by landlord					
On-site	Generated and exported by landlord					
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)					
On-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-	Purchased by Landlord					
site	Purchased by Tenant					

	2019		2020		
			·		2020/2019 change [%]
Off-site - Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewable Energy - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

		2019		2020		
					% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	Generated and consumed by landlord					
On-site	Generated and exported by landlord					
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)					
On-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-	Purchased by Landlord					
site	Purchased by Tenant					
Off-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewa	ble Energy - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

		2019		2020		
				·	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	Generated and consumed by landlord	209.98	0.68	212.29	0.67	
On-site	Generated and exported by landlord					
	Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant)	200.0	0.65	204.92	0.65	
On-site	- Sub-total	409.98	1.33	417.21	1.31	-0.02
Off-	Purchased by Landlord					
site	Purchased by Tenant					
Off-site	- Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Renewa	able Energy - Total	409.98	1.33	417.21	1.31	-0.02

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Renewable Energy consumed/generated per property type, either on-site or off-site, as well as the Percentage of total Consumption by category.

 $Provide\ additional\ context\ for\ the\ answer\ provided\ (not\ validated,\ for\ reporting\ purposes\ only)$

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

GHG

GHG Emissions

GHG emissions

Total GHG emissions of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	19 2020	
					Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
	Scope 1					279621.0			
	Scope 2	Location Based	2510.05	2251.26	279621.0	279621.0	2510.05	2251.26	279621.0
Whole Building	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			-	-			-
Whole Building	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	2510.05	2251.26	N/A	N/A	2510.05	2251.26	N/A
	Scope 3								
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	2510.05	2251.26	N/A	N/A	2510.05	2251.26	N/A
	Scope 1				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Scope 2	Location Based			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	000,000	Market Based (optional)			N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
GHG Offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A
Net GHG Emissions after offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

				Absolute				Like-for-Like		
		2019	2020			2019	2020			
		Emissions (tonnes)		Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)			Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)		
	Scope 1									
	Scope 2	Location Based				24583.4				
Whole Building	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			-	-			-	
Whole Building	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
	Scope 3									
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 1				N/A	N/A			N/A	

			Absolute				Like-for-Like	Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020		
				Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)		
	Scope 2	Location Based	151.4	123.77	N	A N	Ά 151.	4 123.77	N/A	
	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			N,	A N	'A		N/A	
	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	151.4	123.77	N,	A N	'A 151.	4 123.77	N/A	
	Scope 3				N	A N	Ά		N/A	
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	151.4	123.77	N.	A N	'A 151.	4 123.77	N/A	
GHG Offsets				N	A N	'A		N/A		
Net GHG Emissions after offsets					N,	A N	'A		N/A	

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
	Scope 1								
	Scope 2	Location Based				81628.0			
Whole Building	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			-	-			-
Whole Building Total		1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3								
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 1				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Scope 2	Location Based			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior dreas / Farking	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Scope 3					N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
GHG Offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A
Net GHG Emissions after offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A

Other: Parking (Indoors)

	Absolute				Like-for-Like			
	2019	2020			2019	2020		
				Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)		Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Scope 1							

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020	020		2019	2020	
		Emissions (tonnes)		Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
	Scope 2	Location Based							
	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			-	-			-
	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3					675495.24			
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 1				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Scope 2	Location Based			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			N/A	N/A			N/A
odddol / Exterior dreas / Farking	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
GHG Offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A
Net GHG Emissions after offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A

Retail: Other

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Emissions (tonnes)		Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)		Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
	Scope 1								
	Scope 2	Location Based				53435.06			
Whole Building	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			-	-			-
Whote Building	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3					11974.0			
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 1				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Scope 2	Location Based	553.22	445.78	N/A	N/A	553.22	445.78	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Farking	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	553.22	445.78	N/A	N/A	553.22	445.78	N/A
	Scope 3				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	553.22	445.78	N/A	N/A	553.22	445.78	N/A
GHG Offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A
Net GHG Emissions after offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Emissions (tonnes)			Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
	Scope 1					60000.0			
	Scope 2	Location Based	818.16	697.51	60000.0	60000.0	818.16	697.51	60000.0
Whole Building	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			-	-			-
Whole Building Total So		1&2 GHG emissions	818.16	697.51	N/A	N/A	818.16	697.51	N/A
	Scope 3					363103.0			
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	818.16	697.51	N/A	N/A	818.16	697.51	N/A
	Scope 1				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Scope 2	Location Based			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outubor / Exterior areas / Farking	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
GHG Offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A
Net GHG Emissions after offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

			Absolute	psolute Lik			Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
					Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)		Emissions (tonnes)	Emissions (tonnes)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
	Scope 1					4147.13			
	Scope 2	Location Based				120510.34			
Whole Building	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			-	-			-
whole building	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 3					12370434.0			
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
	Scope 1				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Scope 2	Location Based	18402.77	18445.87	N/A	N/A	18252.6	18445.87	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking	Scope 2	Market Based (optional)			N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior dreas / Farking	Total Scope	1&2 GHG emissions	18402.77	18445.87	N/A	N/A	18252.6	18445.87	N/A
	Scope 3				N/A	N/A			N/A
	Total Scope	1&2&3 GHG emissions	18402.77	18445.87	N/A	N/A	18252.6	18445.87	N/A
GHG Offsets					N/A	N/A			N/A

	Absolute			Like-for-Like			
	2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)			Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Net GHG Emissions after offsets			N/A	N/A			N/A

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated GHG emissions values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like changes [%] in emissions.

Note: Scope 3 emissions in the GRESB Assessment are calculated as the emissions associated with tenant areas, unless they are already reported as Scope 1 or Scope 2 emissions (if they cannot be disassociated from emissions from other areas). Scope 3 emissions do not include emissions generated through the entity's operations or by its employees, transmission losses or upstream supply chain emissions.

Total data coverage of the portfolio

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)				LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	50.0	100.0	50.0	-10.31	50.0
Scope 3					

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like			
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)		LFL data coverage (%)		
Scope 1 & 2	0.0		0.0	-18.25	0.0		
Scope 3							

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)		LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	0.0	0.0		0.0
Scope 3				

Other: Parking (Indoors)

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like		
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)		LFL data coverage (%)	
Scope 1 & 2					
Scope 3	0.0	0.0		0.0	

Retail: Other

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like	
				LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	0.0	0.0	-19.42	0.0
Scope 3	0.0	0.0		0.0

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)				LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	50.0	100.0	50.0	-14.75	50.0
Scope 3	0.0		0.0		0.0

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like	
	Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	 		LFL data coverage (%)
Scope 1 & 2	0.0	0.0	1.06	0.0
Scope 3	0.0	0.0		0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by emission Scopes. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Explain (a) the GHG emissions calculation standard/methodology/protocol, (b) used emission factors, (c) level of uncertainty in data accuracy, (d) source and characteristics of GHG emissions offsets (maximum 250 words).

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

WATER

Water Use

Water use

Total water consumption of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

			Absolute				Like-for-Like			
			2019	2020			2019	2020		
					Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (m ³)	-	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)		
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	26501.0	24913.0	279621.0	279621.0	26501.0	24913.0	279621.0	
Whole Bullang	Whole Bullaning	Tenant Controlled								
Sub-total			26501.0	24913.0	N/A	N/A	26501.0	24913.0	N/A	
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled								
base ballaring	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled								
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled								
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Tenant Controlled								
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking		Landlord Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A	
Odidooi / Exterior area	Tenant Controlled				N/A	N/A			N/A	
Total			26501.0	24913.0	N/A	N/A	26501.0	24913.0	N/A	

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
						Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	-	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	4054.0	4690.0	24583.4	24583.4	4054.0	4690.0	24583.4
Whole Bullaning	Whole Building	Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			4054.0	4690.0	N/A	N/A	4054.0	4690.0	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled							
buse building	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled							
Teriant Spaces	Teriant Spaces	Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior area	utdoor / Exterior areas / Parking				N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior area	is / I al Killy	Tenant Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A

	Absolute				Like-for-Like			
	2019	2020	2019			2020		
					Consumption (m ³)		Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Total	4054.0	4690.0	N/A	N/A	4054.0	4690.0	N/A	

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2019 2020				2020	
							Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled				81628.0			
Whole Bulluling	Whole Building	Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled							
base ballaring	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking		Landlord Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A
outdoor, Exterior diet	Tenant Controlled				N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A

Other: Parking (Indoors)

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020		2019	2020		
			Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)			-	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled							
Whole Bulluling	Tenant Controlled					675495.24			
Sub-total	Sub-total		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled							
base building	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	renant spaces	Tenant Spaces Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total	b-total		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior are:	Dutdoor / Exterior areas / Parking				N/A	N/A			N/A
Outdoor / Exterior area	as / I al Killy	Tenant Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A

	Absolute				Like-for-Like			
	2019	2020	201			2020		
	Consumption (m ³)				Consumption (m ³)		Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Total	0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A	

Retail: Other

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019 2020 2019 2020			2020	0		
			•	_		Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.)	Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	586.0	256.0	53435.06	53435.06	586.0	256.0	53435.06
Whote Buitaing	Whole Building	Tenant Controlled				11974.0			
Sub-total			586.0	256.0	N/A	N/A	586.0	256.0	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled							
base building	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior area	se / Parking	Landlord Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A
outdoor / Exterior area	is / I di king	Tenant Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total			586.0	256.0	N/A	N/A	586.0	256.0	N/A

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019 2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	Consumption (m ³)			•	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	49997.0	29873.0	423103.0	423103.0	49997.0	29873.0	423103.0
Whole Building	Whole Building	Tenant Controlled							
Sub-total			49997.0	29873.0	N/A	N/A	49997.0	29873.0	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled							
base building	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tanant Spaces	Landlord Controlled							
Tellant Spaces	Tenant Spaces Tenant Controlled								
Sub-total	Sub-total		0.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior area	Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking				N/A	N/A			N/A
outdoor / Exterior area	is / I al Killy	Tenant Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A

	Absolute				Like-for-Like			
	2019	2020	201			2020		
		2					Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Total	49997.0	29873.0	N/A	N/A	49997.0	29873.0	N/A	

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

			Absolute				Like-for-Like		
			2019	2020			2019	2020	
			Consumption (m ³)			•	Consumption (m ³)	Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.)	
Whole Building	Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	214465.0	168579.13	1256250.82	1394795.86	214465.0	168579.13	1256250.82
Whote Buituing	Whole Building	Tenant Controlled				319400.0			
Sub-total	Sub-total		214465.0	168579.13	N/A	N/A	214465.0	168579.13	N/A
Base Building	Common Areas	Landlord Controlled	9381.0	8783.0	25946.19	106701.26	9381.0	7398.0	21770.25
base building	Shared Services	Landlord Controlled							
Tenant Spaces	Tenant Spaces	Landlord Controlled	4865.22	3430.68	1134875.78	1400670.91	411.48	117.96	18790.55
Tellalit Spaces	Teriant Spaces	Tenant Controlled	352086.0	286258.0	1837718.38	9302626.08	223625.0	177257.0	1156023.0
Sub-total			366332.22	298471.68	N/A	N/A	233417.48	184772.96	N/A
Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking Landlord Controlled Tenant Controlled		Landlord Controlled	526285.0	472524.0	N/A	N/A	517980.0	471755.0	N/A
		Tenant Controlled			N/A	N/A			N/A
Total		1107082.22	939574.81	N/A	N/A	965862.48	825107.09	N/A	

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Water consumption values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like consumption changes [%].

Total data coverage of the portfolio

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

	Data Coverage	ata Coverage			Like-for-Like		
				, 33 3	LFL data coverage (%)		
Landlord Controlled	100.0	100.0	100.0	-5.99	100.0		
Tenant Controlled							

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like		
				, 33 3	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	100.0	100.0	100.0	15.69	100.0
Tenant Controlled					

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like		
				2020/2019 Aggregated LFL changes (%)	LFL data coverage (%)	
Landlord Controlled	0.0		0.0		0.0	
Tenant Controlled						

Other: Parking (Indoors)

		Data Coverage	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
			Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	LFL data coverage (%)	
Land	lord rolled						
Tena: Conti	nt rolled	0.0		0.0		0.0	

Retail: Other

	Data Coverage	a Coverage			Like-for-Like		
				. 33 3	LFL data coverage (%)		
Landlord Controlled	100.0	100.0	100.0	-56.31	100.0		
Tenant Controlled	0.0		0.0		0.0		

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

	Data Coverage		Like-for-Like		
				. 33 3	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	100.0	100.0	100.0	-40.25	100.0
Tenant Controlled					

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like	
			Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)	. 33 3	LFL data coverage (%)
Landlord Controlled	83.26	79.0	83.01	-12.72	44.68

	Data Coverage			Like-for-Like		
			Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%)		LFL data coverage (%)	
Tenant Controlled	19.15	55.0	19.47	-20.73	12.01	

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by Landlord Controlled and Tenant Controlled areas. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Reused and recycled water

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	On-site water reuse					
On-site	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused a	nd Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	On-site water reuse					
On-site	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused a	nd Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	On-site water reuse					
On-site	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused a	nd Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Other: Parking (Indoors)

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	On-site water reuse					
On-site	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused a	nd Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Retail: Other

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	On-site water reuse					
On-site	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused a	nd Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	On-site water reuse					
On-site	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused a	nd Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

		2019		2020		
		Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	Consumption (m ³)	% of total Consumption	2020/2019 change (%)
	On-site water reuse					
On-site	On-site water capture					
	On-site water extraction					
On-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-site	Off-site purchased					
Off-site -	Sub-total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reused a	nd Recycled - Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Reused and Recycled water captured/purchased per property type, on-site and off-site, as well as the Percentage of total Consumption by category.

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

WASTE

Waste Management



Waste management

Total waste generation of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

	Absolute							
		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	57.28	33.0	0.0	15.88	33.0	100.0%
Whole Building	Tenant Controlled							%
Total waste generation		0.0	57.28	33.0	0.0	15.88	33.0	100.0%

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

	Absolute							
		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled			0.0			0.0	100.0%
Whole Building	Tenant Controlled							%
Total waste generation		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0%

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

	Absolute							
		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled			0.0			0.0	100.0%
whole Building	Tenant Controlled							%
Total waste generation		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0%

Other: Parking (Indoors)

Absolute								
		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled							%
Whole Building	Tenant Controlled			0.0			0.0	100.0%
Total waste generation		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0%

Retail: Other

Absolute								
2019			2020					
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled			0.0			0.0	81.69%
whole Building	Tenant Controlled			0.0			0.0	18.31%
Total waste generation		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0%

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

	Absolute							
		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	416.11	57.34	0.0	251.25	57.34	100.0%
whole Building	Tenant Controlled							%
Total waste generation		0.0	416.11	57.34	0.0	251.25	57.34	100.0%

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

Absolute								
2019		2019			2020			
		Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Hazardous waste (tonnes)	Non-hazardous waste (tonnes)	Data coverage (%)	Floor area weight
Whole Building	Landlord Controlled	0.0	15415.71	24.82	0.0	8696.5	24.82	97.44%
whole Building	Tenant Controlled			0.0			0.0	2.56%
Total waste generation		0.0	15415.71	24.82	0.0	8696.5	24.19	100.0%

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Hazardous and Non-hazardous waste quantities generated per property type, along with their related Data Coverage.

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2019	2020
Landfill	0.0	0.0
Incineration		
Diverted (total)	100.0	100.0
Reuse		
Waste to energy		
Recycling	100.0	100.0
Other / Unknown		

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)		
	2019	2020
Landfill		
Incineration		
Diverted (total)		
Reuse		
Waste to energy	,	
Recycling		
Other / Unknown		

Office: Corporate: Mid-Rise Office

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)		
	2019	2020	
Landfill			
Incineration			
Diverted (total)			
Reuse			
Waste to energy			
Recycling			
Other / Unknown			

Other: Parking (Indoors)

Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)		
	2019	2020
Landfill		
Incineration		
Diverted (total)		
Reuse		
Waste to energy		
Recycling		
Other / Unknown		

Retail: Other

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2019	2020
Landfill		
Incineration		

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2019	2020
Diverted (total)		
Reuse		
Waste to energy		
Recycling		
Other / Unknown		

Retail: Retail Centers: Shopping Center

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2019	2020
Landfill	97.27	92.0
Incineration		
Diverted (total)	2.73	8.0
Reuse		
Waste to energy		
Recycling	2.73	8.0
Other / Unknown		

Retail: Retail Centers: Strip Mall

	Proportion of waste by disposal route (%)	
	2019	2020
Landfill	61.34	56.17
Incineration		
Diverted (total)	38.66	43.83
Reuse		
Waste to energy	7.73	6.67
Recycling	30.93	37.16
Other / Unknown		

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the proportion of waste by disposal route.

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

DATA MONITORING AND REVIEW

Review, verification and assurance of ESG data

МЕ		8 44 4.55
MF		● Max. score 1.75
	ernal review of energy data	
	the entity's energy consumption data reported in EN1 been reviewed by an independent third party? Yes	
	Externally checkedExternally verifiedExternally assured	
	Provide applicable evidence	
	PEI-GRESB Kite 06-16-2021.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found	
	Letter from data partner.	
	Show investors	
0	No Not applicable	
MF	22	⊙ Max. score 1.25
Exte	ernal review of GHG data	
Has	the entity's GHG data reported in GH1 been reviewed by an independent third party?	
o	Yes Externally checked Externally verified Externally assured	
	Provide applicable evidence	
	PEI-GRESB Kite 06-16-2021.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found	
	Letter from data partner.	
	Show investors	
0	No Not applicable	
MF	23	⊙ Max. score 1.25
Exte	ernal review of water data	
Has	the entity's water data reported in WT1 been reviewed by an independent third party?	
0	Yes Externally checked Externally verified Externally assured	
	Provide applicable evidence	
	PEI-GRESB Kite 06-16-2021.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found	
	Letter from data partner.	
	Show investors	
00	No Not applicable	
MF		● Max. score 1.25

External review of waste data

 $Has the \ entity's \ waste \ data \ reported \ in \ WS1 \ been \ reviewed \ by \ an \ independent \ third \ party?$

 Externally checked Externally verified Externally assured Provide applicable evidence 2021.06.16 - Letter from RWS for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner. Show investors 2021.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner. Show investors 	0	Yes	
Provide applicable evidence 2021.06.16 - Letter from RWS for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner. Show investors 2021.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner.		0	Externally checked
Provide applicable evidence 2021.06.16 - Letter from RWS for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner. Show investors 2021.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner.		0	Externally verified
2021.06.16 - Letter from RWS for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner. Show investors 2021.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner.		0	Externally assured
Letter from waste management partner. Show investors 2021.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner.		Prov	vide applicable evidence
Letter from waste management partner. Show investors 2021.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner.		<u>202</u>	1.06.16 - Letter from RWS for GRESB.pdf
Show investors 2021.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner.		inai	icate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found
2021.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner.		Let	tter from waste management partner.
2021.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner.			
Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Letter from waste management partner.			Show investors
Letter from waste management partner.		202	1.06.16 - Letter from Keter for GRESB.pdf
		Indi	icate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found
		l et	tter from waste management partner.
Show investors			· ·
			Show investors
O No		No	
O Not applicable			

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS Building Certifications

BC1.1

Max. score 7

Building certifications at the time of design/construction

Standing investments that obtained a green building certificate at the time of design, construction, and/or renovation

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

BC1.2

Max. score 8.5

Operational building certifications

Standing investments that hold a valid operational green building certificate

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

BC2

Max. score 2

Energy Ratings

Standing investments that hold a valid energy rating

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Corporate: High-Rise Office

Energy Rating	Area Covered leg ft l	% of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2020	Number of assets	% GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2020
Energy Star Portfolio Manager	279621.0	100.0	1	