# INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE EFFECT OF FEEDING LAYING HENS COMPLETE DIETS WITH WHEAT IN WHOLE OR GROUND FORM, ON PERFORMANCE AND OOCYST OUTPUT AFTER BEING CHALLENGESD WITH COCCIDIOSIS

Michael Evans<sup>1\*</sup>, Danny N. Singh<sup>2</sup>, Peter Trappet<sup>2</sup> and Tania Nagle<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Applied Nutrition Pty. Ltd., 1 Seven Oaks Street, Alexandra Hills, Queensland, 4161 michael@appliednutrition.com.au

<sup>2</sup>DPI&F, Queensland Poultry Research and Development Centre, P.O. Box 327, Cleveland, Qld. 4163

#### Abstract

A preliminary investigation was conducted to examine the effects on performance of feeding a complete balanced layer diet to laying hens late in lay (70 weeks of age) for 16 weeks where wheat was presented in whole and unground forms and zeolite (insoluble grit) was presented in powdered (<250 µm) and particulate forms (2.0-4.00 mm), a total of 4 treatments. There was no significant effect of grain form on egg production, egg weight, feed intake, egg mass or feed conversion. Zeolite presented in grit form significantly improved egg production, egg mass and feed conversion with whole grain with grit having significantly better egg production, egg mass and feed conversion compared to birds fed the ground grain with zeolite in powdered form. The apparent metabolisable energy (AME) of the diets was determined before and after the same birds were challenged with vaccine strains of coccidia. Before and after the coccidiosis challenge the addition of zeolite in grit form gave a significant improvement in the AME of the diet compared to those diets where zeolite was provided in powdered form. The form of grain had no effect on the AME of the diets either before or after the coccidiosis challenge. Birds fed on whole wheat had a significantly lower (2.5 times) oocyst output than birds fed on ground wheat. The zeolite form had no effect on oocyst output. These experiments demonstrate that balanced diets containing wheat in whole form have economic and health benefits when fed to laying birds. The use of insoluble grit in diets, particularly in diets containing whole wheat, has nutritional benefits.

## Introduction

The renewed interest in alternative forms of poultry management, such as free range and organic poultry keeping in developed countries where the natural social and physical functions of the birds are fundamental, and limited availability and the high cost of drugs in developing countries, now requires answers on how best to feed, manage and control disease, in particular, coccidiosis, without chemotherapy. The practice of whole grain feeding is encouraged in these alternative management and feeding systems. Feeding whole grain based diets also provides an opportunity to reduce costs through not having to process the grain prior to feeding. This creates opportunities for poultry keepers in developing countries to use cheap, locally available cereal feed ingredients without having to process them prior to feeding. Research has shown that whole grain feeding has significant effect on gizzard development (Cumming, 1990) and have unchanged or improved egg production and feed efficiency equal to that of birds fed on all mash diets where the grain has been pre-ground prior to feeding (Blair *et al.*, 1973; Karunajeewa & Tham, 1984; Ouart *et al.*, 1986). In addition, the use of insoluble hard grit may play a major role by improving bird performance and feed efficiency compared with birds fed whole grain without grit (Cumming, 1990).

Whole grain feeding in conjunction with grit has been shown to reduce oocysts shedding in crossbred cockerels challenged with coccidiosis (Cumming, 1990).

The purpose of experiment 1 was to investigate the feeding to laying hens late in lay (70 weeks and older) a complete layer diet with the wheat presented in whole and ground form, and with zeolite presented in powder and insoluble grit form (2 to 4 mm) on bird performance. The purpose of experiment 2 was to investigate the effect of a coccidiosis challenge on these same birds on oocyst output and the change in apparent metabolisable energy (AME) of the diets before and after the challenge.

#### Materials and Methods

Two experiments were conducted, the first involved a total of 128 laying birds, 70 weeks of age were divided into 4 treatments with 4 replicates (blocks). Within the treatments there were 2 factors, wheat presented in ground or whole form and zeolite presented in grit or powdered form. Each replicate consisted of 8 birds in 4 cages, 2 birds per cage. Birds were fed the same specification wheat-based diet for layers eating 100 g/head/day. The composition of the layer diet is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Composition of Experimental Diet							
Component	Content (g/kg)	Component	Content (g/kg)				
Wheat (whole or ground)	600.0	Sodium Bicarbonate	1.1				
Soybean meal	167.0	Choline Chloride 60	1.0				
Meat Meal (50%)	80.0	DL Methionine	1.7				
Tallow	20.0	Yolk Pigment	2.0				
Sunflower Oil	12.0	Vitamin/Mineral Premix	2.0				
Limestone Fine	81.5	Natural Zeolite	3.0				
Salt	1.7	(powder or grit)					

Since the birds had not been fed whole grain previously, birds on the whole grain treatment had the proportion of whole grain increased by 10% (of the diet) each week until 100% of the grain was in whole form, a period of 6 weeks. Diets were fed *ad-libitum* for 17 weeks. Water was freely available. Egg production was recorded daily and feed intake and egg weight was recorded weekly.

At the end of the experiment forty eight birds were kept for experiment 2 and the remaining birds were weighed and then killed by cervical dislocation and their gizzard and proventiculus removed and weighed. The results were subjected to analysis of variance and gizzard weight was subject to analysis of covariance using bird weight as the covariate. The means presented are adjusted for the covariate.

The second experiment had a total of 48 birds, selected from experiment 1, 12 birds were selected from each treatment and allocated to 48 metabolism cages. The birds were allowed to settle into the cages for 2 weeks and the apparent metabolisable energy (AME) was determined according to the conventional total collection method outlined by Fisher (1988). AME was corrected to zero nitrogen balance using the constant 36.5 kJ/g nitrogen retained.

The birds were challenged with a commercially available coccidiosis vaccine (Eimeriavax 4m) sourced from Bioproperties Pty Ltd, Australia. Eimeria species of coccidia in the vaccine were *acervulina, brunetti, maxima, necatrix and tenella*. Immediately after the challenge clean collection trays were placed under the birds to collect faeces. Faeces were collected for

3 successive days, pooled and a 50 g sample taken for oocyst count determination. Oocyst count was determined according to the method outlined by Work Instruction 33 (Animal Research Institute, Qld). After 1 week the AME of the diets was again determined. The results were subjected to analysis of variance and oocyst count was transformed using the  $log_e(X+1)$  transformation prior to analysis.

### **Results and Discussion**

Table 2 summarises the performance data after 16 weeks into the first experiment. There was no significant effect of the way wheat grain was presented to the birds (ground or whole) on egg production, egg weight, feed intake, egg mass or feed conversion.

Performance and gizzard weight of 70 week old laying hens fed a diet with grain presented in whole or ground form and zeolite presented in powder or grit form						
	Lay %	Egg wt.	Egg Mass g/b/day	DFI g/b/day	FCR gFeed/gEgg	Gizz Wt.
		0	0 )	0 )	0 0 00	U
Whole	79.5	64.4	51.1	103.6	2.04	31.0 <sup>a</sup>
Ground	76.5	64.6	49.5	103.3	2.10	26.8 <sup>b</sup>
Pooled SE	1.23	0.50	0.91	1.01	0.032	1.20
Grit	80.9 <sup>a</sup>	65.2	52.7 <sup>a</sup>	104.3	1.98 <sup>a</sup>	29.3
No Grit	75.1 <sup>b</sup>	63.8	47.9 <sup>b</sup>	102.5	2.15 <sup>b</sup>	28.5
Pooled SE	1.23	0.50	0.91	1.01	0.032	1.20
Leolite Form						
Grit	82.5	63.7 <sup>ab</sup>	52.5	104.4	1.99	30.7 <sup>a</sup>
No Grit	76.4	65.0 <sup>ab</sup>	49.7	102.8	2.08	31.4 <sup>a</sup>
Grit	79.2	66.7 <sup>a</sup>	52.8	104.2	1.98	$28.0^{ab}$
No Grit	73.8	62.6 <sup>b</sup>	46.1	102.3	2.22	25.6 <sup>b</sup>
Pooled SE	1.74	0.70	1.29	1.43	0.046	1.69
	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**
	**	NS	**	NS	**	NS
brit	NS	**	NS	NS	NS	NS
	or grit forr Whole Ground Pooled SE Grit No Grit Pooled SE ceolite Form Grit No Grit Grit No Grit Pooled SE	or grit formLay %Whole79.5 GroundGround76.5 Pooled SEPooled SE1.23Grit80.9a To GritPooled SE1.23Grit82.5 No GritGrit82.5 No GritNo Grit76.4 GritGrit73.8 Pooled SEPooled SE1.74Ns ** MitNS **	or grit form Lay Egg wt. $\frac{9}{6}$ g   Whole 79.5 64.4   Ground 76.5 64.6   Pooled SE 1.23 0.50   Grit 80.9 <sup>a</sup> 65.2   No Grit 75.1 <sup>b</sup> 63.8   Pooled SE 1.23 0.50   Grit 82.5 63.7 <sup>ab</sup> No Grit 76.4 65.0 <sup>ab</sup> Grit 79.2 66.7 <sup>a</sup> No Grit 73.8 62.6 <sup>b</sup> Pooled SE 1.74 0.70   NS ** NS   Srit NS **	or grit form   Lay Egg wt. Egg Mass $%$ g g/b/day   Whole 79.5 64.4 51.1   Ground 76.5 64.6 49.5   Pooled SE 1.23 0.50 0.91   Grit 80.9 <sup>a</sup> 65.2 52.7 <sup>a</sup> No Grit 75.1 <sup>b</sup> 63.8 47.9 <sup>b</sup> Pooled SE 1.23 0.50 0.91   Grit 82.5 63.7 <sup>ab</sup> 52.5   No Grit 76.4 65.0 <sup>ab</sup> 49.7   Grit 79.2 66.7 <sup>a</sup> 52.8   No Grit 73.8 62.6 <sup>b</sup> 46.1   Pooled SE 1.74 0.70 1.29   NS ** NS **	Or grit form Lay Egg wt. Egg Mass DFI $\frac{V_6}{g}$ g g/b/day g/b/day g/b/day   Whole 79.5 64.4 51.1 103.6   Ground 76.5 64.6 49.5 103.3   Pooled SE 1.23 0.50 0.91 1.01   Grit 80.9 <sup>a</sup> 65.2 52.7 <sup>a</sup> 104.3   No Grit 75.1 <sup>b</sup> 63.8 47.9 <sup>b</sup> 102.5   Pooled SE 1.23 0.50 0.91 1.01   Grit 82.5 63.7 <sup>ab</sup> 52.5 104.4   No Grit 76.4 65.0 <sup>ab</sup> 49.7 102.8   Grit 79.2 66.7 <sup>a</sup> 52.8 104.2   No Grit 73.8 62.6 <sup>b</sup> 46.1 102.3   Pooled SE 1.74 0.70 1.29 1.43   NS ** NS ** NS	or grit form Lay Egg wt. Egg Mass DFI FCR $%$ g g/b/day g/b/day gFeed/gEgg   Whole 79.5 64.4 51.1 103.6 2.04   Ground 76.5 64.6 49.5 103.3 2.10   Pooled SE 1.23 0.50 0.91 1.01 0.032   Grit 80.9 <sup>a</sup> 65.2 52.7 <sup>a</sup> 104.3 1.98 <sup>a</sup> No Grit 75.1 <sup>b</sup> 63.8 47.9 <sup>b</sup> 102.5 2.15 <sup>b</sup> Pooled SE 1.23 0.50 0.91 1.01 0.032   ceolite Form Grit 76.4 65.0 <sup>ab</sup> 49.7 102.5 2.15 <sup>b</sup> No Grit 76.4 65.0 <sup>ab</sup> 49.7 102.8 2.08   Grit 79.2 66.7 <sup>a</sup> 52.8 104.2 1.98   No Grit 73.8 62.6 <sup>b</sup> 46.1 102.3 2.22   Pooled SE 1.74 0.70 1.29 1.43

<sup>ab</sup>Means within a column for grain form or grit with the same superscript do not differ a P<0.05

These results are consistent with those found by other researchers (Blair et al., 1973; Karunajeewa, 1978; Karunajeewa & Tham, 1984; Ouart et al., 1986). This demonstrates there is an opportunity for making a significant economic savings by not having to grind the wheat, the major component of the diet, without any adverse effects on bird performance. In addition, the use of insoluble hard grit may play a major role in enhancing gizzard function, again with additional improvements in bird performance and feed efficiency compared with birds fed whole grain without grit (Cumming, 1990). Whole grain feeding in conjunction with grit has been shown to reduce oocysts shedding in crossbred cockerels challenged with coccidiosis (Cumming, 1990). Zeolite presented in grit form significantly improved egg production, egg mass and feed conversion but did not influence daily feed intake or egg weight. Hard insoluble grit has not routinely been used in commercial diets for poultry for decades. However, there is evidence from earlier years (Heuser and Norris, 1946; Balloun and Phillips, 1956; Scott and Heuser, 1957) that there is an increase in egg production when insoluble grit was fed in conjunction with whole grain. Our work would support the work of these early researchers. There is less evidence of a need for insoluble grit when all-mash laying diets are fed. Again, earlier researchers (MacIntyre and Jenkins, 1952); Fuller, 1958)) observed no increase in egg production or feed efficiency from feeding grit with all-mash layer diets. However, our work would support the feeding of grit even when the grain was ground.

Table 3 summarises the AME of the diets before and after a coccidiosis challenge and the back-transformed means of oocyst number output in the faeces in the second experiment. Before and after the coccidiosis challenge the addition of zeolite in grit form gave a significant improvement in the AME of the diet compared to those diets where zeolite was provided in powdered form. The form of grain had no effect on the AME of the diets either before or after the coccidiosis challenge. This is consistent with the findings of McIntosh et. al., (1962) who found no consistent effect of the grain form on the ME of the grain. Whole grain fed in conjunction with zeolite in grit form significantly improved the AME of the diet both before and after the coccidiosis challenge. Again, this is consistent with the findings of McIntosh et. al., (1962) who found grit feeding consistently increased the ME of cereal grains. They also found the responses due to grit were greater when whole, rather than ground or pelleted grains were fed. There was a significant interaction for the AME of the diets between grain form and zeolite form after the coccidiosis challenge. This indicated that the diet which contained whole grain had a significantly inferior AME when zeolite was not presented in grit form, but had a superior AME when zeolite was in grit form. Ground grain with and without zeolite in grit form had the same AME and was an inferior AME to the diet with whole grain and zeolite grit but superior to the diet with whole grain and zeolite in powdered form. This AME interaction did not occur prior to the coccidiosis challenge.

Birds fed on whole wheat had a significantly lower (2.5 times) oocyst output than birds fed on ground wheat. This is in agreement with Cumming (1990) who found that when grain was fed in whole form to crossbred cockerels challenged with coccidiosis, oocyst shedding was reduced. The zeolite form had no effect on oocyst output.

Table 3	AMEn of Diets fed to laying hens before and after a coccidiosis challenge and oocyst count in faeces after the coccidiosis challenge.						
	Ī	AME Before MJ/kg DM	AME After MJ/kg	Oocyst Count Back-Trans Means Number/g faeces			
Grain Form							
	Whole	13.82	13.42	54208 <sup>b</sup>			
	Ground	13.68	13.66	$140877^{a}$			
	Pooled SE	0.125	0.127				
Zeolite Form							
	Grit	$14.07^{a}$	13.86 <sup>a</sup>	88545			
	No Grit	13.44 <sup>b</sup>	13.22 <sup>b</sup>	86246			
	Pooled SE	0.125	0.127				
Grain Form x 2	Zeolite Form						
Whole	Grit	14.22 <sup>a</sup>	$14.08^{a}$	55409 <sup>a</sup>			
	No Grit	13.42 <sup>b</sup>	12.76 <sup>b</sup>	53032 <sup>a</sup>			
Ground	Grit	13.92 <sup>ab</sup>	13.64 <sup>a</sup>	141496 <sup>b</sup>			
	No Grit	13.45 <sup>b</sup>	13.68 <sup>a</sup>	140261 <sup>b</sup>			
	Pooled SE	0.176	0.179				
Significance							
Grain Form		NS	NS	**			
Zeolite Form		***	***	NS			
Grain Form x Zeolite Form NS		NS	***	NS			

<sup>ab</sup>Means within a column for grain form or grit with the same superscript do not differ a P<0.05

## Conclusions

These experiments demonstrate that balanced diets containing wheat in whole form have economic and health benefits when fed to laying birds. The use of insoluble grit in diets, particularly in diets containing whole wheat has nutritional benefits. The implications of these findings have consequences for poultry keepers in developing countries where chemotherapy may not always be available to control coccidiosis. The use of whole wheat in diets for laying hens appears to be one mechanism whereby birds can help to control a challenge from coccidiosis.

## References

ANIMAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE, Qld Dept Primary Industries, Yeerongpilly, Work Instruction 33.

- BALLOUN, S. L. and PHILLIPS, R. E. (1956). Grit feeding affects growth and feed utilsation of chicks and egg production of laying hens. Poultry Science 35:566-569.
- BLAIR, R., DEWAR, W.A. and DOWNIE, J.N. (1973). Egg production responses of hens given a complete mash or unground grain together with concentrate pellets. British Poultry Science 14:373-377.
- CUMMING, R. B. (1990). The nutritional control of coccidiosis. Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition. UNE, Armidale, pp 339-344.
- FISHER, C. (1988). Recent work on metabolisable energy determinations. Proc. of 7th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition pp. 96-115.
- FULLER, H.L. (1958). The value of granite and marble grit for growing chickens and laying hens fed all-mash vs. mash and grain diet. Poultry Science 37:1136-1143.
- HEUSER, G. F. and NORRIS, L.C. (1946). Oyster shell, calcite grit, ground limestone and granite grit in rations for hens. Poultry Science 25:173-179.
- KARUNAJEEWA, H. (1978). The performance of cross-bred hens given free choice feeding or whole grains and a concentrate mixture and the influence of source of xanthophyl on yolk colour. British Poultry Science 19:699-708.
- KARUNAJEEWA, H. and THAM, S.H. (1984). Choice feeding of the replacement pullet on whole grains and subsequent performance on laying birds. British Poultry Science 25:99-109.
- MACINTYRE, T. M. and JENKINS, M. H. (1952). Clam shells, , limestone and oyster shells as sources of calcium in the rations of laying hens. Science Agriculture 32:645-650.
- McINTOSH,J.I.,SLINGER, S.J.,SIBBALD, I.R. and ASHTON,G.C. (1962). Factors affecting the metabolisable energy content of poultry feeds. The effects of grinding, pelleting and grit feeding on the availability of energy of wheat, corn, oats and barley. 8. A study on the effects of dietary balance. Poultry Science 41:441-456.
- OUART, M., MARION, J.E. and HARMS, R.H. (1986). Influence of wheat particle size in diets of laying hens. Poultry Science 65:1015-1017.
- SCOTT, M. L. and HEUSER, G. F. (1957). The value of grit for chickens, and turkeys. Poultry Science 25:276-283.