

GC/MS Application Note





GC-MS determination of stale aldehydes in beer by SPME on-fibre derivatization

Qianjun Wu¹, Hualei Chen², Zhaoxiao Yang²

¹National Beer Quality Supervision & Inspection Center, Qingdao, 266061, China ²Tsingtao Brewery Limited, Research and Development Center, Qingdao, 266061, China

Abstract

This article describes the determination of stale aldehydes in beer, such as 2-methyl-propionaldehyde, 3-methylbutyraldehyde, 2-methyl-butyraldehyde, valeraldehyde, caproaldehyde, furaldehyde, phenylacetaldehyde by SPME/ GC-MS. The aldehyde compounds are derivatized with O-(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzyl)hydroxylamine (PFBHA) using an on-fiber derivatization procedure. After adsorbing PFBHA onto a 65 µm PDMS/DVB fiber, it is inserted into a 20 m L sample vial containing a 2 mL beer sample in the agitator at 60 °C for 60 min. Extraction and derivatization are both controlled by the PAL autosampler. The analytes are detected by GC-MS operated in selective ion monitoring mode on the characteristic mass m/z 181.8 for carbonyl compounds, delivering a wide linear range of in 0.2 to 500 μ g/L. The coefficient of correlation for the quantitative calibration is better than 0.99. The precision of the method is characterized with RSDs between 1.0 % -15.7 %. The recoveries are between 88 % -107 %. This method prooved to be suitable for the study on the beer refreshing period during product quality control.

Keywords:

beer, aging, taste, aldehyde, SPME, on-fiber derivatization, PFHBA

Introduction

A main quality problem of beer is the change of its sensory characteristics over time. After packaging beer begins to lose the fresh, perfect, pure flavor and taste over time. The beer develops a "cooked" taste or "oxidized" flavor. Currently, beer aging has become a bottleneck which is restricting the development of the beer industry worldwide. Beer aging is mainly caused by the formation of volatile aldehydes such as 3-methyl-butyraldehyde, 2-methyl-propionaldehyde, etc. [1]. It is important to develop a sensitive and reliable method to study beer aging.

The method described in this PAL application note is based on the SPME extraction with PFHBA as on-fiber derivatization reagent (Fig. 1). The quantitative GC-MS determination of stale aldehydes in beer, such as 2-methyl-propionaldehyde, 3-methyl-butyraldehyde, 2-methyl-butyraldehyde, valeraldehyde, caproaldehyde, furaldehyde, phenyl-acetaldehyde can be used for the research on anti-aging beer and product quality control [2].



Figure 1: Reaction of PFBHA with aldehydes or ketones to form an oxime

Instrumentation

PAL3 System and COMBI PAL SPME fibers: 65 µm PDMS/DVB fiber GC-MS: Agilent 6890/5973 MSD Column: DB-5 capillary (30 m, 0.25 mm i.d, 0.25 µm)

Chemicals

Standards were purchased from Sigma Aldrich: 2-methyl-3-methyl-butyraldehyde, propionaldehyde, 2-methylbutyraldehyde, valeraldehyde, caproaldehyde, furaldehyde, phenylacetaldehyde, PFHBA

Solvents were purchased from Fisher Scientific: HPLC grade methanol, purified water

Workflow

Derivatization conditions:

Insert the fiber into the PFHBA solution (60 mg/L) at 60 °C, adsorption for 10 min.

Sample volume selection:

The CO₂ bubbles of the fresh beer were removed manually at room temperature before filing the HS vials. The repeatability and precision of the method was checked with sample amounts of 2 mL, 5 mL and 10 mL. Beer samples of 2 mL in 20 mL vials gave the best performance.

Detection limits and correlation coeficients:

A standard calibration was used in the range 0.2-500 µg/L (see Tab. 1).

Compounds	Detection Limits (µg/L)	Correlation Coefficients
2-Methyl-propionaldehyde	0.05	0.992
3-Methyl-butyraldehyde	0.04	0.994
2-Methyl-butyraldehyde	0.02	0.993
Valeraldehyde	0.12	0.990
Caproaldehyde	0.07	0.991
Furaldehyde	10.0	0.997
Phenylacetaldehyde	0.02	0.997
E-2-Nonenal	0.002	0.990

Table 1: Detection limits (S/N > 3) and correlation coefficients



Figure 2: Total ion chromatogram of standard aldehydes with SPME on-fiber PFBOA derivatization

- 1: 2-Methyl-propionaldehyde
- 2: 3-Methyl-butyraldehyde
- 5: Caproaldehyde
- 6: Furaldehyde
- 3: 2-Methyl-butyraldehyde 7: Phenylacetaldehyde

4: Valeraldehyde 8: E-2-nonenal

Sampling:

Insert the SPME fiber after loading with derivatization reagent into the headspace of a 20 mL autosampler vial, filled with 2 mL of a beer sample.

GC Parameter:

Carrier gas: He 250 °C GC inlet temp: GC constant flow: 1.1 mL/min Splitless injection

Oven temperature program:

60 °C, 2 min 5 °C/min to 170 °C 1 °C/min to 190 °C 190 °C, 25min

Fiber selection:

From a comparison of PDMS/DVB (65 µm), CW/DVB (65 μm), and Polyacrylate (85 μm) the PDMS/DVB (65 μm) fiber material was chosen as the best one.

Extraction time and temperature:

After testing, 60 °C for 60 min incubation time was found to be most suitable for beer samples and could provide a good balance for all the test compounds.

GC-MS conditions:

El Source: 70 eV Interface temp: 250 °C Source temp: 230 °C Quadrupole temp: 150 °C Scan range: 50-550 Da

Results

Precision and Recovery

Table 2 shows the results for fresh beer and beer after 6 months of aging, 5 bottles analysed each. Aldehyde standards were added to the samples to quantify the recovery. The content of the different aldehydes in stale beer was found higher than in fresh beer except for E-2-nonenal (recovery >84 %), the recoveries are between 88 % -103 %.

Compounds	Fresh beer pl (µg/L)	RSD %	Stale beer pl (µg/L)	RSD %
2-Methyl-propionaldehyde	3.4	3.7	19.5	3
3-Methyl-butyraldehyde	5.1	2.1	10.7	2.3
2-Methyl-butyraldehyde	6.2	3.3	15.4	1
Valeraldehyde	1.6	5.6	3.5	4.8
Caproaldehyde	1	4.5	1.8	2.2
Furaldehyde	53	7.1	96	7.6
Phenylacetaldehyde	5.2	7.2	9.4	8.3
E-2-nonenal	0.03	15.7	0.51	11.2

Table 2: Precision (n=5) and concentration of aldehydes in fresh and stale beer

Comparison of SPME with liquid/liquid extraction (LLE)

Samples containing higher amounts of 2-hydroxyl-3-butanone will show interferences with the separation of the aldehydes and affect the sensitivities if the extraction is done by LLE (Fig.3). This effect is avoided by using the described headspace SPME method.



Conclusion

The obvious advantage of the SPME/GC-MS method to analyze stale aldehydes in beer gives a rapid extraction and better separation with small sample volumes. It is a very suitable solution for the monitoring of the status of beer aging and keep it as its best quality. Figure 3: Comparison of (a) SPME/GC-MS and (b) LLE/GC-MS

Reference

- [1] Vanderhaegen B. et al., The chemistry of beer aging-a critical review, Food-Chem. (2006) 95, 357-381.
- [2] Wu Q., Chen H., Yang Z., The automated headspace SPME GC-MS method for the analysis of beer aging, Chin. J. Anal. Lab. (2007) 26/4, 38-41.

Legal Statements

CTC Analytics AG reserves the right to make improvements and/or changes to the product(s) described in this document at any time without prior notice.

CTC Analytics AG makes no warranty of any kind pertaining to this product, including but not limited to implied warranties of merchantability and suitability for a particular purpose.

Under no circumstances shall CTC Analytics AG be held liable for any coincidental damage or damages arising as a consequence of or from the use of this document.

© 2016 CTC Analytics AG. All rights reserved. Neither this publication nor any part hereof may be copied, photocopied, reproduced, translated, distributed or reduced to electronic medium or machine readable form without the prior written permission from CTC Analytics AG, except as permitted under copyright laws.

CTC Analytics AG acknowledges all trade names and trademarks used as the property of their respective owners.

PAL is a registered trademark of CTC Analytics AG | Switzerland 4 | IngeniousNews 05/2016

Imprint

Date of print: 10.2016

CTC Analytics AG Industriestrasse 20 CH-4222 Zwingen Switzerland T +41 61 765 81 00 Contact: info@ctc.ch