Metabolomics of Carbon Fixing Mutants of Cyanobacteria by GC/Q-TOF

¹Dong hee Chung, ¹Christine Rabinovitch-Deere, ²Sofia Aronova and ¹Shota Atsumi ¹University of California, Davis, Departments of Chemistry, Davis, CA, ²Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA

Overview

model Mutants of the Synechococcus elongatus PCC7942 (Figure 1) were evaluated by untargeted metabolomics to there identify possible phenotypic changes that allow more efficient growth. Wild-type S. elongatus was mutagenized and mutants that grow more \bigcirc CO₂-fixing efficiently were enriched. The mutants were attractive by GC-QTOF for changes in 🚺 analyzed a possible source of changes causing increased growth efficiency to be the carbon fixation pathway, a known limitation in cyanobacterial growth that is notoriously difficult to improve.



Introduction

cyanobacterium Due to the finite availability of fossil fuels, as well as detrimental accumulation of CO_2 emissions, engineering is great interest in microorganisms to generate sustainable fuel alternatives. Cyanobacteria, photosynthetic microorganisms, especially are their utilize for ability to the greenhouse gas as a carbon source, and light as metabolite profiles. This approach identified that **[**] an energy source. However, for cyanobacteriabased biofuels to be economically feasible, cellular growth rates must be improved. Previous studies shown that carbon have fixation is a key limitation in cyanobacterial many past attempts to growth. However, increase the rate of carbon fixation by directed vielded have changes only minima Thus, a directed evolution improvements. approach may allow identification of beneficial metabolic changes that had not previously been considered.

Cyanobacteria Preparation

PCC7942 was Wild-type elongatus mutagenized using ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS), or nitrosoguanidine (NTG). After recovery, cells were grown in the presence of high concentrations of CO₂. Dense cultures were back-diluted over several rounds to enrich for cells with faster growth rates. Promising isolates were later confirmed in triplicate using growth assays. Of sixteen candidates, four mutants were chosen for further analysis based on growth rates compared to wild type (Table 1).

Metabolite Preparation

Metabolites extracted using methanol/chloroform extraction. Aqueous fractions (containing central carbon metabolites) were collected, dried, and consecutively derivatized by methoximation using a saturated solution of hydroxylamine HCI in pyridine and by silulation with N-methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) and 1 % trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS). respectively. The samples were analyzed by GC/Q-TOF. The data were processed by chromatographic peak deconvolution software for peak finding, followed by compound identification using a mass spectral library. Metabolite identities were further confirmed using accurate mass information.

Analytical Conditions

This study was performed using an Agilent 7890 GC coupled to an Agilent 7200 series Quadrupole-Time-of-Flight MS (Figure 2). GC and MS conditions are described in Table 2.

Meth

ods	
<i>S. elongatus</i> strain	Growth rate
Wild type	1.0251
M2	1.0510
M3	1.0257
M4	0.8205
M10	1.0891
M12	1.1569

Table 1. Growth rates of S. elongatus strains



Figure 2. 7200 series GC/Q-TOF system

GC and MS Conditions	
	DB-5 MS UI, 30 meter, 0.25 mm ID,
Column	0.25 µm film
Injection volume	1 µL
Split ratio	10:1
Split/Splitless inlet	
temperature	250 °C
Oven temperature	60 °C for 1 min
program	10 °C/min to 325 °C, 3.5 min hold
Carrier gas	Helium at 1 mL/min constant flow
Transfer line	
temperature	290 °C
Ionization mode	EI
Source temperature	230°C
Quadrupole	
temperature	150°C
Mass range	50 to 600 m/z
Spectral acquisition	5 Hz, collecting both in centroid and
rate	profile modes
Table 2. GC-MS conditions used in the study.	

Results

Data Processing: Chromatographic Deconvolution Significant Metabolomic Identification Differences: Fold Change Analysis (FCA) and Library Searches

The data were processed by deconvolution software using the Unknowns Analysis tool in MassHunter Quantitative Analysis software package. Approximately 400-500 components were detected in each sample (Figure 3). Specific compounds were then identified by GC/MS Agilent-Fiehn comparison with the Metabolomics Retention Time Locked (RTL) Library. Statistical evaluation of the data was performed by Mass Profiler Professional (MPP), a multivariate statistical analysis package. Metabolite identification was further confirmed using accurate mass information.





Figure 3. Representative results and analysis from the Unknowns Analysis tool. The lower middle panel shows deconvoluted ions of the component. The ions have the same apex and peak shape, thus confirming that they all belong to the same component.

Identification of Significant Metabolomic Differences: Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

PCA was employed to evaluate clustering of the samples that belong to the same strain (either wild type or a mutant). Distinct clustering of each group of samples suggested good repeatability of sample replicates and significant differences between the mutants (Figure 4).



Figure 4. PCA plot of a comparison between wild type and mutants confirms the existence of distinct clusters







Figure 6. Selected results of metabolomics analysis. While levels of the central metabolite D-glucose are similar for all strains, other metabolites, including those key to the TCA and CBB cycles, show significant differences between wild type and Adenosine, but not adenosine-5mutants. monophosphate, accumulation in all mutants vs wild type suggests a common metabolic bottleneck.

Significant metabolic changes were further identified using FCA, where the fold change in the concentration of any given compound, along with the statistical significance of this change, was visualized on a Volcano plot (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Volcano plot of fold change in concentration versus probability value for wild type vs M2 mutant.

Visualization of Metabolomic Differences

Differences in metabolite abundance levels between strains were visualized using direct comparison (Figure 6), and included organic acids, amino acids, sugar monophosphates. Metabolites with a role in key metabolic pathways are of special interest.

Metabolomic Differences Suggest Genotypic Changes: Pathway Analysis

S. elongatus mutants M2 and M12 were investigated in further detail to understand how a change in levels of specific metabolites may be caused by changes to specific metabolic pathways. Untargeted pathway analysis was performed in MPP for M2 (Figure 7) and targeted analysis was performed for M12 (Figure 8). Results suggest that beneficial genotypic changes may have occurred in several key metabolic pathways, including the carbon fixation (CBB) and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycles, glycolysis, and fatty acid biosynthesis.



ASMS 2013 TP 419



Discussion