

"Maimonides: The
Book of Knowledge"

1st Lecture - 10/29/68

Theory of the Golden Mean

60 - 1183 T

I. Brief Biographical Introduction (① attachment)

II. The α M O P U (= β)
The β M O P U (= communication)

III. α M O P U

a) Analysis of α M O P U for Hegemon

b) α M O P U - based on β (i.e. β)
(imagines imitation)

but in order imitate character
of β - must know it.

So: α M O P U = Knowledge of character
(Empiricism)

IV. II D - Aristotelian ethics -
5. ethics coincide.

V. β - Orally: source of α M O P U

a) Every α M O P U has 2 returns - multiple way

b) These α M O P U have 5 origins.

1. naturally pre-exist

2. " pre-disposed

3. environmentally required

4. self-motivated to acquire

5. learned from someone else

} man as determined

} man as free

VI - ו - vally: extremes are not good; if find self incline towards - must overcome turn to golden mean [i.e. - #4+5 above]

VII - ז - Read att. Then:

1. Natural - 1/2 (first 3 of 2's) - almost even in ^{exact} middle (just like in straight line in nature)
So, if find exact middle - sign of 1/2, 1/2 - hence: P.N.S.N.S. 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2
2. 2: 4 terms = necessity; middle = freedom
3. Thus, M's philo. of character is a calibration of both
According to M on (1/2) 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2
So: 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2

VIII - ח - Read from Hyman

1. So: before = 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 now = 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2
2. which extreme? - ego-limiting / expanding
3. 1/2 1/2 are NOT extreme con. First, must make conscious intell'l decision to ^{identification} ^{no val} ~~middle~~ ^{middle} - then voluntarily incline towards proper extreme.
- [4- 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 - 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2
So: 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2; 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2 1/2]

(3)

IX. 1.5 - from that.

A. Questions:

1. when does $\rho \sim \rho$ come into mathematics?
2. when does have $\cong$ multiple ways - $\rho \sim \rho \sim \rho$?
3. M combines 2 diff't texts: $\rho \sim \rho \sim \rho / \rho \sim \rho$
4. last line interesting: $\rho \sim \rho \sim \dots \sim \rho$

B. 1. $\rho \sim \rho \sim \rho =$ way it $\rho \sim \rho \sim \rho$ is a $\rho \sim \rho$ 2. $\rho \sim \rho \sim \rho \cong$ self-transformation $\sim \rho \sim \rho$, $\rho \sim \rho \sim \rho$ 3. Thus M combines both $\rightarrow$ the $\sim$ together
give us the "ways" of $\rho \sim \rho$ 4. so, last line: $\rho \sim \rho \sim \rho \sim \dots \sim \rho \sim \rho$
 $\rho \sim \rho \sim \rho \sim \dots \sim \rho \sim \rho$