

Memo

2/2

from

RABBI C. T. HOLLANDER

St. Louis, Mo.

2/1/26 2/2/26

Dear Mr. Hollander,
I am sending you a copy of my article on the subject of
- etc.

After three sessions I
finely finished your piece
in the recent tradition and
was much inspired by it
as I have been by your
other mighty articles.

Also for your endeavor to
articulate these fundamentals
of Judaism and may we
very soon see you assuming
the lofty position of one of our
foremost spokesmen - which

Memo

2

from

RABBI C. T. HOLLANDER

you richly deserve - a
position which will eclipse
the Talmudic of our time -

There was one passage in
your piece which does an
injustice to Traditional
Talmud in my opinion and I trust
you will forgive my doing so.
on p. 39 you write that
a "violation of natural law
at the very beginning of His
formation after the initial
act of Creation is to attribute
To Him an inconsistency
that is nothing less than absurd.

Memo

from

RABBI C. T. HOLLANDER

Now this statement says
 that the sointly (PN) -
has attributed To Him an
absurd inconsistency -

In the PN in God's original
 denounces any attempt
 to interpret the six days
 as in anything but literal
 24 hour days -

Furthermore different rates
 of acceleration in ??, }
 Do not represent inconsistency
 You may here the impression
 that P.O) are slightly absurd

Memo

X

from

RABBI C. T. HOLLANDER

You might be
interested to read
the next page of
Prof. and Mrs. Hirsch
(in 8261 p. 10)
who clarifies the subject
considerably.

3/22/62

C. T. Hollander

S. T. Louis

P.S.