

HAWAIIAN MODERN ISSUES

Lecture #3 - THE ABORTION PROBLEM -

I. The Basic Problem

I. INTROD: Subject to import, material to extensive, from lecture.

- 6) S+-- This week: BASIC HPL. FRAMEWORK.

7) Next week: the normative (no appropriate purely techn. sol.); Htl's p of gentiles • AB; • what attitude Jews should be to PUBLIC opinion.

8) Why all this attention to Ab? • prob. controversy; • ~300,000 immigrat/yr USA

9) Some Q's in Htl: is foetus "moral"? Is foetus a "person"? If not murderer, is Ab permitted on human? In case of illness? Threat to mother's life? Deformed foetus? Rape? Illegit. ch? Any difference in how long since conception?

5) Bible: foetus treated primarily as PROPERTY, not PERSON. Ex. 21: 22-25: If a man strikes his slave, he shall let him go free if he has worked six years. If his eye has been damaged, he must let him go free. If his tooth has been knocked out, he must let him go free. If his hand has been cut off, he must let him go free.

6) Thalidomide (smth): so clear: foetus NOT "person" (eik), no mln eijt for Ab.

I. MISNAH

לפניהם נתקיימו מפגשים ורשמיים, ובדרכם נתקיימו מפגשים רמיינאיים.

obvious from both parts much: $\exists x \neq "e_2"$ (x : $O^{\prime\prime}$, ω)

and this is what they think: (Now we begin again.)

(will come back to this key mishnah later)

III PK

PRIOR TO 40 DAYS: Talmi: fertility egg (< 40 days): confirm F:N.

After 40 weeks = 17% . ∴ Miscarriages (> 40 weeks) : Non-fetal

• 1951 (29.000 für 1. P. (84, re: 2000 Wahrnehmungen).

- Heru: the factors > 40 may NOT prevail (e.g., 1/1) - DOTS HAVE SOME INFLUENCE

四

AFTER BIRTH - for 30 days, infi not considered viable (תינוק). [ss: כִּנְזָה on 31st day]. Thus, if child ~~born~~ born before full term, & someone kills it - if less than 30 days old - no עַבְדִּיל . But - גָּזֵל / שִׁנְקָר / הַרְמֹן [crime, but not general crime]. Even though תינוק is still - is called בָּז - hence בָּז you בָּז לְה (in mishnah).

IV. BIMAKTO MUNNAH (11 folii): can kill fetuses before 12 weeks, i.e. save mother.

- a) Why? Rashi (בבב' מ"ט): נסן גורת נסן נסן, This may also suggest $\{\text{בבב}\}$ either 2 or 1 or 2.

b) But after birth (of 1st) as Mishnah says: בבב' מ"ט (בבב' מ"ט) י"ג י"ה

c) Q: if really $\{\text{בבב}\}$ - why not same AFTER birth? - Mrs. Spence
mother of all child became $\{\text{בבב}\}$?

N/A (in train): $\{\text{בבב}\}$ le 1726 - Dr. Trilmi: גורת נסן.
I.e., after birth, is "out of yesh" - \rightarrow not "yeshivit"

\Rightarrow But why? On what basis make distinction?

f) **Murder**: Before birth ≠ R_0 , yet snare \rightarrow death \therefore - can't abort.

- Brt - if is f_{317} , overcomes \rightarrow death + may abort.
- After f_{317} , fetus \rightarrow person, R_0 , + killing $\text{f} = \text{murder}$.

Here f_{317} not strong enough on its own \rightarrow $\text{R}_0 < 1$
 since is not deliberate & conscious f_{317} (i.e. f_{317} is $\text{R}_0 < 1$).

Interru- let nature take its course (Maxim: $\text{f}_{317} \leq 1526$)
 here: $\text{f}_{317} > 1526$ \therefore 1/1.

- (• **first rule**: this is so only if her intention threatened. But if
within generosity • we have to make choice, we prefer her,
since she = our p → her p → (N also.)

VI - REASONS FOR PROHIBITION OF AB (sinh 721) ≠ (o), konuwt n̄t 11)

- a) $\text{ל} \text{ל} \text{ל}$: No better than a fool & a fool is (what's it called human)
 - b) $\text{א} \text{ל} \text{ל} \text{ל}$: factors ≠ real world "HUMAN PERSON"; human = $\text{א} \text{ל} \text{ל} \text{ל}$
 - c) $\text{א} \text{ל} \text{ל} \text{ל}$: $f_k = \text{IN}^3 \text{ל} \text{ל} \text{ל}$

VII- R. UNTERKAMER (most definitive - 77% positive). Digest of his review
on Q midtrm German measles, very early in pregny. Ab?

- Ch. R. Untermyer: so, while not with persons - is of interest
states that are willing to sue him, even < 40 days.

∴ while mn $\neq 11N + 12$ do $m \leftarrow m - 1$ enddo.

- Proof: when $\exists \{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$ we permit Ab bcc. (minim): $f(3) > 0$.

This, if not for his return, would win through without much
danger - because he is a "safe" and courageous pilot.

- This - death AGAINST AB in his German measles case!

"Can't permit mother b/c of fears of consequences of illness. Further, even if knows definitely child remains physically or mentally - can't abort, any more than may kill crippled. Only after a foetus adult = punishment:

- Why so slow to find that it permits until life < 40 days (so this - that we forbid AB even < 40 days)?

Doesn't Trilman say (IN 52 II-N)?

- child Utterman: w.r.t. I.M.P., KNIC etc - is it b/c. consumer only. I.M.P. before us, o is not a consumer. But w.r.t. I.M.P. - consider potential Abortifacit, FUTURES. And since nat'l Abortifacit \rightarrow (I.M.P.) consider fertilized egg as full human-being (e.g. 1973 12/15/1)
- Hur yearf: Kill my foetus? I.e. killing pregnant mother, returning after birth last long th. didn't it. Mrs. 1973 12/15/12. Right - violates woman's right (= trapping). Why? B/c. Death - egg in future ..

- with R.M.: not all agree w. R.Utterman - but majority does.

VIII - SPECIAL CASES:

a) ADULTERY-ILLEGIT: "IP - case adultery, contrit. wants to take responsibility. Ans: no diff bet. 1973 12/15/12 re: IP. Only responsibility 1973 = marriage + not qualified for abortion. (However - does permit AB if eugenic - in all cases).

b) BUT - G.I.S disagrees w. 1973. AB certainly prohibited. But for 1973 - formula known: mother (= adulress) is guilty, man isn't, unless that today no 1973 12/15/12, also - no abortion. But she can't just do it. i.e., since will go 1973, foetus too 1973 + ... (N.L. 1973/lein 12/12).

c) Accordingly, would have to hold that no infibulation > 1973 . R.Utterman - disagrees that minors (adolescents) > 1973 N.L: Acc. Empath - only adultery, NOT rape!

Infibulation: "backward" - 240 days - vaginal obstructions; extreme deformity (> 40) - b/c. w.h. - no; threat sterility - no AB; threat mishealth - no abortion...

(4)

IX - Summary of Opinions.

Answers

>40-all: no.

- A) "On Demand" (for convenience, etc.) \wedge < 40 days - yes maj - NO.
 B) German members (retirement, etc) - i.e. potential infidelity:
 1. R. Untermaier, many others - NO; even < 40 days.
 2. R. H. H. Weinberg ('6 PYS) - < 40 days - YES (procedural
from: 1st; 2nd; 3rd; 4th). R. Untermaier - yes if
3 → ~~40 days~~ = R. Stark Emmerich. Duiters - prob. Untermaier. Amm-
no such rule!
 C) After 40 days - R. Stark Emmerich permits if contained
pregnancy causes 'sin' \rightarrow 3 to mother (Hins - Ger. members
permits also if mother agitated).
 D) Illegitimate - "ip - same as legit, only > 40 days.
R. Stark Emmerich - OK (supporting - all three preg. but - infidelity).
Utrecht - birth certificate required; sum: 40 days; 3 months all
 E) All - pref. three members $>$ surgery.