

Ber. 370 - Top Jev, 52

(3-14-69)

Memo

To: Marvin Haskowitz
from: S R Weiss
Subject: Letter submitted by Dr. Schick

cc: Rabbi Lamm and
Rabbi Pelcovitz
Dr. Schick

The attached letter was submitted for approval to Rabbi Lamm and Rabbi Pelcovitz. I spoke to them to obtain their reaction and gave Dr. Schick the gist of their suggestions. They are as follows:

Rabbi Lamm (at A1 in attached copy) wishes to omit the entire sentence. It is the chairman of the panel and not of the recipients to which organization you want to address yourselves first.

At A2, Rabbi Lamm wants the letter to read approximately as follows: "and other orthodox leaders connected with various religious organizations".

Prof. Schick accepts both proposals.

Rabbi Pelcovitz wants an addition, at B, to state that the addressee may prefer to give his opinion rather in person and that, if no, there would be the choice of two dates given to him.

Prof. Schick is doubtful, because that might overload the limited time of the hearings you intend to call. He would rather stick to the

2

original proposal, to write one
those whose replies are interesting
enough to the Study Panel to warrant
oral elaboration.

Prof. Schlich leaves the decision on
the final text to the chairman.
Would you, therefore, call me
on Monday, so that we can get the
letter out.

(My own suggestion: If an important
addressee has not answered by
March 31, you can still call him
and ask for oral statement.)

"Friday, the Rabbi had no
secretary". Hence, this handwritten
memo. My apologies and
bel Cia!



C.C. Ralli J. Karasik