HALAKHIC AND AGGADIC DISCOURSE FOR SHABBAT HAGADOL 1975

I basically reworked the derashah for 1961, A-378, with the following significant changes:

I kept all the questions, and the core of my \$\textit{\alpha}_3^* \texts \text{ was substantively the same. However, I did not use the rationale of women not needing "holiness of time", because of observance of "family purity," and therefore being exempt from time-condition positive commandments -- which argument I presented, quoting Rackman, in my Hedge of Roses. Rather, I developed an alternative theory: that women represent the negation rather than the celebration of time,

\$\text{\epsilon}_{1}^{2} \cdot 3^{2}\$ rather than \$\text{\epsilon}_{1}^{2} \cdot 7^{2}\$, and that is why they are exempt from time-conditioned positive commandments, because the latter celebrate time, whereas women suppress it. This accords much better with the whole nature of the "count" of the "seven clean days," and all "counts" in Halakhah (omer, jubilees, and the seven clean days) are all indicative of suppression rather than celebration of time.

The rationale for my typology is this: men are involved in careers, in upbuilding this world and society, and therefore they revel in time and hence come to the concept of the sanctification of time. Women, however, are primarily concerned with raising the next generation. They seem to be engaged in trivialities, such as those that apply to child-raising, which can easily loom large and make life miserable. Indeed, this is the contemporary critique of housewifery. However, in the Jewish scheme of things, women develop the orientation of

they are future-orientated, they know that for which they strive and which lies in the distant future. Therefore women represent the negation of the present for the sake of the future, whereas men represent the affirmation of the present, with the attendant danger of forfeiting the future.

This explains beautifully why Ramban considers the counting of the Omer as a non-time conditioned commandment -- because every count is in the nature of suppression of time, the reverse of all other time-conditioned positive commandments, and therefore falls perfectly within the purview of womens orientation. Similarly, for the blessing indicates, geared to properly within the formulation of the blessing indicates, geared to properly within the suppression tather than celebration of time.

The other answers I give to the other two questions are essentially the same as in the original talk.

7537/2

and did not ask (MP AN, whereas Moses did. Moses, on the very threshold of redemption is impatient. Abraham is by far the most tolerant individual in all human history: He tolerates Lot, he tolerates the evil men of Sodom, he even tolerates Ishmael -- and what a trying experience that was! Whereas, Moses is angry at everyone: Aaron, his people, his tribe -- even at God. He is the perfectionist. That is why, when they die, Abraham who lived which with his people, / No To Society, he is gathered together with them; whereas Moses, the angry prophet, the perfectionist-idealist, dies alone: 250 800 30 000 000 0000

I then continue by showing the need for both, how either orientation alone lead, paradoxically, to the opposite of what it intends, and then I go on to the Haftorah and Elijah.

Here, see the cards accompanying the talk. I interpret Elijah as a Moses-type. In the Baal story, you find Elijah following the whole pattern of Moses. Both of them, as people of Asy, have a low threshold of frustration. Numerous National With both of them, there is a cation takes place at Sinai-Horeb, there is a hiatus of 40 days and 40 nights, there is a cave, a theophany, and a turning aside of the eyes at the last moment. Elijah, like Moses, is a man of the desert who comes crashing into civilization, shaking people up, radicalizing them, in the hope of finding perfection -- and both are frustrated.

(2006 2007 Means, what does a man of your kind want with the 200, the with the present, the here and now? And yet, you have got to learn it. So he shows them the tornadoes --

which accords with the temperament of Elijah, that of doing things quickly and radically, but \hat{r} \hat{n} \hat{n} \hat{r} \hat{s} . The same for the earthquake ... the same for the fire -- instead of warning people slowly, Elijah wants to sear and burn them. But its only in the small still voice that God is found -- the fine and easy, patient, plodding, question, regular activity ... Elijah emerges from the cave -- perhaps outside his cave, in the real world, wh he will be able to adjust to this technique. But then he covers his face -- he can't or won't do it. So God says again to him the same thing: what are you doing "here?", and he gets the same answer. Elijah will not go along with changing his Moses-pattern of (1213 . So then God told him to go to Damascus -- here I connect the two geographical locations -- Beer Sheba and Syria -- as a loci or parameters of Abraham's career. In other words, Elijah must imitate not only Moses he must also assimilate the idea of Abraham and pros 637.

I then go on to the the scene where Elijah gives over the succession to Elisha. (II Kings). He tells his student, so of set three times -- you must learn how to live in the here and now. He gives Elisha the right for a last request. Elisha says:

Orange of the says:

Orange of the says:

Orange of the says:

I could not do it too. Then Elijah disappears in Heaven -- like Moses, he goes off by himself and is alone in death as in life -- to reappear at the end of days, "before the arrival of the day of the Lord, that is great and awesome." In this world we have to live on the pattern of Abraham, the celebration of time, in the

endangered by complacency, we need too the radical reminder of the perfectionism of a Moses and an Elijah. As we draw close to redemption, we need the ability to look with contempt upon the present because we are in a rush to attain the great future. Elijah thus represents the one who will usher in the climax of redemption, withheld from him in this life and in this world and for which he pined and yearned and strugged and strived so mightily.

P.S. It has been suggested to me by Dr. Grajower to show the difference between ? With and 1 w rod, the former indicating the value of time as such and the latter indicating a wsa Pray, striving for the future. This may explain the difference between Rambam and Ramban on whether counting the omer is time-conditioned.