To Leo Pfelter

Rabbi Dr. J. B. Soloveitchik has read and approved of the text of the enclosed statement and will gladly confirm it to you if you so wish.

Kindly let us have back the enclosed copy of the statement with your signature for our files.

Encl

N'L



In my article in the recent (December 1962) issue of "Midstream,"

I made mention of a visit paid to Cardinal Spellman by two

New York Rabbis and reported what I had understood to be the substance of their conversation.

Davidalan

I have now been authoritatively informed that the visit was of a purely private rature (following prior consultations with national Jewish communal and rabbinic authorities), and that the facts were not as reported. In the conversations, there was not the alightest attempt made to decry or apologize for any Jewish organizations or attitudes. There was no mention whatever made of the Lord's Prayer or any other religious exercises in the public schools, and no promise was requested or given to issue any statement or pursue any policy with regard to public issues, as alleged in my article.

In view of the above, I readily withdraw my erroneous statement, and express my deep regret for any distress or misunderstanding caused as a result of this report.

In my article in the recent (December 1962) issue of "Midstream,"

I made mention of a visit paid to Cardinal Spellman by two New York

Rabbis and reported what I understood to be the substance of their conversation.

I have now been authoritatively informed that the visit was a purely private and unofficial nature, and the facts were not as reported.

The content of their conversation was completely unlike that which had originally been conveyed to me.

I regret any distress which may have been caused to the Rabbis in question as a result of this report.

alternative