Conducted by Joseph Weiss

THE LATE FRIDAY SERVICE IN THE LIGHT OF HALACHA

By Norman Lamm

The institution of Late Friday Services in American Synagogues, even in a number of otherwise strongly Orthodox ones, is a comparatively recent phenomenon. It is for that reason that, to my knowledge, the matter has not yet been analyzed with a view to testing its Halacnic permissibility and, as a result, recommending eitner its acceptance, rejection or modification. Yet the introduction of this innovation into our own synagogues places upon us the responsibuilty, which we cannot escape, of developing just such a critique.

This problem to which we address ourselves, like others of its kind on the contemporary scene, must be treated on two levels: the purely Halachic and the "trans-Halachic." By the latter term I mean those matters which cut across technical lines, and are questions of policy that are primarily subjective value judgements in which we look at the picture in its totality, and take into consideration such elements of basic principle and public psychology as Pritzas Geder, Eis Laasois the comparative worth of Tetiloh Betzibor and שינוי מטבע שטבעו חכמים. While

the purely Halachic issues require more scholarship, these trans-halachic matters call for more wisdom, and will ultimately be as decisive as the purely Halachic in determining our future practice. Of course, this dichotomy cannot be taken too literally, for the Halacha must necessarily deal with these larger "gestalt" issues as well, in the formulation of a final decision. But in this study I shall attempt to concentrate, insofar as possible, on the Halachic material per se. I shall do so, of course, without attempting to be comprehensive or presuming to offer my Psak. This is merely a first attempt to present some of the aspects of the problem for consideration.

Description and Origin of Problem:

The Late Friday Service is usually held during the winter months, when candle lighting takes place in the early afternoon. At about 8 or 8:30 P.M., the Kabolas Shabos and Maariv is held. This may be either a complete service, as we know it, or abridged. Frequently, a different form of abbreviated service is held on different Fridays of the month, in order to add variety for our bored worshippers. They are characterized by the use of a good deal of English readings, in unison or responsive, with the addition of some traditional Zemiros. After the service, an "Oneg Shabbat" is held, generally in a different part of the synagogue building. In some cases the chanting of the

Zemiros is reserved for this epilogue to the Late Friday Service.

The pathogenesis, the unfortunate reason for the holding of such services at this late hour, is obvious. A number of our people are Mechalolei Shabos. Many of them, let it be said in their favor, would be more observant if "economic conditions" would permit them to follow their better inclinations. Thus, not being able, or sufficiently willing to attend regular Saturday morning or regular early Friday night services, they demand the Late Friday Service as the sole way of maintaining a spiritual link with what the synagogue stands for. If this accommodation were denied to them, they would neither visit a synagogue at all during the year, nor would they say these same prayers in the privacy of their own homes.

In the Halachic part of this paper we shall restrict ourselves to an analysis of three phases of the timeproblem per se, including the possibility that a late service might indirectly encourage Chilul Shabos between the time of Shkiyoh and the beginning of these services.

The Time Element A:

As I mentioned previously, there is to my knowledge no source in the literature dealing directly with the matter of a service regularly held several hours after the beginning of Shabos. The source material most relevant to our problem concerns the question whether the Friday night Maariv service can be prayed earlier -before the sunset on Shabos itself. The latter is particularly pertinent since the praying of Maariv of Saturday night during Shabos itself involves, as it were, a shortening of the Shabos by an untimely prayin the same manner as that of the Late Friday Service. These questions are taken up in Brochos 27b where we read as follows: א״ר חייא בר אבין: רב צלי של שבת בע״ש רבי יאשי' מצלי של מוצ״ש בשבת. רב צלי של שבת בע״ש. אומר קדושה על הכוס או אינו אומר קדושה על הכוס? ת״ש דאמר רב נחמן אמר שמואל מתפלל אדם של שבת בע״ש ואומר קדושה על הכוס. והלכתא כוותי׳. רבי יאשי׳ מצלי של מוצ״ש בשבת אומר הבדלה על הכוס או אינו אומר הבדלה על הכוס? ת"ש דא"ר יהודה אמר שמואל מתפלל אדם של מוצ"ש בשבת ואומר הבדלה צל הכוס.

In essence, then, Rav usually prayed the Maariv on the Sabbath before sunset, and R. Yoshiah did the same with the Maariv of Saturday night. Shmuel maintains that in both cases of prevenient prayer one can also recite the Kidush or Havdalah. Mention should also be made of the fact that, according to a prior discussion in the Talmud, when Rav prayed the Maariv before Shabos, he therewith desisted from all M'locho, i.e. his prayer initiated a subjective, voluntary extension of the sanctity of Shabos prior to its astronomical beginning, and thus became an authoritative Kabolas Shabos.

It would seem therefore, that there is no in-principle objection to a change in the hour of Maariv relative to the beginning and end of Shabos, and that therefore the time element in the Late Friday Service is beyond Halachic reproach.

However, such a conclusion is not warranted according to a number of Rishonim. Thus, Tosafos (ד״ה צלי שם) restricts the permissibility of an early Saturday night service to cases of Letzorach Mitzvoh, or, according to Rabeinu Asher and others, an occasion of אונס. This stricture is necessary, according to Tosafos, and otherwise the change in the timing of the prayers would be unacceptable, דודאי צריך להוסיף מחול על הקודש מדאורייתא

because of Tosefes Shabos which Tosafos, especially Rabeino Tam, hold to אונס. An early Saturday night service would thus make the observance of Tosefes Shabos on Saturday nights impossible. The same stricture would apply to the equivalent case of the Late Friday Service. Since nor-malizing the service at a late hour makes it obvious that Tosefes Shabos, perhaps even Shabbos itself, at the onset of the day will not be observed, it should be forbidden except in cases of Oiness Ultzorech Mitzvoh, i.e. extenuating circumstances. It would be an exercise in casuistry to assert that such extenuating circumstances are present in our case. We must proceed on the assumption (and a correct one, it seems to me) that the reasons for our institution of a Late Friday Service correspond neither to Tzorech Mitzvoh or Oiness.

There is a second opinion of Rishonim, however, which is more permissive than that of Tosafos and R. Asher and those who share their view. This is the decision of Maimonides who writes:

ויש לו להתפלל תפילת ערבית של לילי שבת בע״ש קודם שתשקע החמה וכן יתפלל של מוצ״ש בשבת לפי שתפילת ערבית רשות אין מדקדקין בזמנה וכו׳ — פ״ג הלכות תפילה ה"ז

Maimonides thus codifies the Talmudic discourse without the strictures demanded by Tosafos and the others. Furthermore, Raavid (ibid), who disagrees with Maimonides, and would restrict his decision to cases of Letzorech Shoh, does so only because of the necessity of סמיכות גאולה לתפילה (Raavad assumes Maimonides'

cision to refer only to Tefiloh, i.e. the Shmoneh Esrei, and not to the Shma). Therefore, in our case of the Late Friday Service, as we have presented it, Raavad would agree with Rambam to its unrestricted permissibility. (It is obvious from Raavad's comment that he disagrees with the commentators on Maimonides who imply that Maimonides's decision is a statement of principle without ramifications which he could well accept as does Tosafos.)

What we may conclude, therefore (Continued on Page 6)

Friday Night Service

(Continued from Page 5)

with regard to the time element in our Late Friday Services, is that it can be reduced, in very general terms, to a difference between *Chachmei Ashkenaz* and *Chachmei Sforad*, with Tosafos and *Rabeinu Asher* and most Ashkenazic authorities prohibiting, and Maimonides and some Sephardic authorities permitting.

This controversy becomes clearer when we recognize that it is further reducible to another disputation between these same Rishonim as to whether Tosefes Shabos Mdoireisoh. The Ashkenazic authorities, led by Rabenu Tam, maintain that the Tosefes Yom Hakipurim includes not only but also Issur M'Lachah and hence is operative at every occasion where the sanctity of the day implies a prohibition against work, such as Shabbos. Maimonides, however, restricts the Tosefes M'Doireisoh to Eenui and insists that it does not include M'Lochoh. Hence there is no Tosefes Shabbos M'Doireisoh.

We can go even further in this process of reduction and refer our contemporary problem of the Late Friday Service not only to a difference among the Rishonim, but to a difference of Amoraim. While Tosafos make their comments concerning Tosefes Shabos and Letzorech Mitzvoh on the opinion of R. Yoshiah and Shmuel that מתפלל של מוצ"ש בשבת thus indicating that their strict opinion is in accord with all the Talmudic sages engaged in this Talmudic discourse, the Netziv of Volozhin has another approach. In his מרומי השדה he points out that Rav permitted only the prevenience of Friday night Maariv on Fridays, not the Saturday night prayers on Saturdays. This latter view is expounded by Shmuel and R. Yoshiah. The question of then falls into the מוצ"ש בשבת shmuel, and is further reducible to the same matter Tosefes Shabos Mdoireisoh or Drabonon with the Ashkenazic sages deciding in favor of Rav and thus forbidding in favor of Rav and thus forbidding in favor of Rav and thus forbidding Shmuel in an unconditionally lenient decision in favor of the early Saturday night service.

[1] See notes of editor.]

[1) See notes of editor.]
Our Late Friday Service, from the point of view of its time-element and its effect on the problem of *Tosefes Shabos* is thus in issue in the controversy between Rav and the Ashkenazic *Rishonim* on one hand, and Shmuel and the Sephardic Rishonim on the other.

EDITOR'S NOTES

1) The accepted rule is הילכתא כרב Norman Lamm's article will be continued in the next issue.