"A JEWISH RESPONSE TO THE NEW MORALITY"

I. Israel: am, goy. But at beginning: mishpahah. Stability Jewish family (despite silly jokes). Now: divorce, alcoholism. delinguency, and inter-marriage. Story: Who needs a home?

> Now have to add: New morality. Two versions or components:

a) Hugh Heffner- Playboy - "bunnies"

- b) Sex community- personalistic and antitradition
- II. Heffner: bachelor morality "cool," impersonal, woman inferior: depersonalized to "thing"
- III. <u>Heffner's New Morality in Perspective</u>:

Two extreme views sex - both based on common source: Gnosticism -duality worlds, contempt this world. Hence against strongest involvement, which is sexual love. So: anti-sexual.

This antagonism expressed 2 opposite ways: abuse or nonuse, libertinism or asceticism, pagan-orgiastic or Christian-monastic.

Modern variants: pagan-Hafner; ascetic-religious celibacy. Both: anti-marriage.

IV. Our View:

Judaism: opposed paganism. Giluy arayot. Yehareg v'al yaavor. Reading on Yom Kippur. Perishah me'arayot. Also opposed: Christian - "Better marry than burn." Jesus and Paul bachelors.

Pro-marriage: Kiddushin- Humans sanctify, can desecrate. Jewish Morality based on home, family, marriage. Talmud -every successful marriage a triangle-Shechinah ish and ishah -- esh and Name of God. Kidushin - holy and Nisuin - elevated.

Purposes Marriage: 2 accounts of creation: perpetuation, companionship.

> a) Peru u'revu -Hillel * Shammai. Need for J. pop. explosion.

b) Lo tov heyot ha-adam levado. Raavad: Sex and sexuality..

Y.Z.Meklenburg -tov. Also: animals created with mates, man must yearn for one, earn her love. This=anti-Heffner, innate worth woman.

(Talmud-always realistic and unprudish-yet delicate, sensitive. HAKOL YODIN KALLAH LAMAH NIKHNESAM LE! CHUPPAH)

Judaism's Sex Theory: no contradiction betw. morality and sexuality.

Be'tzellem Elokim bara oto, zakhar u'nekevah bara otam The seven Blessings.

Two loves (of God, woman) reenforce each other: Akiva & Rachel.

Hence: Judaism unequivocally opposed to Heffner's "New Morality."

Remarkably, J. opposition to Playboy not only because latter exploitative--but: latter too anti-sexual!

V. <u>Second version</u>: sex community. Agrees Heffner: discard trad. moral restraints. But diametrically opposed on depersonalization. Emphasis on enhancement of <u>persona</u> as sole moral good.

<u>Judaism</u>- approves this noble aspect New Morality. Not that we need N. Morality to teach this; but any reminder in this cold world helps.

<u>View of woman</u>: not just function-bearer-- sex toy or baby-maker, but person.

Isaac Arama on Rachel: havah-li banim v'im ayin metah anokhi.

Jacob's harsh response: <u>Ishah</u> and <u>Havvah</u>.

Halakhah: unlike mod. legal codes, law of <u>ONAH</u>: sex as duty of husband, right of wife...

Iggeret Hakodesh and Vandervelde.

VI. Yet: Personalism alone insufficient.

NEW MORALITY idea of excusing lawlessness by endowing it with special significance (esteem, love) = secularized, humanistic version ancient paganism. Kedeshah; obscene cults. Judaism rejects both cultic, personalistic apology for immorality.

Objections to New Morality.

- A. Psychological. rationalization. Sanh.63: Yodin hayu yisrael be'akkum she'ein bah mammash, ve'lo avdu akkum ela le'hatir le'hem arayot be'farhesya. An O-U for treif acts.
- B. <u>Logical</u>. If "meaningful relationships" all then adultery, incest acceptable, every perversion. But then no family. And rejection family is sophomoric, not sophisticated.
- C. Religious. Antinomian Sabbatian "holy sin". Christianity fundamentally antinomian. So: British Council Churches (Oct. 66)- in effect permitting Lady Chatterly's Lover.

So: Episcopalian priests NYC (Nov. 67) - homosexuality "morally neutral" if "love." (Recent case Jewish Chaplain Columbia Univ. on premarital sex -- OK if "meaningful")

D. Moral: N. Morality neither new nor moral. Difference between ETHICAL (vs. man, derivatively vs. God) & MORAL (directly vs. God, thru fellow man). (Lev. 18:3 - "maaseh eretz mitzrayim...kenaan" Sifre: mitzrayim-hitivu maasehem; kenaan-kilkelu So: Egypt=ethical but immoral; Canaan=unethical).

Thus: New Morality, like old Egypt, may be ethical - but not moral.

End verse: <u>u-ve'hukkotehem lo telekhu --</u>
R. Jos. S. Nathanson: senseless sin...

VII. N. Morality founders on hedonism.

Judaism not against pleasure; but must conform to kedushah.

Unlike Christianity - no abyss between antipodes bodysoul, law-love, letter-spirit--and holinesshappiness.

Resolution these polarities: FAMILY PURITY.