

EXTENDED EDITION · GUIDE 03 OF 10

EXTENDED
EDITION

THE POUTINE2PROFITS WEALTH-BUILDING PLAYBOOK — EXTENDED EDITION

POUTINE2PROFITS EXTENDED LIBRARY

@poutine2profits

Premium paid edition. Educational content only — not financial advice.
Always do your own due diligence.

You know the four pillars. Now learn when to push each one — and what changes as you climb.

The free Playbook gave you the framework: Earn, Keep, Grow, Protect. The diagnostic. The Wealth Equation. The pillar deep-dives. It followed a young family from zero and showed the flywheel turning.

This Extended Edition is the strategic layer above that — the part nobody writes down because it only becomes visible after you've been in the game a while. How pillar priorities genuinely shift across decades (with the numbers to prove it). What to optimize at each net-worth milestone, and why the playbook that got you to \$100K will stall you at \$500K if you don't rewrite it. Why wealth plateaus at \$250K and \$500K — and the specific, mechanical moves that break through. The income-ceiling problem: when a raise stops being the answer and you need equity, a business, or a pivot — with decision criteria, not motivational slogans. The Protect pillar's advanced half: the insurance ladder, needs analysis done properly, and estate essentials for Canadians. The tax drag that shows up at higher incomes — bracket creep, clawbacks, incorporation — explained at a general-education level. And finally, the question most wealth content avoids: what is your "enough," so the machine has a destination.

Assume you've finished the free guide. We won't re-teach the diagnostic or the Wealth Equation — we'll use them.

PART 1: THE ADVANCED FRAMEWORKS — FOUR MODELS THE FREE GUIDE DIDN'T GIVE YOU

The free guide gave you pillars. These are the models for running the pillars across a lifetime. Each one is named so you can use it as a decision tool, not just an idea.

Framework 1: The Two-Game Model

Wealth building is not one game. It's two games, played back-to-back, with different scoreboards.

Game 1: The Savings Game (roughly \$0 → \$250K). The winner is decided by contributions. At \$100K of invested assets earning 7%, your portfolio generates about **\$7,000/year** — while a serious saver contributes \$20K–\$40K. Contributions are doing 3–5× the work of returns. The scoreboard is your **savings rate**. Optimizing investments here is rearranging deck chairs; the entire game is surplus size.

Game 2: The Compounding Game (roughly \$250K+). The winner is decided by behavior and drag. At \$500K, 7% growth is **\$35,000/year** — now rivaling or beating your contributions. The scoreboard shifts: your savings rate still matters, but **not breaking the machine** matters more. One panic-sell at \$500K costs more than a decade of fee optimization. Tax drag becomes a real line item. Asset location, account sequencing, and behavioral discipline are the levers.

The transition is the danger zone. Most people keep playing Game 1 long after Game 2 started — still chasing the next income bump while ignoring the \$8,000/year drag sitting in their portfolio. Or worse: they start playing Game 2 too early, obsessing over portfolio optimization with \$40K invested, when one career move would add more than their investments ever could.

Rule of thumb: if your annual contributions are more than 3× your portfolio's annual growth, you're in Game 1 — push Earn/Keep. If growth exceeds contributions, you're in Game 2 — push Grow/Protect.

Framework 2: The Marginal Dollar Map

"Where should my next dollar go?" The answer changes at every level of wealth, and standard advice pretends it doesn't. Here's the map — the priority order for each marginal dollar, by stage:

\$0 → \$100K (Game 1, survival + launch):

1. Kill consumer debt above ~7% (guaranteed return)
2. Emergency fund to 3 months (Protect before Grow — one emergency liquidates everything)
3. Registered accounts in order: employer match → FHSA → TFSA → RRSP
4. Taxable only after registered room is full

\$100K → \$500K (Game 1 late / Game 2 early):

1. Registered accounts still first — but now asset location matters (bonds/interest in RRSP, Canadian equities in taxable for the dividend tax credit, US equities in RRSP for treaty benefit — the Starter Kit Extended has the full treatment)
2. Debt: mortgage prepayment enters the conversation only after registered room is filled and the after-tax math beats ~5%
3. Insurance ladder review at each milestone (Part 6)
4. Begin the ceiling assessment (Part 5)

\$500K+ (Game 2):

1. Tax efficiency becomes a genuine lever — spousal strategies, prescribed-rate loans, corporate structures for business owners
2. Estate documents move from "someday" to "this quarter"
3. The marginal dollar starts splitting: some to the portfolio, some to optionality (skills, business equity, health) — because at this level the binding constraint is rarely money
4. Giving and legacy enter the map (strategic, not just charitable)

The map's insight: **the right move at \$50K is the wrong move at \$500K**, and vice versa. "Max your TFSA" is correct advice that becomes incomplete advice. Run the map against your current level annually.

Framework 3: The Optionality Ladder

Forget round numbers for a moment. Wealth is purchased freedom, and each rung buys a specific freedom. Name the rung you're climbing toward — it changes what you optimize:

Rung	Approx. level	What it buys	What to optimize
1. The Sleep-At-Night Fund	\$25K–\$50K liquid	No panic at a job loss or furnace	Emergency fund, no consumer debt
2. The Walk-Away Fund	~6–12 months expenses invested	You can quit a toxic job without a plan	Savings rate, first \$100K
3. Career Optionality	~\$250K invested	You can take a pay cut for the right role, or survive a 2-year business runway	Income ceiling assessment, Game 2 transition
4. Work Optional (lean)	~25× lean annual spending	Part-time / passion work covers the gap	Tax efficiency, withdrawal literacy
5. Full Independence	~25–30× full annual spending	Work becomes entirely voluntary	Decumulation, estate, legacy

Illustrative math: a household spending **\$70,000/year** needs roughly **\$1.75M** invested for full independence at a 4% withdrawal rate ($70,000 \div 0.04$). Spending **\$50,000/year**? About **\$1.25M**. The ladder's brutal lesson: **your "enough" is set by your spending, not your earning**. Every \$10,000 of permanent lifestyle inflation adds roughly **\$250,000** to the price of

freedom. That's the real cost of the bigger house — not the mortgage, the quarter-million added to the finish line.

Framework 4: The Bottleneck Rotation Protocol

The free guide taught bottleneck-first thinking. The advanced version is a rotation protocol — because bottlenecks move, and the most dangerous bottleneck is the one you fixed two years ago and stopped checking.

The protocol (run quarterly, 20 minutes):

1. Re-score all four pillars (free guide diagnostic — keep the sheet).
2. Identify the lowest score. That's the next 90 days. (Ties break toward the earlier pillar: Earn > Keep > Grow > Protect.)
3. Set ONE intervention for that pillar. Not three. One.
4. Schedule the next rotation date. When it arrives, re-score before deciding whether to continue.

Why rotation beats "set and forget": a common pattern — a household fixes Keep, the savings rate jumps to 20%, then they spend two years optimizing spending while their income stagnates and their portfolio sits in the wrong accounts. The fixed pillar became the comfortable pillar. Rotation forces you to leave what's working and attack what's now binding.

The 80/15/5 rule: spend 80% of your financial energy on the bottleneck pillar, 15% maintaining the other three, 5% learning. Maintenance is non-negotiable — an unmaintained pillar decays (insurance lapses, beneficiaries go stale, skills atrophy).

PART 2: LIFE-STAGE SEQUENCING – THE PILLARS CHANGE ORDER AS YOU AGE

The free guide presents the pillars in fixed order: Earn → Keep → Grow → Protect. Correct for starting. But the emphasis shifts by decade, and the shifts are bigger than most people expect.

Your 20s: EARN is ~80% of the game

Compounding needs decades, but in your 20s the contribution matters more than the return — there's little to compound. The highest-ROI activity is lifetime earning trajectory: skills,

credentials, network, positioning. A \$20K higher trajectory at 25 compounds into seven figures of lifetime earnings.

- **Keep:** automate 20%+ and forget it. Don't optimize spending to death — protect the earning energy.
- **Grow:** one simple index portfolio. Your edge is time, not sophistication.
- **Protect:** term life only if someone depends on your income. Disability insurance if your career is the asset (it is).

Your 30s: KEEP becomes the bottleneck

Income rises; lifestyle inflation arrives on schedule. This is the decade where the savings rate decides everything. Two people earning \$120K at 35 end up in wildly different places at 45 based on one variable: did the raises get saved or spent?

- **Earn:** the promotion track + first adjacent-income experiments.
- **Grow:** the portfolio crosses \$100K — asset location starts mattering.
- **Protect:** kids often arrive → the insurance ladder (Part 6) and the will (non-negotiable with dependents).

Your 40s: GROW takes the lead

The portfolio is now large enough that returns rival contributions. A \$400K portfolio at 7% generates **\$28,000/year** — matching many people's annual savings. Behavior matters more than ever: one panic-sell in your 40s costs more than a decade of fee optimization.

- **Earn:** peak earning years — maximize, but watch burnout. The marginal dollar is worth less than the marginal hour of health.
- **Keep:** hold the rate. Lifestyle is set; defend it from creep.
- **Protect:** estate documents, disability coverage review, aging parents enter the picture.

Your 50s: PROTECT and the decumulation preview

The question shifts from "how do I grow this?" to "how do I not break this?" Sequence-of-returns risk appears — a crash just before retirement hurts far more than one at 30. Start learning the withdrawal side, which you'll execute in your 60s.

The sequencing table:

Decade	Primary pillar	Secondary	Key risk
20s	EARN	Keep (automate)	Under-investing in skills
30s	KEEP	Earn (levers)	Lifestyle inflation
40s	GROW	Protect (insurance/estate)	Behavioral mistakes at scale
50s	PROTECT	Grow (de-risk glide)	Sequence-of-returns risk

WORKED EXAMPLE 1 — The decade-by-decade allocation case

Illustrative persona. All figures in CAD, approximate, for education.

Jordan, 24, junior analyst in Toronto, earning **\$68,000** (~\$50,000 take-home, illustrative). Starting net worth: **\$8,000**. Here's what correct pillar allocation looks like across the decades — same person, different game at each stage:

The 20s playbook (EARN 80%):

- Saves **\$800/month** (19% of take-home) into a simple index portfolio in TFSA. Not optimized — just automatic.
- Spends ~8 hours/week on career capital: a professional designation + visibility projects. Lands two job moves: \$68K → \$85K → \$104K by 29.
- By 30: income **\$104,000**, invested **~\$105,000**. The math: roughly \$58K contributed + ~\$47K growth. Note the ratio — contributions did the heavy lifting (Game 1, exactly as predicted).

The 30s playbook (KEEP is the bottleneck):

- Income: \$104K → \$138K by 39 (promotions + one strategic move).
- The critical move: **banking 70% of every raise**. Lifestyle rises modestly; savings rate climbs 19% → 27%.
- Annual contributions: ~\$28,000/year. By 40: invested **~\$430,000** (illustrative at ~7%).
- Asset location cleanup at \$250K: moves interest-bearing holdings into RRSP, recovers an estimated ~\$400/year in drag.

The 40s playbook (GROW leads):

- Income: \$138K → \$155K (ceiling visible — runs the Ceiling Assessment at 42, decides to stay employed but negotiates equity).

- Portfolio at 45: ~**\$700,000**. Annual growth at 7%: ~**\$49,000** — now exceeding annual contributions (~\$30K). Game 2 has begun.
- The behavioral test: a 25% market drop at 44. Portfolio falls ~\$175K on paper. Jordan does nothing — rebalances per the IPS, keeps contributing. That single non-decision is worth more than every optimization combined.

The 50s playbook (PROTECT + glide):

- Portfolio at 55: ~**\$1.4M** (illustrative). Begins a gradual de-risk: shifts ~10% toward fixed income over five years.
- Estate: will, POAs, beneficiaries all current. Insurance ladder stepped down as the mortgage shrank.
- The decumulation preview: models withdrawals — \$1.4M at 4% = ~**\$56,000/year** before tax. Knows the number years before needing it.

The lesson of Jordan's decades: at no point did the strategy require brilliance. It required the right pillar at the right time — EARN in the 20s when there was nothing to compound, KEEP in the 30s when raises arrived, GROW in the 40s when the balance got big enough to matter, PROTECT in the 50s when there was something to lose. Most people do the reverse: they optimize investments in their 20s, inflate lifestyle in their 30s, panic in their 40s, and discover estate planning in their 60s.

PART 3: MILESTONE PLAYBOOKS – WHAT CHANGES AT \$100K, \$250K, \$500K, \$1M

Each milestone is a gear change. The strategy that got you here is not the strategy that gets you there. Here's what to optimize at each level — and the traps that open up.

At \$100K: the machine works. Don't touch it.

The biggest risk at \$100K is sophistication — the urge to add complexity because the basics feel boring. Resist. The system that got you here works to \$250K unchanged.

The only legitimate upgrades at \$100K:

- **Asset location, first pass:** if you hold both RRSP and TFSA, put interest-bearing investments in the RRSP and keep it simple elsewhere.

- **Beneficiary check:** all registered accounts named correctly (10-minute task, Part 6).
- **Write the IPS if you haven't.** \$100K is where emotions start having dollar signs attached.

What NOT to do: individual stock picking "to speed things up," crypto allocations above fun-money size, or any product with a salesperson. At \$100K, Game 1 is still running — contributions dominate.

At \$250K: the plateau (and how to break it)

This is the most common stall in wealth building, and it's psychological as much as mathematical. The math: \$250K at 7% = **\$17,500/year** in growth — meaningful, but your contributions (\$24K–\$48K/year) still dominate. The plateau is usually a **savings-rate problem disguised as a returns problem**. People start chasing returns (stock tips, "opportunities," side bets) when the actual fix is the income lever.

The plateau diagnostic (run in order):

1. Has your savings rate dropped since \$100K? → Fix the rate first. This is the cause ~70% of the time in the cases we've seen described.
2. Has income grown but savings stayed flat? → Ratchet the raises: bank a fixed % of every increase automatically.
3. Are you spending energy on investments instead of income? → Wrong pillar. Game 1 is still on — go back to EARN.
4. Has lifestyle quietly ratcheted? → Big-three audit (housing, transport, food).

The specific plateau-breaker sequence (90 days):

- **Days 1-14:** Compute your actual savings rate from the last 3 months of bank data (not your budget — your reality). Compare to your rate at \$100K.
- **Days 15-30:** Big-three audit. Housing, transport, food. One structural cut beats twelve small ones.
- **Days 31-60:** Income lever — one concrete action (raise case, job-market test, or side-income launch). At \$250K, a \$15K raise banked at 50% = **\$7,500/year** of new surplus — equivalent to the returns on ~\$107,000 of invested capital.
- **Days 61-90:** Lock the new rate into automation. Re-run the diagnostic. Set the \$500K target date from the timeline table (Tool: Part 7).

WORKED EXAMPLE 2 — The plateau-breaker case

Illustrative persona. All figures in CAD, approximate, for education.

Samira (38) and Tom (40), Calgary. Household income **\$192,000**. Net worth **\$258,000** — and it has been between \$240K and \$265K for **three years**. Samira's words: "We earn more than ever and we're running in place."

Step 1 — the numbers (3-month actuals):

- Take-home: ~\$11,800/month (illustrative after tax/deductions)
- Spending: ~\$10,400/month → surplus **\$1,400/month** → savings rate **~12%**
- Three years ago at \$200K net worth: savings rate was **22%**. Income is up \$28K since then. Savings are down.

Step 2 — the diagnosis: textbook \$250K plateau. EARN 7/10, KEEP 4/10 (the bottleneck — collapsed from 7), GROW 6/10, PROTECT 6/10. They've been spending their financial energy on GROW (rebalancing debates, a crypto side-bet that lost \$9K) while KEEP bled out.

Step 3 — the 90-day break (bottleneck order):

KEEP (weeks 1-4): Big-three audit finds the leaks:

- Transport: two car payments totaling **\$1,050/month**. They sell Tom's financed SUV, buy a 4-year-old vehicle outright. Frees **\$590/month**.
- Food: \$1,650/month (delivery-heavy) → \$1,100 with a weekly meal-plan system. Frees **\$550/month**.
- Subscriptions + misc creep: **\$210/month** cut.
- Total freed: **~\$1,350/month**. Surplus: \$1,400 → **\$2,750/month**. Savings rate: 12% → **~23%**.

EARN (weeks 5-8): Samira runs the raise case at work — documented wins, market data.

Lands **+\$11K**. 70% banked automatically: +\$640/month surplus. Tom starts the ceiling assessment (Part 5) — 2 years of runway left, begins a consulting side project at 3 hrs/week.

GROW (weeks 9-12): The crypto side-bet is closed (lesson logged, not repeated). Asset location cleanup across TFSA/RRSP: ~\$300/year of recovered drag. IPS re-signed with a "no side-bets above 2%" rule.

Step 4 — the math of the break:

- Old trajectory: \$1,400/month at 7% for 10 years from \$258K → roughly **\$505,000**.
- New trajectory: \$3,390/month at 7% for 10 years from \$258K → roughly **\$965,000**.

- The plateau-break was worth an illustrative ~**\$460,000** over a decade. Not from a hot tip — from a car sale, a meal plan, and a raise.

At \$500K: the tax drag arrives

At this level, tax efficiency becomes a genuine wealth lever — not a rounding error. The free guide named tax drag; here's the dissection at a general-education level (not tax advice — validate with a CPA):

- **Asset location, full pass:** at \$500K, sensible placement of asset types across taxable/RRSP/TFSA can be worth **low five figures over a decade**. Interest-bearing assets in the RRSP, Canadian equities in taxable (dividend tax credit), US equities in the RRSP (treaty benefit on withholding tax).
- **RRSP vs. TFSA rebalancing:** high earners should re-run the split annually — the optimal mix shifts with income, and the answer at \$90K income differs from \$160K.
- **Spousal strategies for disparate incomes:** spousal RRSPs, pension income splitting in retirement, and prescribed-rate loans (a real, CRA-recognized structure — needs professional setup) for genuinely unequal earners.
- **Bracket awareness:** in Ontario, illustrative combined marginal rates climb from ~20% at low income to ~43-53% at high income (approximate; verify current brackets annually). Every dollar of deduction is worth more at higher brackets — which is why RRSP contributions get more valuable as income rises, and why the "TFSA vs RRSP" answer flips with income.
- **Clawback zone awareness:** OAS clawback begins at higher retirement incomes (threshold moves yearly — check the current figure); GIS has steep clawbacks at low income. These affect decumulation planning in your 50s, not accumulation in your 30s — but the RRSP-vs-TFSA decision you make now determines your exposure later.

WORKED EXAMPLE 3 — The \$500K tax-drag cleanup

Illustrative persona. All figures in CAD, approximate, for education.

Elena, 47, Vancouver. Income **\$172,000**. Invested assets **\$520,000** scattered across accounts with no location strategy: bonds in her taxable account, US equities in her TFSA, Canadian equities in her RRSP — roughly the reverse of efficient.

Step 1 — quantify the drag:

- \$90,000 of interest-bearing holdings in taxable at her marginal rate (~43% illustrative): interest taxed annually at full rates. Moving the bond allocation into her RRSP shelters that growth until withdrawal, when her rate will likely be lower.
- US equities in TFSA: 15% withholding tax on dividends, unrecoverable in a TFSA. In an RRSP, the Canada-US treaty eliminates it. On \$140,000 of US equity at a ~1.5% yield: ~**\$315/year** of unrecoverable withholding ($140,000 \times 0.015 \times 0.15$).
- Canadian equities in RRSP: the dividend tax credit is wasted inside an RRSP (withdrawals are fully taxable as income). In taxable, eligible dividends get preferential rates. On \$120,000 of Canadian equity at a ~3.5% yield: the credit is worth roughly **\$500-\$700/year** at her bracket (illustrative).
- **Total identified drag: ~\$1,200-\$1,500/year.** Over 15 years at 7%, that's roughly **\$30,000-\$38,000** of lost wealth — from account placement alone, with zero change in investments.

Step 2 — the fix (one weekend + one CPA hour):

- Reorganize holdings across accounts toward efficient placement (no selling needed in most cases — use new contributions and in-kind transfers where available).
- Annual review added to the wealth-review checklist: re-run the RRSP/TFSA split as income changes.
- Spousal RRSP opened: her partner earns ~\$65K. Equalizing retirement income reduces their combined lifetime tax — a 30-minute setup with decades of payoff.

The lesson: at \$500K, Elena's highest-ROI weekend wasn't earning more or finding better investments. It was placing the same investments in the right accounts. Game 2 in action.

At \$1M: the game changes shape

\$1M invested at a 4% withdrawal rate = **\$40,000/year** before tax — the first real taste of optionality. The pillars invert: PROTECT becomes primary (you have something to lose), GROW gets more conservative (you don't need home runs — you need to not break it), EARN becomes optional (work becomes choice).

The milestone checklist at \$1M adds the items earlier levels didn't need: decumulation literacy (which accounts to draw first, OAS/CPP timing), a professional estate-plan review, a deliberate insurance step-down (Part 7), and the "enough" worksheet (Part 8) — because without a destination, \$1M becomes \$1.2M becomes \$2M and the machine never stops.

PART 4: PLATEAU-BREAKERS – WHY WEALTH STALLS AND THE SPECIFIC MOVES THROUGH

Beyond the \$250K plateau, two more stalls deserve their own treatment.

The \$500K stall: complexity without strategy

At \$500K, stalls look different — the savings rate is usually fine. The stall comes from **drag and drift**: scattered accounts, no location strategy, fee creep (that "advisor" charging 1% = **\$5,000/year** on \$500K, every year), and behavioral near-misses. The breaker is a **full-accounts audit**: every account listed, every fee computed, every holding placed deliberately. One focused weekend, then the annual review keeps it clean.

The "high income, flat net worth" stall (any level)

Income up 40% in five years, net worth up 5%. Never an investing problem — a **Keep collapse hiding inside a lifestyle upgrade**. The breaker: pull 3 months of actual bank data, compare spending today vs. five years ago line by line, install the raise-ratchet at 70% on the next raise, and make one structural big-three cut. The stall is structural, so the fix must be structural. (Full diagnostic version: Failure mode 1, Part 9.)

The boredom stall

Wealth building gets boring between milestones — and boredom is expensive. Bored builders "optimize" (churn accounts, chase yield, angel-invest in a friend's startup). The breaker is **redirecting the energy, not suppressing it**: channel the itch into EARN (a business experiment, a skill) or into learning (the Deep-Value Playbook Extended), with a hard rule — the core portfolio is untouchable; experiments get a capped sandbox (2-5% maximum).

PART 5: THE INCOME CEILING – WHEN YOU NEED A BUSINESS, NOT A RAISE

Employment income has a ceiling. It's high, but it's real — set by your industry, your city, and the number of hours in a week. The free guide's EARN pillar covers the climb. This is about recognizing the ceiling and choosing what lies past it.

Signs you've hit the ceiling:

- Promotions now offer <8% raises for meaningfully more responsibility
- Your industry's top individual-contributor band is visible and you're near it
- You're trading health or relationships for the next increment
- Recruiters' offers cluster at your current number — the market has priced you

Past the ceiling, income comes from three doors:

1. **Equity in someone else's business** — senior roles with real equity, partnerships, profit-sharing. Still employed, but compensation includes ownership.
2. **Your own business** — freelancing scaled into an agency, a product, a practice. Higher variance, uncapped upside, and you own the equity.
3. **Capital income** — the portfolio and assets paying you. The destination, not the vehicle.

The honest framework for door #2: don't quit to "start a business." Start the business while employed, and quit when it covers **70% of your expenses for 6 consecutive months**. The 70%-for-6-months rule filters out both premature leaps and permanent hesitation.

The income-ceiling pivot decision tree

Run this annually once you're within sight of your industry's top band:

- **Q1: Is my income still growing faster than inflation + 3%?**
- **Yes** → Stay the course. Re-run in 12 months. Keep building the runway (skills, network, side experiments) while the main engine runs.
- **No** → Go to Q2.
- **Q2: Is there a visible next step (promotion, move, negotiation) worth 15%+ within 18 months?**
- **Yes** → Execute the promotion case. One focused push. If it lands, return to Q1 next year.
- **No** → Go to Q3. The ceiling is real.

- **Q3: Which door fits my situation?**

- **Door 1 (equity elsewhere):** best if you like your field, want lower risk, and can access senior/partner-track roles. Action: target roles with real equity — ask "what's the equity component?" in every senior conversation.

- Check: is the equity real (shares/options with a path to liquidity) or phantom (bonuses called "equity")? Price only the real kind.

- **Door 2 (own business):** best if you have a validated skill people pay for, 6+ months of expenses saved, and tolerance for variance. Action: start while employed (evenings/weekends), validate revenue before any leap.

- Check: do you have 3+ paying clients or 6 months of product revenue — not "interest," revenue? If no, you're at the experiment stage, not the leap stage.

- Check: does the 70%-for-6-months rule pass? If no, keep building.

- **Door 3 (capital income):** best if you're already at 15×+ annual spending invested. Action: shift focus to tax efficiency, withdrawal planning, and Protect — the portfolio is becoming the engine.

- Check: are you actually there, or is this a fantasy that avoids Q3's harder doors? Run the Optionality Ladder (Part 1) honestly.

- **Q4 (the gut check): Am I choosing the door, or avoiding the decision?**

- Another year of "research" with no revenue, no equity conversation, and no portfolio milestone is not a strategy — it's the ceiling wearing a disguise. Name the door, take one concrete step this quarter, and put the next tree run on the calendar.

WORKED EXAMPLE 4 — The ceiling decision, with math

Illustrative persona. All figures in CAD, approximate, for education.

Marcus, 41, senior IT manager in Ottawa. Salary **\$165,000** + 10% bonus (~\$181,500 total). He ran the tree: Q1 — no (raises ~3% for three years); Q2 — no (next level is director, 60-hour weeks, +12% — fails his trade test); Q3 — Door 2, a cloud-consulting practice. He has two retainer clients at \$4,000/month each from evening work. Here's the comparator, filled honestly:

Step 1 — the salary side, fully loaded:

- Gross: \$181,500
- Benefits (health/dental/disability/pension match): ~\$18,000 value
- True total comp: ~**\$199,500**

- Hours: $\sim 45/\text{week} \times 48 \text{ weeks} = 2,160 \text{ hrs} \rightarrow \text{effective hourly: } \sim \$92/\text{hr}$

Step 2 — the business side, honestly priced:

- Projected revenue (5 clients at \$4K/mo): \$240,000
- Business costs (accounting, insurance, software, home office): $\sim \$18,000$
- Benefits to self-purchase (health/dental/disability): $\sim \$9,000$
- Income volatility reserve (hold back 15%): $\sim \$33,000$
- Net comparable: $240,000 - 18,000 - 9,000 - 33,000 = \sim \$180,000$
- Hours: $\sim 50/\text{week} \times 48 = 2,400$ (selling + delivery + admin) $\rightarrow \text{effective hourly: } \sim \$75/\text{hr}$

Step 3 — the decision rule: business wins only if effective hourly $\geq 1.3 \times$ salary hourly (volatility + no benefits + unpaid admin deserve a premium). $1.3 \times \$92 = \$119.60/\text{hr}$ required. Marcus is at \$75/hr. **The business loses — today.**

Step 4 — what changes the math: at 7 clients (\$336K revenue), net comparable $\approx \sim \$252,000$, hourly $\approx \$105/\text{hr}$ — closer but still short. At 8 clients with one subcontractor handling delivery (Marcus at 40 hrs/week): revenue \$384K, costs $\sim \$90\text{K}$ (subcontractor + overhead + reserve), net $\approx \sim \$285,000$ on 1,920 hrs = $\sim \$148/\text{hr}$ — clears the bar, plus builds sellable equity.

The verdict: Marcus doesn't quit. He builds to 8 clients while employed (the 70%-for-6-months rule: business must cover $\sim \$5,600/\text{month}$ of his \$8,000 expenses — he's at \$8,000/month revenue already, so the coverage test passes; the hourly test doesn't yet). The tree turned a gut decision into a number: **8 clients, then leap.** That's what the ceiling framework is for — replacing "should I?" with "at what number?"

PART 6: TAX DRAG AT HIGHER INCOMES — THE DISSECTION

(GENERAL EDUCATION, NOT TAX ADVICE)

Tax is the only drag line that grows as you succeed. At \$60K income it's a footnote; at \$160K+ it's a second mortgage-sized line item. The general-education map below is not tax advice — validate everything with a CPA for your situation.

Bracket creep: the quiet raise-killer

Illustrative, Ontario, approximate — verify current brackets annually. Combined federal+provincial marginal rates rise in steps: roughly 20% → 30% → 33% → 40% → 43% → 48% → 53%+ as income climbs. Two consequences:

1. **Your raise is smaller than you think.** A \$20,000 raise at a 43% marginal rate = **\$11,400** in your pocket. Celebrate accordingly — and bank it before lifestyle does.
2. **Deductions get more valuable as income rises.** A \$10,000 RRSP contribution saves ~\$2,000 in tax at a 20% marginal rate and ~\$4,300 at 43%. This is why the TFSA-vs-RRSP answer flips with income: TFSA usually wins at lower incomes, RRSP usually wins at higher ones (with the usual decumulation caveats).

The clawback map (know the zones exist)

- **OAS clawback:** at higher retirement incomes, OAS gets clawed back (threshold adjusts yearly — check the current figure). Heavy RRSP/RRIF withdrawals in your 70s can push you into it. This is a decumulation problem created by accumulation decisions — the reason high earners in their 40s should already be thinking about the TFSA/RRSP mix.
- **Canada Child Benefit phase-out:** higher family net income reduces CCB — an effective marginal "tax" many parents don't see. Worth modeling before a second income jumps a threshold.
- **The general rule:** any benefit with an income test creates a hidden marginal rate in its phase-out zone. Map yours before making big income moves.

Incorporation: the high-level framework (business owners)

At roughly **\$150K+ of business income**, the incorporation question deserves a real analysis with a CPA. The moving parts, at a general level:

- **Small business tax rate:** active business income eligible for the small business deduction is taxed at a much lower combined rate (~12-13% illustrative, varies by province) up to the business limit — vs. 40-50%+ personally at high income. The catch: you pay personal tax when you take the money out. Incorporation defers tax; it doesn't eliminate it.
- **When deferral wins:** if you don't need all the income personally and can leave surplus in the corporation to invest — the classic "leave \$80K in the corp" scenario. The math favors incorporation when the deferral compounds for years.

- **When it doesn't:** if you need every dollar personally, incorporation adds cost (accounting, filings, complexity) for little benefit. And professional corporations, personal-services-business rules, and passive-income grind rules can change the picture — CPA territory.
- **The honest cost:** incorporation + annual accounting runs ~\$2,000–\$4,000/year illustrative. The tax benefit has to clear that hurdle and the complexity hurdle.

The bookkeeping reality for owners: whether incorporated or sole proprietor, the CRA expects clean records from dollar one. Before you pay an accountant to organize a shoebox, run your invoicing and expenses through proper software — **Wave** is built for Canadian freelancers and small business owners, with a free accounting tier that covers invoicing, receipts, and the records your accountant will need at year-end.

Not tax advice. Tax rules change — brackets, inclusion rates, and registered account rules move. The mechanics above are durable; the specific numbers need annual verification.

PART 7: PROTECT, ADVANCED – THE INSURANCE LADDER AND ESTATE ESSENTIALS

The free guide covered Protect basics. This is the rest — the part that matters more as the numbers get bigger.

7.1 The insurance ladder

Insurance needs aren't static — they rise and fall with obligations. The ladder:

Life stage	Life insurance	Disability	Critical illness
Single, no dependents	None needed	Yes — your income IS the asset	Optional
Partnered, no kids	Cover shared debts + 1 yr expenses	Yes	Consider
Young kids	10–12× gross income, term	Yes, own-occupation if available	Yes
Kids launched	Reduce as mortgage shrinks	Keep to ~60	Drop unless cheap

Life stage	Life insurance	Disability	Critical illness
Retired, self-sufficient	None (you're the beneficiary now)	N/A	N/A

Term, not permanent — for ~95% of families. Level term life insurance is the answer: cheap, simple, done. Permanent/whole life is an expensive product sold as an investment — the "investment" component underperforms a basic portfolio after fees, and the insurance component costs more than term. If an advisor pushes whole life, ask them to show the comparison in writing against term + investing the difference. Watch the silence.

Disability is the forgotten pillar. You're statistically more likely to be disabled than to die prematurely during working years. Employer coverage is often capped low (e.g., \$3K-\$5K/month, taxable). If your income exceeds the cap meaningfully, top up privately — own-occupation coverage if your profession allows it. This is the single most under-bought protection in the country.

7.2 Insurance needs-analysis worksheet (worked)

Don't buy a round number a salesperson suggests. Compute the need:

INSURANCE NEEDS ANALYSIS – Life insurance (term)

All figures illustrative.

Immediate needs (lump sums):

Final expenses + legal costs	\$	25,000	
Consumer debt payoff (excl. mortgage)	\$		
Mortgage balance (if covering)	\$	420,000	
Education fund top-up (per child)	\$	100,000	× 2 = \$200,000
SUBTOTAL immediate	\$		

Ongoing income need:

Annual family spending need	\$	72,000
Minus: survivor's income	\$	-55,000
Minus: other support (CPP survivor etc.)	\$	-8,000
Annual gap	\$	9,000
Years of support needed	×	18
Income need (simple; discount ignored)	\$	162,000

TOTAL NEED ≈ immediate + income need

Example: 25,000 + 420,000 + 200,000 + 162,000 = ~\$807,000

ROUND TO: \$800,000 of 20-year level term

(Illustrative premium, healthy non-smoker mid-30s: roughly \$40–\$60/month.

Verify with actual quotes – this is the order of magnitude that shocks people who were quoted \$400/month whole life for half the coverage.)

The ladder-down rule: re-run this analysis every 5 years. As the mortgage shrinks and kids age, the need falls — a \$800K need at 35 is often a \$300K need at 50. Ladder your term purchases (e.g., a 20-year + a 10-year policy) so coverage expires as the need does, instead of paying for protection you've outgrown.

7.3 Estate essentials — the Canadian checklist

Without a will, provincial intestacy rules decide who gets what — and with minor kids and no will, guardianship is decided by a court, not by you. The minimum, in order:

The 3-document minimum:

- [] **Will** — names guardians for minor kids, distributes assets, names the executor. Cost: ~\$300–\$800 via a lawyer for a straightforward situation, or ~\$100–\$200 via reputable online will services. Either beats dying intestate.

- [] **Power of attorney (property)** — someone to manage your finances if you're incapacitated. (Called a "mandate" in Quebec, with different rules — Quebec readers need Quebec-specific advice.)
- [] **Power of attorney (personal care)** — someone to make health decisions if you can't.

The estate-essentials checklist (beyond the 3 documents):

- [] Beneficiaries confirmed on ALL registered accounts (TFSA, RRSP, FHSA) and insurance policies — these pass outside the will, so a stale beneficiary overrides your will
- [] Someone (spouse, executor, trusted person) knows where everything is: account list, passwords (via a password manager with emergency access), the will's location
- [] Guardianship for minor kids documented in the will — plus a conversation with the chosen guardians (not just a name on paper)
- [] Common-law partners: know your province's rules — common-law does not equal married for intestacy in most provinces. A will is even more critical.
- [] Blended families / special-needs dependents / US assets: get real legal advice — templates don't cover these
- [] Review trigger list: review the will every 5 years AND after marriage, divorce, births, deaths, major asset changes, or moving provinces
- [] Digital assets: list crypto holdings, online businesses, and valuable accounts somewhere the executor can find — unfindable assets are lost assets

Beneficiary hygiene — the 10-minute annual task: log into each registered account and insurance policy once a year and confirm the beneficiary is who you think it is. Divorce, remarriage, and new kids are when this breaks. Real pattern, repeatedly seen: an ex-partner still named on an RRSP years after the marriage — the designation wins over the will.

7.4 The "define your enough" worksheet

A wealth machine without a destination doesn't stop — it just keeps you running. Fill this in. Revisit annually.

DEFINE YOUR ENOUGH – Worksheet (illustrative figures)

1. ANNUAL SPENDING TARGET (in today's dollars)

Core lifestyle (housing, food, transport, basics): \$ _____

Joy spending (travel, hobbies, generosity): \$ _____

TOTAL annual spending target: \$ _____

2. THE NUMBER

Full independence $\approx$ annual spending $\times$ 25–30

My enough number: \$ _____ $\times$ 28 = \$ _____

(Use 30 if retiring early / conservative; 25 if later / flexible.)

3. THE GAP

Invested assets today: \$ _____

Enough number: \$ _____

Gap: \$ _____

Years to close at current savings rate: _____

(Check against the milestone timeline table, Part 8.)

4. WHAT EACH RUNG BUYS ME (from the Optionality Ladder, Part 1)

Rung I'm on: _____

Next rung: _____ – what specifically changes: _____

5. THE NON-NUMBER PART

When I hit my number, I will: _____

(If the answer is "keep going," you don't have a number – you have a habit.)

Work I would do for free: _____

What "enough" protects me FROM: _____

What "enough" is FOR: _____

6. THE GUARDRAILS

Lifestyle inflation cap (max annual spending growth): _____%

"One more year" test: if offered a lucrative extra year of full-time work past my number, I would say: _____ (yes/no/depends on _____)

The psychology the worksheet is fighting: the goalpost moves. At \$500K you wanted \$1M; at \$1M you'll want \$1.5M "for safety." That's not prudence — it's an undefined target. The worksheet doesn't stop ambition; it aims it. You can always set a bigger number deliberately. The enemy is the number that grows by itself.

WORKED EXAMPLE 5 — The "enough" case (decumulation preview + estate)

Illustrative persona. All figures in CAD, approximate, for education.

David (56) and Renee (54), Halifax. Invested assets **\$1.15M** (\$700K RRSPs, \$250K TFSAs, \$200K taxable). House paid off. Combined spending: **\$68,000/year**.

Step 1 — the enough math:

- Enough number: $\$68,000 \times 28 = \sim \1.9M for full independence at their spending.
- Gap: $\$1.9\text{M} - \$1.15\text{M} = \textbf{\$750,000}$. At \$30K/year savings and 6% illustrative growth: roughly **11-12 years** — landing them at 67/65. Tight but plausible.
- Or: trim spending to \$58,000/year → enough number **~\$1.62M** → gap \$470K → roughly **8 years**. A \$10K spending trim bought **3-4 years of freedom**. The Optionality Ladder's lesson, quantified.

Step 2 — the decumulation preview (learning the withdrawal side early):

- They model drawing \$68K/year: TFSAs first or last? (General principle: taxable first, then RRSP/RRIF, TFSA last — but OAS clawback and their specific brackets change the answer. They book the CPA hour now, at 56, instead of discovering the clawback at 72.)
- CPP timing modeled: taking CPP at 70 vs 65 is worth roughly **~40%+ more monthly** (illustrative) — but only if the bridge years are funded. They are.
- Sequence-of-returns risk: with retirement ~8-10 years out, they begin a gradual de-risk glide — not market timing, a pre-committed plan.

Step 3 — the estate half (the advanced Protect move at this level):

- Wills + POAs done properly with a lawyer (blended-family considerations on Renee's side — template won't cut it).
- Beneficiaries confirmed on all five registered accounts. One TFSA still named David's late father — caught and fixed.
- Executor conversation had: their daughter knows where the documents are, has password-manager emergency access, and knows the CPA's name.
- Insurance ladder stepped down: the \$500K term from the kid years now costs more than it's worth relative to a \$1.15M portfolio — they let it lapse deliberately, documented the reasoning.

The lesson: David and Renee's highest-value work at \$1.15M wasn't growing faster — it was defining the destination and defending the arrival. The enough worksheet gave them a finish line; the estate work made sure the finish line survives contact with reality.

PART 8: THE TOOLKIT – CALCULATORS, CHECKLISTS, AND THE ANNUAL REVIEW

Tool 1: Net-worth milestone timeline table

Illustrative: assumes steady annual contributions and constant average returns. Reality will differ — markets don't move in straight lines. Read the table as "order of magnitude," not promise.

Years to reach each milestone from \$0:

Annual savings	Return	\$100K	\$250K	\$500K	\$1M
\$12K/yr	5%	~7.1	~14.6	~23.1	~33.7
\$12K/yr	7%	~6.8	~13.3	~20.2	~28.4
\$24K/yr	5%	~3.9	~8.6	~14.6	~23.1
\$24K/yr	7%	~3.8	~8.1	~13.3	~20.2
\$24K/yr	9%	~3.7	~7.7	~12.3	~18.1
\$36K/yr	7%	~2.6	~5.9	~10.0	~16.0
\$48K/yr	7%	~2.0	~4.6	~8.1	~13.3
\$48K/yr	9%	~2.0	~4.5	~7.7	~12.3

How to read it — three brutal truths:

1. **Doubling your savings rate beats chasing returns.** \$24K/yr at 5% reaches \$1M in ~23 years; \$12K/yr at 9% takes ~25. The saver wins with worse returns. Surplus first, always.
2. **The first \$100K is the longest mile.** At \$24K/yr and 7%, the first \$100K takes ~3.8 years; the next \$900K takes ~16. Compounding does the heavy lifting later — your job early is feeding it.
3. **Find your cell, then find the cell one row up.** The table's real use: locate your current savings rate, then ask "what would one row up cost me?" — that's your raise-ratchet target.

Tool 2: The milestone checklist (run at every milestone)

MILESTONE: \$_____ (100K / 250K / 500K / 1M) DATE: _____

- ☐ Savings rate recomputed from 3-month actuals: _____%
- ☐ Next milestone target date set (from timeline table): _____
- ☐ Asset location reviewed (at \$100K+; full pass at \$500K+)
- ☐ Fees computed: weighted MER _____% → annual \$_____
- ☐ Beneficiaries confirmed on all registered accounts + insurance
- ☐ Will / POAs current (mandatory review with dependents at every milestone)
- ☐ Insurance ladder checked against life stage (Part 7.1)
- ☐ IPS reviewed and re-signed (one line: what changed?)
- ☐ Ceiling assessment run (30s–40s, Part 5)
- ☐ Enough worksheet revisited (Part 7.4)
- ☐ ONE pillar to push for the next 90 days: _____
- ☐ One structural drag item killed this milestone: _____

Tool 3: The annual wealth review (60 minutes, every January)

ANNUAL WEALTH REVIEW – Year: _____

PART A: THE NUMBERS (20 min)

Net worth Jan 1: \$_____ Net worth Dec 31: \$_____

Change: \$_____ (of which: contributions \$_____ / growth \$_____)

Savings rate (annual actual): _____%

Weighted portfolio MER: _____%

Personal drag number (Part: drag audit): \$_____ → drag rate _____%

PART B: THE PILLARS (15 min)

Re-score all four pillars: E /10 K /10 G /10 P /10

Bottleneck for Q1: _____ → one intervention: _____

Compare to decade emphasis (Part 2): aligned? Y/N – adjustment: _____

PART C: THE STRATEGIC LAYER (15 min)

Milestone progress: \$_____ → next: \$_____ by _____

Ceiling check (30s–40s): headroom \$_____ / door being prepared: _____

Tax: RRSP/TFSA split still optimal? Y/N – CPA hour booked? Y/N

Estate: will/POAs/beneficiaries current? Y/N – review due? _____

Enough worksheet: number still \$_____? Rung: _____

PART D: THE ONE DECISION (10 min)

The single highest-leverage financial decision for this year:

(If you can't name one, the bottleneck protocol in Part 1 decides for you.)

Tool 4: The personal drag audit (annual)

PERSONAL DRAG AUDIT – Annual (illustrative categories)

Category	Annual cost
Fees (weighted MER × portfolio)	\$ _____
Tax drag (withholding, poor location)	\$ _____
Consumer debt interest	\$ _____
Insurance overpayment (whole vs term, dupes)	\$ _____
Subscription / bill creep	\$ _____
Lifestyle inflation (unplanned)	\$ _____
TOTAL DRAG	\$ _____
DRAG RATE = total ÷ gross income = _____%	

Under 5%: excellent. 5–10%: normal – the audit just found your next 90 days.

10–20%: the drag is a second lifestyle. Over 20%: structural emergency.

10-YEAR DRAG: annual drag × ~13.8 (10 yrs at 7%, illustrative) = \$ _____

(A \$6,000/yr drag ≈ \$83,000 in lost decade wealth – that's the number that makes people act.)

PART 9: TROUBLESHOOTING – WHEN IT DOESN'T WORK

Six failure modes, diagnosed the way a mechanic diagnoses an engine: symptoms, root cause, fix.

Failure mode 1: "Income up, net worth flat"

Symptoms: earning 30–50% more than five years ago; net worth barely moved; savings rate unknown or "around 10%."

Root cause: lifestyle inflation absorbed the raises — the Keep pillar collapsed while EARN looked like the hero. This is the single most common mid-journey failure.

Fix: (1) compute the actual savings rate from 3 months of bank data; (2) compare spending today vs. five years ago, line by line — the delta names the leak; (3) install the raise-ratchet retroactively on the next raise at 70%; (4) one structural big-three cut. The stall is structural, so a budgeting app won't fix it — a structural change will.

Failure mode 2: "Analysis paralysis — I research instead of acting"

Symptoms: six months of ETF comparisons, zero dollars invested; or endless "business ideas" with no revenue. Knowledge accumulating, wealth not.

Root cause: research feels like progress without the risk of being wrong. It's procrastination in a lab coat.

Fix: the **72-hour rule** — any financial decision researched for 72 hours gets decided, not researched further. Good enough beats optimal-but-never-executed: a simple one-fund portfolio started today beats the perfect portfolio started next year. For business ideas: the test is revenue, not research — one paying customer ends the debate.

Failure mode 3: "Partner misalignment"

Symptoms: one partner saves, the other spends; money fights; the plan exists in one head only.

Root cause: no shared destination. Two people optimizing different targets will fight about every tactic.

Fix: (1) each partner fills in the Enough worksheet (Part 7.4) separately, then compares — the conversation is about the destination, not the spending; (2) agree on the savings rate as a number, automate it on payday, then give each partner a no-questions-asked personal spending allowance; (3) quarterly money date (30 min, the annual review's Part B as a template). Automation removes 90% of the friction — you can't fight about money that's already invested.

Failure mode 4: "All four pillars score 6+ and nothing's moving"

Symptoms: optimized everywhere, growing nowhere. The machine hums; the needle doesn't move.

Root cause: you've hit the optimization ceiling of your current game. At 6+ across the board, the answer is almost always EARN — specifically the ceiling question (Part 5). Optimization has diminishing returns; the next step-function is income.

Fix: run the Ceiling Assessment. If there's headroom, one focused 12-month income push. If you're at the ceiling, name the door (equity / business / capital) and take the first concrete step this quarter. Optimized stasis is the ceiling wearing comfortable clothes.

Failure mode 5: "The windfall / lump sum problem"

Symptoms: inheritance, bonus, or property sale lands — and it evaporates into lifestyle within 18 months, or sits in cash for years "waiting for the right time."

Root cause: no pre-committed plan for money that arrives outside the system. Windfalls bypass every automation you've built.

Fix: the **windfall protocol**, decided before the money arrives: (1) park it in a HISA for 90 days — no decisions while emotional; (2) then split by rule: 50% to the marginal-dollar map (Part 1), 30% to the bottleneck pillar, 20% guilt-free spending (planned, not leaked). Write the protocol down now, even with no windfall in sight — future-you will not be rational about found money.

Failure mode 6: "Protect keeps getting postponed"

Symptoms: no will at 40 with kids; disability coverage "on the list" for two years; beneficiaries from a previous decade.

Root cause: Protect tasks have no deadline and no dopamine — they lose to everything urgent.

Fix: reframe and time-box. The will isn't about death, it's about guardianship — a parenting decision. Book the lawyer appointment this week (90 minutes, done). Beneficiaries: 10 minutes, this weekend, all accounts. Disability: one quote request today. Protect tasks are small; they only feel big because they're queued behind everything else. Move them to the front of one single week and they're done for years.

Failure mode 7: "I did everything right and the market crashed"

Symptoms: portfolio down 25–30%; the urge to sell, "wait it out in cash," or abandon the plan.

Root cause: none — this is the plan working as designed. Volatility is the fee, not the fine.

Fix: (1) do not sell — re-read your IPS (you signed it for exactly this moment); (2) rebalance into the drop if your IPS says so — that's the mechanism buying low; (3) keep contributions flowing — every payday purchase is now on sale; (4) zoom out: every historical crash recovered for the diversified investor who didn't interrupt compounding. The investors who got hurt weren't the ones who held through — they were the ones who sold at the bottom and never got back in. Your only job in a crash is inaction on the sell side, action on the buy side.

PART 10: EDGE CASES + WHAT THE STANDARD ADVICE GETS WRONG

Edge case 1: The late starter (starting at 45+)

Standard advice assumes a 25-year-old. At 50 with \$60K saved, the math is harsher — but the levers are bigger. Catch-up levers: (1) savings rate is everything — 35–40% for a decade can still build a respectable base (the timeline table works at any age); (2) extend the timeline — working to 67 instead of 60 adds 7 years of contributions and compounding; (3) housing is the lever — downsizing or relocating can inject six figures at once; (4) CPP/OAS become a larger share of the plan — model them seriously instead of treating them as a bonus. What doesn't work: taking extra investment risk to "catch up" — that's how late starters become never-starters.

Edge case 2: The variable-income household (contractors, sales, seasonal)

"Save 20% on payday" assumes a payday. Variable income needs a different architecture: (1) compute the trailing-12-month average monthly income — that's the planning number; (2) in good months, bank the surplus into a holding account first, then pay yourself the average monthly "salary" from it; (3) set the automated investing off the average, not the peak; (4) keep a larger cash buffer (6 months, not 3) — it's the shock absorber that makes the automation survive bad quarters. The system bends; it doesn't break.

Edge case 3: Supporting aging parents (the sandwich decade)

Standard models assume your surplus is yours. When parents need support, the Keep pillar takes a real hit — and guilt makes it worse. The framework: (1) put the support on budget as a line item, not a leak — what gets named gets managed; (2) cap it as a % of income, reviewed annually — unbounded support sinks two generations; (3) spend time on their optimization first — are they getting every benefit they're entitled to (GIS, provincial supplements, caregiver credits)? A \$400/month benefit they weren't claiming is \$400/month you don't have to cover; (4) protect your own retirement contributions as non-negotiable — becoming a burden on your kids later doesn't help anyone.

Edge case 4: Immigration / cross-border complexity

Newcomers and cross-border workers face a different board: foreign asset reporting, departure/arrival tax years, US-person complications (FBAR, PFIC rules that can make Canadian mutual funds/ETFs punitive for US citizens), and credentials that don't transfer. Standard domestic advice misfires here. The rule: get one hour with a cross-border accountant before making big moves — the cost of the hour is trivial next to the cost of a PFIC mistake or a misfiled departure return. Then run the standard playbook with clean information.

What the standard advice gets wrong (4 myths)

Myth 1: "Your house is your best investment."

Your house is a consumption asset with leverage — you live in it, which is valuable, but the "investment" framing justifies over-buying. The math that matters: every extra \$100K of house is \$100K not compounding in your portfolio, plus interest, tax, and maintenance. Buy the house that fits the life; build wealth in the portfolio. (House-hacking — where the property genuinely produces income — is the exception, not the rule.)

Myth 2: "Pay off the mortgage before investing, always."

At 6%+ mortgage rates, prepayment is a strong contender. At 3%, it's usually a wealth destroyer versus long-term investing — a guaranteed 3% vs. an expected ~7%. The honest answer is a comparison, not a commandment: compare the after-tax mortgage rate to expected returns, adjust for your risk tolerance, and split the difference if you're torn. "Always" is doing a lot of wrong work in this sentence.

Myth 3: "You need \$2M to retire."

You need ~25–30× your spending — and the financial industry benefits from the number sounding huge and vague. Someone spending \$45K/year needs roughly **\$1.1–\$1.35M**; someone spending \$100K needs **\$2.5–\$3M**. The round number is meaningless without your spending attached. Fill in the Enough worksheet (Part 7.4) — your number is personal, and it's probably not \$2M.

Myth 4: "Diversification means owning lots of things."

Owning 40 stocks, 3 cryptos, a rental condo, and a friend's startup isn't diversification — it's a collection. Diversification means owning assets that behave differently in different conditions. Ten Canadian bank and telecom stocks is one bet wearing ten hats. A globally diversified index fund is more diversified than most "sophisticated" portfolios. Complexity is not diversification; uncorrelation is.

PART 11: YOUR 30/60/90-DAY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Concrete weekly actions. Check them off.

DAYS 1-30: DIAGNOSE + FIRST STRIKE

- **Week 1:** Re-run the full diagnostic (free guide Part 3). Score all four pillars. Name the bottleneck out loud. Pull 3 months of bank data and compute your actual savings rate. Compare it to the rate you thought you had.
- **Week 2:** Fix the bottleneck's lowest-hanging fruit. KEEP → big-three audit, one structural cut identified. EARN → one concrete income action (raise case drafted, job-market test, or side-income offer made). GROW → confirm all long-term money is actually invested; compute your weighted MER. PROTECT → beneficiary check on all accounts (10 min each).
- **Week 3:** Run the Milestone Checklist (Part 8, Tool 2) for your current level. Set the next milestone date from the timeline table. Write your IPS (or re-sign it with one updated line).
- **Week 4:** If in your 30s-40s, run the Ceiling Assessment (Part 5). Name the door you're preparing. Take one concrete step toward it. Book the will/POA appointment if you have dependents and no documents.

DAYS 31-60: SYSTEMS + THE DRAG HUNT

- **Week 5:** Install or upgrade automation: payday transfers sized to the savings rate you committed to. The raise-ratchet: set a calendar reminder to capture 70% of the next raise before it lands.
- **Week 6:** Run the personal drag audit (Part 8, Tool 4). Compute the drag rate. Attack the top two lines — fees and the biggest leak. Get competing term-life/disability quotes if the ladder says you're under-covered.
- **Week 7:** Asset location pass: list every account, every holding, and check placement against the \$500K playbook (Part 3) — do the first-pass version at any level. One CPA hour if income is \$150K+ or you own a business.
- **Week 8:** Fill in the Enough worksheet (Part 7.4). Find your cell in the timeline table, then the cell one row up. That row-up is now the target. Partner money date: share the destination, agree the rate, set the allowances.

DAYS 61-90: LOCK IT + LOOK AHEAD

- **Week 9:** Bottleneck Rotation Protocol (Part 1, Framework 4): re-score all four pillars. Has the bottleneck moved? If yes, rotate — 80% of energy goes to the new bottleneck for the next 90 days.
 - **Week 10:** Estate essentials push: will signed (or appointment completed), POAs done, executor conversation had, digital-asset list created. This is the week Protect gets permanently closed as an open loop.
 - **Week 11:** The income-ceiling tree (Part 5), run in full. Document the verdict: headroom \$_____, door being prepared, one action this quarter. If Door 2: define the leap number (the 70%-for-6-months figure and the hourly-rate target).
 - **Week 12:** Full review: net worth vs. 90 days ago, savings rate actual vs. target, drag rate, milestone date still realistic? Write the one-paragraph "state of the machine" summary. Schedule the annual wealth review for January and the next quarterly rotation. You're now running the system — the rest is repetition.
-

PART 12: FAQ – THE QUESTIONS BUYERS ACTUALLY ASK

1. "I'm at \$80K net worth. Is this edition too advanced for me?"

No — but read it in the right order. Parts 1-2 (frameworks, life-stage sequencing) and the timeline table apply at any level; they'll change how you think about the climb you're already on. The \$500K tax material and decumulation sections are preview, not homework — skim them so you recognize the gear changes when they arrive. The edition pays for itself if it stops one premature sophistication mistake (Part 3, "at \$100K: don't touch it").

2. "How is this different from just reading the free guide twice?"

The free guide is the machine's owner's manual. This edition is the strategy guide for operating it across decades: pillar sequencing by decade, milestone gear-changes, plateau-breakers, the business-vs-salary math, the insurance ladder, estate essentials, tax drag, and defining "enough." None of that is in the free guide.

3. "The timeline table says 20+ years to \$1M. Isn't that discouraging?"

Read the table correctly: the first \$100K is the longest mile, and the table assumes a fixed savings rate. In reality, income grows and the rate ratchets — you cut diagonally across rows, not down one. The table is the floor for anyone running the income lever, not the ceiling.

4. "Term or whole life? My advisor is pushing whole life hard."

For ~95% of families: term. Whole life's "investment" component underperforms a basic portfolio after fees, and the insurance costs more than term for the same coverage. An advisor pushing whole life is often paid a large upfront commission on it — ask them to put the term-plus-invest-the-difference comparison in writing. If they won't, you have your answer. (Genuine exceptions exist — estate-planning edge cases, special-needs dependents — and those need licensed advice, not a sales pitch.)

5. "Should I incorporate my side business?"

Below ~\$150K of business income you don't need personally, usually not — the accounting costs (~\$2–4K/year illustrative) and complexity outweigh the benefit. Above that, with surplus you can leave in the corporation to compound, the small-business tax deferral can be significant. Either way, it's a CPA question, not a Google question — one hour of professional analysis beats ten hours of forum research. See Part 6 for the framework.

6. "My partner and I fight about money. Will a system fix that?"

A system fixes the mechanical 90%: automate the agreed savings rate on payday, give each partner a no-questions-asked allowance, and most fights evaporate because there's nothing left to fight about. The remaining 10% is values — and that's what the Enough worksheet conversation (Part 7.4) is for. Fight about the destination once, agree it, then let automation handle the journey. See Failure mode 3 (Part 9).

7. "I'm 52 and starting late. Is this hopeless?"

No, but the strategy changes — see Edge case 1 (Part 10). The levers: a high savings rate sustained for 10–15 years, extending the timeline a few years, housing as a one-time lever, and taking CPP/OAS modeling seriously. What doesn't work: swinging for high-risk returns to "catch up." The math rewards steady contributions at any age; it punishes desperation at every age.

8. "How do I know when I've hit the income ceiling vs. just being comfortable?"

Run the tree in Part 5 annually. The objective tests: income growth vs. inflation + 3%, a visible 15%+ step within 18 months, and recruiter offers clustering at your number. Comfort feels like the ceiling but isn't — the difference is whether the market has more to offer you. If three independent signals say the market is topped out for your role, it's the ceiling. If you just haven't asked, tested, or pushed in two years, it's comfort — and the fix is a 12-month income sprint, not a business.

9. "Do I really need a will if everything has beneficiaries and joint ownership?"

Beneficiaries and joint ownership handle the accounts — they don't name guardians for your kids, choose an executor, handle personal effects, cover digital assets, or deal with anything that slips through (refunds, lawsuits, forgotten accounts). With minor kids, the guardianship clause alone justifies the will. ~\$300-\$800 with a lawyer, 90 minutes of your time, reviewed every 5 years. It's the highest-value hour in the entire Protect pillar.

10. "What should I do in a market crash — really, step by step?"

(1) Nothing, for 72 hours — no decisions while emotional. (2) Re-read your IPS; it was written for this. (3) Rebalance if the IPS calls for it — that's the mechanism buying low. (4) Keep contributions flowing — payday money now buys more. (5) Don't check the balance daily — check the plan quarterly. (6) Remind yourself: every historical crash recovered for diversified investors who didn't sell. The crash isn't the risk. You, panicking, are the risk. See Failure mode 7 (Part 9).

RECOMMENDED TOOLS

Some links in this guide are affiliate links — if you sign up through them, Poutine2Profits may earn a commission at no extra cost to you.

- **Questrade** — Canadian discount brokerage for the GROW pillar: TFSA, RRSP, FHSA, and taxable accounts with low fees, so more of your compounding stays yours.
- **Wave** — bookkeeping for business owners and freelancers. If the income-ceiling chapter has you building equity instead of chasing raises, clean books from day one make the CPA conversations (and the tax filings) dramatically cheaper.
- **KOHO** — Canadian no-fee banking for the KEEP pillar: a spending account plus interest-earning savings in one app. Your cash buffer and sinking funds shouldn't be paying monthly fees for the privilege of sitting there.

GO FURTHER

This edition gave you the strategy layer. Three places to go deeper, depending on where your bottleneck moved:

- **Your bottleneck is income or the \$100K→\$250K bridge → The Complete First \$100K Blueprint — Extended Edition:** the acceleration layer — income stacking, the raise-ratchet system, and the specific bridge from first \$100K to \$250K.
- **Your bottleneck is Grow — the portfolio itself → The Advanced Deep-Value Investing Playbook — Extended Edition:** the full investing system for when the Grow pillar is carrying real weight — valuation, position sizing, and the behavioral edge.
- **Your bottleneck is systems and automation → The Step-by-Step Money System Implementation Guide — Extended Edition:** the complete automation architecture — account plumbing, payday flows, and the maintenance routines that make the machine run itself.

Want done-with-you help? Reading about the ceiling decision is one thing; running your numbers — your comparator, your enough worksheet, your plateau diagnostic — with someone who's done it hundreds of times is another. **1:1 Financial Coaching (\$149)** is the accelerator: bring your specific situation, leave with your specific next move. → <https://buy.stripe.com/3cl00l0bjU3VW0Ez37V9oc00>

Want the whole machine built for you? Money System Consulting (\$499) is the full build-out: your accounts, automation, insurance ladder, estate checklist, and 90-day plan — architected around your life, not a template. → <https://buy.stripe.com/14A4gBcnY3VWcnhfUH9oc01>

Educational content only — not financial advice. Always do your own due diligence.