



## **AGENDA ITEM NO. 6.A.**

DATE: January 27, 2022  
TO: Board of Directors  
FROM: Tammy Rudock, General Manager

---

**SUBJECT: CONVERSION FROM AN AT-LARGE ELECTORAL SYSTEM FOR MEMBERS OF THE MPWD BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO A SYSTEM BASED ON FIVE (5) DIVISIONS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA VOTING RIGHTS ACT**

---

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

1. Receive Presentation by MPWD Consultant Redistricting Partners.
2. Open the Public Hearing.
3. Receive Public Input on MPWD Draft Maps and Order of Elections.
4. Review Remaining Dates, Steps, and Processes for Transitioning to Division-Based Elections.
5. Close the Public Hearing.

### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Contract w/Redistricting Partners (for on-site meetings-MAX)	\$42,000
Plus 10% Contingency	<u>\$ 4,200</u>
Total Project Budget	\$46,200

Estimated advertising costs for publishing public hearing notices in the Daily Journal—two times each month from January 2022 through March 2022 (\$6,000); and John Davidson's time for website management of this project (\$2,500).

### **BACKGROUND:**

#### ***What is the California Voting Rights Act?***

The California Voting Rights Act (CRVA) takes the principles of the Federal Voting Rights Act and expands it. The CRVA prohibits the use of at-large election systems in local government if there is racially polarized voting.

- "At-large" is defined as anything other than a system in which an elected official resides and is only elected by members of that district (zone or division).
- "Racially polarized voting" is determined through an analysis that quantifies the amount of voting, which can be shown to be correlated to race.

#### ***MPWD Electoral System***

- The MPWD Board of Directors is currently comprised of five (5) Directors who serve four-year staggered terms and are elected in even-numbered years, pursuant to California Water Code Sections 30500 and 30501, and California Elections Code Section 10505.
- The MPWD's enabling legislation (California Water Code Sections 30292 et seq.) mandated that the Directors be elected at-large.

- In 2020, the Board had no information as to whether polarized voting existed in the MPWD service area; however, it decided to be proactive and forego the process of obtaining demographic data to make that determination, and instead voluntarily chose to change the electoral system to a zone-based system from its current at-large system to ensure the fairest and most-inclusive elections process.
- There was not sufficient time for the MPWD to meet the Department of Elections deadline of June 1, 2020, for an electoral system change for the November 2020 election. Therefore, the MPWD intended to have its Directors elected by new zones beginning in November 2022. Three (3) Director positions are set to be on the ballot in November 2022 and the remaining two (2) in November 2024.
- Due to the global COVID-19 emergency pandemic the U.S. 2020 Census results were not expected to be timely delivered by the July 31, 2021, deadline. In fact, the census data was not published until September 2021.

Here is a timeline of past Board action and activities related to the MPWD electoral conversion process:

June 25, 2020: The Board approved Resolution 2020-19 Approving the Intention to Change from At-Large to Zone-Based Elections for Election of Members of the Board of Directors Commencing in November of 2022. (Attached for information.)

May 27, 2021: The Board approved a contract for professional services between the MPWD and Redistricting Partners (RP) for the Zone-Based Election Process Demography, and a total project budget in the amount of \$46,200.

October 28, 2021: The Board received a presentation by Consultant Redistricting Partners of two (2) draft preliminary Division maps for MPWD November 2022 Board Member Elections.

### **DISCUSSION:**

In continuance of its electoral conversion process, this evening the Board will hold the first of three (3) public hearings scheduled to solicit input regarding the MPWD's proposed Division maps. Consultant Redistricting Partners will make its presentation based on the data compiled from the 2020 U.S. Census.

The attached Public Hearing Notice was posted at the MPWD and its website on January 19, 2022. It was e-blasted on Nextdoor throughout Belmont and neighboring communities (including San Carlos). And it was published in the Daily Journal on January 21, 2022, and January 26, 2022.

The following was lifted from the notice and highlights the public hearing schedule and planned activities and actions:

#### **Public Hearing Schedule**

*Thursday, January 27, 2022, at 6:30PM*

*Thursday, February 24, 2022, at 6:30PM*

*Thursday, March 24, 2022, at 6:30PM*

At the first public hearing on January 27, 2022, the MPWD, with the assistance of its demographer consultant, will present the updated census data for the MPWD's service area, identification of significant neighborhoods and communities, and two (2) draft maps of the five (5) potential election

divisions. The MPWD is interested in gathering input on the proposed composition, population, geography, and communities of interest.

The second public hearing scheduled for February 24, 2022, will allow for a second presentation of MPWD draft map options and further opportunity to provide input. One of the map options will be considered for further discussion.

The third and final public hearing scheduled for March 24, 2022, the Board of Directors will review the final draft map and consider approval.

The draft maps and related information to the MPWD's redistricting process were made available for public review in advance of the scheduled public hearing and posted at the MPWD's website:

[www.midpeninsulawater.org](http://www.midpeninsulawater.org)

For information, the City of Belmont initiated its redistricting process after receiving a July 30, 2021, letter from a southern California attorney alleging violations under the CRVA due to using an at-large election system. The City's first public hearing on January 11, 2022, presented the process and gathered public input. The next public hearing is scheduled on January 25, 2022. A draft map will be presented on February 8, 2022, and an outreach presentation on February 19, 2022. A second map will be presented on February 22, 2022, and a final vote and reading of an ordinance is scheduled on March 8, 2022. The City Counsel has expressed interest in an at-large directly elected Mayor.

Attachment: MPWD Resolution 2020-19  
MPWD Public Hearing Notice posted on January 19, 2022  
PowerPoint Presentation by Redistricting Partners, including Draft Maps

---

BOARD ACTION: A PPROVED:\_\_\_\_\_ DENIED:\_\_\_\_\_ POSTPONED:\_\_\_\_\_ STAFF DIRECTION:\_\_\_\_\_

UNANIMOUS\_\_\_\_\_ WHEELER\_\_\_\_\_ VELLA\_\_\_\_\_ ZUCCA\_\_\_\_\_ MOSTASISA\_\_\_\_\_ SCHMIDT\_\_\_\_\_

**RESOLUTION NO. 2020-19**

**APPROVING THE INTENTION TO CHANGE FROM AT-LARGE TO  
ZONE-BASED ELECTIONS FOR ELECTION OF MEMBERS OF THE  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS COMMENCING IN NOVEMBER OF 2022**

\* \* \*

**MID-PENINSULA WATER DISTRICT**

**WHEREAS**, the Mid-Peninsula Water District is a Water District duly organized and operating pursuant to the County Water District Law, California Water Code sections 30000 to 33901 et seq.; and

**WHEREAS**, the California Legislature in the County Water District Law provided for the formation, governance, and operation of County Water Districts, and the Mid-Peninsula Water District was established in 1929 with boundaries including the City of Belmont, portions of the City of San Carlos, and portions of unincorporated San Mateo County; and

**WHEREAS**, under the District's enabling legislation (Cal. Water Code sections 30000 to 33901), the Board of Directors (Board) of the Mid-Peninsula Water District (District) provides for the development and provision to its customers of a sufficient quantity of high quality water in the most cost-effective, reliable, and environmentally sensitive manner; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board seeks to manage the District in a manner to maximize efficiency and to ensure delivery of quality services to residents of the district it serves; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board currently is comprised of five (5) directors who serve four-(4) year staggered terms and are elected in even-numbered years pursuant to Cal. Water Code sections 30500 and 30501, and Cal. Elections Code section 10505; and

**WHEREAS**, the District's enabling legislation (Cal. Water sections 30292 et seq.) mandated that the directors be elected at large; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board desires to be as responsive a body as possible to the needs of the water district it serves; and

**WHEREAS**, voter participation in the election process for Board members is of the utmost importance, and the Board desires to encourage the fullest voter participation in elections of Board members; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board desires that its members represent the interests and wishes of all residents within the jurisdiction of the District, and that the community have confidence that the Board continues to represent the interests and wishes of the District; and

**WHEREAS**, while the Board has no information as to whether polarized voting exists in the District, the Board has elected to forego the process of obtaining demographic data to make that determination, and instead desires to change the electoral system for election to the District Board to a zone-based system from the current at-large system to ensure the fairest and most-inclusive electoral system; and

**WHEREAS**, it is the District's intention to establish five (5) zones which: are in compliance with one person, one vote requirements; are intended to ensure the opportunity of the District's minority groups with respect to their effective exercise of the electoral franchise; and, include consideration of topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity and compactness of territory, non-disturbance to existing precincts for purposes of implementation, as well as maintaining vital communities of interest; and

**WHEREAS**, in moving to zone elections, the District's Board desires to engage the community in the dialogue as to how the zones should be drawn and in what order they should elect new directors; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board intends to authorize legal counsel to retain a demographer to assist the District in drawing maps for zone-elections; and

**WHEREAS**, the District will hold several duly-noticed hearings throughout the District to hear from the community on questions of zone boundaries and the order of zone elections; and

**WHEREAS**, those in the District's service area, this County, the State of California, these United States, and the world now face a pandemic that has disrupted the public health, commerce, and lives of all people; and

**WHEREAS**, in March 12, 2020 and March 17, 2020 Emergency Orders, the Governor specifically recognized the impracticality of engaging in activities requiring public participation by allowing public entities to hold public meetings telephonically thereby relaxing some provisions of the Brown Act, and by suspending deadlines for those entities already engaged in the process of converting their systems for electing board or council members from at-large systems to district or zone-based systems; and

**WHEREAS**, the District must provide its information to the San Mateo County Department of Elections for the November 2020 election before June 1, 2020; and

**WHEREAS**, there is insufficient time for the District to meet the Department of Elections deadline given the need to schedule at least five (5) public hearings and the ongoing pandemic; and

**WHEREAS**, the United States is currently conducting a nationwide census, the results of which reportedly will be sent to states by July 31, 2021, although this deadline may be delayed by as a result of the ongoing pandemic; and

**WHEREAS**, in order to incorporate the census results into the decisions regarding establishment of zones and order of elections, the District intends to have its directors elected from the new zones beginning in November 2022; and

**WHEREAS**, three (3) Director positions are set to be on the ballot in November of 2022, and the remaining two (2) in November of 2024.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

1. The Board hereby resolves and announces its intent to change the system of election of its Board of Directors from at-large to a zone-based system;
2. The District will hold at least two (2) public hearings in accordance with Cal. Elections Code section 10010 to discuss and seek public input on the proposed boundaries of the zones or in the zone-based system;
3. The District will utilize the services of a demographer to assist it in the drawing of zones for the new proposed zone-based system;
4. It is the District's intention to establish five (5) zones which: are in compliance with one person, one vote requirements; are intended to ensure the opportunity of the District's minority groups to exercise effectively the electoral franchise; and, include consideration of topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity and compactness of territory, non-disturbance to existing precincts for purposes of implementation, as well as maintaining vital communities of interest;
5. The District will draw one or more draft maps and publish at least the first draft map in accordance with Cal. Elections Code section 10010 before consideration at its first public hearing at which maps are considered;
6. Any revised draft map to be considered at a subsequent public hearing will be published in accordance with Cal. Elections Code section 10010 before the subsequent public hearing;
7. In any event, maps will be considered at a minimum of three (3) public hearings;
8. It is the District's intent to have its directors elected from the new zones beginning in November 2022;
9. In determining the final sequence of zone or district elections – that is, which three (3) zones will be on the ballot in 2022 and which two (2) zones will be on the ballot in 2024, the District shall give special consideration to the purposes of the California Voting Rights Act – Cal. Elections Code section 14025 et seq.;



10. The District intends to determine and set the new zones for election of members of its Board and set the final sequence of elections from those zones, as soon as practicable, but in time such that the first three (3) zones can elect Directors in November of 2022;
11. The date of the commencement of the public hearing process necessarily depends on the timing of the availability of the results of the U.S. census, but the District's intention is to commence said process as soon as the census data becomes available;
12. The District will complete its process ninety (90) days after it commences its process; and
13. The Board directs the General Manager to take all necessary steps to facilitate the implementation of zone boundaries for election of Directors to the District.


**REGULARLY PASSED AND ADOPTED** this 25th day of June 2020.

**AYES:** Directors Schmidt, Vella, Wheeler, Zucca

**NOES:** Director Warden

**ABSTENTIONS:** 0

**ABSENCES:** 0

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRESIDENT

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BOARD SECRETARY



## **NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

The Mid-Peninsula Water District (MPWD) has resolved to change the way in which members of its Board of Directors are elected. Beginning in November 2022, members of the MPWD Board of Directors will be elected from divisions within the MPWD service area, as opposed to at-large elections.

The MPWD welcomes the public to participate in the three (3) public hearings listed below and provide input in the creation of the five (5) election divisions that comply with both the Federal and California Voting Rights Acts. The public hearings will be held during a scheduled regular Board meeting that will be **virtual**. Meeting link information will be available at the MPWD website at [www.midpeninsulawater.org](http://www.midpeninsulawater.org), or by contacting General Manager Tammy Rudock at [tammyr@midpeninsulawater.org](mailto:tammyr@midpeninsulawater.org) or 650-591-8941.

### **Public Hearing Schedule**

*Thursday, January 27, 2022, at 6:30PM*

*Thursday, February 24, 2022, at 6:30PM*

*Thursday, March 24, 2022, at 6:30PM*

At the first public hearing on January 27, 2022, the MPWD, with the assistance of its demographer consultant, will present the updated census data for the MPWD's service area, identification of significant neighborhoods and communities, and two (2) draft maps of the five (5) potential election divisions. The MPWD is interested in gathering input on the proposed composition, population, geography, and communities of interest.

The second public hearing scheduled for February 24, 2022, will allow for a second presentation of MPWD draft map options and further opportunity to provide input. One of the map options will be considered for further discussion.

The third and final public hearing scheduled for March 24, 2022, the Board of Directors will review the final draft map and consider approval.

The draft maps and related information to the MPWD's redistricting process will be available for public review in advance of the scheduled public hearings and posted at the MPWD's website: [www.midpeninsulawater.org](http://www.midpeninsulawater.org)

In November 2022, three (3) MPWD Board positions will be up for election.

Posted on January 19, 2022



# Redistricting Data & Draft Maps



# What is Redistricting

## Definition

Redistricting is the process of adjusting district lines every 10 years after the release of the U.S. Census. The well-known examples are Congress and the legislature.

Within the U.S., redistricting has become an extremely politicized process and has been the subject of more high-profile Supreme Court decisions than any other part of our elections system.

# Traditional Redistricting Principles

Preventing a Districting from Becoming a Gerrymander

There are a number of criteria that have been used nationally and upheld by courts.

- Relatively equal size – people, not citizens
- Contiguous – districts should not hop/jump
- Keep districts compact – appearance/function
- Maintain “*communities of interest*”
- Follow city/county/local government lines

# Draft Map Options

- Draft Plan 1
- Draft Plan 2

**REDISTRICTING  
PARTNERS** Mid Peninsula Water District  
Draft Plan 2

**2020 Census**


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	5,098	5,804	6,136	5,820	5,720
Deviation	-44		298	2	-48
Deviation %	-0.8%	-0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	-0.8%
Other	3,196	3,391	4,052	3,399	3,638
Other %	62.7%	58.7%	66.0%	57.0%	63.6%
Latino	481	880	960	722	1,089
Latino %	9.4%	15.2%	15.6%	12.4%	19.0%
Asian					
Asian %					
Black					
Black %					

**Citizen Voting Age**

Total CVAP	
Other CVAP %	
Latino CVAP	
Latino CVAP %	
Asian CVAP	
Asian CVAP %	
Black CVAP	
Black CVAP %	

Mid Peninsula Water District  
Draft Plan 2

REDISTRICTING  
PARTNERS



**REDISTRICTING  
PARTNERS** Mid Peninsula Water District  
Draft Plan 1

**2020 Census**


	1	2	3	4	5
Population	6,092	5,701	5,695	5,664	5,936
Deviation	274	-37	-422	-64	78
Deviation %	4.5%	-0.7%	-0.7%	-1.1%	1.3%
Other	3,083	3,348	3,015	3,608	3,362
Other %	50.6%	58.7%	52.9%	63.7%	56.6%
Latino	1,061	854	799	490	946
Latino %	17.4%	15.0%	14.0%	8.6%	15.9%
Asian	1,947				
Asian %	31.9%				
Black	101				
Black %	1.7%				

**Citizen Voting Age Popul**

Total CVAP	3,306
Other CVAP	2,186
Other CVAP %	66.2%
Latino CVAP	374
Latino CVAP %	11.3%
Asian CVAP	703
Asian CVAP %	21.3%
Black CVAP	72
Black CVAP %	2.2%

Mid Peninsula Water District  
Draft Plan 1


REDISTRICTING  
PARTNERS





**REDISTRICTING  
PARTNERS**

## Mid-Peninsula Water District Draft Plan 1



## 2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	6,092	5,701	5,695	5,664	5,936
Deviation	274	-117	-123	-154	118
Deviation %	4.7%	-2.0%	-2.1%	-2.6%	2.0%
Other	3,083	3,348	3,015	3,688	3,362
Other %	50.6%	58.7%	52.9%	65.1%	56.6%
Latino	1,061	854	791	490	546
Latino %	17.4%	15.0%	13.9%	8.7%	9.2%
Asian	1,847	1,444	1,779	1,453	1,961
Asian %	30.3%	25.3%	31.2%	25.7%	33.0%
Black	101	55	110	33	67
Black %	1.7%	1.0%	1.9%	0.6%	1.1%

## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,306	3,680	3,449	3,759	3,971
Other CVAP	2,156	2,564	2,277	2,475	2,680
Other CVAP %	65.2%	69.7%	66.0%	65.8%	67.5%
Latino CVAP	374	433	355	297	442
Latino CVAP %	11.3%	11.8%	10.3%	7.9%	11.1%
Asian CVAP	703	654	708	980	815
Asian CVAP %	21.3%	17.8%	20.5%	26.1%	20.5%
Black CVAP	73	28	110	8	34
Black CVAP %	2.2%	0.8%	3.2%	0.2%	0.9%




## 2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	6,092	5,701	5,695	5,664	5,936
Deviation	274	-117	-123	-154	118
Deviation %	4.7%	-2.0%	-2.1%	-2.6%	2.0%
Other	3,083	3,348	3,015	3,688	3,362
Other %	50.6%	58.7%	52.9%	65.1%	56.6%
Latino	1,061	854	791	490	546
Latino %	Total Deviation of 7.3%				9.2%
Asian					1,961
Asian %	30.3%	25.3%	31.2%	25.7%	33.0%
Black	101	55	110	33	67
Black %	1.7%	1.0%	1.9%	0.6%	1.1%

## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,306	3,680	3,449	3,759	3,971
Other CVAP	2,156	2,564	2,277	2,475	2,680
Other CVAP %	65.2%	69.7%	66.0%	65.8%	67.5%
Latino CVAP	374	433	355	297	442
Latino CVAP %	11.3%	11.8%	10.3%	7.9%	11.1%
Asian CVAP	703	654	708	980	815
Asian CVAP %	21.3%	17.8%	20.5%	26.1%	20.5%
Black CVAP	73	28	110	8	34
Black CVAP %	2.2%	0.8%	3.2%	0.2%	0.9%



## 2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	5,598	5,804	6,136	5,820	5,730
Deviation	-220	-14	318	2	-88
Deviation %	-3.8%	-0.2%	5.5%	0.0%	-1.5%
Other	3,196	3,291	4,052	3,319	2,638
Other %	57.1%	56.7%	66.0%	57.0%	46.0%
Latino	491	880	560	722	1,089
Latino %	8.8%	15.2%	9.1%	12.4%	19.0%
Asian	1,850	1,576	1,482	1,680	1,896
Asian %	33.0%	27.2%	24.2%	28.9%	33.1%
Black	61	57	42	99	107
Black %	1.1%	1.0%	0.7%	1.7%	1.9%

## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,725	3,796	3,971	3,717	2,956
Other CVAP	2,542	2,607	2,618	2,626	1,758
Other CVAP %	68.2%	68.7%	65.9%	70.7%	59.5%
Latino CVAP	395	461	311	344	390
Latino CVAP %	10.6%	12.1%	7.8%	9.3%	13.2%
Asian CVAP	757	705	1,031	634	732
Asian CVAP %	20.3%	18.6%	26.0%	17.1%	24.8%
Black CVAP	31	24	10	112	76
Black CVAP %	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%	3.0%	2.6%

## 2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	5,598	5,804	6,136	5,820	5,730
Deviation	-220	-14	318	2	-88
Deviation %	-3.8%	-0.2%	5.5%	0.0%	-1.5%
Other	3,196	3,291	4,052	3,319	2,638
Other %	57.1%	56.7%	66.0%	57.0%	46.0%
Latino	491	880	560	772	1,089
Latino %	Total Deviation of 9.3%				19.0%
Asian					1,896
Asian %	33.0%	27.2%	24.2%	28.9%	33.1%
Black	61	57	42	99	107
Black %	1.1%	1.0%	0.7%	1.7%	1.9%

## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,725	3,796	3,971	3,717	2,956
Other CVAP	2,542	2,607	2,618	2,626	1,758
Other CVAP %	68.2%	68.7%	65.9%	70.7%	59.5%
Latino CVAP	395	461	311	344	390
Latino CVAP %	10.6%	12.1%	7.8%	9.3%	13.2%
Asian CVAP	757	705	1,031	634	732
Asian CVAP %	20.3%	18.6%	26.0%	17.1%	24.8%
Black CVAP	31	24	10	112	76
Black CVAP %	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%	3.0%	2.6%

# Redistricting Process

## Timeline for Upcoming Meetings

January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2022

Board Meeting on Draft Maps

February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022

Board Meeting on Draft Maps

March 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022

Final Vote on Maps




**REDISTRICTING  
PARTNERS**





**REDISTRICTING  
PARTNERS**

## Mid-Peninsula Water District Draft Plan 1




## 2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	6,092	5,701	5,695	5,664	5,936
Deviation	274	-117	-123	-154	118
Deviation %	4.7%	-2.0%	-2.1%	-2.6%	2.0%
Other	3,083	3,348	3,015	3,688	3,362
Other %	50.6%	58.7%	52.9%	65.1%	56.6%
Latino	1,061	854	791	490	546
Latino %	17.4%	15.0%	13.9%	8.7%	9.2%
Asian	1,847	1,444	1,779	1,453	1,961
Asian %	30.3%	25.3%	31.2%	25.7%	33.0%
Black	101	55	110	33	67
Black %	1.7%	1.0%	1.9%	0.6%	1.1%


## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,306	3,680	3,449	3,759	3,971
Other CVAP	2,156	2,564	2,277	2,475	2,680
Other CVAP %	65.2%	69.7%	66.0%	65.8%	67.5%
Latino CVAP	374	433	355	297	442
Latino CVAP %	11.3%	11.8%	10.3%	7.9%	11.1%
Asian CVAP	703	654	708	980	815
Asian CVAP %	21.3%	17.8%	20.5%	26.1%	20.5%
Black CVAP	73	28	110	8	34
Black CVAP %	2.2%	0.8%	3.2%	0.2%	0.9%



## Division 1



## 2020 Census




## Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
6,092	274	4.7%	3,083	50.6%	1,061	17.4%	1,847	30.3%	101	1.7%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,306	2,156	65.2%	374	11.3%	703	21.3%	73	2.2%		





## Division 2



## 2020 Census




## Citizen Voting Age Population




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
5,701	-117	-2.0%	3,348	58.7%	854	15.0%	1,444	25.3%	55	1.0%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,680	2,564	69.7%	433	11.8%	654	17.8%	28	0.8%		

## Division 3





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
5,664	-154	-2.6%	3,688	65.1%	490	8.7%	1,453	25.7%	33	0.6%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,759	2,475	65.8%	297	7.9%	980	26.1%	8	0.2%		

## 2020 Census




## Citizen Voting Age Population








## District 4



## 2020 Census




## Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
5,695	-123	-2.1%	3,015	52.9%	791	13.9%	1,779	31.2%	110	1.9%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,449	2,277	66.0%	355	10.3%	708	20.5%	110	3.2%		




## Division 4





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
5,664	-154	-2.6%	3,688	65.1%	490	8.7%	1,453	25.7%	33	0.6%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,759	2,475	65.8%	297	7.9%	980	26.1%	8	0.2%		


## 2020 Census




## Citizen Voting Age Population





## Division 5




## 2020 Census



## Citizen Voting Age Population



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
5,936	118	2.0%	3,362	56.6%	546	9.2%	1,961	33.0%	67	1.1%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,971	2,680	67.5%	442	11.1%	815	20.5%	34	0.9%		






## 2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5
Population	5,598	5,804	6,136	5,820	5,730
Deviation	-220	-14	318	2	-88
Deviation %	-3.8%	-0.2%	5.5%	0.0%	-1.5%
Other	3,196	3,291	4,052	3,319	2,638
Other %	57.1%	56.7%	66.0%	57.0%	46.0%
Latino	491	880	560	722	1,089
Latino %	8.8%	15.2%	9.1%	12.4%	19.0%
Asian	1,850	1,576	1,482	1,680	1,896
Asian %	33.0%	27.2%	24.2%	28.9%	33.1%
Black	61	57	42	99	107
Black %	1.1%	1.0%	0.7%	1.7%	1.9%

## Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)


	1	2	3	4	5
Total CVAP	3,725	3,796	3,971	3,717	2,956
Other CVAP	2,542	2,607	2,618	2,626	1,758
Other CVAP %	68.2%	68.7%	65.9%	70.7%	59.5%
Latino CVAP	395	461	311	344	390
Latino CVAP %	10.6%	12.1%	7.8%	9.3%	13.2%
Asian CVAP	757	705	1,031	634	732
Asian CVAP %	20.3%	18.6%	26.0%	17.1%	24.8%
Black CVAP	31	24	10	112	76
Black CVAP %	0.8%	0.6%	0.3%	3.0%	2.6%

## Division 1





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
5,598	-220	-3.8%	3,196	57.1%	491	8.8%	1,850	33.0%	61	1.1%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,725	2,542	68.2%	395	10.6%	757	20.3%	31	0.8%		


## 2020 Census



## Citizen Voting Age Population




## Division 2





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
5,804	-14	-0.2%	3,291	56.7%	880	15.2%	1,576	27.2%	57	1.0%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,796	2,607	68.7%	461	12.1%	705	18.6%	24	0.6%		

## 2020 Census




## Citizen Voting Age Population






## Division 3





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
6,136	318	5.5%	4,052	66.0%	560	9.1%	1,482	24.2%	42	0.7%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,971	2,618	65.9%	311	7.8%	1,031	26.0%	10	0.3%		


## 2020 Census



## Citizen Voting Age Population




## Division 4





Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
5,820	2	0.0%	3,319	57.0%	722	12.4%	1,680	28.9%	99	1.7%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
3,717	2,626	70.7%	344	9.3%	634	17.1%	112	3.0%		


## 2020 Census



## Citizen Voting Age Population




## Division 5



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
5,730	-88	-1.5%	2,638	46.0%	1,089	19.0%	1,896	33.1%	107	1.9%
Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %		
2,956	1,758	59.5%	390	13.2%	732	24.8%	76	2.6%		

## 2020 Census



## Citizen Voting Age Population

