# REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE MID-PENINSULA WATER DISTRICT

August 27, 2015 Belmont, California

# 1. OPENING

### A. Call to Order:

The regular meeting of the Mid-Peninsula Water District Board of Directors was called to order by President Linvill at 6:30 PM.

B. Pledge of Allegiance - The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Director Warden.

#### C. Establishment of Quorum:

PRESENT: Directors Linvill, Stuebing, Vella, Warden, and Zucca.

ABSENT: None

A quorum was present.

ALSO PRESENT: General Manager Tammy Rudock, Operations Manager Rene Ramirez, District Engineer Joubin Pakpour, and District Treasurer Jeff Ira. District Counsel Joan Cassman arrived at 6:55PM.

Administrative Services Manager/District Secretary Candy Pina was absent. General Manager Rudock served as District Secretary during her absence.

#### 2. PUBLIC COMMENTS

None.

### 3. AGENDA REVIEW: ADDITIONS/DELETIONS AND PULLED CONSENT ITEMS

General Manager Rudock reported that Pages 4 and 5 in the July 23, 2015 Minutes were published inadvertently in reverse order. Agenda Item 8.Bb, the word "repaving" needs to be removed from the title. The Board approved these corrections to the agenda.

## 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS/PRESENTATIONS

General Manager Rudock acknowledged Henry Young's 15-Year Service Anniversary. Operations Manager Ramirez reported that he acknowledged his service time and accomplishments at the luncheon given in his honor.

# 5. CONSENT AGENDA

- A. Approve Minutes for the Regular Board Meeting of July 23, 2015.
- B. Approve Expenditures from July 17, 2015, through August 19, 2015. Vice President Zucca moved to approve the Consent Agenda as modified by the comments on the minutes, Director Stuebing seconded, and it was unanimously approved.

#### 6. HEARINGS AND APPEALS

None.

# 7. DROUGHT AND WATER CONSERVATION

# A. Water Conservation Progress Report

General Manager Rudock summarized her written report. The MPWD received from the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) a letter congratulating and thanking the MPWD for exceeding the 20% goal so far.

She distributed SWRCB compliance reports and summarized what to expect if the goal is not achieved.

MPWD still has one non-compliant rate payer who continues to water daily. Staff is working with District Counsel on levels of formal compliance notices.

The state implemented an on-line water waste reporting tool, but the MPWD has not received any complaints through it. Staff finalized an on-line reporting tool for MPWD's website, which is targeted for launch by the end of the month.

MPWD continues to work very closely with the Belmont Parks and Recreation Department to find additional ways to reduce water usage. General Manager Rudock also reported that there were opportunities for the MPWD to work with them on several small areas identified in their annual reporting for conversion from turf to drought tolerant plants.

Vice President Zucca mentioned he would like to see MPWD partner with Parks and Recreation to help reduce water consumption as long as it is consistent and makes sense for both agencies' priorities, then he would have no objections.

At Director Vella's request, General Manager Rudock discussed the Gallons per Capita per Day (GPCD), because according to the report, MPWD is on the higher end of the spectrum. MPWD was at 81.1 GPCD for July. She pointed out that 55 GPCD was the indoor standard cited by the SWRCB. She reported that MPWD customers were at 123 GPCD in July 2013 and at 106 GPCD in July 2014. This reflected progress over the 3-year period, even though it was not as low as some other Districts. Director Vella was concerned that because MPWD was a BAWSCA agency, MPWD needed to reduce more since BAWSCA will be looking for those lower numbers from their agencies.

General Manager Rudock mentioned that the Lawn-Be-Gone program was budgeted at \$30,000 for this fiscal year and that level of funding would be exhausted in the next couple of months because MPWD has two very large commercial Lawn-Be-Gone applications from PG&E on Industrial Road totaling approximately \$50,000, and an apartment HOA that submitted the first phase of the their planned turf removal totaling approximately \$20,000. A question was asked whether there is a difference in the administration of residential versus commercial applications, and General Manager Rudock responded that there was no difference and each is eligible to receive \$2 per square foot with no cap.

# 8. REGULAR BUSINESS AGENDA

A. Progress Report on MPWD 2015 Strategic Plan and Process Follow-Up by Julie Brown

General Manager Rudock summarized how she organized the three progress reports presented. It took her two full days to gather status information and create the reports,

106 107

108

109 110 111

112 113 114

120

128 129 130

131

127

142

148 149

which ended up being a good exercise. She attempted to anticipate what information Directors would like to see, how much information, and in what format. She developed a "TO DO" calendar to reflect remaining strategic elements to achieve and the associated timeline, which exceeded the plan's calendar year.

She opined that many items, particularly the "Basic Measures" seem to be standard operating procedures and should be shifted out of the Strategic Plan. The Action Plan items developed in January are what she would consider to be the strategic elements, and what should be considered as the big picture priorities for the MPWD.

Julie Brown acknowledged what she considered to be the Board's successes in its strategic planning process to date. She said the progress, the professionalism, the structure, the format, and the interaction between the General Manager and the Board had been incredible and very significant. The two points to discuss were 1) The content of the plan; and 2) Review where MPWD is now under new management. She wanted to take a step back to review the process by which the Board is monitoring the plan. updating the plan, and utilizing the plan. She then referred to the questions she posed: 1) How is this process working in terms of monitoring the strategic plan as a Board? and 2) Are communications with staff strategic or tactical? She noted that Boards should be much more strategic. She noted the evolutionary changes with the new General Manager and the confidence in where the agency is going in its successes. When she started working with the agency, the Board was extremely focused on the day-to-day operations, which was not necessarily a prudent use of the Board's time and it was not how the agency needed to be run. She does not see that need anymore, and congratulated the Board on their hard work to eliminate that need. She then focused on the following: 1) To what degree is the conversation strategic about this plan? and 2) How well is the format working for you?

General Manager Rudock mentioned how challenging it is to work on such a detailed plan, including progress reporting, and Julie Brown agreed with her assessment of the work involved and commented that it can be vastly simplified. It could be a summary report similar to the one created by the General Manager, and the focus could be on the conversation about the strategic needs as opposed to looking at the data. No plan is static, and things come up during the course of the year, so she turned to the Board and further asked how they were accommodating new priorities? Were they thrown on the list with the hope that everything gets done? Was the Board considering resource tradeoffs as part of an interactive discussion? How were the new priorities evaluated against the existing priorities in terms of speaking to where they fit and what they are doing?

Director Stuebing commented first that there were reasons the Board previously needed to get into the level of detail as part of the strategic planning process. He then stated that new priorities do not get updated into the Strategic Plan since the plan is updated once a year. An example of a very important item that did not get into the plan was about meeting the state standards for water conservation. Staff spends a lot of time on this task. His final comment was that the Board needs to be careful about making this a living document.

Director Zucca agreed with Julie Brown and Director Stuebing and the previous need for the level of detail. He then said that the Board can back away as confidence has grown with the management staff in place. And then he reiterated that there was no longer a need to be involved at that level of detail with General Manager Rudock on board. It

becomes an issue of finding the right balance of policy versus being involved in the details. His reaction to the document was "this is so two years ago." This is what they needed to be doing two years ago, but it is no longer the appropriate documentation going forward or what they want to generate as a planning and tracking tool for next year.

Director Vella commented that we live in rapidly changing times, and it is difficult to foretell priorities. This plan has to be flexible enough to open up to something that may take priority because it is the most demanding thing needing to be dealt with.

Director Warden spoke to the living document comment, adding that a year goes by rapidly and other than the huge water conservation priority mandated by the State, he was in favor of taking a good stab at what needs to get done in a year and then backing off. If items are constantly added and priorities continue to change, priorities shift around and some of the items planned for are not getting accomplished. Instead, he suggested adding things as a wish list, but really just stick to the plan and expected dates unless something comes along for which the MPWD has no control. He agreed with being involved in the strategy versus the tactical. But he would like to see the tactical results based on the strategy. For example: We have the strategy we want to coordinate with the city. So we do not tear up the street, pave it, and then tear it up two years later. The implementation of that is obviously tactical, and that is something that staff needs to work on, but he would like to see the results of that strategy which is being done.

President Linvill thanked Julie Brown for putting together this tool at a time when it was really needed. The purpose was to articulate the MPWD's strategic plan and include progress reporting. It was not meant to be a burdensome document. But now we are able to step back and fulfill our true role as policymakers. Along those lines, we needed a certain amount of reporting to make sure the policies were being implemented and that our strategic plan was being adhered to. She asked Julie Brown to work with General Manager Rudock to make this tool articulate MPWD's strategic plan so that it is documented and then have it on our website.

Julie Brown appreciated the Board's acknowledgement of staff's hard work and she will work with the General Manager to create a tool that will accommodate sufficient reporting.

Vice President Zucca added that the Action Plan for 2015 located on pages 79-80 is probably an adequate level of detail given. If we have questions, General Manager Rudock can report back on that rather than having to report on every single item regardless of whether the Board had a question on it or not.

To get ready for January's Strategic Planning meeting, Vice President Zucca and either President Linvill or Director Stuebing will be part of a committee to review the format.

General Manager Rudock finished reporting on various items that were either in progress or completed from the 2015 Strategic Plan – Progress Checklist.

B. Consider Resolution 2015-16 Accepting as Complete the Buckland Tank Driveway Replacement Project and Authorizing the Recordation of a Notice of Completion for the Project

District Engineer Pakpour summarized his written report.

Director Vella moved to approve Resolution 2015-16 Accepting as Complete the Buckland Tank Driveway Replacement Project and Authorizing the Recordation of a Notice of Completion for the Project, Director Zucca seconded. Roll call was taken, and it was unanimously approved.

C. Consider Resolution 2015-17 Authorizing an Additional FY 2015/2016 Capital Project Totaling \$40,000: MPWD Dairy Lane Premises Cabling/Wiring Installation for Telephone and Data Systems Transition to Digital Platform (from Analog), and Approving Installation by Stepford, Inc.

General Manager Rudock discussed the after-hours call difficulties with the existing telephone system. Field Operations Supervisor (FOS) Brent Chester worked diligently with Fonality to troubleshoot the problems, along with Stepford, Inc., the MPWD's IT consultants. The problems were not resolved, and staff determined it was time for MPWD to transition from analog to a digital Platform, and transition telephone services to Comcast. Staff would include this project in a proposed Amended Capital Budget next month. Jim Fruuen from Stepford, Inc. added that the new system will bring business continuity and disaster recovery for MPWD's telephone services. If something were to happen to the building, since the telephone system will be outside the building, it will not go down.

Director Stuebing moved to accept Resolution 2015-17 Authorizing an Additional FY 2015/2016 Capital Project Totaling \$40,000: MPWD Dairy Lane Premises Cabling/Wiring Installation for Telephone and Data Systems Transition to Digital Platform (from Analog), and Approving Installation by Stepford, Inc., Director Vella seconded. Roll call was taken, and it was unanimously approved.

D. Consider ACWA's Call for President and Vice President Candidate Nominations for the 2016/2017 Term

General Manager Rudock presented the current nominations for ACWA Board President and Vice President, and the Board declined to offer an additional nomination for the offices. They will consider the ballot when it is presented.

E. BAWSCA Update

Director Vella commented that the meeting tone in July was "doom and gloom" versus the August meeting tone that centered around more effort on water conservation. It was reported that there is a 90% chance of an El Nino but the conditions and history with these conditions may translate to an El Nino affecting Southern California more than Northern California. There was discussion about the reappointment of Art Jenson, who was the former CEO for BAWSCA for many years. He retired and was retained as Special Advisor. They also talked about BAWSCA's investments and the reserve policy.

## 9. MANAGER'S AND BOARD REPORTS

A. General Manager's Report

General Manager Rudock highlighted items within her Manager's report.

General Manager distributed the ACWA/JPIA 2016 Open Enrollment benefits package. She reported that she had met with staff and reviewed the open enrollment package. Open Enrollment starts October 1<sup>st</sup> and closes November 13<sup>th</sup>. She provided a summary

259 260 261

262

269

270

276

289

296

review of the benefits and reviewed the cost changes. She noted that the Account Based Health Plan is now referred to as a Consumer Driven Health Plan.

She attended the Belmont Parks and Recreation Commission Meeting in support of the department's water conservation efforts and to report on the MPWD's progress toward its goal.

She and President Linvill went to the coordination meeting with the Belmont City Officials. It was an informal meeting, and informative. Everyone agreed they would like to meet twice a year. Discussions included: Annual reporting of customer account data for the City's sewer charges on property tax bills; President Linvill presented a conservation update; the City would like to have an MPWD presentation before the full City Council about the state of the water once a year; and there was general agreement that better coordination between MPWD and the City is needed so developers are more informed about the permitting and development processes.

Vice President Zucca asked if there was a form that lists out all the agencies that will need to be contacted if development is being considered. General Manager Rudock responded that the MPWD is not specifically included on the City's permit card for the plan check/review process and final inspection processes, only referenced as "Water Department" in the final inspection block of the form.

Staff and the District Engineer met with the development team for the mixed use, multifamily and commercial development proposed at 576-600 El Camino Real. It was a productive meeting, including discussions about the MPWD's metering requirements, water capacity charges, and water demand offset fees. There was a subsequent communication from the developer about sub-metering inside the building and staff responded that approach would not meet the MPWD's standards.

Vice President Zucca commented that legislation is two steps away from being passed that individual metering is going to be the requirement, so MPWD is not asking for something outside of what will be required very soon. Director Warden suggested that a professional communication be created to share with City staff clarifying the MPWD's policies and standards.

1. Supplemented by Administrative Services Manager's Report General Manager Rudock reported the reserves on page 125 are at almost \$3.4 million. Staff is working toward eliminating the need for the Petty Cash fund and will be exploring a Costco Corporate Card for purchasing MPWD materials and supplies rather than reimbursing from Petty Cash.

2. Supplemented by Operations Manager's Report

Operations Manager Ramirez submitted an updated 2-page report because of an inadvertent error during publication of the agenda packet. He highlighted the following items:

Automated Metering Infrastructure (AMI): Staff is focused on Zone 1 (MPWD's largest zone) commercial meters for installation by April 2016.

SCADA System: Staff is focused on reducing power costs for pumping since MPWD spends about \$250,000 annually. Staff plans on furthering its off-peak

pumping and will meet with PG&E officials to discuss rate tiers for additional cost savings.

Maintenance: During July, staff exercised 91 valves. They want to get to each valve every two years, which is very proactive for such a small staff. He responded to a question posed by Director Vella, explaining that when staff exercises the valves and the hydrant outlets, they are careful not to spill out too much water. Rubber gaskets were replaced and additional tie rods in the coupling joint were installed at one of the MPWD pump stations to give it more strength.

Nitrification Monitoring: Staff is taking additional steps to keep the water in MPWD's tanks fresh. MPWD is now moving a lot of water at night, and it has been a successful strategy. He commended the staff for the way they are thinking and has found they are very knowledgeable of MPWD's system.

The City of Belmont asked MPWD to relocate its valve cans along Notre Dame Avenue before the roadway was reconstructed, and that was coordinated and accomplished.

He is meeting with his direct reports at least twice a month. They discuss how they can be better managers, what is on the Board's and the General Manager's radar list, on the General Manager's, what they are seeing out in the field, and how they can continue to serve the community.

Rick Bisio and Operations Manager Ramirez attended the Emergency Manager's Association Meeting for San Mateo County.

They are coordinating with the City of Belmont to include the MPWD within its local hazard mitigation plan.

The Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) format has been created.

Staff met with the local fire department, Kent Thrasher, Battalion Chief, discussing water theft. MPWD's system has isolated fire hydrants that could be potential targets for theft. District Engineer Joubin added that other agencies he worked with have had issues with contractors not turning off the hydrants properly, which has caused three very major leaks in the tens of thousands of dollars, and is an issue in maintaining infrastructure properly.

## 3. Supplemented by District Engineer's Report

District Engineer Pakpour reported follow-up items: a) Valve exercising: another great value is finding valves that have been buried under asphalt; b) The Local Hazard Mitigation Plan end benefit is that MPWD will receive more funds from FEMA in the event of a declared emergency or disaster.

District Engineer Pakpour distributed the projects list from the recently completed water hydraulic modeling, which is now fully calibrated. Zone 1 was the highest dollar amount totaling \$13,600,000. Two of the largest projects are in Zone 1 and parallel each other on Old County Road and El Camino Real. Throughout Old County Road, there are two water mains, and in some places, there are three. The model shows a need for one 8-inch water main. He explained the need for multiple

mains in the past, but it is no longer necessary. There are multiple redundancies within the MPWD's system. There are a few other projects being reviewed in Zone 1. This is a draft and staff will have the final list of capital projects in October 2015. The plan currently has 89 distinct projects and each project is backed up with a hydraulic modeling and distribution system analysis. A 5-year plan is also forthcoming.

Vice President Zucca would like to know the criteria for prioritization of the capital projects when it is developed. Director inquired about need for additional water storage tanks within the MPWD system. In response to Director Warden's question, District Engineer Pakpour noted the Folger Property does not appear to be an adequate site for a potential tank site because it is too low in elevation to feed Zone 1. This site would need to be 35 feet higher to provide the necessary amount of pressure to Zone 1. So they are looking into identifying any possible sites above the Folger property for additional storage.

General Manager Rudock said she was very proud of this project. Now the institutional knowledge has been documented and incorporated into the modeling and will stay with the District when staff retires. It has been a huge achievement for all and will be a great way to do business going forward.

President Linvill added MPWD cannot do capital budgets without this modeling in place.

General Manager Rudock reported that the Folger property's lease will end in November 2015. So she will be getting it surveyed, consider what to do with the pump station on that property, and then bring back a proposal on what can be done with this property.

#### B. Financial Reports

General Manager Rudock noted the new box added this month in the upper right hand corner of the detailed Operations Budget which shows the target YTD % for the month. She also directed attention to page 143 which shows a monthly depiction of Water Commodity Sales and Purchased Water tracking graph.

## C. Director Reports

Director Stuebing reported he attended the San Mateo County Special District Chapter meeting. The biggest issue from the meeting was about the Municipal Service Review (MSR) for the Harbor District.

Director Vella participated in Belmont's National Night Out (NNO) event, and said there was good MPWD involvement.

Director Warden brought up the potential for canceling the MPWD's November election since no candidates other than the incumbent signed up to run. District Treasurer Ira noted that San Carlos will be cancelling their elections for the same reason. The Board directed District Counsel Cassman to follow up on this item and report back the pros and cons to the Board.

Vice President Zucca said he attended the NNO activities at the Carlmont Shopping Center. At the last Water Manager's meeting for BAWSCA, Santa Clara gave a very

good presentation on a tap water truck they designed and had constructed. It offered customers an opportunity to fill up their water bottles rather than use bottled water at community events or during a water service interruption. It cost about \$175,000, and it is a model for if a disaster situation occurs.

President Linvill met with the City of Belmont historian, Denny Lawhern, who has a huge file on the MPWD. He would like to meet with General Manager Rudock and exchange information about the District. He has a book with all the painted hydrants that was very interesting.

President Linvill distributed an FBI article on social networking communications and commented on how communications can sometimes be misunderstood.

# 10. <u>FUTURE AGENDA BUSINESS ITEMS</u>

None.

# 11. COMMUNICATIONS

None.

# 12. ADJOURNMENT

Director Zucca motioned to adjourn at 9:23 PM, Director Stuebing seconded, and it was unanimously approved.

DISTRICT SECRETARY

APPROVED!

BOARD PRESIDENT

