



League of Women Voters of Minnesota Records

Copyright Notice:

This material may be protected by copyright law (U.S. Code, Title 17). Researchers are liable for any infringement. For more information, visit www.mnhs.org/copyright.

1952-1953

only - File Copy

Please fill in and return 2 copies NOT LATER than March 31, 1953
to League of Women Voters of U.S., 1026 - 17th St. NW, Washington 6, D.C.

League of Women Voters of Minnesota
Performance Record for Year ending March 31, 1953

(If enough space is not provided for your replies, attach additional sheets.)

MEMBERSHIP

No. of local Leagues as of March 31, 1953 <u>45</u>	No. of paid members in local Leagues 3/31/53 Voting <u>4298</u> Associate <u>1</u>
No. of provisional Leagues as of March 31, 1953 <u>4</u>	No. of paid members in provisional Leagues 3/31/53 Voting <u>105</u> Associate <u> </u>
No. of college Leagues as of March 31, 1953 <u>65</u>	No. of paid members in college Leagues as of 3/31/53 <u>24</u>
1953 Total <u>54</u>	Members-at-large <u>16</u> 1953 Total <u>4488</u>
Increase or decrease over 1952 <u>2</u>	Increase or decrease over 1952 <u>242</u>

How many congressional districts in your state? 9
How many have local Leagues? 9

not renewed 599

STATE ADMINISTRATION

No. of state Board members: 16 Elected Officers & Directors 10
Appointed Directors 6
No. of Board meetings held this year 9 When held? 3rd Thursday
Has every Board member been assigned a portfolio? yes Which Board Committees have
non-Board members? Organization - Civil Rights - Public Relations -
Legislation - Civil Service - Constitutional Review - Party Message
What training did you provide for Board members? Briefing by president
staff - review of files

Did you issue a bulletin? yes No. of issues within the year 6

List other publications issued: Civil Rights Kit - State Legislative Conference Kit
Civil Service Kit - Lobbying Kit -

Have you a paid staff? yes If so, specify: (including lobby by letter)

Name	Position	Full or Part Time
------	----------	-------------------

Mrs. Lucille Armstrong - Exec. Secy - full time

Mrs. Harold Wilson - Organ. " "

Have you a state office? yes Address: 84 So. 10 St - Room 406

When and where is your next state Convention or Council to be held? May 13-14

McCall Hotel - Mpls. Minn.

SERVICE TO LOCAL LEAGUES

How many Board members 3 and non-board members 7 serve on your organization committee?

How much money was allocated for Organization Travel? \$300.00

Describe your overall plan for servicing existing Leagues and progress made in carrying it out this year.

~~Organization Committee meets 3 times a year. Surveys whole field of need for service and expansion. Then with women and money available assigns jobs so that all Leagues have at least 1 visit and those as need have more. Summary of status of League is provided committee. Visitors make written reports for files. Organization Secretary answers correspondence that is routine - refers "problems" to chairman for advice.~~

Does each visit include a meeting with local League board? Yes

Did you hold conferences? Area 2 1 on Legislative action out state State-wide 1 on Lobbying by Leagues close to Capitol

On what subjects? Describe Political Effectives in state legislation in fall of 1952 - to prepare for 1953 legislative session - emphasis on "Know Your Representative - Know Your Legislative Program and Bring the Two Together" - pointed up importance of letters from home district - and importance of Lobbyist is to keep League informed so they can know when to pressure - instruction on how to Lobby by letter.

In what specific ways and on what subjects did the Leagues report to the state?

~~Board minutes and report sheets and correspondence or special project and problems - some didn't report at all - sorry to say. Subject: organization problems - policy - by-laws - speakers.~~

Describe your long-term plan for organizing new Leagues and progress made this year in carrying out this plan.

Plan to start few new Leagues in legislative districts now without Leagues - and to strengthen or reorganize if possible where we have a few weak Leagues.

Have your provisional Leagues had the services of a state board or organization committee advisor at each board meeting during provisional status? No - didn't know this was necessary - Have helped for first 5 meetings - then kept close touch by telephone and letter and sent visitor couple more times in the year.

DEVELOPMENT OF UNIT ORGANIZATION IN THE STATE

What service did you give to local Leagues on unit organization? It's one of the problems discussed in visit by organization committee to any League that needs it. Also Joint Training Conference held by Minneapolis and St. Paul Leagues for their 90 units on "How to Learn Thru Discussion".

What problems have been noted in unit organization? In biggest Leagues - it is a problem to keep members in touch with whole League - Tend to be more loyal to unit. Feeling of responsibility for program and finance is weak.

NATIONAL PROGRAM

I. International Relations:

A. Describe the work done in this field by your local Leagues.

1. In units, work shops, and in general meetings? ~~I believe all our Leagues have at least one meeting for members on this item - some have a general as well as unit meeting - a few have work shops - a few have resource committees.~~
2. In providing information to the public and building public opinion? ~~19 Leagues use radio - all use newspapers - some very effectively to educate on program - Speaker's bureau - forums~~
3. In response to "Time for Legislative Action"? ~~President and Board write. Not much call this year - was there?~~

B. What services did the State Board provide local Leagues on this item?

1. Conferences Community wide conference offered to 3 Leagues out in State - Accepted by 1
2. Speakers These provided on request
3. Discussion outlines No
4. Other No

C. How would you evaluate national publications designed for League leaders, League members and the community in this field? Excellent - everyone says -

D. What suggestions do you have for future publications (articles in THE NATIONAL VOTER, Tools for Leaders, Briefs, Memos, etc.?) I suppose it's too much to ask but I keep wishing the Voter Articles could be as interesting as they are valuable - as interesting as the articles in the Reporter Magazine - and larger print. (Grace Wilson)

NATIONAL PROGRAM (Cont.)

II. Budgetary Procedures of the Congress

A. How would you evaluate the work done in this field by the local Leagues in your state?

1. In units, work shops, and in general meetings? I believe all our
Leagues will have spent at least 1 unit meeting on this item by
summer.

2. In providing information and building public opinion? I doubt
if more than 1 or 2 have gone beyond membership.

3. In response to Requests for legislative action? _____

B. What kinds of services did the State Board provide local Leagues on this item? _____

None

C. How would you evaluate national publications designed for League leaders, League members and the community in this field? _____

Interesting - well done

D. What suggestions do you have for future publications (articles in THE NATIONAL VOTER, Tools for Leaders, Briefs, Memos, etc.?) _____

III. Continuing Responsibilities.

Describe work done on platform items.

A. With membership _____

B. In reaching the community _____

STATE PROGRAM

List the items on the state Current Agenda and means used on each item to carry them out. (i.e. requests for action, letters, interviews, statements at hearings, publicity, meetings, etc.) Indicate what was done (a) by state Board; (b) by

	Subject Matter	Leader Material	For Public	Article in Voter	Requests Action	Testimony at Hearing	Radio T V	Publi city	Lobby- ing
local Leagues.									
Constitutional Convention	4 pgs.	0	Printed Folder	Yes	2 general & limited	1	X	X	X
F.B.P.C.	25 "	7 pgs.	"	"	8 general & limited	2	X	X	Very thorough
Veteran's Preference	17 "	0	---	"	1 limited	1	X	X	X
Party Designation	3 "	2 pgs.	---	"	1 limited	1	X	X	X
Educational TV	3 "				1 limited	1	X	X	X
Under Platform:	Education - limited lobbying - Reorganization & school aids								
	aid to Jr. Colleges								
	Housing - Leagues with Housing Authorities had permission to act.								
	Civil Service - Undecided yet whether to act against 2 bills.								

What services did state Board provide and what materials were issued to local

Leagues on state Current Agenda items? See above. Panels of speakers and consultants

on community education offered on Civil Rights item. Legislative Conference

stressed agenda items & Lobby by Letter helped in answered calls to action.

Under Platform: No material gotten out - used material of citizen's committee

on School item and T.V.

LOCAL PROGRAM

What local government issues are receiving the most attention by Leagues in your

Charter 11	Taxes 6	Library	Law enforcement
state? Schools 9	Housing 4	Street Workers	Legal facts
Local govern- ment study & or distribu- ting of book- let to community 10	Zoning Women on Boards	Park Hospital	Civil Service
	Hospital Scotch Lt. Bikes	Business Survey	Garbage

What services did state Board provide to local Leagues in carrying out their local

current agenda? Town Study samples - encouragement - advice

* Great quantities put out last year in preparation for legislative year.

PUBLIC RELATIONS

Was there a Public Relations Chairman on your Board? Yes Did she have a Committee? Yes 4 Was there geographic representation? No

How many of your local Leagues had a Public Relations Chairman? About 30 of the 49

How did your state Board assist the local Leagues in their public relations work?

By personal visits to local Leagues? No

By sending to them: Sample releases? X, radio scripts? X

window display ideas? No skits? X

By holding state-wide or area conferences on public relations? Yes

Describe At last state convention - had a panel of big and little city newsmen - radio men and woman's page editor to discuss public relation - problem of one of our agenda items - constitutional revision.

What has been the chief work done in Public Relations at the state level?

Was the channel thru which radio network operated - from Mpls. L.W.V. & university out State

Made a study of State publicity media? _____

Made visits to editors, wire service bureaus, radio stations? X

Sent out releases on news made by state League? X Limited

Planned exhibits, displays at state events? _____

Sent out stories to county weeklies? _____

Built up friendly relations with other state-wide organizations? _____

Explain _____

Distributed League publications? How? _____ To whom? _____

Describe difficulties or opposition, if any, encountered in promoting League program. Some difficulty in State League and City League using same large city daily.

Has the League's standing with the public improved? X deteriorated? _____ same? _____

NATIONAL SERVICES

How valuable were national services in field of program, voters service, publications, finance and organization; and how can they be improved?

~~Excellent Program Material -- but too bad when it's late.~~

Finance -- Kit is excellent

New Organization Book for new Leagues is swell! All in 1 package.

Voter's Service seems to be something that League members imaginations are stimulated by - if we could get like confidence and success in taking program to community - it takes practice - a rehearsal - I believe the "Mock Congressional Hearing" type of thing is a wonderful way to learn.

What national field service next year would best help your state Board in carrying out its responsibilities? _____

1. How to simplify red tape of Local Leagues reporting and State Board recording for all to see the status of all local leagues in state.
2. If we can learn how to limit our platform responsibilities, we can better stand the heavy load of agenda items, but it's so hard to withstand the pressure to keep platform. Yet it gets to be humanely impossible for the state board and state office to administer and service.
3. It's hard for us to know how to use committees under state board -- scattered over the state as they are.

What time of year do you prefer for such service? _____

October - March

Name of League	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/53	No. of Associate Members 3/31/53	No. of Members not renewing 3/31/53	No. of Units 3/31/53	Bulletin?	No. and type of visits by State (Leadership training <u>A</u> ; budget planning <u>B</u> ; fund raising <u>C</u> ; board organization and planning <u>D</u> ; unit organization <u>E</u>)					
							A	B	C	D	E	
Afton-Lakeland	1	50		7	1	No	2-visitors			X		
Alexandria	6,326	29		12	1	Yes	5 "	X		X		
Anoka	7,396	39		5	2	No	2 "	X	X	X	X	
* Arden Hills	1,200	28		0	2	No	5 "	X	X	X	X	
Atwater	850	20		4	1	No	2 "	X	X	X	X	
Austin	23,180	162		30	11	No	2 " ?	X		X		
Battle Lake	714	16		2	1	No	1 "		X	X	X	
Benidji	10,001	105		42	6	Yes	3 "		X	X	X	X
Brainerd	12,637	37		11	3	Yes	2 " ?	X		X	X	
Buffalo	1,985	23		5	1	No	2 "		X	X	X	
Cass Lake	1,940	17		5	1	No	1 "			X		
Circle Pines	285	22		11	1	No	1 " ?					
Columbia Heights	9,000	20		2	2	No	1 " ?			X	X	
* Deephaven	1,800	28		0	1	No	3 "			X		
Duluth	104,000	347		40	11	Yes	1 "			X	X	
Excelsior	4,500	61		3	3	No	4 "			X		
Fergus Falls	12,917	60		1	4	No	3 Speaker on Legislative Action					
Golden Valley	5,551	41		4	2	No	2-visitors			X	X	

184

54

4

												A				
												B	C	D	E	
																A
Granite Falls	3,000	30	0	1	No	2-Visitors						X	X	X		
Grove City	475	23	6	1	No	2 "						X	X	X		
Hibbing	16,276	85	17	5	No	1 " ?										
Hutchinson	4,690	33	11	3	No	1 "								X		
Jackson	3,313	19	6	1	No	1 "						X	X	X		
Kasson	1,346	22	1	1	No	1 "								X		
Knollwood Park	?	13	0	1	No	3 How to be reborn - reorganize Government level										
Mahtomedi	1,365	44	22	1	No	2 ? Legislative Action										
Mankato	18,809	42	30	3?	No	1 How to revive partisan partisanship										
Minneapolis	521,718	1315		53	Yes	1 Metropolitan Area and suburb units										
Minnetonka	?	42	13	2	No	1 Reorganize on new level									X	
Mound	3,000	48	14	2	No	2-Visitors								X		
New Prague	1,915	22	4	1	No	1 "								X		
New Richland	908	14	1	1	No	1 ? "										
New Ulm	9,800	19	4	1	No	1 "			X					X		
Northfield	7,000	45	10	1	No	1 Speech at tea										
*North St. Paul	4,248	26	1	2	No	1-Visitors							X	X		
Olivia	2,012	31	0	1	No	1 "							X	X		

146

81

172
66
238

						B	C				
						E.	A	B	C	D	E
Owatonna	10,191	27		21	1	No 2-Visitors				X	
Park Rapids	3,000	18		7	1	No 1 "	X			X	
Red Wing	11,500	75		4	1	Yes 2 "					X
Rochester	29,969	289		66	10	Yes Irreg. State Org. Chm. member of this					
St. Cloud	30,000	20		4	1	No 1 {Out of study group habit				X	
St. Paul	311,000	695		97	38	Yes 1-Visitors	X	X	X	X	
So. St. Paul	15,909	75		21	6	No 3 "					X
Tracy	3,011	25		6	2	No 1 ?					
Wabasha	2,468	9		16	1	No 2 rebirth ? Nonpartisanship					
Waseca	4,935	44		6	1	No 1				X	
* Wells	2,225	23		0	1	No 5 {How to become a League	X	X	X	X	
White Bear Lake	3,500	101		17	7	Yes Irreg. 3 Legislative action				X	X
Worthington	7,923	30	1	5	1	No 1				X	

276
594
206
9

* Provisional

REPORT BY STATE FINANCE CHAIRMAN for year beginning April 1, 1952

Is there a State Finance Committee? of which the Finance Chairman is a member.
~~Our Organization Committee/functions as a~~
~~finance committee.~~

How many Leagues in your state had finance drives? 22

How many Leagues in your state send in preliminary report forms? 7

What assistance was given local Leagues in response to their requests for help as checked on preliminary report form:

<u>No. of Leagues asking help on:</u>	<u>How helped - (visits, conferences, letters, etc.)</u>
Securing Workers _____	A state Finance Kit was sent to Leagues.
Training Workers _____	Encouragement & special help is given by organization committee on their regular visits.
Publicity _____	Our biggest problem is getting Leagues to have drives, not in organizing them. The state bulletin was devoted to Finance in the fall. It was hoped that this changed some minds.
Take-off _____	
(Other needs) _____	

How many Leagues returned Final Fund Raising Reports? 11

Please appraise progress in your state (increase or decrease in number of drives, in amount raised, in size of contributions, etc.) ~~Although the number of drives has not increased, we do feel that a great deal of progress is being made with old Leagues in changing their opinion about finance drives. Anoka & Granite Falls had their first drive this year. Columbia Heights and Cass Lake had first well organized drives.~~

What suggestions or criticisms do the local Leagues have as to materials prepared for their use this year? ~~None. Minneapolis, which conducts best drive in state, does not use any national material, but does incorporate ideas in their material.~~

Which materials do they find most useful for prospect and contributors cultivation?

~~Many used THIS WAY TO GOOD GOVERNMENT. The state Board has given them suggestions for a letter used in forewarning contributor of visit. This has been some help and encouragement. We have, in some cases, given Leagues had a letter which can be used in a finance drive by mail.~~

What suggestions or criticisms do state Boards have as to procedures or materials prepared for their use? ~~The finance report sheets are good. We hope to have better response next year. Could you include statement "covering period from April 1, 1951 to April March 31, 1952". Preliminary sheets did not work out. Would a postcard bring better responses?~~

We would also appreciate on additional sheets, hearing in detail of any good experiences or pitfalls to avoid which could be shared with other Leagues. Please send us also samples of editorials on state and local material found useful in finance drives.

Excerpt from letter from Mrs. Flanagan, Alexandria

"As we are novices in the field and lead mostly from fright, I hesitate to precipitate any ideas upon you. My theory, which we have applied in all cases is that the selective businessman approached is accorded a privilege which, if he professes love for God and Country, he cannot very well afford to ignore! Our faith and admiration in the League, not just as an ideology but as a working body, far surpasses any squeaky little timidity. We decide amount of money, divide by 10 - that gives us number of fortunate men who will be called upon for ten bucks apiece. Our approach is very likely effective only in communities of ten thousand and less. Our two local newspapers are bi-weekly, so we schedule our news release one day before our finance drive. In combatting the generally prevalent hue and cry that businessmen are great beasts and cruel ogres who throw poor little women out of their offices, I need only point out that 90% of the females in this country are over-fed, over-dressed and over-protected and the most of us are married to businessmen. We carry few cuts and bruises."

Excerpt from letter from Mrs. Schmidt, Granite Falls FIRST DRIVE

"As we had been told would happen, we had very little difficulty in our drive."

Please fill in and return
by _____ to
League of Women Voters of U.S.
1026 17th Street, N. W.
Washington 6, D. C.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCE REPORT
from
League of Women Voters of
Minnesota

For period from April 1 to March 31, 1953

Prepared by L. Newstrom
Position Ex. Secretary *
Address _____

* Our finance chair-
man just resigned.

a

Name of Local League	Date of Drive	Contributions		No. of Prospects	No. of Workers	No. of persons contributing		Amount Received		State Finance Chairman's Comments**
		Goal	Actual			Mem.	non-mem.	Member	Non-memb.	
ALEXANDRIA	8/12	0	\$ 185	20	10	0	19	0	\$ 150	
ANOKA		0	170	165	8	0	92	0	190	
AUSTIN									281	
BRAINERD	9/22-10/4	0	100	28	5	0	26	0	128	
CASS LAKE	10/27-11/1	0	50	15	6			2.00	33	Drive 1st Organized
CIRCLE PINES									15	
COLUMBIA HTS.	1/1-8/1	0	75	12	2	9	12	9.00	64.50	1st Organized Drive
DULUTH								491.00	1,046.50	
EXCELSIOR	4/21-5/10	0	143	102	6	6	37	20.00	184.21	
GOLDEN VALLEY									87.00	
GRANITE FALLS	12/8-12/24	No	Goal	90	8	0	87	0	149.05	1st Organized Drive
KASSON	11/15-2/17	No	Goal	31	5	12	21	15	52.00	
MARTINEZ								48.05	110.50	

* Contributions from members are all money given in addition to the minimum dues, whether collected during the finance drive or at some other time during the year.

** We would appreciate your evaluation of whether or not a drive has been successful, an improvement or not, etc. Please use other side of page if additional space for comments is necessary.

4.63

50

27

31 3/4

Please fill in and return
by _____ to
League of Women Voters of U.S.
1026 17th Street, N. W.
Washington 6, D. C.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCE REPORT
from
League of Women Voters of
Minnesota

For period from April 1 to March 31

Prepared by _____
Position _____
Address _____

a

Name of Local League	Date of Drive	Contributions		No. of Prospects	No. of Workers	No. of persons contributing		Amount Received		State Finance Chairman's Comments**
		Goal	Actual			Mem.	non-mem.	Member	Non-memb.	
MINNEAPOLIS	4/1-4/30	\$3,250	\$8,300	701	126	520	412	\$3,266	\$8,228.75	
MOUND	May		125	25	8-10			41	113.00	
RED WING	6/17-8/1	0	250	48	8	0	40	0	289.00	
ROCHESTER	10/1-11/3	200	200	80	15	30	52	121.	498.50	
ST. PAUL	9/11-10/4	1,500	2,500	159	52	170	75	1,763	1,608.40	
SO. ST. PAUL	9/10-9/30	0	350	80	11	0	55	0	356.00	
WASECA								46.	61.94	
WHITE BEAR LK.								116.	214.30	
WORTHINGTON	2	No	Goal						300.00	
Osawatimong	yes but no report									
Hibbing	yes but no report									

* Contributions from members are all money given in addition to the minimum dues, whether collected during the finance drive or at some other time during the year.

** We would appreciate your evaluation of whether or not a drive has been successful, an improvement or not, etc. Please use other side of page if additional space for comments is necessary.

1093
463
1556

777

634
948

G. Wilson h w k of U. S.

Please fill in and return 2 copies NOT LATER than March 31, 1954
to League of Women Voters of U.S., 1026 - 17th St. N.W., Washington 6, D.C.

League of Women Voters of Minnesota
Performance Record for Year ending March 31, 1954

(If enough space is not provided for your replies, attach additional sheets.)

MEMBERSHIP

No. of local Leagues
as of March 31, 1954 47 ⁴⁵

No. of paid members in local Leagues 3/31/54

Voting 4664 ⁴⁶⁵⁴ (new elm error)

Associate 25 ²⁵

No. of provisional Leagues
as of March 31, 1954 6 ⁸

No. of paid members in provisional Leagues 3/31/54

Voting 184 ²³⁰

Associate 0

(No. of pre-provisional 3)
No. of college Leagues
as of March 31, 1954 0

No. of paid members in college Leagues as of
3/31/54 0 ¹⁵

Members-at-large 15

1954 Total 53 ⁵⁶

1954 Total 4888 ⁴⁹²⁴

James 8 leagues

Increase or decrease over 1953 1

Increase or decrease over 1953 400

lost 9 leagues 1953-4

(4 local/college)

How many congressional districts in your state? 9

How many have local Leagues? 9

STATE ADMINISTRATION

No. of state Board members: 17

Elected Officers & Directors: 11

Appointed Directors: 6

No. of Board meetings held this year: 9 When held? Monthly-10 a.m. to 4 pm or
Has every Board member been assigned a portfolio? Yes Which Board Committees have later
non-Board members? Organization, State Reapportionment, Finance

What training did you provide for Board members? Review of files, training by
former chairman, President, Board and Staff

Did you issue a bulletin? Yes No. of issues within the year: 6

List other publications issued: "Reapportionment in Minnesota-Democracy Denied"
Legislative Reorganization", An Introduction to State Finance in Minnesota"

Have you a paid staff? Yes If so, specify:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Full or Part Time</u>
Mrs. Eugene Newstrom	Executive Secretary	Full
Mrs. Harold Wilson	Organization "	Full

Have you a state office? Yes Address: 84 South 10th Street, Room 406.
Minneapolis 3, Minnesota

When and where is your next state Convention or Council to be held? May 13-14, Bemidji Minnesota

Love

SERVICE TO LOCAL LEAGUES

How many Board members 8 and non-board members 14 serve on your organization committee?

How much money was allocated for Organization Travel? \$ 450.

Describe your overall plan for servicing existing Leagues and progress made in carrying it out this year.

Local leagues visited once by org. com. member & written suggestions thereafter. one or 2 com. member assigned to each provisional & ps. sub. l. a few leagues have had more than one visit where spec. help requested.

Does each visit include a meeting with local League board? yes

Did you hold conferences? Area no State-wide delegates for nat'l con. Feb 26

On what subjects? Describe delegates for nat'l convention to acquaint with platform changes

In what specific ways and on what subjects did the Leagues report to the state?

monthly board minutes sent in to state by I.I. Secretary Regularly from all but some. Occasional letter from state office with specific questions

Describe your long-term plan for organizing new Leagues and progress made this year in carrying out this plan.

effort to service sub-standard leagues has required all available time plus new leagues arising in St. Paul - Dpls area. Hope to org. new Leagues in legislative districts not represented but no concentrated work done here - only a few probings. ie. Winona

Have your provisional Leagues had the services of a state board or organization committee advisor at each board meeting during provisional status? yes - all but

one (well) which has shown us the need for this (they will form now on)

DEVELOPMENT OF UNIT ORGANIZATION IN THE STATE

What service did you give to local Leagues on unit organization? Reb Wing org. com.

visit for that purpose only, suggested by org. com. visitors to all leagues with membership over 25 (After to Duplicates D. St. Paul)

What problems have been noted in unit organization? mainly getting L. to start unit system, usually wish to wait until larger.

NATIONAL PROGRAM

I. International Relations:

A. Describe the work done in this field by your local Leagues.

1. In units, work shops, and in general meetings?

All Leagues have at least 1 meeting, majority follow state calendar which encourages 2 unit meetings per month, 1 training meeting for discussion leaders; those not having units have 1 general meeting. Some open their general meetings to public.

2. In providing information to the public and building public opinion?

Probably more action in community on this item than in any other, particular;u for UN Day; more cooperation with other organizations in this respect, too. Majority use film strips, skits, radio, newspapers, fliers, panel discussions, particularly on Bricker amendment, speakers bureaus, T V where available.

3. In response to "Time for Legislative Action"?

Response to Legislative Action seems to be increasing; president always writes, more board members are writing and there is more encouragement of membership response. Many Leagues have set up telephone committees for this purpose.

B. What services did the State Board provide local Leagues on this item?

1. Conferences

None

2. Speakers

President reported on UN(50) Conference; Vice-president made numerous talks throughout state; Board worked in coop. with World Affairs Center to provide speakers; information on Twin City UN Rally sent to local Leagues and bibliography of materials and suggestions for UN Day celebration. Vice Pres. & other board members were in-

C. How would you evaluate national publications designed for League leaders, (ads & letters

League members and the community in this field?

Excellent, BUT LATE!

Not in time for unit meetings in many instances. National Voter is very popular. World Trade, "Points of View" records, very good. UN, What's the UN to US, excellent for membership and community distribution.

D. What suggestions do you have for future publications (articles in THE

NATIONAL VOTER, Tools for Leaders, Briefs, Memos, etc.?)

More briefs and memos; 2 Leagues want more suggestions for discussion; about 12 Leagues say material is excellent for leaders but should be simpler for members.

NATIONAL PROGRAM (Cont.)

II. Budgetary Procedures of the Congress

A. How would you evaluate the work done in this field by the local Leagues in your state?

1. In units, work shops, and in general meetings? All had one meeting at least; a few have workshops and resource committees. Many had general meetings in addition to unit meetings, with general meetings open to public. Some leagues joined forces and had their congressmen as speakers.

2. In providing information and building public opinion? Some had their Public meetings with ~~State Representative~~ Congressman as speaker. Most leagues have shelf on library which they keep supplied with League material.

3. In response to Requests for legislative action? Majority of leagues responded with Pres. & Board letters as well as individual

B. What kinds of services did the State Board provide local Leagues on this item? Prepared Discussion Outline and Suggestions for community action.

C. How would you evaluate national publications designed for League leaders, League members and the community in this field? A few comments that "Cong. Strings" was too heavy. One comment: "Had to go to library to get background material in order to understand it". After background it was very helpful. Some liked "Mr. Moneybags" very much; others said it was repetitious; it arrived too late to be effectively used.

D. What suggestions do you have for future publications (articles in THE NATIONAL VOTER, Tools for Leaders, Briefs, Memos, etc.?) More background material; more ideas for lively discussion seems to be the consensus in this field.

III. Continuing Responsibilities.

Describe work done on platform items.

A. With membership See comments on Bricker amendment above.

Some work done on Tax limitation; Voter article appreciated

B. In reaching the community Panel discussions on Bricker amendment letters to newspapers

STATE PROGRAM

List the items on the state Current Agenda and means used on each item to carry them out. (i.e. requests for action, letters, interviews, statements at hearings, publicity, meetings, etc.) Indicate what was done (a) by state Board; (b) by

local Leagues. "The League of Women Voters of Minnesota will work for the

calling of a constitutional convention and will make recommendations as to what ~~a new constitution should contain.~~" Items for emphasis: Reapportionment, Legislative Reorganization and Taxes & Finance; material prepared by State Board resource chairmen on all three of these items (see Publications page 1) Material all studied by local leagues; some had meetings with their legislators on speakers (both membership and public) program taken to other organizations

via speakers, broadsides, skits etc. and radio & tv programs. State Board met with Governor asking him to appoint Citz.com to study reapport. Pres. & Resource Chairman appeared on TV show; received wide publicity & cooperation of newspapers.

Under Platform: None as yet; anticipate work in Legislative session
which convenes in January on Civil Rights and ^{primary} other platform items

members
What services did state Board provide and what materials were issued to local Leagues on state Current Agenda items? See above

Under Platform: Answered requests for information from league members and non members.

LOCAL PROGRAM

What local government issues are receiving the most attention by Leagues in your state? Charter, city manager, town study, tax structure; welfare & health. ~~Most~~ Most leagues make practice of sending observers to council and school board meetings.

What services did state Board provide to local Leagues in carrying out their local current agenda? Organization visitor helped when asked - no service from Board. Considering having a member on state board for this purpose as we feel more service could be given to local leagues this way in both choosing and carrying out local current agenda. Also plan a workshop on this subject at council meeting in May.

PUBLIC RELATIONS

Was there a Public Relations Chairman on your Board? Yes Did she have a Committee? Yes Was there geographic representation? No

How many of your local Leagues had a Public Relations Chairman? Majority

How did your state Board assist the local Leagues in their public relations work?

By personal visits to local Leagues? Stressed by org. visitors

By sending to them: Sample releases? Yes, radio or TV stations? Yes

window display ideas? -, skits? Yes.

By holding state-wide or area conferences on public relations? No

Describe Have Public Relations work group at Convention & Council meetings.

What has been the chief work done in Public Relations at the state level?

Made a study of State publicity media? Yes

Made visits to editors, wire service bureaus, radio or TV stations? Yes

Sent out releases on news made by state League? Yes

Planned exhibits, displays at state events?

Sent out stories to county weeklies? Yes

Built up friendly relations with other state-wide organizations? Yes

Explain Some difficulty in State League and City league using same large city dailies; letters to editors used quite extensively. Twin city leagues have excellent radio and TV programs

President or board member attends meetings with other organizations: Women's Press Conference, Poll Board, etc. State Board keeps local leagues alerted to radio and tv programs and meetings in twin city area.

Distributed League publications? How? To whom? use window display
Local leagues distribute material at County Fairs; send them to contributors, put them in libraries; send them to legislators & congressmen. State league distributes material to legislators, congressmen, editors, press, et al.

Describe difficulties or opposition, if any, encountered in promoting League program. Considerable opposition by special interest lobby
Some difficulty in state league *

Has the League's standing with the public improved? oppression by deteriorated?
*None except usual special interest lobbyists in legislature on const. revision program. Believe standing ~~in xxxxxxxx~~ with public is good
same? although we have usual difficulty in explaining why league can't take stands on everything.

NATIONAL SERVICES

How valuable were national services

Very valuable in all fields and deeply appreciated; Mrs. Horner, and Miss Sweeney visits particularly. See Below

What national field service next year would best help your state Board?

Organization worker to do concentrated job on sub-standard Leagues with help of volunteers from state organization committee.

What time of year do you prefer for such service?

Early fall, or late summer.

- will do

in St Office

you do ↓

Grandland

Name of League	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/53	No. of Associate Members 3/31/53	No. of Members not renewing 3/31/53	No. of Units 3/31/53	Bulletin?	No. and type of visits by State (Leadership training ¹ budget planning ² fund raising ³ board organization and planning ⁴ unit organization ⁵ general moral - building ⁶)
Arden - Lakeland	2000	58	-	7	0	yes	3, 4, 5 ^{one}
Alexandria	6200	40	-	4	2	yes	To be visited in spring 5 ^{none}
Anoka	10,000	52	-	6	3	no	6 ^{one}
Arden Hills	1250	42	-	0	2	no	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 ^{many} - recognized Dec '53
Atwater	880	21	-	1	0	no	resisted ^{one} all of these
Austin	23,000	158	-	49	11	no	1, 2, 3, 4 ^{one}
Baile Lake	714	14	-	2	0	no	2, 3 ^{me} (Grace you may add here) ^{me}
Bemidji	10,001	100	-	28	6	yes	3
Brainerd	12,700	54	-	6	2	yes	"visit ^{me} here for inspiration"
Buffalo	1900	21	1	4	0	no	2, 3, 4, 6 ^{me}
Cass Lake	1940	12	-	5	0	no	1, 4, 6 ^{me}
Circle Pines	1,000	19	-	0	0	no	4, 5 ^{me - need more}
Columbia Hts.	8,500	31	-	3	0	no	^{me} June 24 Grace fill out
Deephaven	1,823	33	-	11	0	no	recognized ^{many} Aug.
Duluth	104,511	369	-	61	16	yes	Grace say ^{two}
Excelsior	3,000	48	1	20	3	no	4, 5, 6 ^{me}
Falcon Hts.	3,800	43	1	0	37	no	provisional ^{many} 1-6

AGENDA

1. TAX Structure Wash. Co.

1. Study city charter

2. Study county govt.

1. Financial structure of city of Anoka -

1. KYT

?

1. County welfare & Health

1. Study local govt

1. study city mgr. govt. 3. study method
2. " co. govt (welfare) of modernity govt

1. Local Tax structure

1. Study Vil Wgr. system

1. KYT

1. reorg. school dist.
to obtain H.S.

1. study ward syst. 3. water rate

2. Recreat. Program. 4. library

1. KYT

1. study need for new revenue 3. seek agree. of members on apt. tax prog.
2. " prop. tax levies under new house rule plan - & alloc. of proceed.

1. Study of vil. & city govt.

2. Board of Educ. - 3. Human Sec. Secy

1. KYT

Name of League	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/53	No. of Associate Members 3/31/53	No. of Members not renewing 3/31/53	No. of Units 3/31/53	Bulletin?	No. and type of visits by State (Leadership training <u>1</u> ; budget planning <u>2</u> ; fund raising <u>3</u> ; board organization and planning <u>4</u> ; unit organization <u>5</u> - general <u>6</u>)
Fergus Falls	12,917	30	—	8	2	no	three 1-6
Golden Valley	5,551	54	—	8	4	no	one 1-6
Granite Falls	2,520	27	—	7	0	no	4 me
Grove City	485	20	—	2	0	no	1, 2, 3, 4 me
Hetting	16,376	100	—	—	5	no	4
Hopkins	7,595	48	—	—	5	no	many provisional 1-6
Hutchinson	4,686	34	—	3	3	no	one 1-6 spec 3
Jackson	3,400	16	—	3	0	no	4 one
Kasson	1,346	16	—	6	0	no	one 1-6
Mahomed	1375	52	7	5	3	no	one 4
Mannie	334	18	0	0	0	no	many prov. 1-6
Niplo	521,718	1352	—	139	51	yes	one
Trinitonka	10,000 ⁺	44	—	7	0	no	two 1-6
Wound	2500	44	—	16	2	yes	4 one
New Hope	1950	22	—	1	0	no	one 1-6
New Richmond	960	17	—	1	0	no	one 1-6
New Ulm	9348	30	—	9	0	no	one 1-6
No St Paul	4248	26	—	0	0	yes	1-6 many recog. nov.

(should be 20)

1. City Charter

2. KYT 3. Survey for Civ. Comm.

1. Cont. of rept. of G. V. School Com.

2. KY County

1. St. new water supply for city

1. School Reorg.

1. Library completion + furnishing

3. Study if H should be city

2. Study types of loc. govt - build opinion for improvement and/or change

1. KYV

1. Citizens for Action Group -

1. Improve parks + playgrounds

1. remaining village street

2. installing street markers

1. Sewage disposal 3. Bldg + Sanit code

2. City mgr. plan 4. postal sys.

1. KYT

1. structure + efficiency (13 man) 3. study school sys.

2. low rent houses + red cross 4. KY County

1. KYT Inlet

1. Fluoridation

2. KY County

1. city govt.

2. school system

1. recreat prog.

2. reorg. school dist

1. women in govt.

should be 105 - add 46 & 59

Name of League	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/53	No. of Associate Members 3/31/53	No. of Members not renewing 3/31/53	No. of Units 3/31/53	Bulletin?	No. and type of visits by State (Leadership training <u>1</u> ; budget planning <u>2</u> ; fund raising <u>3</u> ; board organization and planning <u>4</u> ; unit organization <u>5</u>)
Ottawa							
Oliver	2012	28	—	0	0	no	one 1-6 (resisted)
Quasana	13,000	27	—	5	0	no	4, 5, 6
Paul Rapid	2600	9	7	5	0	no	1, 2, 3, 4
Red wing	10,645	83	—	0	2	yes	5 me
Richfield	27,000	145	1	0	8	no	many 1-6 recap, Nov
Rochester	30,000	282	—	31	10	yes	4, 5 one Summary + Home
Roseville	6437	82	1	—	5	no	1-6 many prov. Nov.
St Cloud	29,000	48	2	—	2	no	two 1-6
St. L. Park	22,644	59	0	—	11	yes	many prov. Nov.
St Paul	309,474	654	—	158	35	yes	many 4
So St Paul	17,000	71	—	19	6	no	4 me
Tracy	3,010	27	—	4	2	no	one 1-6
Waseca	4,927	48	—	0	0	no	one 1, 3, 4, 5
Wayzata	1,800	34	—	—	0	no	many prov. Dec. 1-6
Wells	2475	25	—	—	0	no	many 1-6 prov.
White Bear	3500	132	2	18	8	yes	in reorg. many process 1-6
Winthrop	8000	29	2	4	2	yes	one 4

1839

St. L. Park more

15

1875

total

4884 complet. total

1. Study Uelfor work

1. Study work of charter com.

1. KYT

1. Study other local govt.
Devalue R Wing

1. KYT.

1. Revised city charter

1. KYT.

1. B and ins. for water plant + sewage
dis. plant

1. KYT

A. 1. Charter review of fin. limitations

2. " amend for govt improvement plan

1. local Tax assessment proced.

1. Water funds

1. Hospital 2 Zoning

1. KYT

1. Fluoridation

1. N.Y. County

1. KYT.

B. 1. schaaffin. opt.

2. housing. 3. suitable solution of jail problem

[illegible]

[illegible]

REPORT TO LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF US.

By LWV of Minnesota

~~Minnesota~~

Income and Expenditures of Local and provisional Leagues for year beginning April 1, 1953

Name of local (or provisional) League	Income				EXPENDITURES	
	Dues	Contributions	Non-Member Contributions	All Other Receipts	Local Disbursements	State and National Services
Wells Provisional	73.50	5.50	30.00		38.59	45.00
White Bear Lake	268.00	150.00	320.00		(estim.) 458.00	280.00
White Bear Township Provisional	No Report (still part of White Bear Lake, financially, till April 1, '54)					
Worthington	62.00	35.00	393.00		143.00	122.00
Totals of this page	403.50	190.50	743.00		639.59	447.00
TOTALS	12359.25	6625.13	15338.13	3663.89	20916.30	14095.75

REPORT TO LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF U.S.

By LWV of _____
State

Income and Expenditures of Local and provisional Leagues for year beginning April 1, 1952

Name of local (or provisional) League	I N C O M E				E X P E N D I T U R E S	
	Dues	Members Contributions	Non-Members Contributions	All Other Receipts	Local Disbursements	State and National Services
Circle Prairie	\$ 47.50	\$ 22.50	\$ 40.-	\$ 41.57	\$ 77.39	\$ 53.50
Columbia Hts	47.-	23.07	145.50	2.45	40.46	63.-
Deephaven	99.-	35.30	87.-	105.00	106.59	53.50
Duluth	923.50	544.-	1,142.50	287.34	1,288.51	1,178.00
Edina						
Excelsior	122.50	92.50	302.-	—	335.18	127.-
Falcon Heights	129.-	—	152.-	22.98	189.89	48.50
Fergus Falls	101.-	20.-	5.-	34.95	166.63	101.60
Golden Valley	130.-	—	128.-	14.50	135.91	121.50
Granite Falls	54.-	—	—	109.43	13.77	81.-
Grange City	30.-	—	—	11.75	—	52.50
Hebbing	291.-	—	414.00	10.15	224.08	243.-
Hopkins	144.-	33.-	—	11.50	75.94	25.-
TOTALS						

PP -12-

P

REPORT TO LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF U.S.

By LWV of Minnesota
State

Income and Expenditures of Local and provisional Leagues for year beginning April 1, 1953

Name of local (or provisional) League	I N C O M E				E X P E N D I T U R E S	
	Members		Non-Members Contributions	All Other Receipts	Local Disbursements	State and National Services
	Dues	Contributions				
Hutchinson	62.00	15.50	72.00	36.10	70.72	104.00
Jackson	16.00	—	—	87.45	35.40	63.50
Kasson	32.00	8.00	—	25.35	49.52	70.40
Mahtomedi	177.00	7.00	344.45	15.10	318.17	131.00
Marine Provisional	54.00	—	—	7.60	8.34	25.00
Minneapolis	4017.00	3287.58	8077.50	1000.59	10350.08	5125.00
Minnetonka	132.00	25.00	—	23.70	64.70	114.50
Mound	96.60	20.45	63.70	1.65	98.34	103.50
New Prague	42.00	46.50	—	—	35.30	55.50
New Richland	39.50	5.00	15.76	4.55	10.76	40.50
New Ulm	36.00	7.10	15.00	34.65	34.28	64.00
North St. Paul	No Report	—	—	—	—	68.50
Olivia	No Report	—	—	—	—	13.75
TOTALS	4704.10	3415.13	8588.41	1236.74	11075.61	5979.15

REPORT TO LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF U.S.

By LWV of _____
State

Income and Expenditures of Local and provisional Leagues for year beginning April 1, 1952

Name of local (or provisional) League	I N C O M E				E X P E N D I T U R E S	
	Members		Non-Members Contributions	All Other Receipts	Local Disbursements	State and National Services
	Dues	Contributions				
Afton Lakeside	\$72.50	\$ 51.50	\$ 141.50	\$126.17	\$ 145.	\$ 129.
Alexandria	120.	—	180.—	—	252.72	60.—
Anaconda	130.	7.50	218.—	16.53	115.20	124.50
Arden Hills	126	60.86	65.—	—	138.76	54.50
Atwater						54.—
Austin	472.50	—	177.—	—	98.45	461.50
Battle Lake	NO REPORT					40.50
Benedict	304.50	66.32	10.—	6.09	173.13	195.—
Berchmond						
Bloomington						
Brammer	135.—	8.70	241.50	93.—	188.96	126.50
Buffalo	41.40	4.00	—	40.—	26.90	58.50
Cass Lake	33		—	27	5.52	42.—
TOTALS						

File Copy
MINNESOTA

League of Women Voters of the U.S.
1026 - 17th Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C.

STATE _____

ANNUAL REPORT
Year ending March 31, 1955

(Please fill in and return 2 copies NOT LATER than March 31, 1955 to League of Women Voters of U.S., 1026 - 17th Street, N. W., Washington 6, D. C. If enough space is not provided for your replies, attach additional sheets.)

MEMBERSHIP

No. of local Leagues
as of March 31, 1955

50

No. of provisional Leagues
as of March 31, 1955

4

No. of college Leagues
as of March 31, 1955

0

1955 Total

54

Amount of Net

Increase or decrease over 1954

1 Gain

No. of paid members in local Leagues 3/31/55

Voting

4832

17

Associate

83

No. of paid members in provisional L. 3/31/

Voting

183

0

Associate

No. of paid members in college L. 3/31/55

0

12

Members-at-large

1955 Total

5044

Amount of Net

Increase or decrease over 1954

156 Gain

855

Number of members not renewing during the past year

(NOTE: This does not mean the net figure of gains over losses, but the actual number of members who, for one reason or another dropped out of the League.)

What information have you gathered from your local Leagues on reasons for membership turnover?

How many congressional districts in your state?

Which do not have local Leagues?

9
0

Name of League (indicate which are provisional and while are college leagues)	Population of Community	Specify Congress- ional District	No. of Voting Members 3/31/55	No. of Associate Members 3/31/55	No. of Mem- bers not renewing this year	No. of Units 3/31/55	Bulle- tin?	No. and type of visits by State: Leader- ship training <u>a</u> ; budget planning <u>b</u> ; fund raising <u>c</u> ; Board Organization and planning <u>d</u> ; unit organization <u>e</u> ; pro- gram help <u>f</u> .
Afton-Lakeland	2000	3	53			1	Y	1-general and c
Alexandria	8000	7	38		11	2	Y	1-c,d. Shanks short visit
Anoka	10000	3	37		14	3	N	0
Arden Hills	915	4	44			2	N	0
Austin	23100	1	141		40	10	N	0
Battle Lake	714	9	15		4	1	N	2 - ab cdf
Bemidji	10001	9	106		30	7	Y	b,c. needed no visit, Council met the
Birchwood	312	3	32			2	Y	minimum help thru provisions/ per.
Bloomington	9702	3	98			7	Y	regular org. com. visits, good help
Brainerd	12637	6	40		20	4	Y	0
Buffalo	1914	6	22			1	N	1 - d (Shanks)
Cass Lake	1700	6	16			1	N	0 - Council Mtg. near by
Circle Pines	2000	3	19		3	1	N	seen
Columbia Heights	8175	3	29		2	1	N	1, a,c,d,e,f
Deephaven	1823	3	45		3	4	Y	1 state board org. visit, good help
Duluth	104511	8	375		60	16	Y	1 state board visit, (pres.)
Edina	9744	3	136			9	N	regular org. com. help thru prov. per.
Excelsior	4900	3	51	1	3	3	N	no visits

Name of League (indicate which are provisional and while are college leagues)	Population of Community	Specify Congress- ional District	No. of Voting Members 3/31/55	No. of Associate Members 3/31/55	No. of Mem- bers not renewing this year	No. of Units 3/31/55	Bulle- tin?	No. and type of visits by State: Leader- ship training___: budget planning___: fund raising___; Board Organization and planning___; unit organization___: pro- gram help___.
Falcon Heights	3884	4	37	2	-	3	N	no help
Fergus Falls	12917	9	31	-	5	2	N	2 abcdef (Shanks)
Golden Valley	8500	3	59	-	13	4	Y	1 St. Board visitor
Granite Falls	2511	7	27	0	4	1	N	1 F
Hibbing	16276	8	98	3	2	4	N	1 f
Hopkins	7925	3	60	-	7	5	Y	excellent organ.com.h elp thru prov.
Hutchinson	4690	2	34	3	6	3	N	1 ? no report (Shanks)
Jackson	3313	2	25	-	1	1	N	3 abcde (Shanks)
Kasson	1353	1	16	-	2	1	N	s con
Mahtomedi	1375	3	49	-	-	3	N	a d, 1
Marine	334	3	18	-	-	1	N	recog. Oct. '54, many previously
Minneapolis	521,718	3 & 5	1214	4	240	44	Y	no special help
Moorhead	19,500	9	28	-	-	2	N	org. com.help at almost every com. board, general membership meeting
Mound	2,061	3	41	-	-	2	Y	0
New Prague	1,915	2	15	-	-	1	N	1
New Richland	908	1	17	2	2	1	N	1 SHANKS
New Ulm	9348	2	21	-	-	1	N	2 - abcdef
North St. Paul	5000	4	28	-	-	1	Y	no help

Name of League (indicate which are provisional and while are college leagues)	Population of Community	Specify Congress- ional District	No. of Voting Members 3/31/55	No. of Associate Members 3/31/55	No. of Mem- bers not renewing this year	No. of Units 3/31/55	Bulle- tin?	No. and type of visits by State: Leader- ship training___: budget planning___: fund raising___; Board Organization and planning___; unit organization___: pro- gram help___.
Olivia	2012	7	28	-	-	1	N	2 abcdef (SHANKS)
Owatonna	10191	1	30	-	6	2	N	2 abcde (SHANKS)
Park Rapids	3027	6	12	-	-	1	N	0 ?
Red Wing	10645	1	74	-	5	2	Y	1 f
Richfield	31000	3	142	-	46	9	Y	much use of st. office
Rochester	29885	1	286	0	59	9	Y	0
Roseville	6437	4	136	-	10	3	Y	good help frl s t. board on m'shipY & program
St. Cloud	28410	6	48 52	27	-	5 2?	Y	many visits, treated as reorg. status
St. Louis Park	35239	3	150	-	39	12	Y	must use of st. office, 1 bd. visit
St. Paul	311349	4	654	-	131	38	Y	no help this year, lots last yr.
South St. Paul	17500	2	60	-	23	6	N	1 c d
Tracy	3020	7	24	0	4	2	N	1 abcdef
Waseca	4927	1	38	1	12	1	N	1 c (think they've had none)
Wayzata	1850	3	52	1	10	3	Y	fine help all thru org. stage
Wells	2475	2	35 25	-	-	1	N	many. abcdef (SHANKS)
White Bear Lk.	3646	4	23	-	13	1	N	good help thru reorg.
White Bear Twp.	7049	4	89	-	13	6	Y	good help thru prev. period
Worthington	8200	7	231	1	2	2	N	1 acde
Includes all 9 Congr. Districts			5015	17	855	261	22	

Pro

Local League AnalysisProgram Coverage of the Local Leagues

List across from each item the number of Leagues who have carried out the activities described in the column headings. We do not want to know how many unit meetings etc. each League had, but what kind of meeting or meetings each local League had on each subject.

Program	How it was covered in local Leagues: By						
	(Number of Leagues to be reported in each appropriate space.)						
	Unit Mtgs.	Mbr. Mtgs.	Public Mtgs.	Radio & TV	Work with Community	Other:-- Indicate kind of activity	Response to Time for Action
NATIONAL	33	19	2	7	13		10 noted
Item I							
CR 1							
CR 2	35	22	3	6	13	4	yes
CR 3							
CR 4							
Item II	30	14	10	2	5		
CR 5							
CR 6	23	14	1				
CR 7							
CR 8							
Voters Service	5	15	12	9	12	3	6 noted
STATE							
Items	Const. C. 22	10	4	3	7		14
	Reapport. 26	13	7	1	5	1	9
	Leg. Repr. 10	-	5	-	-	-	-
	Finance 26	9	3	1	2	-	1
CR's	FEPC	12	6	-	-	-	5
	Party Desig.	10	8	-	-	-	6
	Civil Serv.	9	7	-	1	1	4
Voters Service			est. 26	est. 10	est. 15		?
LOCAL							
Items	38	22	13	5	13	-	-
CR's							
Voters Service	4	4	10	5	14	1	-

*Did the state League issue a "Time for Action" on state program? _____ How many? _____

The preceding chart is intended to help you give us a summary picture of the Program work done by your local Leagues. We would appreciate additional information on National Program activity as suggested by the following two sheets of questions. You may attach extra sheets if you wish. Should this additional information be unavailable to you, please return the report without it.

ITEM I

1) What is the general state of development with respect to League study and work on international trade? (Fill in the number appropriate to each sentence.)

(estimate
of only
20 Leagues)

11

Leagues have good general background and are ready for, or in the process of, considering new lines of action.

6

Leagues are only beginning to understand the nature of the trade issue.

8

Leagues have undertaken some work in the community on trade.

0

Leagues did no work the past year on Item I. What is the reason for this?

2) What was the most outstanding work your Leagues did in the community on trade? Please specify the Leagues and what they did.

Participate in Trade Survey with University of Minnesota and Business Executive's Research Committee.

3) List Leagues that have undertaken a survey to determine the local effects of foreign trade? (What type of survey, city, county, congressional district, etc.)

Arton-Lakeland	Columbia Heights	Hibbing	Marine	Reserveville	Wayzata
Battle Lake	Falcon Heights	Jackson	Owatonna	St. Paul	White Bear
Bemidji	Fergus Falls	Kasson	Richfield	South St. Paul/Twp.	
Brainerd	Duluth	Minneapolis	Rochester	Waseca	Worthington

What are the reasons why other Leagues did not undertake the survey?
Far from trade center, too remote from training session, "no trade in home community (?)", lack of available personnel

4) What areas of U.S. trade policy are emerging as of particular concern to your members?

?

5) Please outline ways in which your Leagues are keeping up-to-date on Continuing Responsibilities 1, 2, 3, and 4. Include in this description a statement of what Leagues have done in the past year in their communities on The United Nations. In what ways have your Leagues used the community guide, "Working Together for International Cooperation"?

Reviewed material in unit meetings, displays of UN material, Posters, Works hops, Radio and TV

ITEM II

General evaluation of Item II work in your state:
(Fill in the number appropriate to each sentence.)

- 4 Leagues played an active role in a community project, with wide membership participation in the community discussion groups.
- 9 Leagues did a community job in this field, but with little membership participation.
- 17 Leagues have not taken part in a community project, but have covered the subject matter quite adequately within their own membership.
- 11 Leagues have just begun work in this field.

5 Leagues have done no work on Item II, and have no definite plans.

2 Prov.

Examples of local Leagues work in this field:

Specify those Leagues which have done outstanding community jobs thus far. Briefly describe their accomplishments.

Minneapolis Community Project, including Richfield and St. Louis Park Leagues. They worked with other organizations on planning, series of 4 forums planned over 2 month period. Sessions attended by from 75 to 150. Many attenders were leaders in their organizations.

List those Leagues who have done no work on Item II. Briefly state reasons why not.

Waseca - planning for spring

Tracy - ?

Hutchinson - planning for spring

Granite Falls - "so much material for Leagues, can't cover it all"

Brainerd - ?

Moorhead - just organizing

What areas in the Individual Liberty field are emerging as of particular concern to your members?

Describe work done on the Continuing Responsibilities 5, 6, 7, & 8. Indicate how Leagues keep up-to-date in this field.

**usually reviewed in unit meetings.
recordings used rather widely**

PUBLIC RELATIONS

STATE

MINNESOTA

How many local Leagues have:

- 1) A public relations chairman? 29
- 2) A public relations committee? 17
- 3) At least one radio station available? all but 2 or 3
- 4) At least one TV station available? 23
- 5) A regular LWV radio program? 4
- 6) A regular LWV television program? 0 (Mpls. and St. Paul have TV time regularly before elections - Candidates meetings on the air*.)
- 7) A regular LWV newspaper column? 10
- 8) A speaker's bureau? 12
- 9) Used recordings put out by the national office? 7

Please list radio stations which serve more than one League, noting the number of Leagues which depend on each.

WCCO	All Leagues in	KBUN	2 Leagues
KSTP	metropolitan	KOBX	2 Leagues
WTCN	area depend on	WSHB	3 Leagues
KUOM	these (20)		

If any ~~WCCO~~ your local Leagues have done an outstanding job in Public Relations, describe briefly.

Rochester LWV did outstanding job in campaigning for passage of Amendment # 3 last fall. See attached letter.

What is the general attitude of press and public toward the League?

very good

Describe difficulties, if any, encountered in promoting League program with public and press.

STATE MINNESOTA

Evaluation of Local League Fulfillment
of Recognition Standards
(Refer to your copy of the Recognition Standards
in Local Leaders Handbook for guidance)

The National Board set aside the 1954-56 biennium for a special effort by state and national Boards working together to bring up to full compliance with Recognitions Standards those Leagues which are falling short (see memo to State Presidents February 1, 1954). To implement this plan we have prepared the following chart along with the preceding pages and the finance section, to assist state Boards in evaluating their Leagues.

Please enter on the chart only the problems under the appropriate factor, and, if possible, the extent of the problem. (such as "mild", "serious", etc.) If a League has no problems, omit it.

If your Board thinks any of your Leagues are sufficiently weak in regard to Recognition Standards to warrant serious concern, please attach an additional sheet describing the situation briefly.

Local Leagues (list)	Quantita- tive Factor	Policy Factor	Procedure Factor	Program Factor	Finance Factor	Growth Factor
Aften-Iakeland			no units yet			
Alexandria						mild
Anoka					medium	medium
Arden Hills			mild		mild	
Austin			serious	?	serious	medium
Battle Lake	only 15		serious	?	serious	serious
Bemidji			mild		serious	
Birchwood					mild	
Bloomington						
Brainerd				mild		medium
Buffalo			medium	gives papers	serious	
Cass Lake	only 16					
Circle Pines			medium		serious	serious
Columbia Heights			mild		serious	serious
Deephaven						
Duluth						
Edina						
Excelsior			mild	mild	medium	

Evaluation of Local League Fulfillment
of Recognition Standards

(Refer to your copy of the Recognition Standards
in Local Leaders Handbook for guidance)

The National Board set aside the 1954-56 biennium for a special effort by state and national Boards working together to bring up to full compliance with Recognitions Standards those Leagues which are falling short (see memo to State Presidents February 1, 1954). To implement this plan we have prepared the following chart along with the preceding pages and the finance section, to assist state Boards in evaluating their Leagues.

Please enter on the chart only the problems under the appropriate factor, and, if possible, the extent of the problem. (such as "mild", "serious", etc.) If a League has no problems, omit it.

If your Board thinks any of your Leagues are sufficiently weak in regard to Recognition Standards to warrant serious concern, please attach an additional sheet describing the situation briefly.

Local Leagues (list)	Quantita- tive Factor	Policy Factor	Procedure Factor	Program Factor	Finance Factor	Growth Factor
Falcon Heights						
Fergus Falls			serious		serious	serious
Golden Valley						
Granite Falls				mild		
Hibbing						
Hopkins						
Hutchinson			medium		serious	
Jackson					serious	
Kasson	only 16		serious	serious	serious	serious
Mahtomedi			mild		medium	
Marine-on-St. Croix	serious					
Minneapolis						
Moorhead	pre-provisional					medium
Mound					mild	mild
New Prague	only 15		serious ?		serious	serious
New Richland	only 17		serious ?		serious	serious
New Ulm			serious ?		serious	serious
North St. Paul						serious

STATE MINNESOTA

Evaluation of Local League Fulfillment
of Recognition Standards

(Refer to your copy of the Recognition Standards
in Local Leaders Handbook for guidance)

The National Board set aside the 1954-56 biennium for a special effort by state and national Boards working together to bring up to full compliance with Recognitions Standards those Leagues which are falling short (see memo to State Presidents February 1, 1954). To implement this plan we have prepared the following chart along with the preceding pages and the finance section, to assist state Boards in evaluating their Leagues.

Please enter on the chart only the problems under the appropriate factor, and, if possible, the extent of the problem. (such as "mild", "serious", etc.) If a League has no problems, omit it.

If your Board thinks any of your Leagues are sufficiently weak in regard to Recognition Standards to warrant serious concern, please attach an additional sheet describing the situation briefly.

Local Leagues (list)	Quantita- tive Factor	Policy Factor	Procedure Factor	Program Factor	Finance Factor	Growth Factor
Olivia			serious		serious	medium
Owatonna			mild		mild	serious
Park Rapids	only 12		mild		serious	serious
Red Wing					mild	
Richfield						
Rochester						
Roseville						
St. Cloud			serious (Reorg. atttus)		serious	
St. Louis Park						
St. Paul						
South St. Paul			medium			
Tracy			serious	serious	serious	serious
Waseca			mild		mild	
Wayzata						
Wells					mild	
White Bear Lake					mild	serious
White Bear Twp					serious	
Worthington					medium	medium

GENERAL STATE BOARD OPERATIONS

No. of state Board members: 16 Officers: 5 (*Finance, Publicat.,
Elected directors: 6 Local Agenda not
Appointed directors: 5 filled)

No. of Board meetings held this year: 11

Has every Board member been assigned a portfolio? yes Is every portfolio filled? no
Which Board Committees have non-Board members? Voters Service, Constitutional Convention,

Item I, Organization, Legislative Reorganization, Legislation, FEPC, Reapportionment,
What training did you provide for Board members? None

How do you relay the decisions made at a State Board meeting to the local Leagues?
By president's post-Board letter? no By state Board minutes? no By summary? no
Other methods? yes

Have you a paid staff? yes If so specify:

Name	Position	Full or Part Time
Mrs. Eugene Newstrom	Exec. Sec'y.	Full time
Mrs. Harold Wilson	Org. Sec'y.	Full time

Have you a state office? yes Address: 84 South 10th St., Minneapolis 3, Room 406

If you have no office and no staff, please describe what assistance the state president has: _____

When and where is your next state Convention or Council to be held? _____

Livery Hotel, St. Paul, May 12 - 13.

What has been the chief work done in Public Relations at the state level? *See Verne*

Sent out releases on news made by state League? yes

Covered papers in non-League communities on general League news? _____

Built up friendly relations with other state-wide organizations? yes

Describe: In the area of constitutional revision in general and in campaigning
for passage of Amendment 3 in particular, we have worked with many organizations
and the major political parties with excellent results. The cooperation with *
yes

To whom? _____

** state-wide papers in getting news into print has never failed when we've had what we felt was "a good story to tell". The editorial policy on constitutional convention of the state-wide papers is completely agreeable with our own which we feel is a lso a big asset. The same cannot be said of rural newspapers in state.

C
C
P
Y

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS

Rochester, Minnesota

October 17, 1954

Mrs. Carl Fountain
Chairman, Public Relations
League of Women Voters of Minnesota
84 South Tenth St.
Minneapolis 3, Minnesota

Dear Mrs. Fountain:

No news is not good news, we realize, so Rochester wants to be heard from. We have had many ideas whirling around in regard to our promotion of Amendment #3, but none was concrete until this past week. We now would like you to know what Rochester has on tap from now until November 2.

1. With the printing for three successive weeks in the local newspaper of the four amendments to be voted on, we are having printed the League's simple break-down of each of these.

2. We are using the set interview received from your office in a live interview conducted during the regular night-time local newscast.

3. We are having a League member interviewed on a newscast on TV just prior to election day using the same explanatory theme.

4. We intend to barrage the newspaper with letters to the editor starting on October 19.

5. We have the time set for a news photographer to snap the chairman of the local Republican committee and the local Democratic committee chairman receiving Amendment #3 stickers from a League member.

6. We have distributed one-thousand of these stickers and are putting posters with pockets full of them in the larger super-markets the week preceding the election.

7. We have written and arranged for 24 station breaks and several spot announcements on the local radio station. We are also having a poster blown up on TV during a local newscast.

8. We are in the process of finishing 80 huge posters which we will put up throughout the county on fences, trees, etc. We have also taken posters to many of the banks in the smaller towns in the county.

9. We have secured 13 store windows and also a local bank display window for the entire week preceding the election and have a large committee at work on window displays concerning the amendment and also our local county candidates.

10. We maintain a speakers' bureau at all times, this year sending letters to 87 different organizations telling of the availability of our speakers. We have had five requests thus far for short discussions of all the amendments. We have also had a speaker at every PTA meeting in town to give a 5-minute talk on Amendment #3.

11. We have League literature and stickers at both the Republican and Democratic headquarters here in town. We are also asking via church bulletins and papers that citizens take the time to vote on all amendments.

These next few weeks certainly promise to be busy ones. Let us hope our efforts bring the desired result.

Sincerely yours.

Mrs. R. Drew Miller
Public Relations Chairman
League of Women Voters of Rochester, Minn.

Do you issue a state Bulletin? yes No. of issues within the year? 6

List state Program publications issued this year: 1) Democracy Denied, printed, 30 pp. 25¢, on Reapportionment in Minnesota, 2) Legislative Reorganization, mimeog. 20¢, 3) State Financial Structure, mimeog. 20¢, 4) State Continuing Responsibilities, 3¢ (mimeog. 30¢) 5) Candidates Speak, printed sheet, 4 pp. 10¢, 6) Reapportionment in Minn., mimeog. 7¢ on radio and TV Window display ideas Skits Recordings for radio or Unit use

List other tools issued: 1) VS letter, 2) Promotion of Democracy Denied, 3) Legislative Questionnaire advice, 4) Legislative Letter, 5) Tips on use of Reorganization Material, 6) Talent File Letter, 7) FEP and Reap. Questionnaires, 8) Primer on Reapportionment, 9) Letter Finance Chairman, 10) Lobby by Letter Booklet, 11) Tips for Reap. Lobbyists 12) FEP State ment

STATE BOARD FIELD SERVICE TO EXISTING LEAGUES

Describe your overall plan for servicing existing Leagues and progress made in carrying it out this year:

One or more visits per League, by Org. Committee. Miss Shanks and Org. Committee visited weakest Leagues. Org. Com. visited others. State Board visited strongest as "reward". All had follow up correspondence.

What plans does the state Board have to assist your Leagues with their special problem as listed on page 7?

Mrs. Horner to spend 2 months working with state board, organization committee, and LL Presidents and LL Boards to strengthen Leagues in areas needing help. Plan is to have State Board, Org. Com. and LL Pres. training in May '55 (1 day a piece), then a series of 4 workshops, in areas of L. work needing strengthening, in fall, attended by St. Board, Org. Com., Leagues needing help. Those L's not attending to be sent visitor. Committee?

How do you use the Program, Finance and Public Relations Board members to help in this work?

State Board Program people made special visits, wrote letters individually to L. when needed. Finance, Public Relations, Orientation Chairman on Org. Com.

How much money was spent for Organization travel? \$703.66

To what extent, if any, was the state visitor required to supplement this from personal funds?

unknown, probably about 1/4 to 1/2
Does each visit include a meeting with local League Board? yes

Describe methods used in helping local Leagues - (area or state-wide conferences, individual League visits, speakers, workshops, discussion outlines etc.) - in the following activities: (you may attach additional sheets for this)

Units	
Resource Committees	workshop at Council Meeting May '54 (*lobbyists)
National Program	discussion tips, questionnaires
State Program	extra material item I, Workshop Trade Interviewers
Selecting and carrying out local current agenda	legislation workshop at Council Meeting, Training mtg. for* workshop at Council Meeting
Membership Meetings	
Reaching the Community	letter from resource and lobby chairmen
Public Relations	workshop at Council meeting, press releases, scripts
Voters Service	workshop at Council meeting
Use of publications	letter promoting sale, especially of Candidates Speaks and Democracy Denied
Membership	
Expansion	
Participation	
Orientation	
Local League Bulletins	
Finance	display at Council Meeting
Others	workshop at Council Meeting, 2 metropolitan area finance conferences

Whatever area of help L. needs, Org. visitor tries to supply on visit to LL, sometimes taking with her a specialist.

List any County Councils in your state and the local Leagues belonging to each:

HENNEPIN COUNTY COUNCIL

Bloomington Golden Valley Richfield
 Deephaven Hopkins St. Louis Park
 Edina Minneapolis Wayzata

RAMSEY COUNTY COUNCIL

Arden Hills St. Paul
 Falcon Heights White Bear Lake
 North St. Paul White Bear Twp.

What problems have you encountered in functioning Roseville City Councils?

1. such a loose organization that there is no way of checking up if officers or members are not doing their job., 2) depends more on excellence of leadership than any other L. work, 3) hard to involve lots of people on committees, 4) hard for LL B card to understand the council.

ORGANIZING NEW LEAGUES

Describe your long-term plan for organizing new Leagues and progress made this year in carrying out this plan:

1) start L. in 10,000 or over towns first, 2) do 2, perhaps, a year, 3) choose district (legisl) where there is no L., 4) start in town close enough to established L. to service it. This year we have finished off about 7 suburban L's in Hennepin and 3 in Ramsey County (suburbs of Mpls. and St. Paul). We have about 3 more to go. Then we can use strong metropolitan area L. womanpower to go out to start L.'s in state.

Have your provisional Leagues had the services of a state Board or organization committee advisor at each Board meeting during provisional status? yes

Have you any provisional Leagues who have been in existence longer than 18 months?

Wells What are the reasons for delay in qualifying for recognition?

A long history including 1) changes of advisers, 2) history of former L. resistance to State and National Organization, especially against modern policies in L, 3) not enough service in beginning, and 4) too tiny a town, (2400).

NATIONAL SERVICESA. Publications

How useful did your local Leagues find:

See attached sheet, answers from
Local Leagues, not broken down.

"Local Effects of Foreign Trade" _____

"How to Organize A Freedom Agenda Project" _____

Program publications for Resource Committee on Item I _____

" " " " " " " Item II _____

" " " Members " Item I _____

" " " " " Item II _____

" " " Communities " Item I _____

" " " " " Item II _____

Other publications: _____

What use do Resource Committees make of THE NATIONAL VOTER?

???

Is THE NATIONAL VOTER used by the members? _____

How?

What suggestions do you have for future publications, in subject matter, style, format?

How far in advance of membership discussion does a Board (and Resource Committee) need to have program material in hand?

Do you ~~like~~ ^{2 months would be good} having the materials for leaders, for members and/or for community arrive at the same time?

Is there an advantage to having ^{yes} the leaders' materials in advance of the membership material?

What suggestions can you make for improving the use and distribution of national publications?

Publications Chairman on State Board to promote idea.

How can the national office facilitate this?

LL responses to questions on National Publications

LEADERS

good, but lengthy and detailed
for condensation
~~good~~, could be carried further
for leaders
excellent
excellent
very good for leaders equipped
educationally to use them
excellent
excellent
very good
timely, concise
good,
very good
very good
too technical, should aim toward
average, uninformed voter
good
effective
very good
good, but too voluminous
too detailed
helpful
very effective, widely used
too detailed, involved,
Freedom Ag. excellent
excellent, appreciate "how to
use it" material
very effective, specially
Freedom Agenda series
~~W/1964~~
Would like it better organized,
concise presentation
excellent

MEMBERS

Freedom Ag. project material
outstanding
excellent
good for regular members, too
complex for new members
not enough used by members
satisfactory
good, but more popular pre-
sentation better
good,
good,
excellent, timed well
appreciate discussion questions
very good
literature complexity scares
away members
fair, too much of it
effective
very good
fair, not simple, brief enuf
Freedom Agenda specially good
limited use by members
excellent
most good, some weighty, dull
Freedom Ag. good!

COMMUNITY

good
excellent
good for libraries for
research material, poor
for general public
small local budget keeps
us from distributing
Roll Calls, Freedom Agenda
interesting to community
good, but more popular
presentation better
good
good
fair
very good
fair
are more effective than
formerly
community not responding
only VS material used
excellent
Tips for Teachers good
Bill of Rights not good
UN material good
Candidates Speaks, broad
sides appreciated.
(This is state level)

Quote from Minneapolis Report:

"On printed or mimeographed material, it would be helpful to have, occasionally, a resume of what has been issued before by the League on that particular subject, so that this one piece would be complete." Would appreciate news about Leagues elsewhere, "news about conventions and conferences, news about action contemplated.share your ideas column...."

STATE: MINNESOTA

B. National Field Service

Were national field services satisfactory? yes! How can they be improved?

~~"Make them free," says our Organization Chairman, facetiously, I think.~~

~~Help is excellent. Our worry is the turnover of people, they are trained well, then soon they are replaced by others in office, both in LL and on State Board level. So training is a continual job. Cost of national field service is high, considering our budget, how to make results of training last longer?~~
next year would best help your state Board in carrying out its responsibilities
A new incoming Board might modify these plans, but your Board is responsible for initiating the plans.

~~Plans being made to share Mrs. Horner with Indiana and Michigan. We have great hopes that fine results will come from this.~~

What times of year do you prefer for such services? The national Board will try to meet your preferences in fitting schedules into other obligations.

~~Sept., Oct. - April May, best times~~

~~already planned~~

Are you planning to request sharing a field staff person with other states and national in 1955-56? Later? If so, can you estimate the approximate year when your state would be likely to ask for this? .

REPORT BY STATE FINANCE CHAIRMAN for year ending March 31, 1955

Is there a State Finance Committee?

No. Chairman resigned 2 months ago.

How many Leagues in your state had finance drives?

37

How many Leagues in you state send in preliminary report forms?

31

What assistance was given local Leagues in response to their requests for help as checked on preliminary report form:

No. of Leagues asking help on:

How helped - (visits, conferences, letters, etc.)

Securing Workers

correspondence

Training Workers

workshop at Council Meeting, May '54

Publicity

Take-off

(Other needs)
Coordination2 conferences of 20 Leagues in metropolitanHow many Leagues returned Final Fund Raising Reports?
area around Mpls., and St. Paul

Please appraise progress in your state (increase or decrease in number of drives, in amount raised, in size of contributions, etc.)

35

	I TOTAL DRIVE
<u>28 in 1953-54, 37 in 1954-55, had finance drives.</u>	<u>I '52-'53- \$20,098</u>
<u>8 in 1953-54, 31 in 1954-55, sent in preliminary reports.</u>	<u>I '53-'54- \$22,048</u>
<u>28 in 1953-54, 31 in 1954-55, sent in final reports.</u>	<u>I '54-'55- \$26,429.22</u>

What suggestions or criticisms do the local Leagues have as to materials prepared for their use this year?

Which materials do they find most useful for prospect and contributors cultivation?

What suggestions or criticisms do state Boards have as to procedures or materials prepared for their use?

We would also appreciate (on additional sheets) hearing in detail of any good experiences or pitfalls to avoid. These we can share with other Leagues. Please send us also samples of editorials on state and local material found useful in finance drives.

No finance chairman to make these analyses now, perhaps can be sent later.

Please fill in and return
by _____ to
League of Women Voters of U.S.
1026 - 17th Street, N.W.
Washington 6, D. C.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCE REPORT
from
League of Women Voters of
MINNESOTA
For period from April 1 to March 31, 1955
(1954)

Prepared by Mrs. Wilson, Org. Sec'y.
Position _____
Address 84 South 16th St., Room 406

Name of Local League	Total Budget	Contributions		No. of Prospects	No. of Workers	No. of persons contributing		Amount Received		State Finance Chairman's Comments**
		Goal	Budgeted			Mem.	non-mem.	Member	Non-mem.	
Afton-Lake	391.	90.	150.	50	17	16	28	28.50	122.50	
Alexandria	402.	-	275.	50	14	-	38	-	345.	
Andon	375.10	-	245.	169	12	1	107	2.50	214.	
Arden Hills	440.	75.	223.	25	8	9	22	44.25	126.50	
Austin	780.	-	300.	150	10	16	39	-	264.	
Battle Lake										
Benidji	447.									
Birchwood	175.	15	70.	36	8	9	21	10.	34.	
Bloomington	1020.	100.	420.	125	17	3	64	16.	374.	
Brainerd	405.	-	200.	-	12	-	62	-	260.	
Buffalo	-									
TOTALS										

* Contributions from members are all money given in addition to the minimum dues, whether collected during the finance drive or at some other time during the year.

** We would appreciate your evaluation of whether or not a drive has been successful, an improvement or not, etc. Please use other side of page if additional space for comments is necessary.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCE REPORT

Please fill in and return
by _____ to
League of Women Voters of U.S.
1026 - 17th Street, N.W.
Washington 6, D. C.

from
League of Women Voters of
MINNESOTA
For period from April 1 to _____

Prepared by _____
Position _____
Address _____

Name of Local League	Total Budget	Contributions		No. of Prospects	No. of Workers	No. of persons contributing		Amount Received		State Finance Chairman's Comments**
		Goal	Budgeted Mem* & non-mem.			Men.	non-mem.	Member	Non-mem.	
Cass Lake	-	-	35.	20	4	3	18	8.	38.50	
Circle Pines	186.		40.	100	15	19	2	64.50	10.	
Columbia Hts.	3200		75.	-	-	-	-			
Deephaven	390.	25	180.	170	25	20	97	37.	277.	
Duluth	3400.	650	1150.	180	38	51	112	536.	1094.50	
Edina	1200.	-	500	-	-	-	-	-	515.50	
Excelsior	435.	-	-	70	4	7	40	26.	158.50	
Falcon Hts.	395.	-	200	51	14	3	29	21. 70.	164.50 147.50	
Fergus Falls	315.	-	200							
Golden Valley	525.		330	200	23	2	81	8.	339. 389	
TOTALS									389.	

* Contributions from members are all money given in addition to the minimum dues, whether collected during the finance drive or at some other time during the year.

** We would appreciate your evaluation of whether or not a drive has been successful, an improvement or not, etc.
Please use other side of page if additional space for comments is necessary.

Please fill in and return
by _____ to
League of Women Voters of U.S.
1026 - 17th Street, N.W.
Washington 6, D. C.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCE REPORT
from
League of Women Voters of
MINNESOTA
For period from April 1 to _____

Prepared by _____
Position _____
Address _____

Name of Local League	Total Budget	Contributions		No. of Prospects	No. of Workers	No. of persons contributing		Amount Received		State Finance Chairman's Comments**
		Goal	Budgeted			Mem.	& non-mem.	Member	Non-mem.	
		Mem*	& non-mem.					*		
Granite Fla.	240.	-	150.	100	12	-	90	-	157.50	
Hibbing	1039.	300.	500.	66	12	-	53	300.	499.00	
Hopkins	700	-	150.	110	20	4	74	13.	440.	
Hutchinson	200	4	80	78	21	13	28			
Jackson	92.20									
Kasson										
Mahtomedi										
Marine	150		50.	75.	11	15	38	45.	67.80	
Minneapolis	16,000	3250	8300.	706.	138	552	451	3421.37	8125.50	
Worsham										
TOTALS										

* Contributions from members are all money given in addition to the minimum dues, whether collected during the finance drive or at some other time during the year.

** We would appreciate your evaluation of whether or not a drive has been successful, an improvement or not, etc. Please use other side of page if additional space for comments is necessary.

Please fill in and return
by _____ to
League of Women Voters of U.S.
1026 - 17th Street, N.W.
Washington 6, D. C.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCE REPORT
from
League of Women Voters of
MINNESOTA
For period from April 1 to _____

Prepared by _____
Position _____
Address _____

Name of Local League	Total Budget	Contributions		No. of Prospects	No. of Workers	No. of persons contributing		Amount Received		State Finance Chairman's Comments**
		Goal	Budgeted			Mem.	& non-mem.	Member	Non-mem.	
		Mem*	& non-mem.					*		
Hound	300.	25.	125.	25	6	2	18	22.65	131.	
New Prague	70.	0	-							
New Richmond	67.									
New Ulm	-									
St. Paul	248.75	-	149.75	42	10	-	39		206.50	
Olivia										
Orontown	237.	79.	158.	26	18	-	18	-	158.	
Park Rapids	-									
Red Wing	607.	-	250.	48	8	-	36	-	243.50	
Richfield	1465.	50	640.	226	42	38	119	86.25	631.19	
TOTALS										

* Contributions from members are all money given in addition to the minimum dues, whether collected during the finance drive or at some other time during the year.

** We would appreciate your evaluation of whether or not a drive has been successful, an improvement or not, etc. Please use other side of page if additional space for comments is necessary.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCE REPORT

Please fill in and return
by _____ to
League of Women Voters of U.S.
1026 - 17th Street, N.W.
Washington 6, D. C.

from
League of Women Voters of
MINNESOTA
For period from April 1 to _____

Prepared by _____
Position _____
Address _____

Name of Local League	Total Budget	Contributions		No. of Prospects	No. of Workers	No. of persons contributing		Amount Received		State Finance Chairman's Comments**
		Goal Budgeted	Mem* & non-mem.			Men.	non-mem.	Member	Non-mem.	
Rochester	1775.	150.	600.	102	11	38	78	155.	628.50	
Roseville	675.		275.	67	10	2	30	25	331.	
St. Cloud										
St. Louis Pk.	1697.50		725.	284	60		127		929.50	
St. Paul	7250.	2190.	2700.	400	60	160	189	1177.20	2500.	
South St. Pl.	785.		320.	80	8		51		344.	
Tracy										
Waseca	219.		75.	8	2		6		65.	
Wayzata	375.		190.	100	20	30	56	49.	256.	
Wells	200.	25.	62.50	18	7	8	15	14	65.	
TOTALS										

* Contributions from members are all money given in addition to the minimum dues, whether collected during the finance drive or at some other time during the year.

** We would appreciate your evaluation of whether or not a drive has been successful, an improvement or not, etc. Please use other side of page if additional space for comments is necessary.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCE REPORT

Please fill in and return
by _____ to
League of Women Voters of U.S.
1026 - 17th Street, N.W.
Washington 6, D. C.

from
League of Women Voters of
MINNESOTA
For period from April 1 to _____

Prepared by _____
Position _____
Address _____

Name of Local League	Total Budget	Contributions		No. of Prospects	No. of Workers	No. of persons contributing		Amount Received		State Finance Chairman's Comments**
		Goal	Budgeted			Mem.	non-mem.	Member	Non-mem.	
White Bear IX	235.	-	100.	53	6	-	50	-	134.	
White Bear T th	577.	55	110	50	18	3	27	13.	91.	
TOTALS	46885.55	7014.00	20,160.75	3913	679	980	2281	6038.22	20,391.99	

* Contributions from members are all money given in addition to the minimum dues, whether collected during the finance drive or at some other time during the year.

** We would appreciate your evaluation of whether or not a drive has been successful, an improvement or not, etc. Please use other side of page if additional space for comments is necessary.

well
FALs

+	25.	62.50	18.	7.	8.	15.	14.	
	-	200.-	31.	14.	3.	29	17	- 17
	70.39	20423.25	3962	700	991	2325	6069.22	20374.99

REPORT BY STATE TREASURER for year ending March 31, 1955

STATE LEAGUE

	<u>1953 - 54</u>	<u>1954 - 55</u>	<u>GROSS</u> <u>1954-55</u>
Income			
Local Leagues (dues, pledges, etc.)	\$	\$	
Contributions direct to State	<u>14,275.68</u>	<u>16,376.70</u>	16,376.70
All other receipts	<u>685.50</u>	<u>609.23</u>	5,598.87
	<u>4,809.80</u>	<u>938.03</u>	
TOTAL INCOME	\$ <u>19,770.98</u>	\$ <u>17,923.96</u>	22,580.83 *
Expenditures			
General Administration	\$	\$ 3,516.36	
Direct Services to local Leagues	<u>2,758.25</u>	<u>1,796.37</u>	3,591.36
Salaries	<u>2,968.00</u>	<u>5,858.90</u>	5,574.84
Provision for national services	<u>5,518.00</u>	<u>6,550.00</u>	5,858.90
All other disbursements	<u>6,300.00</u>	<u>202.33</u>	6,550.00
	<u>1,224.93</u>	<u>17,923.96</u>	1,005.70
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ <u>19,770.98</u>	\$ <u>17,923.96</u>	22,580.80 *

Cash Statement

*These figures are given for purposes of comparing with 53-54. Figures for 54-55 use new budget method.

Balance on hand at beginning of year	date <u>3/1/54</u>	\$ <u>2242.78</u>
Receipts during the year		<u>17923.96</u>
Disbursements during the year		<u>17923.96</u>
Balance on hand at close of year		<u>2242.78</u>

LOCAL AND PROVISIONAL LEAGUES

	<u>1953 - 54</u>	<u>1954 - 55</u>	
Income totals from sheet attached	\$	\$ <u>47,310.07</u>	
Members			
Dues	\$ <u>37,986.40</u>	<u>44,743.43</u>	19,857.31
Contributions	<u>12,180.35</u>	<u>19,857.31</u>	21,104.66
Non-Members' Contributions	<u>18,984.38</u>	<u>21,104.66</u>	6,348.10
All other receipts	<u>15,338.13</u>	<u>6,348.10</u>	47,310.07
TOTAL INCOME	\$ <u>37,986.40</u>	\$ <u>47,310.07</u>	
Expenditure totals from sheet attached	\$	\$	
Local work	<u>35,012.05</u>	<u>44,743.43</u>	
State and national services	<u>20,916.30</u>	<u>20,916.30</u>	
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ <u>14,095.75</u>	\$ <u>16,376.70</u>	
	***** <u>35,012.05</u>	<u>44,743.43</u>	
Number of local Leagues in the state			
Number of local Leagues included in this report		<u>50</u>	
Number of provisional Leagues in the state		<u>41</u>	
Number of provisional Leagues included in this report		<u>3</u>	

REPORT TO LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF U.S.

By LWV of MINNESOTA

State

Income and Expenditures of Local and provisional Leagues for year ending March 31, 1955

Name of local (or provisional) League	I N C O M E				E X P E N D I T U R E S	
	Dues	Members Contributions	Non-Members Contributions	All Other Receipts.	Local Disbursements	State and National Services
Afton-Lakeland	\$ 147	\$ 28.50	\$ 120.50	\$ 95.73	\$ 228.44	\$ 150.
Alexandria	114.	-	345.	42.10	383.25	130.
Anoka	92.50	2.50	214.	6.50	126.27	175.
Arden Hills	120.	52.75	120.	21.30	237.26	90.
Austin	423.	-	256.	15.25	236.48	461.50
Battle Lake	30.	9.40	20.20	11.50	14.32	55.
Bemidji	318.	28.90	12.	141.80	329.35	225.
Birchwood (Prov.)	102.	10.	24.	29.80	75.	55.
Bloomington (Prov.)	261.	17.	374.	163.	447.35	275.
Brainerd	100.	-	262.50	58.90	214.06	190.
Buffalo	48.30	4.50	14.	40.37	41.63	63.50
TOTALS						

REPORT TO LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF U.S.

By LWV of MINNESOTA

State

Income and Expenditures of Local and provisional Leagues for year ending March 31, 1955

Name of local (or provisional) League	I N C O M E				E X P E N D I T U R E S	
	Members Dues	Contributions	Non-Members Contributions	All Other Receipts	Local Disbursements	State and National Services
Cas s Lake	\$ 48.	\$ 8.	\$ 38.52	\$ 37.29	\$ 41.05	\$ 55.
Circle Pines	47.50	-	26.	60.	80.	53.50
Columbia Heights	61.	22.11	14.	120.27	202.45	55.
Deephaven	123.50	37.	277.	40.25	347.75	100
Duluth	937.50	536.50	1089.50	567.55	1870.55	1260.
Edina	-	-	515.50	-	-	325
Excelsior	147.	18.	178.50	40.60	214.74	130.
Falcon Heights	111.	10.	164.50	131.10	275.47	100.
Fergus Falls	62.	18.	-	174.16	118.92	75.
Golden Valley	177.50	2.	387.10	36.03	376.63	180.
Granite Falls	54.	-	157.50	-	77.49	105.
TOTALS						

REPORT TO LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF U.S.

By LWV of MINNESOTA
State

Income and Expenditures of Local and provisional Leagues for year ending March 31, 1955

Name of local (or provisional) League	I N C O M E				E X P E N D I T U R E S	
	Members Dues	Contributions	Non-Members Contributions	All Other Receipts	Local Disbursements	State and National Services
Hibbing	\$ 306.	\$ -	\$ 499.	\$ 515.45	\$ 893.24	\$ 350.
Hopkins	180	22.	459.	100.89	340.45	125.
Hutchinson	85.	32.82	15.	84.80	107.72	103.
Jackson	25.	7.66	3.	185.83	75.93	61.20
Kasson	32.	-	58.	5.07	8.85	55.
Mahtomedi	141.	31.50	41.50	346.5	259.90	200.
Marine-on-St. Croix	-	45.	67.80	-	-	65.
Minneapolis	3,610.50	3,582.87	8,185.50	863.67	10,799.64	5,000.
Moorhead (Pre-Prev.)	84.	7.	-	8.04	47.79	-
Mound	117.50	25.	131.43	26.78	185.86	150.
New Prague						25.
TOTALS						

REPORT TO LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF U.S.

By LWV of _____
State

Income and Expenditures of Local and provisional Leagues for year ending March 31, 1955

Name of local (or provisional) League	I N C O M E				E X P E N D I T U R E S	
	Members Dues	Contributions	Non-Members Contributions	All Other Receipts	Local Disbursements	State and National Services
New Richland	\$ 41.25	\$ 20.26	\$ 5.35	\$ -	\$ 24.86	\$ 42.
New Ulm						40.
North St. Paul	70.	-	206.50	6.75	222.36	90.
Olivia						25.
Owatonna	73.50	5.54	20.	38.53	82.03	85.
Park Rapids						15.
Red Wing	185.	31.50	246.50	29.50	281.78	250.
Richfield	423.	36.25	631.19	557.43	1,203.56	450.
Recheester	858.	155.	638.55	175.75	883.44	925.
Roseville	408.	40.	319.	391.84	986.44	150.
St. Cloud	125.50	41.03		24.07	109.96	150.
TOTALS						

REPORT TO LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF U.S.

By LWV of MINNESOTA

State

Income and Expenditures of Local and provisional Leagues for year ending March 31, 1955

Name of local (or provisional) League	I N C O M E				E X P E N D I T U R E S	
	Members Dues	Contributions	Non-Members Contributions	All Other Receipts	Local Disbursements	State and National Services
St. Louis Park	\$ 438.	\$ 7.50	\$ 944.82	\$ 67.06	\$ 1182.75	\$ 250.
St. Paul	2,136.30	1,664.38	2,589.55	885.81	4022.13	2,400.
South St. Paul	105.	-	354.	116.68	499.75	275.
Tracy	36.	27.72	-	6.50	48.60	50.
Waseca	80.	35.	-	50.	115.	105.
Wayzata	130.	49.	253.50	410.07	129.93	75.
Wells (Provisional)			65.			70.
White Bear Lake	56.	-	114.	20.	100.50	75.
White Bear Township	267.	13.	93.	369.40	516.43	200.
Worthington	32.	14.30	-	8.	26.98	125.
Others:						97.
TOTALS	13,180.35	6,676.96	21,104.66	6,348.10	28,366.73	16,376.70

April 18 ST Cloud - 125.50 41.03

Apr. 14 Mankato 13,305.85 6,717.99
13,141. - 31.50
13,446.85 6,749.49

41.50
21,146.16

24.07 109.96
6,372.17 28,476.69
6,348.10 259.90
6,406.82 28,736.59

add: Deep River

League of Women Voters of the U. S.
1026 17th Street, N.W.,
Washington 6, D. C.

ANNUAL REPORT
Year Ending March 31, 1956

STATE MINNESOTA

(Please fill in and return 2 copies NOT LATER than March 31, 1956 to League of Women Voters of U.S., 1026 17th St., N.W., Washington 6, D. C. If enough space is not provided for your replies, attach additional sheets.)

LOCAL LEAGUES

Number of local Leagues, 3/31/56 52
Number of Provisional Leagues, 3/31/56 1
Number of College Leagues, 3/31/56 0

TOTAL 53

Increase over 3/31/55 (54)
Decrease under 3/31/55 in '55 - 1

Number of Leagues from which recognition has been withdrawn, March 31, 1955 to March 31, 1956 1

Please attach list of County Councils and
Number of Leagues belonging to each:

MEMBERSHIP

Voting members, 3/31/56 5031
Associate members, 3/31/56 7
College League Members, 3/31/56 0
Members-at-Large, 3/31/56 13

TOTAL 5051

Increase over 3/31/55 (5044)
Decrease under 3/31/55 7 in '54)

Number not renewing membership 865
(This does not mean the net losses, but the actual number of members who, for one reason or another, dropped out of the League during this year)

Cong. Dist.	Name of League (indicate if provisional or College League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting members 3/31/56	No. of Associate members 3/31/56	No. of members not renewing this year	How many of these dropped out after only 1 year	Bulletin	No. & reason for visits by state. (Please indicate "General" or "Special", and if special, name the specific).
3	A. Lakeland	2100	60	-	4	1 <u>Units</u> 1	X	
7	Alexandria	6300	38	-	5	0 2	X	
3	Anoka	10,000	36			3		
4	Arden Hills	1250	40	-	8	4 2	X	
1	Austin	23,000	175	-	30	- 11		
9	Battle Lake	800 <u>714</u>	16	3	3	1 1		
9	Benidill	10,001	95	1	23	5 7	X	
3	Birchwood	312	29	0	8	- 2	X	

489 1 78 - 1 - 10 29 5

STATE MINNESOTA

Cong. Dist.	Name of League (indicate if provisional or college League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting members 3/31/56	No. of Associate members 3/31/56	No. of mem- bers not renewing this year	How many of these dropped out after only 1 year	Bulletin	No. & reason for visits by state. (Please indicate "General" or "Special", and if special, name the specific.)
3	Bloomington	9702	116			UNITS 7	X	
6	Brainerd	12637	40	0	13	6 1	X	
6	Buffalo	1914	14			1		
6	Cass Lake	1936	15	0	1	0 1		
3	Circle Pines	2000	17			1		
3	Columbia Heights	10,000	21	-	6	4 1		
3	Deephaven	1823	52	-	15	5 3	X	
8	Duluth	104,511	322	0	84	14 16	X	
3	Edina	20,000	215	4	4	0 15		
3	Excelsior	4900	56	-	7	1 3		
4	F. Heights	3884	36	-	14	2 2		
9	Fergus Falls	12967	30	1	9	1 2		
3	G. Valley	10,000	77	-	9	2 4	X	
7	Granite Falls	2511	26			1		
8	Hibbing	16276	90			4	X	
3	Hopkins	10,000	67			5	X	
2	Hutchinson	4690	31	1	7	1 2	X	

1225

2

169

- 2 -
35

69

78

- 12

STATE MINNESOTA

Cong. Dist.	Name of League (indicate if provisional or college League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting members 3/31/56	No. of Associate members 3/31/56	No. of members not renewing this year	How many of these dropped out after only 1 year	Bulletin	No. & reason for visits by state. (Please indicate "General" or "Special", and if special, name the specific.)
2	Jackson	3380	23	1	3	2 ^{Units} 1		
1	Kasson	1353	16			1		
3	Mahtomedi	1500	58	-	8	1 3	X	
3&5	Minneapolis	550,000	1144	-	170	160 43	X	
9	Moorhead	20,000	34	-	3	14 3		
3	Mound	2500	54	0	11	5 2	X	
2	New Prague	1915	16			1		
1	New Richland	1000	18	2	0	1 1		
2	New Ulm	10,000	28	-	2	0 1		
4	No. St. Paul	4,237	32	0	1	0 1	X	
7	Olivia	2012	18			1		
1	Owatonna	11,000	33	-	7	2 3		
6	Park Rapids	3027	12			1		
1	Red Wing	10,645	58	0	12	3 2	X	
3	Richfield	31000	155	-	29	6 10	X	
1	Recheater	29000	227	-	98	35 9	X	
4	Roseville	6437	182	-	19	9 13	X	
			2108	3	363	238 ² - 96	8	

STATE MINNESOTA

Cong. Dist.	Name of League (indicate if provisional or college League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting members 3/31/56	No. of Associate members 3/31/56	No. of members not renewing this year	How many of these dropped out after only 1 year	Bulletin	No. & reason for visits by state. (Please indicate "General" or "Special", and if special, name the specific.)
6	St. Cloud	35,000	52	0	12	4 <u>Units</u> 4	X	
3	St. L. Park	38,289	169	1	53	20 12	X	
4	St. Paul	311,349	612	-	133	- 32	X	
2	So. St. Paul	18,951	59	-	15	4 5	X	
7	Tracy	3085	17	0	8	1 1		
1	Waseca	5000	41	-	15	1 1		
3	Wayzata	1800	60	0	13	6 2	X	
2	Wells	2500 2425	32 26		4	1		
4	White Bear Lake	3646	21			1		
4	W. B. Township	14,000	100	0	6	3 7	X	
7	Worthington	8200	42					
Ramsey County Council:				Hennepin County Council:				
	Arden Hills			Bloomington		Hopkins		
	Falcon Heights			Deephaven		Minneapolis		
	No. St. Paul			Edina		Mound		
	White Bear Lk.			Excelsior		Richfield		
	W. B. Township			Golden Valley		St. Louis Park		
						Wayzata		

TOTAL $\frac{1209}{5031}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{255}{865}$ $\frac{32}{322}$ $\frac{66}{266}$ $\frac{6}{25}$

260 27

-4

4

State- Minnesota

No. of State Board Members 15
 Officers - 5
 Elected Directors 6
 Appointed Directors 4

* those having non board members on committees

Portfolios filled:
 President - non resident
 1st Vice. Pres-resident asst.to pres.
 *2nd Vice Pres-Organization-out-state / Leagues
 Secretary
 Treasurer & National Item II
 *State Program- Current Agenda
 *Organization, in charge of metropolitan area
 *Public Relations
 National Item I & CRS *Publications
 Local Current Agenda *Finance
 Bulletin Editor *Voters Service
 *Legislative & Cont. Responsibilities

Training provided for board members: Briefing by president, 1st vice-president, predecessor and board.

Decisions made at state board meetings relayed to local leagues by presidents' post board letter and copies of state board minutes. Started sending minutes last two months and Leagues are grateful for this information. Anything confidential or embarrassing to local leagues is included in separate report attached to minutes, circulated to board members only, and on file.

State office address: University of Minnesota, 15th & Washington Ave.S.E.
 Minneapolis 14, Minn.

Name and job designation of employees: Mrs. Harold Wilson, Executive Secretary, and other clerical help as needed and provided by the State Organization Service at the University, under which we are operating, as a public service organization.(paid for at an hourly rate)

Council meeting will be held at the Leamington Hotel, May 17 & 18, 1956.

Title of State Bulletin and number of issues yearly: The Minnesota Voter-6 issues.

List of state League publications issued this year: Facts about Minnesota, Minnesota Presidential Primary, and flyer, Minnesota's Role in Choosing the President of the USA, 1955 Legislative Report, Do It Yourself Kit (on building public opinion for calling a constitutional convention, containing speeches, ideas, skits, etc.), Minnesota's Constitution Booklet (not in print, but completed), The Roaring Farce (on history of constitutional convention in Minn.), I'm a Voter and I'M Mad, flyer, Local Current Agenda, Treasurer's Instructions, Building a Better Budget, Iron Ore Tax Amendment, Fair Employment Practices Commission, Party Designation for Legislators, Reapportionment, Financing Public Schools of Minnesota, The State You Are In, articles in Voter, and a "Murphy Bag" pattern to hold them in.

List of Bills in the State Legislature supported by the League:

Calling of a Constitutional convention -	Current Agenda
Reapportionment	" "
Fair Employment Practices Commission -	Continuing Responsibility
Party Designation for Legislators	" "
Modification of Veterans Preference	" "

Opposed vacating the office of civil service director and providing for his appointment by the governor without the safeguard of competitive examination and tenure (CR)

Supported appointment of the civil service director by the governor from the top 3 to 5 names selected by competitive examination and placement of the director in the classified service (tenure under civil service) (CR).

State Publications Issued, Fiscal year, 1955-56
(asterisk indicates printed matter)

Item	Price	# run
*Minnesota Voter, March, April - Proposed Program		5300
*Minnesota Voter, May, June - State Program, Constitutional Conv.	.02	6500
*Minnesota Voter, July, August - "Roaring Farce" - story of convention	.02	5500
*Minnesota Voter, Sept., Oct. - Continuing Responsibilities		5500
*Minnesota Voter, Nov., Dec. - Local Agenda and Finance	55	5500
*Minnesota Voter, Jan., Feb. - Judiciary portion of constitution		5500
By-laws, LWV of Minnesota	.10	375
Budget, LWV of Minnesota	.01	300
Calendar for Local League Planning (offset)	.05	500
State Board Report and Outlook	.10	500
Local League Treasurer's Instructions	.01	200
Standard League By-laws	.10	
How to Order State Publications	free	200
Order Blank for State Publications	free	300
Publications List	free	500
Invite the Voter to Meet the Candidate	.05	
Metropolitan Finance Agreement	.01	
1955 Legislative Report (complete)	.25	
Introduction	.01	125
Constitutional Convention	.07	300
Reapportionment	.05	150
Civil Service	.03	150
FEPC	.09	200
Party Designation for Legislators	.01	125
Background on Constitutional Convention	.04	400
Briefing Material on Constitutional Convention Provisions	.09	575
*Roaring Farce (reprint) .75 a 100; \$7.00 a 1000	.01	20,000
*I'm a Voter and I'M Mad .60 a 100; \$5.00 a 1000	.01	25,800
Local Current Agenda	.01	160
Murphy Bag Pattern	.01	150
Congressional Rollcalls	.04	125
Minnesota Election Data	.04	700
Local League Budget Forms	.01	300
Minnesota Facts	.02	2300
The State You're In	.01	700
	mailed out thru MEA	500
Do It Yourself Kit for Constitutional Convention	.35	400
Case for Constitutional Convention (20 min. speech)	.06	500
Bringing Up Father (skit)	.06	500
Building a Better Budget	.04	200
Iron Ore Tax Amendment	.04	1300
FEPC	.01	750
Reapportionment by Statute	.06	750
Party Designation for Legislators	.05	750
Financing the Public Schools	.08	500
Minnesota's Presidential Primary Election	.03	1000
*Presidential Primary Flyer .70 a 100; \$6.00 a 1000.	.01	50000
*Get-Out-The-Vote Poster \$7.00 a 1000	.10	500
Contest Time	.02	325
Minnesota's Role in Choosing the President	.03	700
Civil Service in Minnesota	.08	500
Suggested Local League Pledges		
Flyer for Constitutional Convention Contest in High Schools		1000
Facts and Figures on Constitutional Convention	.01	700
Case for Constitutional Convention (5 minute speech)	.03	500
*Price Tags - a device to promote interest in constitutional conv.	.01	100,000
.90 a 100; \$4.00 for 500; \$7.00 for 1000; \$30 for 5000.		

Office file

Area conferences for local Leagues in your state

No. on subject matter - None

No. of Leagues participating

No. on leadership training - 4

No. of Leagues participating 30

How many area conferences were held following up the Progress Conference this year - None in view of many other conferences recently held.

Was the Progress Conference useful to you in clarifying for your members the problems discussed? Yes - outlined results in Voter and through organization visits.

Would you suggest a different procedure or method? No.

Please summarize major areas of agreement emerging from these conferences (State Board)

If large turn-over in league membership is normal, and we expect it to continue, the task of keeping up to date on continuing responsibilities as well as current agendas, will not be easy, and we need to find simpler surer methods, in order to continue as an action group. If we reject the idea that it is normal, we need to make membership a more satisfying role through membership committees, better orientation methods, bringing program down to personal level, more imagination, insure membership participation. State and local boards need to help each other develop new leadership, and make better use of talent files.

Felt voluntary increase to \$5.00 dues would not prove hardship; like the idea of tax-exempt arm; needs further exploration and discussion; might be possibility of limiting to state and national publications to avoid destroying initiative on local surveys.

What are the major recurring problems in your local Leagues?

STATE MINNESOTA

What are the major recurring problems in your local League? Membership in some -
contributing leadership to state work, finance in some, tiny community to draw from
in some.

What plan is your Board making to overcome this situation? Using Mrs. Horner
2 months again next year - we all feel need of some new plan, though - If only we could
have help on getting - training - keeping and using state board committees so we can
better learn how we can help local leagues.

What new organization of Leagues do you plan during the coming year? Willmar and
Virginia - and change Hutchinson to county-city league and 2 more suburbs (West St. Paul
and Robbinsdale) requested leagues.

Have your provisional Leagues had the services of a state Board or organization
committee advisor at each of their Board meetings during provisional status? No
We tried but several board meetings were missed in Moorhead.
List any provisional Leagues which have been provisional for longer than 18 months:

Moorhead had Org. Committee meeting November '54 - recognized as provisional June '55 -
are getting ready to apply a local - spring 1956

What are the reasons for delay in qualifying for recognition? Very slow growth
in membership - many resignations on board - not enough strong leaders in League - perhaps
we allowed them to organize too soon.

In the light of your evaluation of your local Leagues what national field services
would best help you in carrying out your responsibilities? (indicate dates preferred)
We don't know yet - Training state wide committees under most state board members to visit
local Leagues to help - not generally - but in special areas - and use Organization
Committee for starting Leagues, and reviving those that should be on reorganization status.
September-October-April-May - if she is to travel

Are you planning to request sharing a field staff person with other states and
national in 1956-57 Yes or later? _____. If so, can you estimate the
approximate year when your state might ask for this? _____

PUBLIC RELATIONS

How many local Leagues have:

A regular LWV radio program 3 Occasional _____A regular TV program _____ Occasional 4A regular newspaper column 9 Regular coverage _____

How many local Leagues:

Use recordings put out by the national office 13On RadioHow At unit meetings for study and discussionOrientation of New Members

If any of your Leagues have done an outstanding job on any part of League program (apart from Voters Service) which has built up good community and public relations please describe here or on attached sheet: Refer to St. Paul Report being sent by them

(on winter carnival and building public opinion for Constitutional Convention St. Louis

Park - annual report) - & newspaper (See attached sheet)

Recheater - monthly window display

Roseville - cover city council meetings

Duluth - annual report

Benidji - annual report

Recheater - Murphy bag to 9 units (own design)

Is the League program well received throughout the state? In areas where we have good leagues, our program is well received. Spotty in other areas.

If press and/or public relations are unfavorable, what are the reasons or difficulties?

The rural press is not usually favorable to our program. Now sending out monthly Minnesota

Editorial Association release to 490 papers - Individual mailings to editors in areas

where there are no leagues, including explanations of our program and material which they
might want to use as a basis for editorials.

Have you any suggestions for promoting wider use of publications within the League membership and the community? Speakers bureau to take publications to all organization

meetings. Use of Murphy bag. Tailor letter to organizations most likely to be interested
in each new publication.

VOTERS SERVICE

This is the only VS report. (Use additional sheets, if necessary).

- I. Please describe briefly your services to the voter at the state level (candidates meetings, TV or radio programs, printed material, questionnaires, etc.) which you would recommend as a technique for other Leagues. (1) Simplified

manual on Candidates meetings, (2), Information and Broadsides on the Presidential Primary, (3), Voters Service poster to attract new voters, (4), Information on Minnesota's role in Choosing the President, U.S. Samples of each enclosed.

Please describe any significant problems encountered in Voters Service work at state level (candidates meetings, questionnaires, nonpartisanship, etc.)

- II. None.

- III. Please describe briefly any unusual or significant local League service to the voter in your state (registration campaigns, political effectiveness programs, candidates meetings, radio, TV programs, printed materials, etc.) in connection with local primary or general elections, which you might recommend as a technique.

League members deputized registrars and manned booths in shopping centers to register voters. Unusual Fair type Candidates meeting in cooperation with Jr. Chamber Commerce, using booths for the candidates where the voters could talk to them, gave balloons and made the meeting a family affair. Very successful. (Continued on back)

Describe any significant problems encountered by local Leagues in carrying out Voters Service activities.

Non-partisan problems; members of one local League Board voicing opinions and committing themselves on issues; Husbands of League Board members working actively for particular candidate. Other problems are lack of attendance at candidates meetings, lack of money to do an adequate V.S. job in the community, and apathy in the community.

III Ctd. Aldermanic candidates meeting, distribution of 20,000 fliers with announcement of meeting outside and list of candidates and offices, inside. School board candidate meeting on T.V. Candidates meetings with a reception afterward, felt it was better way to give the voter and candidate a change to discuss government.

One League presented a clever skit on Party Caucus procedure.

Most League of the state held local candidates meetings and are distributing the Presidential Primary Broadsides. I feel that generally the Leagues are doing a good V.S. job and using many of their members on that committee.

St. Louis Park 6b

Two! 112 League members were deputized and registered over 1,100 Park citizens (an estimated 1/4 of these residents not on voting lists) - A Candidates Fair-where the public met the candidates (19 on a local slate.) 600 interested citizens attended the county-type fair, with roving Emcee, a barker who introduced each candidate, free balloons for the children, refreshments for all, each Candidate in a booth which he decorated himself, provided by the League.

Duluth League

- (1) Our proudest achievement for 1955-56 is the important role which the Duluth League is playing in the special municipal election (March 20th, 1956) to amend our city charter. Our active part in the campaign, with a speakers' bureau, broadsides mailed to each residence, radio and TV programs, a stunt with an old car just before election, plus Veterans Service information, have all helped to inform the community and stimulate interest in the election. Duluth is aware of the League's activities as never before in recent years.

Bemidji League

Our proudest achievement for 1955-56 was a very successful public coffee party celebrating UN Day. League members dressed in costumes from 20 countries in the UN served home-made delicacies; tea and coffee and distributed material on the UN - We realized a profit of \$12 after paying for radio and newspaper publicity that was very extensive. We also paid all expenses including coffee, supplies, and excellent UN material.

STATE LEAGUE

STATE

Minnesota

1954 - 55

1955-56

Income

Local Leagues Support
 Contributions direct to state
 All other receipts (including
 Members-at-large dues)
 TOTAL INCOME

\$ ~~16,376.70~~
~~609.23~~
~~938.03~~
17,923.96

\$ ~~16,758.66~~
~~626.15~~
~~674.13~~
18,058.94

18058.94

Expenditures

General Administration
 Direct Services to local Leagues
 Salaries
 Provision for national services
 All other disbursements
 TOTAL INCOME

\$ ~~3,516.35~~
~~1,796.37~~
~~5,838.90~~
~~6,550.00~~
~~202.33~~
17,923.96

\$ ~~2,829.82~~
~~3,136.24~~
~~5,485.72~~
~~6,550.00~~
~~139.83~~
18,140.81

Cash Statement

Balance on hand at beginning of year April 1, 1955 \$ ~~2,242.78~~

Balance on hand at close of year March 31, 1956 \$ ~~2,160.51~~

2160.91

LOCAL and PROVISIONAL LEAGUES

1954 - 55

1955 - 56

Income

Members
 Dues
 Contributions
 NonMembers' Contrib.
 All other receipts
 TOTAL INCOME

\$ 13,305.85
 6,717.99

20,023.84
 21,104.66
 6,372.17

20,432.08
 20,629.03
 3,581.14

\$13,412.75
 7,019.33

47,500.67

44,642.25

Expenditures

Local work
 State and national services
 TOTAL EXPENSES

28,476.69
 16,376.70

27,197.12
 16,678.66

44,853.39

43,875.78

CASH STATEMENT

Total of local League

Balances on hand at beginning of year April 1, 1955
 Balances on hand at close of year March 31, 1956

\$8,877.18
 11,275.04

STATE FINANCE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT FOR LWV OF

MINNESOTA

(State)

FOR YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1956

Name of local or provision- al League	Total Cam- paign goal	Actual No. * persons who contributed		Actual No. of Work- ers	Briefly list appropriate comments for each drive, such as: effort fair; shows improvement; successful; exceeded goal; failure due to ___; resists drive; project (describe) only, or in addition to drive.
		Memb.	Non-M.		
Afton Lakeland	150.	16	35	15	Lowered budget and goal to conform with amount raised last year. Reached goal.
Alexandria	360.	-	27 29	11	Good budget and goal. Failed to reach goal. Said money "seemed harder to get". Work not hampered.
Anoka	220.	-	80	11	Timed re finance. Exceed goal but budget and goal too low. Improvement shown.
Arden Hills	275.	46	22	6	Goal was good. Failed to attain. Reason unknown. Also raised money through sale of newspaper subs.
Austin	250.		30		"Results not available until after May". Poor response to solitation by letter. Resist drive.
Battle Lake	42				No drive.
Bemidji	75				Resist drive! Various projects: Pass "kitty", assess units, magazine subscription sales, UN Coffee Party.
Birchwood	64	6	-	-	Exceeded goal on pie social and shite elephant sale. No finance drive.
Bloomington	430	1	114	40	Almost doubled goal. Excellent planning.
Brainerd	250	0	51	6	Larger goal. Fewer workers. Raised less then last yr.
Buffale	12.25	-	-	-	Member "Penny March". Members each asked to raise \$2.
Cass Lake	48	2	19	4	Small drive. Doubled goal. Shows improvement
Circle Pines	40				No drive. Sold pie and coffee at Community Festival. More successful than last year's drive.
Columbia Heights	100	2	33	20	Reached goal in first drive using personal interview limit of \$2. set by C. of C. Need to raise sites.
Deephaven	296.75	27	125	21	Well over goal. Good planning and member participation.
Duluth	1800	62	102	34	Reached goal by exceeding member contributions goal. Good drive considering a last min. change in finance.
Edina	775.	108	88	32	Good increase in goal.
Excelsior	275.	6	12	4	
Falcon Heights	164 87.50	2	23	12	Reached goal. Sites should be higher. Good planning and solicitor training.
Fergus Falls	87.50				Has resisted drive in past. Plan to have one in May, 1956.
Golden Valley	550.	0	82	20	Successful drive. Increase over last year.
Granite Falls	160				Charged admittance to dinner for contributors. Results unknown.
		272		236	

* The amount received from members and non-member contributions appears on the Treasurer's Report, so is not asked for on the Finance Chairman's Report.

6424.50

845.

STATE FINANCE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT FOR LWV OF

MINNESOTA

(State)

FOR YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1956

Name of local or provision- al League	Total Cam- paign goal	Actual No. * persons who contributed		Actual No. of Work- ers	Briefly list appropriate comments for each drive, such as: effort fair; shows improvement; successful; exceeded goal; failure due to ____; resists drive; project (describe) only, or in addition to drive.
		Memb.	Non-M.		
Hibbing	499	-	68	17	Way over goal and amount raised last year. Excellent planning.
Hopkins	325	4	66	13	Lowered budget and goal. Raised less than last yr. Poor solicitor training.
Hutchinson	65	82	-	-	Planning first drive. Charge for lunches at meetings. Board members pay own expenses.
Jackson	82	6	3	2	Unsuccessful drive. Poor planning. Most money raised by some other method (unknown).
Kasson	-	-	-	-	No drive.
Mahtomedi	250	24	108	21	Successful drive. Well over goal. Good member participation. Shows much improvement.
Minneapolis	11,750	564	437	127	Good budget and goal. Goal not quite reached. Drive too prolonged.
Moorhead	150	11	8	9	Low due to change of plans from full drive to limited one. Planning large drive in fall.
Mound	165	11	38	16	Exceed goal. Goal, however, was low.
New Prague					No drive. Planning to have one when survey is complete.
New Richland	25				Yes. Drive. Raise money by having silver collections. Results unknown.
New Ulm	150	28	25	12	Small drive by letter. Poor response. Sell Xmas cards, napkins, stationery, etc. Members help pay.
No. St. Paul	215	1	40	8	Good increase in goal. Shows improvement.
Olivia					Resists drive.
Owatonna	187	2	20	21	Reached goal. Sites should be higher. Good member participation.
Park Rapids					No drive.
Red Wing	280	-	34	8	Some over goal and amount raised last year. N.G. approach to finance. Considering project method.
Richfield	600	14	119	50	Good planning. Exceed goal. Budget and goal lowered from last year, however.
Rochester,	750	26	79	16	Well over goal but sites should be considerably higher.
Roseville	355	20	30	12	Raised much more in past. Solicitation by letter this year. Finance Chairman's attitude N.G.
St. Cloud	300			5	Solicited by letter. Raised one-half of goal. Good drive planned for fall.
St. L. Park	11000	2	149	55	Successful drive. Over goal. Good increase in goal over last year.

* The amount received from members and non-member contributions appears on the Treasurer's Report, so is not asked for on the Finance Chairman's Report.

17,148 1224 392-9-

MINNESOTA (State)
FOR YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1956

* The amount received from members and non-member contributions appears on the Treasurer's Report, so is not asked for on the Finance Chairman's Report.

Treasurer's Report for LNW of MINNESOTA for Year Ending March 31, 1956
(State)

Name of local League	I N C O M E		E X P E N D I T U R E S			
	Members Dues	Members Contrib.	Non-Members Contrib.	All other Receipts	Local Disbursement	State and National Service
Afton-Lakeland	180.	55.70	107.50	96.38	189.61	150.
Alexandria	114.	-	295.50	40. -	129.33	130.
Anoka	92.50	7.50	265. -		118.57	175.
Arden Hills	111. -	4.70	104.70	149.11	113.03	105.
Austin	523.50	132.50	119. -	-	158.13	461.
Battle Lake						35.
Benidji	288.	36.99	10 -	16.75	175.	225.
Birchwood	87.	3.50	87.30	5.50	178.46	55.
Bloomington		4.00	750.		785.00	300.
Brainerd	70.	-	237.50	2.00	103.20	200.
Buffalo						68.
Cass Lake	45.	8.04	45. -	25.72	46.55	55.
Circle Pines						55.
Columbia Heights	40.	10.20	5.50	-	49.89	85.
Deephaven	156.	54.	281.25	22 -	367.25	100
Duluth	960.	803.50	1015. -	503.70	2022.20	1260.
Edina	556.50	215.50	578. -	126.18	822.06	350.
Excelsior	138.	12.70	63. -	32.15	172.73	130.
Falcon Heights	108	13.	151.50	64.19	177.66	100
Fergus Falls	90	-	-	37.50	49.52	75
Golden Valley	201	-	402.50	142.10	338.34	200
Granite Falls						105
Sub, or Grand Total			4518.25	1263.28	5996.53	4419

Treasurer's Report for LNW of MINNESOTA for Year Ending March 31, 1956
(State)

Name of local League	I N C O M E		E X P E N D I T U R E S			
	Members Dues	Contrib.	Non-Members Contrib.	All other Receipts	Local Disbursement	State and National Service
Hibbing		-	639. -		472.22	350.
Hopkins	192.	10. -	384.50	77.79	342.46	200.
Hutchinson	97.	83.45	-	-	102.05	103.
Jackson	72	17. -	12. -	169.05	128.03	75.
Kasson						
Mahtomedi	174.	92.50	216.45	2.00	242.84	200.
Minneapolis	346	6.50	3534.50	8140. -	775.84	11598.31
Moorhead	102.	4. -	70. -	19. -	85.50	100.
Mound	132.50	61 25 -	194.05	21.98	223.	150
New Prague						40.
New Richland	45.	-	20.53	-	15.85	42.
New Ulm	54.	15.15	54.50	16.85	43.57	40.
No. St. Paul	96	7. -	223.25	-	147.50	90.
Olivia						
Owatonna		8. -	187. -		160.	85.
Park Rapids						30.
Red Wing	147.50	36. -	264. -	26.42	251.07	250.
Richfield	435.	43.25	620. -	222.29	446.98	375
Rochester	681	98. -	752. -	300. -	522.68	930.
Roseville	538.50	59.	226. -	75	711.95	250.
St. Cloud	151.	-	146. -	13.07	216.96	100.
St. L. Park	453.	4.	1072.50	155.65	978.97	400.
Sub, or Grand Total	6837	4072.85	13,221.78	1874.94	16689.94	8810.00

Treasurer's Report for LWV of _____ for Year Ending March 31, 1956
(State)

(State)

MINNESOTA

Name of local League	I N C O M E		Non-Members Contrib.	All other Receipts	E X P E N D I T U R E S	
	Dues	Members Contrib.			Local Disburse- ment	State and National Service
St. Paul	2150.	1500	2050	150	3370. 3370	2500
So. St. Paul	103.25	-	445.	57.50	235.	275
Tracy		45	-		30	60
Vaseca	82.	-	47.	70.70	39.10	105.
Wayzata	180.	37.75	236.50	64.72	526.55	125.
Wells						70.
White Bear Lake						80.
W. B. Township	300	2 -	110.50	100	310	150.
Winthrop						
Xenia						34.66
Sub, or Grand Total	2815.25	1584.75	2889.-	442.92	4510.65	3449.66
	13,121.69	7019.33	18,112.45	3,581.14	26890.22	51593.66
	13,412.75		20,629.03		27,197.12	16,678.66

League of Women Voters of the U.S.
1026 17th Street, N.W.
Washington 6, D.C.

ANNUAL REPORT
Year Ending March 31, 1957

STATE MINNESOTA

(Please fill in and return 2 copies NOT LATER than March 31, 1957 to League of Women Voters of U.S., 1026 17th St., N.W., Washington 6, D.C. If enough space is not provided for your replies, attach additional sheets.)

LOCAL LEAGUES

Number of local Leagues, 3/31/57 52
Number of provisional Leagues, 3/31/57 3

TOTAL 55

Increase over 3/31/56 2
Decrease under 3/31/56 _____
Number of Leagues from which recognition has been withdrawn, March 31, 1956 to March 31, 1957 1

Please attach list of County Councils and Leagues belonging to each:

MEMBERSHIP

Voting members, 3/31/57 5460
Associate members, 3/31/57 5
Members-at-Large, 3/31/57 7

TOTAL 5472

Increase over 3/31/56 421
Decrease under 3/31/56 _____
Number not renewing membership 785
(This does not mean the net losses, but the actual number of members who, for one reason or another, dropped out of the League during this year. See Column 5).
Number of these who were members only one year.
(See Column 6). 144

Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/57	No. of Associate Members 3/31/57	No. of mem- bers not renewing this year.	How many of these dropped out after only 1 year	Bulle- tin	No. & reason for visits by state. (Please indicate "General" or "Special," and if special, name the specific).
Afton-Lake	2,200	57	-	15	4	X	1-Nat'l Item I
Alexandria		47				X	
Anoka	10,000	54	-	3	0		1-Membership-E.Horner
Arden Hills	1,290	47	-	5	3	X	
Austin	23,000	184	-	27	5		1-Board-E.Horner
Battle Lake		15					

Note: We are aware that state boards need these reports from Local Leagues by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that these March 31 figures may be based upon their best estimates.

- 1 -

Every League in state had opportunity for regional meetings- in Oct. '56 on local Agenda Discussion Legislation. In March '57 on local officers briefing, and for large suburban Leagues training by portfolio. County Councils has Mrs. Horner's help.

Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/57	No. of Associate Members 3/31/57	No. of mem- bers not renewing this year.	How many of these dropped out after only 1 year.	Bulle- tin	No. & reason for visits by state. (Please indicate "General" or "Special," and if special, name the specific.)
Bemidji	10,000	68	2	35	4	X	Mrs. Horner board training
Birchwood	312	27	0	8	2	X	
Bloomington	37,000	136	0	33	-	X	
Brainerd	12,637	44	0	15	12	X	Mrs. Horner board training
Buffalo	2,400	11	0	2	0		Mrs. Horner on membership
Cass Lake	1,936	15	0	0	0		
Circle Pines	2,600	15	0	3	1		
Columbia Hts.	12,500	19	0	3	0		Mrs. Horner on membership
Deephaven	1,823	52	0	14	4	X	Mrs. Horner on level of govt. c
Duluth	104,511	316	0	46	0	X	Mrs. Horner at annual mtg
Edina	20,000	259	0	44	-		
Excelsior		47	0	10	-		Mrs. Horner on level of govt Mrs. Horner at annual mtg.
Falcon Hts.	4,000	30	0	8	3		Mrs. Horner on membership
Fergus Falls		45					
Golden Valley	10,000	83	0	18	3	X	
Granite Falls	2,600	33	0	-	-		
Hibbing	17,500	93	0	16	6	X	

Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/57	No. of Associate Members 3/31/57	No. of mem- bers not renewing this year.	How many of these dropped out after only 1 year.	Bulle- tin	No. & reason for visits by state. (Please indicate "General" or "Special," and if special, name the specific.)
Hopkins	11,000	76	0	11	2	X	
Jackson	3,600	21	0	1	0		
Kasson	1,360	16					
McLeod- Hutchinson	22,198	59	1	2	1	X	Mrs. Horner 2 trips Finance Chairman visited
Mahtomedi	1,375	44	0	10/	1	X	1-Discussion
Minneapolis	521,718	1,178	0	108	18	X	
* Minnetonka Village	16,000	60	Org. 1956				Advisor every mtg. & state board help on finance etc. Mrs. Horner visit
Moorhead	20,000	32	0	9	5		Mrs. Horner 1 visit
Mound	2,500	75	0	9	7	X	Mrs. Horner on level of govt 1-Discussion
New Prague	2,000	13	0	2	2		
New Richland	1,000	28					
New Ulm	10,000	26	0	2	0		
North St. Paul	5,000	31	0	6	1	X	Mrs. Horner on membership
Olivia	2,000	13	0	2	1		
Owatonna	11,000	46					
Park Rapids	3,030	14	0	0	0		
Red Wing	11,000	54	0	3	0	X	Mrs. Horner on finance, pub. KIT

Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/57	No. of Associate Members 3/31/57	No. of mem- bers not renewing this year.	How many of these dropped out after only 1 year.	Bulle- tin	No. & reason for visits by state. (Please indicate "General" or "Special," and if special, name the specific.)
Richfield	31,000	148	1	38	20	X	
Rochester	29,000	246	0	38	10	X	
Roseville	17,000	236	1	26	13	X	1-Discussion Help on non-partisanship
St. Cloud	35,000	80				X	
St. Louis Park	40,000	165	0	61	10	X	
St. Paul	311,349	622	0	120	-	X	
South St. Paul	19,000	54	0	5	-	X	
Tracy	3,500	16	0	2	-		
Virginia*	14,486	47		Org. 5/9/56			Adviser at every meeting. St. President helped when asked
Waseca	5,000	29					
Wayzata	1,800	75				X	Mrs. Horner, level of govt.
Wells	2,500	32	0	2	0		
West St. Paul*	12,000	66	1				Adviser every meeting. St. Board help on finance, local ag. Mrs.
White Bear Township	12,000	121	0	23	5	X	Horner 1 visit
Worthington	8,200	40					

*Provisional

Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/57	No. of Associate Members 3/31/57	No. of mem- bers not renewing this year.	How many of these dropped out after only 1 year.	Bulle- tin	No. & reason for visits by state. (Please indicate "General" or "Special," and if special, name the specific.)
HENNEPIN COUNTY COUNCIL	Mpls.	521,718					Each County Council was visited by Mrs. Horner
	Bloomington	37,000					
	Deephaven	1,823					
	Edina	20,000					
	Excelsior	5,000					
	Golden Valley	10,000					
	Hopkins	11,000					
	Mound	2,500					
	Richfield	31,000					
	St. Louis Park	40,000					
	Wayzata	1,800					
RAMSEY COUNTY COUNCIL	St. Paul	311,349					
	Arden Hills	1,290					
	Falcon Hts.	4,000					
	No. St. Paul	5,000					
	Roseville	17,000					
	White Bear	7,12,000					
WASHINGTON COUNTY COUNCIL	Birchwood	312					
	Afton-Lakeland	2200					
	Mahtomedi	1375					

STATE MINNESOTA

No. of State Board members 17 Portfolios filled (check those having
Officers 5 non-Board members on Committees)
Elected Directors 6 President _____
Appointed Directors 6 1st Vice Pres. assistant to President
2nd Vice Pres. Organization
Secretary Nat'l Item I Bulletin Ed.
Treasurer Nat'l Item II Local Current Agenda
State Program Publications Legislative and CR
Approximate dates 3rd Thurs. Public Relations Finance Voters Service
every month except July & Dec. Membership Discussion
What training did you provide for Board members? Briefing by President, 1st Vice President,
predecessors, board and Mrs. Horner.

How do you relay the decisions made at a state Board meeting to the local Leagues?
By president's post-Board letter? Yes Other methods? Special Bulletins, Voters,
Calls for action
State office address, if any University of Minnesota, 15 & Washington Ave. S.E., Mpls. 14

Name and job designation of employees Mrs. Harold Wilson, Executive Secretary - full
(Indicate full or part time) Mrs. E. Williams, Asst., - part time
After April 1st, Mrs. Wilson will devote one half of her time as Executive Secretary and
one half time as Organization field secretary. Mrs. Williams will work fulltime as
clerical and assistant to Mrs. Wilson.

When and where will your 1957 Council or Convention be held? Nicollet Hotel, Mpls.
May 16-17, 1957

Title of State Bulletin and number of issues yearly? MINNESOTA VOTER - 6 issues

List of state League publications issued this year? Minnesota Election Data 1956; Broadside
on 3 Amendments to state constitution; Supplementary information on Amendments; Congressional
Rollcalls; Lobby by Letter Kit, and Inserts to Lobby by Letter (available separately); Members
of Legislature; Do's and Don'ts of Lobbying; Legislative Bulletins (5); The State You're In, Rev
"Well, What D'ya Know", Minnesota HAS a Constitution"; QUESTIONS and Answers on a Constitu-
tional Convention; Reprints of Voter Articles; Case for Constitutional Convention, 20 and
5 minute speeches; Bringing Up Father, a Skit; Promotional Campaign for Selling Constitutional
Revision material; A Very Humorous Story, (radio, TV, scripts and film); Price Tags, a popular
gimmick telling why Minn. constitution is outmoded; Voters Service Questionnaire; State Board
Report and Outlook for Work with Calendar of Events and Dates; Speech by Governor and a
Senator on C. Convention; Publications Catalog; Legislative Rollcalls; General Sales Tax, State
Area conferences for local Leagues in your state Water Agencies Chart;

On what subjects Annual Meetings * No. of Leagues participating _____
Carrying Out Program * _____
Levels of Govt. * _____
Membership-Finance-Public Relations * _____
Local Presidents and Legislative Chairmen 35
Legislative Day at Capitol 36

*These conferences were all held with Mrs. Horner; with exception of the Local
Presidents and Legislative which were held - 3 in Mpls. 3 area conferences were held, a
southern, northern, metropolitan. - 3 -
All Leagues participated with exception possibly of 5 or 6.

URGENT

Heath - please file & return by P.A.M. Anderson
Monday April 1 -

STATE MINNESOTA

What are the major recurring problems in your local Leagues? Membership, both in numbers and in active participation, Getting Board leadership, Public apathy, poor attendance at public and general meetings, however excellent; keeping older members interested in program; lack of proper use of committees by Board;

What plan is your Board making to overcome these situations? We are now training new Officers in proper use of committees with area conferences with Mrs. Horner, where most of other problems are also being discussed; we shall discuss the public meetings question at an April 12 Conf. of large suburban leagues who have these meetings often;

Do you plan to organize any new Leagues during the coming year? We have several queries right now--St. Anthony Village--we hope to go in to Grand Rapids(out state)--we have 3 pre-and Prov. If so, where?

Have your provisional Leagues had the services of a state Board or organization Committee advisor at each of their Board meetings during provisional status? Yes
At any of their unit or membership meetings? Yes

List any provisional Leagues which have been provisional for longer than 18 months: None

What are the reasons for delay in qualifying for recognition?

In the light of your evaluation of your local Leagues what national field services would best help you in carrying out your responsibilities? (indicate dates preferred)
Since we are just finishing our two-year shared staff period with Mrs. Horner--we shall try to bring our training to the local Leagues through local League visits by members of the State Board and Committees--at present, we have no plans for additional National help

We have had conferences on Board training, new Officer training, Annual meeting, Program, Membership, Finance, Legislation, Public relations, Local Agenda;; we have met with many local Boards and their membership, also; we have trained state committees, and are training the new State Board--we are enthusiastic over the results of having had Mrs. Horner--its effects are clearly evident in our new organization--

STATE MINNESOTA

PUBLIC RELATIONS

How many local Leagues have:

A regular LWV radio program 4 ~~8~~ Occasional 2

A regular TV program 1 (before election) Occasional _____

A regular newspaper column 10 Regular coverage 1

How many local Leagues:

Use tapes, recordings, and film strips put out by the national office 16

Community meetings, high school students, PTA's, orientation for new members.

How board meetings, training solicitors, membership meetings, on radio.

If any of your Leagues have done an outstanding job on any part of League program (apart from Voters Service) which has built up good community and public relations please describe here or on attached sheet: _____

Is the League program well received throughout the state? yes

If press and/or public relations are unfavorable, what are the reasons or difficulties? _____

Have you any suggestions for promoting wider use of publications within the League membership and the community? _____

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

Have you any suggestions for promoting wider use of publications within the League membership and the community?

1. The selection of a strong person for the state or local board to take the Publications Portfolio — stressing that the importance of her role in carrying out an effective League Program cannot be overemphasized. This should not be the "left-over" portfolio on the board to be handed to whomever seems not to fit into any other category!
2. Some very important duties should be outlined to Publications Chairmen, such as:
 - a. Make every other board member's assignment easier and more effective by putting into her hands the best and most recent (not all) publications which pertain to her job, at the earliest, right time.
 - b. See that every discussion leader receives all the appropriate material as soon as it is available from the national or state offices. Discussion leaders would be much more easily come by and topic presentations much better which would surely result in better attendance and greater membership!
 - c. See that every state and local bulletin carries a "box" or listing of new publications, national and state. It is important that every member know what is available so that she may ask for it for herself and others interested in the subjects offered.
 - d. Cooperate with the public relations chairman to see that local papers carry LWV and other releases information re: the publishing of new League material and from where it may be obtained. A press release from the state office on a new publication to the local League assures a better and easier job with the local newspaper.
 - e. Send notices (when feasible) to other state journals re: release of a new LWV publication; also to house organs and such places.
 - f. Find new outlets for LWV publications, e.g. 1) business firms and factories might like especially voters service information; 2) every League member has a member or good friend who can find a place in his or her shop or store where publications of general interest may be purchased (a can with a slot for coins may not be a money maker for LWV but it will put publications in new hands, will relieve the shop of responsibility and therefore make placement easier.
 - g. Make a real effort to sell subscriptions (gift or otherwise) to state and national bulletins.
 - h. Put our national and state publications catalog into spots from which orders might result. Evaluate out-state inquiries re: different publications and when deemed worthwhile, send these catalogs to them.
 - i. In helping a Resource chairman with the preparation of a new publication, keep in mind just how it may be used in the public schools, colleges, and youth organizations; gear it to more than League members, gear it to our future citizens and voters.
 - j. Give local Leagues a basic, detailed plan on how and where to use available materials most effectively when a specific result is the goal as is the case in a legislative year. (see attached Minn. publication #24, "Making Publications Work for Your League in a Legislative Year".)
 - k. Give local Leagues a promotion plan, a detailed outline and suggestions pertinent to the sale of a specific publication when extensive coverage is desired (again as in the case of a legislative goal). (See covering letter to units and Instructions Sheets for the selling campaign for "Well, What D'ya Know...Minnesota HAS a Constitution.", Min publication # 12a.)
3. LWV publications are more attractive and less forbidding in appearance. This is good.

NATIONAL PROGRAM: "Individual Liberties: Evaluation of the federal loyalty-security programs, with recognition of the need for safeguarding national security and protecting individual liberties."

STATE SERVICES

Please describe briefly the services which your state resource chairman is providing local Leagues (state workshops, newsletters, "clearing house" services, help in obtaining resource materials or speakers, etc.)

Help in planning briefing meetings, use of resource materials and obtaining speakers has been given.

LOCAL LEAGUE COVERAGE

Please describe the progress your local Leagues have made in covering the suggested stages of work on the federal loyalty-security programs (i.e., development of "framework" for evaluation of the loyalty-security programs; survey of programs; consideration of varying points of view; evaluation; and evolution of agreement on specific proposals.)

Twelve Leagues have done no work on the item at all thus far. Most League have covered framework, survey, points of view, and some evaluation though frequently they don't feel that they have done a thorough enough job. Almost none have reached agreement.

CONSENSUS

Please specify areas of agreement, if any, which seem to be emerging within the Leagues in your state.

Very few Leagues indicate any agreement. Those areas which were mentioned at all included a need for administrative centralization and more protection for individual liberty.

Do you think most of your local Leagues will be ready for Council to consider action in this field? No If not, when? Sometime next year

NATIONAL MATERIALS

How useful have your local Leagues found the Leaders Guide? Very useful

The Memo? Very useful

The Popular Pamphlet? ---

List any specific suggestions for future materials or other help needed in this field.

1. Our Leagues would like a popular pamphlet for the individual member.
2. Would like to be kept posted on pending legislation and executive proposals in the field.
3. Outline for discussion of this item, not just general discussion. Some sort of pinpointing of our aims in studying the question seems to be needed.
4. A state or regional workshop is desired by some and we plan to give it in the fall.

NATIONAL PROGRAM: "Conservation: Study of Water resources."

STATE SERVICES - Please describe briefly the services which your state resource chairman is providing LL (workshop,s, coordinating information from LL, filling in blanks in coverage, providing state water resources chart as companion piece to national charts, etc.)

Prepared state water resources chart as companion piece to national chart, bibliographies, made material prepared by Minneapolis League available to all leagues.

LOCAL LEAGUE COVERAGE

Please describe the progress your local Leagues have made in covering the suggested stages of work on the conservation ite, (i.e. what problems have the Leagues encountered in federal water program, locally and regionally; and in studying the federal-state relationships in the area of planning, financing and management.)

Our leagues have concentrated largely on general background - many have not scheduled meetings until March-April-May. Those who have studied, have devoted one-some two-unit meetings; many have had speakers, films, studied state and national charts. Most of them are just getting into it and expect to concentrate in Fall.

CONSENSUS

What areas in the conservation field are emerging as of particular interest or concern to the L. members: pollution control? flood control? watershed, forest management? soil conservation

1- Pollution control - 2 - Soil Conservation - 3 - Watershed and forest management

Are your local Leagues naming or reaching any general areas of agreement on the essential of a sound U.S. water policy? NO. The role of the federal government in the conservation and distribution of water supply? NO No No

NATIONAL MATERIALS

How useful was the Workshop Outline for State Resource Chairmen?

Helpful

How useful have your local Leagues found the Federal Water Resources Chart?

Very Useful

good, clear visual way to present involved number of departments & bureaus.

Memo? Failure of materials to arrive, and "Focus on Future", delayed work in this area considerably.

List any specific suggestions for future materials or other help needed in this field.

Discussion outline; subject so vast, it should be narrowed down; more

Yoter articles; popular material; emphasis on scientific research,

Most said they would wait until they saw what material we had.

Has been proposed as state agenda item.

NATIONAL PROGRAM: Continuing Responsibilities 1-5

STATE SERVICES

Please describe briefly how CR's 1-5 were handled by the state Board. The President's

Letter was used as a "clearing house" where local Leagues were invited to report
their activities in the field so that they might serve as ideas for other Leagues.

What services you provided local Leagues. _____

LOCAL LEAGUE COVERAGE

Please describe local League coverage of CR's 1-5. Please evaluate this coverage in terms of their preparedness and interest in taking action.

Four of our local Leagues have covered all five CR's this year, most of them have
covered one or more. Many have devoted some study to the U.N. Several have given
some study to Technical Assistance and World Trade.

NATIONAL MATERIALS

What national materials did you find most useful in working on CR's 1-5? Would you like to see more materials on these CR's? If so, what?

Pocket Reference on United Nations (Our local Leagues would like to see

National Voter articles (National Voter Articles on the CR's

Focus U.N. and Economic Development (They indicate a need for a booklet covering
all the CR's.)

NATIONAL PROGRAM: Continuing Responsibilities 6-9

Please describe the coverage of the "domestic" CR's (6-9) by your local Leagues. Is more information needed on any of these CR's?

Reporting on League activities covered these CR's because of lack of time, woman power,
pressure of local and state items. Some have had one unit meeting on all CR's; some
have "glazed surface," called attention to Voter articles. None want less material;
some say there should be more material to catch up new members and satisfy old. One large
League expressed need for continuing information on Bricker Amendment developments, on
budgetary improvement progress, and felt we could study effects of present Fed. Reserve
inflation controls. Another would like more information on CR 8.

STATE PROGRAM

List or attach your state CA's and CR's. - See May-June, 1955 Minnesota Voter attached for complete program.

Please describe briefly state and local League activity on state current agenda items and state continuing responsibilities. Include mention of publications, state-planned workshops and conferences, legislative activity, community activity and state council action. In reporting legislative activity please include the kind of action taken, a brief description of the bills, whether the legislative goal was accomplished and an evaluation of the role of League in the result. If a vote by the electorate was involved (such as an amendment) please describe League activity in connection with it, a brief description of the amendment, the result of the voting, and future steps necessary (such as action by legislature) to accomplish the goal.

State activity: Preparation of material: Source material-"The State You're In", first in mimeographed

form and then revised and multilithed, Arguments for Constitutional Convention, Voter Article and reprints, Lobby by Letter Kit and inserts on Current Agenda and Cr's. Popular tool - "Well, What D'Ya Know, Minn. has a Constitution", professionally written and illustrated, Price Tags (popular gimmick for tagging cars), TV film and radio script "A Very Humorous Story, other skits, speeches. COMMUNITY ACTION: Formation of Minn. Citiz. Const. Committee, composed of organizations and individuals, contact by letter and distribution of material to all, speeches by board members to some, organizations in state, open forum letters, series of editorials, persuaded Governor to establish "Constitution Day", spearheaded in twin cities, participated in by all leagues in state; sponsored Essay Contest on need for const. revision by High School students; prizes to 1st and 2nd winners - Americana Encyclopedia, and Dictionary, (donated), and reading of essays by winners (both girls) to House and Senate - highly ~~xxx~~ successful and widely publicized. Booths at State Fair, Hist. Society, Teachers Convention. Council Activity: Accent - Building public opinion for the calling of a constitutional convention; speeches by Governor (Dem), Senator & author of bill (Rep). Workshops keyed to building public opinion on program. Legislative Activity: Support bill in Legislature which would submit to the voters at the next general election the question of calling a convention to revise the constitution. This is the 5th time we have supported this bill; it requires 2/3 vote of both House & Senate to pass. For the first time in its legislative history, it has passed one of the Houses - the House of Rep. At the present writing we are wild with frustration; it is deadlocked in Senate Judiciary Committee - 9-9 for neither passage out of committee or defeat. Legislative activity started last Fall with letters & material to all candidates, follow-up letters to elected representatives, evaluation of votes in last session, with report to local leagues, Legislative Day at Capitol, 36 leagues attending, Legislators as speakers, testifying at hearings, lobbyist training meetings, calls for action, legislative bulletins, lobbying by Legislative committee. Activity on CRs: Testifying, lobbying on Resaportionment & Party Designation for Legislators, with newspaper articles etc, reports, calls for action to local leagues, brush-up material. Local League activity on C.A. & Cr: All units have had at least one meeting on Current Agenda, most of them two (has been on agenda for 10 years) In spite of some rumblings on being "tired of same item and it's hopeless", response by local leagues has been terrific! To name a few: Participation in parades, Constitution Day, local Festivals, County Fair and other booths, use of TV film, radio script, skits, "Burma Shave" road signs, distribution of material, interviews with legislators, meetings with legislators as speakers, speakers bureaus, letters to legislators, open forums, displays, sale of booklets. One league put out a "hand lotion pillow with information inside.

Evaluation of role of League as result of activity on program:

Believe it has helped public to understand role of League. - are definitely labeled as a "public interest group working for good government".

Cooperation of newspapers has been excellent; we are learning how to work with other organizations, and they are turning to us more and more for help and material.

repeatedly
Legislators refer to us, and quote from our material, on the floor of the House. Even those who oppose our position are careful to add that they know we are "dedicated women", "mean well", and "work hard".

Following is a quote from an article in the Minneapolis Tribune categorizing the genus lobbyist.

"(1) Unpaid Amateurs Many of these, significantly or not, are women. Mrs. Donald Guthrie and Mrs. Stanley Kane are representatives of the dedicated people working for reform and what, for want of a more precise phrase, is called good government."

Those opposing us were classified thus: "riders on the beefsteak-and-bourbon circuit" - "personal-type lobbyists, the men with ingratiating personalities and, often but not always, unlimited expense accounts."

I know this is much too long, but can't resist telling you a significant incident. We had been promised by both Senate and House leaders our essay contest winner could read her essay. The House followed through, but the Senate leader reneged when the time came and said it was "controversial." Somewhat, the information got out (we didn't give it out), the papers all over the state picked it up, and on the appointed day, the speaker of the Senate adjourned the Senate, asked the senators to remain and hear the essay. They all remained, listened and congratulated her. The Mpls. Tribune printed her essay verbatim, with a strong article chastizing the Senate for "what it almost did." She was interviewed on radio and TV and the publicity was terrific.

LOCAL PROGRAM

Did your state assist local Leagues in how to choose a good local Current Agenda
Yes In how to carry out a local Current Agenda satisfactorily? yes
If so, how did the state Board do this?

Please see attached (2 pages)

Was it a successful method? We believe that the methods used had merit.

If not, do you have ideas for improvement?

The past two years have been the first time we have had a local Current Agenda portfolio on the state Board. There could be room for much improvement.

1. I think as time goes on, local Leagues will become more accustomed to look to the state LCA committee for help, and send in more requests for information, etc.
2. The state file on local government could be built up to include more information.
3. The state committee could no doubt use the local govt. information in the National files to a much greater extent.
4. Constant additions could be made to the "Resource sheet", as new sources of information are found.
5. More effective use could be made of the state committee on LCA.

Describe any outstanding local League activity on a local Program item.

Anoka and White Bear Tshp both studied perm. registration of voters, supported it, convinced their local governing officials of its desirability, and saw it go into effect for the Nat'l. election in Nov. Both Leagues did the registering themselves. Both Leagues agreed success of the project raised League morale and increased the prestige of the League in the community. Jackson studied and supported both a bond issue for a sewage disposal plant (bond issue passed in April) and the change from a township system of relief to a county system. The voters in Nov. approved this change-over also. The local secretary of the Welfare Board was LCA chairman for the League, so the League was sparked by her knowledge and experience in this field. Bloomington published a 21-page pamphlet on "Methods of Election", which we feel is outstanding. (You must have a copy in National office, so will not enclose one.) We are calling the local Leagues' attention to it, stating we have 4 copies in the state file to be on-loan to Leagues interested. Minneapolis sends out monthly to all unit chairman a bulletin on Local Govt., "Once Over Lightly". The latest information on Mpls. govt., reports of League observers to the various committee and board meetings in the city, and resource material on their LCA items is reviewed. This is excellent way to keep units, and hence, hopefully, members informed as to what is going on locally.

Ways in which the State Board assisted local Leagues on LCA1. Shop-talk luncheon at State Council meeting - May, 1956

The State Board arranged for a "shop-talk luncheon" the first day of the Council meeting. Delegates had indicated at the time they had sent in their reservations, which subject-matter table they preferred, and were assigned to those tables for lunch. Thus, those interested in LCA gathered at one table. There were 18 of us.

The outline used to keep the discussion pointed was as follows:

a) How did interest develop? b) Was wording satisfactory? c) What factors were considered in carrying out item? (Woman-power? Research? Timing? Public Relations) d) What was all-over result of local study to League and to community?

This method of discussion over lunch did not work out too satisfactorily. It was hard for all to hear each other, and thus separate groups conversed together. However, discussion was animated and ideas were exchanged. This is a fine device if the menu is uninteresting, as I'm sure noone knew what we ate because we were so busy talking!

Conclusion: It would be better to eat while we eat, and arrange discussion groups to exchange information at another time. We were short of time at the Council meeting however, and we felt that this would be better than nothing, and that is why we had scheduled it thus.

2. "State of the League" reports on LCA - May, 1956 Council meeting

The final two hours after lunch the second day of the State Council meeting were devoted in part to "State of the League" reports. LCA had 15 min. of this time. 3-minute reports were given by representatives of four local Leagues who had done outstanding jobs on LCA in their communities during the year. Reports were enthusiastic, amusing, and very well-received. From comments later it seemed to have been enjoyed and very helpful to the delegates.

Conclusion: Presenting the highlights of actual "Case histories", with their tribulations and successes, seemed to be very popular. Having the four representatives from the local Leagues present their stories personally seemed to break up the allotted time, and make them more interesting to the delegates. State LCA Chairman gave introduction at beginning and summary at end.

3. "Outlook for Work, LCA" - in State Board report to Leagues - July, 1956

Enclosed, "Outlook for Work, LCA" section of the State Board report sent to Leagues in July as a guide for local planning.

Enclosed, "Resource Sheet", sent to local Leagues in Sept., 1955, which we referred them to in the "Outlook". This resource sheet was also passed out to Leagues at Area Conferences in Oct. (see below).

4. List of LCA adopted by Minnesota Leagues, 1956-1957

List enclosed. This list was compiled and sent to local Leagues in October for their information. We used the exact wordings as adopted at the local Annual meetings, hoping it might help other Leagues in the future on wording.

Area Conferences on Annual Meetings with Mrs. Horner - Oct., 1956

As part of the state plan for Mrs. Horner's visit to Minnesota in Oct., three conferences on Annual Meetings were scheduled, a North area, a South area and a Metropolitan (Twin City) area conference.

Two hours in the a.m. were devoted to LCA at each conference. Mrs. Horner led the discussion, emphasizing a) Where to go for ideas for LCA b) Criteria of a good LCA c) Wording d) Steps in adoption of LCA. Also, 20 min. were devoted to presentation by a member of the state committee of a "Case history" of a good local item adopted and carried out. She traced the steps actually taken by a League in studying and acting on their local item. This, we believe, was effective in giving Leagues concrete ideas on actually carrying out work on an item.

Conclusion: We were fortunate to have Mrs. Horner with us. She was most helpful. There were many questions and good discussion. Only regret - a few of the Leagues who could have most benefited from the conferences did not send representatives.

6. Article on LCA in state bulletin, "Minnesota Voter" in Dec. 1956 (Enclosed)7. A large suburban League conference with Mrs. Horner - April, 1957

At this conference, one hour in the p.m. will be devoted to buzz sessions divided up by subject matter. LCA will be one of subjects to buzz about. State LCA chairman will moderate, while the suburban Leagues exchange views, etc., and Mrs. Horner will "float" from one subject matter group to another to help if needed.

8. State File on local government items

On the local Leagues' Annual Report to the state, the Leagues were asked to "Describe any activity on your local program".

In the March president's letter, Leagues were asked to send in any mimeographed material they had compiled or used for background material in the study of their local item. This would include resource material used for meetings, articles in their local bulletin, booklets put out for the members or community, KYTown or KYCounty surveys completed, etc.

This material will go into the state LCA file according to subject matter, for use in exchange of information between Leagues. This will also help us choose four Leagues to feature at the "State of the League" part of the state convention in May. We are doing this again as we felt this was a popular and helpful part of the Council meeting last year. (Finance and public relations success-stories are also told this way.)

9. Personal answers to specific requests on local items

We have had a few requests directly from local Leagues for help on where to look for information on local items, or figures on costs of other KYT surveys, etc., which we have answered personally.

- - - - -

Mrs. C. R. Humphries
State LCA Chairman - Minnesota

VOTERS SERVICE: STATE

Please describe briefly your services to the voter at the state level which you would recommend as techniques for other Leagues (candidates meetings, radio and TV programs, printed material, etc.).

We used "Amendment Broadrides" as a Minn. Editorial Assoc. news release - cost \$10 - by doing this every newspaper member* of that org. received "AB" and we covered areas without leagues as well as all other areas.

Very few newspapers in the state are not members of M.E.A.

Please describe any problems encountered in Voters Service work at the state level. Why do they seem significant?

~~Incomplete return of candidates questionnaires and difficulty in making the information available to the public. Significance: Basic information on candidates is very important to the voter but distributing it in the form of questionnaires is difficult for local Leagues and, when many candidates fail to return then the value of the other questionnaires is diminished.~~

VOTERS SERVICE: LOCAL

Please describe briefly unusual or outstanding local League services to the voter in connection with local primary or general elections, which you might recommend as techniques. (includes candidates meetings, radio and TV programs, workshops, printed material, etc.).

1. Registration - Several leagues offered services to set up permanent registration in their communities.
2. "Voters Primer" in local paper-purpose to give basic inf. on voting, etc.
3. ~~Voting Machine Demonstrations - about 700 hours given by Mpls. League where machines were used for the first time.~~
4. ~~TV and Radio - several leagues had regular candidate & issues programs.~~
5. One league had a large thermometer on a building to show progress in registering.

Describe any problems encountered by local Leagues in carrying out Voters Service activities. Why do they seem significant?

1. Duplication of effort in "getting out the vote" campaign - too many other organizations are jumping on this band wagon.
2. ~~Failure of a large number of candidates meetings - TV & Radio coverage of candidates, etc. and "busy busy people" cause this.~~
3. ~~Difficulty in separating Voters Service information from League "propaganda." VS is worthless almost if recipients do not realize its separation from League program.~~

What trends can you detect? List methods or projects which are decreasing in use, and those which are increasing.

1. Get out the vote campaigns - for general elections at least
2. Candidate Meetings
3. Increasing
1. ~~More information - the public needs and wants basic and non-partisan information on candidates, their position on issues, and on the important issues.~~
2. ~~Radio & TV programs on candidates and discussion of issues.~~

3. Advertising gimmicks - we have become easy prey for advertising gimmicks and it seems that the wise V.S. chairman will use as many as possible to inform the public; in fact, in almost every part of V.S. work.

STATE MINNESOTASTATE LEAGUE

	<u>1955-56</u>	<u>1956-57</u>
Income		
Local Leagues Support	\$ 16,769	\$ 18,027
Contributions direct to state	626	620
All other receipts (including Members-at-large dues)	604	1,328
TOTAL INCOME	\$ 18,059	\$ 19,975
Expenditures		
General Administration	\$ 2,430	\$ 6,275
Direct Services to local Leagues	2,061	1,600
Salaries	5,473	3,423
Provision for national services	6,550	6,800
All other disbursements	1,945	1,875
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	\$ 18,059	\$ 19,975

* * * * *

CASH STATEMENT

Balance on hand at beginning of year <u>April 1, 1956</u>	\$ 2,242
Balance on hand at close of year <u>March 31, 1957</u>	\$ 2,242

LOCAL AND PROVISIONAL LEAGUES

	<u>1955-56</u>	<u>1956-57</u>	<u>1956-57</u>
Income			
Members	13,412.75		15,063.20
Dues	\$ 7,039.33		8,023.09
Contributions	20,432.08	23,086.29	
Non-Members' Contributions	20,630.03	21,780.15	
All other receipts	3,581.34	6,254.68	
TOTAL INCOME	\$ 44,642.25	\$ 51,121.12	
Expenditures			
Local work	\$ 27,197.12	\$ 31,335.23	
State and national services	16,678.66	17,860.00	
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ 43,875.78	\$ 49,195.23	

CASH STATEMENT

Total of local Leagues	11,275.04
Balances on hand at beginning of year <u>April 1, 1956</u>	\$ 11,275.04
Balances on hand at close of year <u>March 31, 1957</u>	\$

Note: We are aware that the state Board needs these figures by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that their figures may be based on their best estimates.

STATE FINANCE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT FOR LWV OF

Minnesota
(State)

FOR YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1957

Seater
3 sheets
Office Copy

Name of local or provision- al League	Total Cam- paign goal	Actual No.* persons who contributed	Actual No. of Work- ers	Briefly list appropriate comments for each drive, such as: effort fair; shows improve- ment; successful; exceeded goal; failure due to _____; resists drive; project (describe) only, or in addition to drive.	
Afton-Lakeland	165.00	4	45	17	very successful - exceeded goal by $\frac{1}{3}$
Alexandria	405.00	1	57	16	exceeded goal - 4 day all out effort
Anoka	255.00	7	31		mail drive - good letter
Arden Hills	311.00	44	25	8	effort fair - not improving
Austin	400.00	72	16	11	mail drive - fair - almost made goal
Battle Lake					no report - doesn't have drive
Bemidji					no report - never has drive - rummage, etc.
Birchwood					no report - had pie social (raised \$42.69)
Bloomington	200.00	104	84	60	Fell down because of party donations by former contributors
Brainerd	290.00	3	58	5	Successful drive - increased goal
Buffalo					no report - assesses members in lieu of drive
Cass Lake	60.00	6	24	4	exceeded goal - good publicity
Circle Pines					no report - sells pie at community festival
Columbia Hts.					no report
Deephaven	380.00	33	97	26	good effort - fair results
Duluth	2050.00	62	130	40	successful drive
Edina					no report - drive in Fall
Excelsior		12	44	7	effort fair
Falcon Hts.					no report - no drive
Fergus Falls	200.00	7	22	18	effort fair

* The amount received from members and non-member contributions appears on the Treasurer's Report, so is not asked for on the Finance Chairman's Report.

STATE FINANCE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT FOR LWV OF

Minnesota
(State)

FOR YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1957

Name of local or provision- al League	Total Cam- paign goal	Actual No.* persons who contributed	Actual No. of Work- Memb. Non-M ers	Briefly list appropriate comments for each drive, such as: effort fair; shows improve- ment; successful; exceeded goal; failure due to _____; resists drive; project (describe) only, or in addition to drive.
Golden Valley				no report - will have drive in May
Granite Falls	185.00		100 20	no report - has dinner for contributors
Hibbing	639.00	-	96 23	exceeded goal - 23 new contributors
Hopkins				no report
Hutchinson - McCleod County				no report - having rummage sale
Jackson	65.00	6	3 3	poor effort - League too small
Kasson				no report
Mahtomedi	300.00	22	56 13	exceeded goal
Minneapolis	12,275.00	609	445 124	exceeded goal - excellent planning
Moorhead	405.00	2	28 9	new League - goal was too ambitious
Mound	200.00	-	52 15	successful drive
New Prague				no report - doesn't have drive
New Richland				no report - no drive - has silver collection at meetings
New Ulm	150.00	-	35 8	successful drive
North St. Paul	150.00	1	39 10	very successful drive - 1 day push
Olivia				no report - no drive - using bank balance
Owatonna	60.00	-	11 7	poor effort - $\frac{1}{4}$ of last year's goal
Park Rapids				no report
Red Wing	250.00	-	41 10	successful drive - formerly had mail drive
Richfield	650.00	3	86 41	failure due to fact finance chairman moved away 2 weeks before start of drive

* The amount received from members and non-member contributions appears on the Treasurer's Report, so is not asked for on the Finance Chairman's Report.

STATE FINANCE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT FOR LWV OF

Minnesota
(State)

FOR YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1957

[illegible]

* The amount received from members and non-member contributions appears on the Treasurer's Report, so is not asked for on the Finance Chairman's Report.

Treasurer's Report for LWV of Minnesota for Year Ending March 31, 1957
(State)

Name of local League	I N C O M E		E X P E N D I T U R E S			
	Members Dues	Contrib.	Non-Members Contrib.	All other Receipts	Local Disbursement	State and National Service
Afton-Lakeland	177.00	9.00	223.24	116.45	385.78	165.00
Alexandria						150.00
Anoka	160.00	23.50	96.50	7.23	240.48	175.00
Arden Hills	141.00	58.37	148.50	269.76	319.59	115.00
Austin	541.50	185.00	105.50	190.61	362.60	475.00
Battle Lake						55.00
Bemidji	210.00	146.81	40.00	50.65	208.97	230.00
Birchwood						60.00
Bloomington	399.00	164.00	520.00	130.00	757.00	400.00
Brainerd	110.00	10.00	285.50	72.10	339.00	200.00
Buffalo	33.00	3.00	1.00	28.62	33.17	50.00
Cass Lake	45.00	17.00	49.50	50.80	96.67	55.00
Circle Pines	48.00	3.00	39.00	97.26	132.01	55.00
Columbia Heights	40.00	18.02	104.00	--	34.45	85.00
Deephaven	154.50	99.00	281.50	47.50	446.80	150.00
Duluth	943.50	708.00	1,351.00	87.50	1,830.00	1,260.00
Edina	703.50	240.00	438.00	49.61	824.40	450.00
Excelsior	130.50	94.70	178.50	35.75	328.78	130.00
Falcon Heights	90.00	10.00	--	95.40	149.40	65.00
Fergus Falls						100.00
Golden Valley	255.00	6.00	371.50	127.00	487.59	210.00
Granite Falls	66.00	--	185.00	--	70.00	105.00
Hibbing	273.00	3.00	783.00	94.75	454.14	400.00
Sub, or Grand Total	4520.50	1798.40	5201.24	1550.99	7500.80	5140.00

Note: We are aware that the state Board needs these figures by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that their figures may be based upon their best estimates.

Treasurer's Report for LWV of Minnesota for Year Ending March 31, 1957
(State)

Name of local League	I N C O M E			E X P E N D I T U R E S		
	Members Dues	Contrib.	Non-Members Contrib.	All other Receipts	Local Disbursement	State and National Service
Hopkins	207.00	70.00	--	76.22	328.37	225.00
Jackson	52.50	21.00	11.00	140.85	200.55	75.00
Kasson						0
Mahtomedi	129.00	123.00	187.50	39.00	248.00	175.00
McLeod-Hutchinson	177.00	40.40	--	99.20	159.17	125.00
Minneapolis	3559.50	3881.06	8,659.00	2,478.44	12,310.52	5,000.00
*Minnetonka Village	180.00					25.00
Moorhead	78.00	19.95	182.00	20.72	101.17	90.00
Mound	224.50	--	188.50	169.00	458.91	150.00
New Prague	26.00	--	--	127.00	--	65.00
New Richland						50.00
New Ulm	70.00	5.50	141.00	36.30	172.97	75.00
North St. Paul	90.00	2.00	193.50	17.60	288.43	100.00
Olivia	39.00			41.17	51.65	50.00
Owatonna						125.00
Park Rapids	22.70			2.81	27.03	30.00
Red Wing	171.50	75.00	257.50	24.75	488.25	250.00
Richfield	415.50	22.45	497.30	249.17	761.75	425.00
Rochester	738.00	101.00	1,055.61	154.37	807.15	930.00
Roseville	702.00	179.27	726.00	504.75	1,658.36	400.00
St. Cloud						170.00
St. Louis Park	465.00	15.00	1,000.00	151.30	1,361.76	500.00
St. Paul	2177.00	1,435.84	2,662.50	150.00	3,214.54	2,600.00
Sub, or Grand Total	9524.20	5991.47	15,761.41	4,482.65	22,638.58	11,635.00

Note: We are aware that the state Board needs these figures by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that their figures may be based upon their best estimates.

Treasurer's Report for LWV of Minnesota for Year Ending March 31, 1957
(State)

Name of local League	I N C O M E		E X P E N D I T U R E S			
	Members Dues	Contrib.	Non-Members Contrib.	All other Receipts	Local Disbursement	State and National Service
South St. Paul						290.00
Tracy	24.00	58.07	--	7.47	22.75	55.00
*Virginia	147.00	35.00	170.00	6.40	152.61 108.18	75.00
Vaseca						105.00
Wayzata	210.00	87.00	229.50	56.42	229.87	160.00
Wells	90.00	40.75	124.00	1.00	187.91	50.00
*West St. Paul	187.50	--	--	9.55	108.34	25.00
White Bear Twnsp	360.00	12.40	294.00	221.04	493.87	225.00
Worthington						100.00
<i>Coal</i>						
8-14.42 7-21.82 B. Lake	36	12	10.		15.60	35.50.60
8-1.11 7-8.01 Olivia	51			12.16	15.	55.70.
8-31.52 7-197.96 Fergus Falls	141	17	182.50 182.50	286.79 377.62	330.14 330.14	110.435.00
8-199.79 7-205.75 Watonwan	129.	10	170.	56.66	145.83	150.295.83
Waseca	68.		73.	127.16	138.32	105.243.32
P. 303.43 7-84.90 Maumond	186	-	306.	152.27	263.71	162.425.74
8-141.34 7-105.41 Mahlowood	150		406	13.44	239.54	190.428.54
C Lake	52	12	68	36.55	85.87	65.150.87
Sub-Total	1018.50	233.22	817.50	221.04	1195.85	1085.00
Sub, or Grand Total *	15063.20	8023.09	21,780.15	6254.68	31,335.23	17,860.00

Note: We are aware that the state Board needs these figures by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that their figures may be based upon their best estimates.

*Provisional

- 14 -

15,407.20 - 8023.09 - 22,115.65 - 6673.63 - 32,020.85 - 17,860.00

THIS REPORT BASED ON 39 of our 57 Leagues who reported.

League of Women Voters of the U. S.
1026 17th Street, N.W.
Washington 6, D. C.

4/15/58 latest figures penciled in

ANNUAL REPORT

Year ending March 31, 1958

STATE

MINNESOTA

(Please fill in and return 2 copies NOT LATER than March 31, 1958 to League of Women Voters of U.S., 1026 17th St., N.W., Washington 6, D. C. If enough space is not provided for your replies, attach additional sheets.)

LOCAL LEAGUES

Number of local Leagues, 3/31/58 54
Number of provisional Leagues, 3/31/58 3

TOTAL 57

Increase over 3/31/57 2
Decrease under 3/31/57

Number of Leagues from which recognition has been withdrawn, March 31, 1957 to March 31, 1958 none

How many County Councils in your state 4

Please attach list of such County Councils with Leagues belonging to each.

MEMBERSHIP

Voting members, 3/31/58 5419
Associate members, 3/31/58 5
Members-at-Large, 3/31/58 12

TOTAL 5436

Increase over 3/31/57
Decrease under 3/31/57 36

Number not renewing membership 758

(This does not mean the net losses, but the actual number of members, who, for one reason or another dropped out of the League during this year. See Column 5).

Number of these who were members only one year.

(See column 6). 220

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Name of League (indicate if provisional)	Population of Commu- ity	No. of Voting Members 3/31/58	No. of Associate Members 3/31/58	No. mem- bers not renewing this yr.	No. these who dropped out after only 1 yr.	Bul- letin	No. visits by state to local Leagues. Indicate if visit was with Board or members, and for what purpose.
3	Afton-Lakeland	2200	43		23	8	Y	
3	Alexandria	8000	42		3	1	Y	Routine visit by state board member at board meeting.
5	Anoka	9000	67		14	12	Y	Routine visit by state board member at board meeting.
4	Arden Hills	2000	51		11	2	Y	Visit by state board member and staff, board meeting.
1	Austin Battle Lake	25000 714	163 13		27	4	Y	Visit by Org. Chm. & Sec'y. with unit chm., board, committees Came into LNV office for special "small League confern."
5	Bemidji	10001	76	1	16	3	Y	Visit by org. Sec'y. & member of Org. Com. to board and several committees.
2	Birchwood	312	27		2	2	Y	1 visit with board by St. Board member to see if need help

Note: We are aware that state Boards need these reports from local Leagues by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that these March 31 figures may be based upon their best estimates.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Commu- nity	No. of Voting members 3/31/58	No. of Associate members 3/31/58	No. of mem- bers not renewing this yr	No. these who dropped out after only 1 yr	Bul- letin	No. visits by state to local Leagues. In- dicate if visit was with Board or members and for what purpose.
7 Bloomington	40100	111		45	11	Y	1 visit with board, routine St. Board visit to see if they needed help.
2 Brainerd	12637	41		11	5	Y	Org. Chm. visited committees and board to check on progress.
*Brooklyn Center	14000	40					Pre-Org. and Org. meeting, member of Org. Com. at each board meeting.
1 Buffalo	2800	10		2	1		Came to state office for special "small League conference"
1 Cass Lake	1936	17					None
1 Circle Pines	2600 ²⁵⁰⁰	20 ¹⁹	1				Attended meeting in state office to specially help this small League.
1 Columbia Heights	12500	17		3	6	Y	Attended special "small League conference", state office..
4 *Crystal	18000	47				Y	Staff adviser at all meetings except units.
2 Deephaven	2500	44		14	1	Y	Routine State Board visit
16 Duluth	104511	355		42	13	Y	Routine State Board visit, St. Pres. spoke at Annual meeting
17 Edina	20000	280		24		Y	None
3 Excelsior	4900	57		8	1	None	None
2 Falcon Heights	4500	35		9	3	Y	Special conference at office.
2 Fergus Falls	13000	43		4	3	Y	Visit by Org. Sec'y and Org. Com. member to give help where needed.
6 Golden Valley	12000	113		23	11	Y	None.
1 Granite Falls	2600	25		10	2		None
7 Hibbing	17562	108		11	4		None.
5 Hopkins	10000	50	1	26	14	Y	State Board visit to help where needed

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Commu- nity	No. of Voting members 3/31/58	No. of Associate members 3/31/58	No. of mem- bers not renewing this yr	No. these who dropped out after only 1 yr	Bul- letin	No. visits by state to local Leagues. In- dicate if visit was with Board or members and for what purpose.
1 Jackson	3850	21					None
Kasson							Will visit in April to see if we can get them to disband.
3 Mahtomedi	1375	51				Y	None
3 McLeod-Hutchinson	5000	33		30	26	Y	Bulletin occasional. 1 visit w. Pres. Bd meeting, met w. individual members, advisers available for each board meeting.
4/6 Minneapolis	521,718	1039		219		Y	Board and Unit Chm. mtg. visited by Org. Chm.
9 Minnetonka	18,000 1600	119	new League			Y	2 visits by St. Og. Chm. and Staff Adviser at every board meeting
4 Moorhead	22000	34		10	5	Y	1 visit by staff and Org. Com. member to help w. finance and general problems
3 Mound	2500	82				Y	None.
1 New Richland	1000	26		3	1		Attended special conference for "smallest Leagues" in state office.
1 New Ulm	9348	20		4		Y	1 meeting with the board
2 North St. Paul	5000	25				Y	1 visit by St. Board member, routine
1 Olivia	2300	15					Attended special conference for "smallest Leagues" in state office
3 Owatonna	12000	43		8	2	Y	1 visit, met with committees, also attended board meeting specially to help with unit organization
1 Park Rapids	3027	20		2	1		Attended conference for "smallest Leagues" in state office.
2 Red Wing	10500	57		4		Y	1 visit by St. Bd. member, routine, gave help on finance, membership.
11 Richfield	32000	142	1	47	12	Y	1 routine visit
4 Robbinsdale	13000	46	New League			Y	Adviser from state board at every LL board mtg.
9 Rochester	35400	215	1			Y	1 routine visit

STATE

MINNESOTA

309

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MINNESOTA

15th and Washington Avenue S.E. Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Federal 8-8791

COUNTY COUNCILS IN MINNESOTA

Anoka County

Anoka
Circle Pines
Columbia Heights

Hennepin County

Bloomington
Deephaven
Edina
Excelsior
Golden Valley
Hopkins
Minneapolis
Mound
Richfield
St. Louis Park
Wayzata
Crystal
Robbinsdale
Minnetonka

} new Leagues

Ramsey County

Arden Hills
Falcon Heights
Roseville
North St. Paul
St. Paul
White Bear Lake

St. Louis County

Duluth
Hibbing
Virginia

Washington County

Afton-Lakeland
Birchwood
Mahtomedi



Affiliated with the
League of Women Voters of the U.S.

STATE

MINNESOTA

No. of State Board members 16 Portfolios filled (check those having non-Board members on Committees)
 Officers 5
 Elected Directors 6 National Item I Legislative (incl. St. CR's)*
 Appointed Directors 5 National Item II * Local Agenda *
National CR Organization *
St. Constitutional Conv.* Bulletin * (advises LL Editors)
St. Election Laws * Finance
Public Relations * Publications* Voters Service*

No. of State Board meetings held this year 10
 Approximate dates 1st Thursday

What training did you provide for state Board members? Meeting of incoming and outgoing board members with Mrs. Horner's help. Gave them "Information for Board Members" (attached) Encouraged individual conferences with preceding chairman, and turning over of files/notebook

How do you relay the decisions made at a state Board meeting to the local Leagues?

By President's post-Board letter? YES Other methods? _____

Name and job designation of employees

State office address, if any:

(indicate full or part time)

Room 115, 15 & Washington Aves. S.E., Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Mrs. Harold Wilson Organization Secretary (half time on Org., half time Office)

work other than Organization)

Mrs. Elwyn Williams Executive Secretary (full time)

Place and date of your 1958 Council or Convention Lowry Hotel, St. Paul, May 21-22

Title of State Bulletin and no. of issues yearly MINNESOTA VOTER, 6 issues

List of state League publications issued this year

State Board Report, 15 pg., 25¢

Broadside on Constitution, 1 sheet, 100/40¢

Publications Catalog, 4 pg., free

Basic Publications Needed by LL, 2 pg., free

Facts about LNV of Minnesota, 4 pg. folder, 2¢

LNV Bibliog. on Constitutional Revis., 1 pg., 2¢

LNV Bibliography on Water, 1 pg., 2¢

Party Designation Folder, 2 sides 1 sheet, 2 for 5¢

Information for LL Bulletin Editors, 6pg. 15¢

Summary of N.Y. Bar Recom. pg. 4¢

Recent Supreme Ct. Decisions, pg., 4¢

Information on 3 Constitu. Amendments, 5 pg.

Periodic Submission, 6 pg., 10¢ (10¢

Area Amendment on Reapportionment? 20pg. 45¢

How on Waterfront, 2 issues, 1pg. each, 2¢

State You're In, rev. 80 pg. (printed) 85¢

Min. Election Laws, 60 pg., 75¢

Minnesota Election Data, 5pg., 10¢

Have your provisional Leagues had the services of a state Board or organization

committee advisor at each of their Board meetings during provisional status? YES

At any of their unit or membership meetings? YES

List any provisional Leagues which have been provisional for longer than 18 months:

What are the reasons for delay in qualifying for recognition? _____

Do you plan to organize any new Leagues during the coming year? _____

Where? We are planning cultivation work in 3 or 4 areas - Grand Rapids, Marshall,

Albert Lea, Faribault, With the idea of starting one or two new Leagues out state if
enough interest is shown.

STATE MINNESOTA

What are the major recurring problems in your local Leagues? _____

FINANCE:

1. Reluctance to have finance drive
2. Reluctance of members to solicit

MEMBERSHIP:

1. Lack of interest and participation
2. Constant changing membership
3. Orientation of new members
4. Decrease in membership
5. How to attract new people.

Have you developed any new or particularly effective method of helping local Leagues? **YES,**
new to

us. Describe: **1. Special "Littlest League Conference" at office on Saturday in December. Four State Board Members helped with program and paid transportation for two members from each small League. Went over recognition standards, discussed problems as a group.**
2. Field Trips - were set up for a visit to the Board, and a series of conferences with committees, question and answer type meetings.

Did the 1957 Regional Conferences help you in servicing your local Leagues? **Yes.**
Came back with many ideas from other Leagues.

How did you apply the ideas developed there? **Had hoped that new "Basis for Organizing Leagues" would help solve some of our problems, but our problem Leagues don't see a fit.**

How could the Conferences have been more helpful? **From purely selfish point of view, if the state Leagues of comparable size could get together, would have been more helpful.**

Area Conferences for local Leagues in your state

On what subjects **A. TAKING LNV PROGRAM TO MEMBERS** No. of Leagues participating **45 Leagues**

1) Discussion techniques

(350 members)

2) National CR's

3) Individual Liberties

4) Publications

(400 members)

B. TAKING LNV PROGRAM TO COMMUNITY (State Agenda & CR's) (50 Leagues)

In the light of your evaluation of your local Leagues, in the framework of the thinking of the 1957 Conferences, what national field services would best help you carry out your responsibilities? (Indicate dates preferred)

I. Expert help in promoting good finance drives. We plan a workshop at State Council but LNV can always use new and expert help.

II. Membership - help in getting that "cross section". How to increase membership and keep new members in small towns where membership has been static for years, and leadership is tired.

STATE

MINNESOTA

PUBLIC RELATIONS

(39 of 57 LNW's reported)

How many local Leagues have:

A regular LNW radio program 3 Occasional _____
 A regular TV Program _____ Occasional 2
 A regular newspaper column 7 Regular coverage 3

How many local Leagues use, and in what way, the following material put out by the national office:

Tapes 1 _____
 Recordings 3 Mrs's Lee's speech, at unit meetings
 Film strips 5 You're Vote is the Key, unit meetins, membership tea
 Film 16 Kill Only the Ivy, mostly at general meetings, 1 LNW showed it to high school social studies classes.

If any of your Leagues have done an outstanding job on any part of League program (apart from Voters Service) which has built up good community and public relations, please describe here, or on attached sheet: _____

4 Leagues joined forces to publish a column on League program in a group of suburban papers.

They take turns writing the column.

Is the League program well received throughout the State? YES

If press and/or public relations are unfavorable, what are the reasons or difficulties?

1 critic claims to represent employers and criticized LNW's stands on Constitutional revision, he seems fairly effective in some areas.

Have you any suggestions for promoting wider use of publications with the League membership and the community? _____

SEE ATTACHED SHEET 5a

4 Leagues felt publications would sell better if they were more popularly written, not so heavy.

PUBLICATIONS REPORT

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MINNESOTA

15th and Washington Avenue S.E. Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Federal 8-8791

Guiding philosophy in building Publications Program (1955-58) has been:

1. To help local publications chairmen understand their job and the importance of it to the total League program by:
 - a. Pointing up at 1957 state convention high percentage of Leagues not using essential publications
 - b. Participating in area conferences, one on taking program to the member, another on taking program to the community through publications
 - c. Individual committee members working with local Leagues (high dividends)
2. To make their job as simple as possible by giving them:
 - a. Necessary publications to do their job:
 - 1) "Murphy Bag Instructions", pattern for unit display system
 - 2) "Instruction Sheet" and other continuous help to units on promotion of state publications for building public opinion for constitutional convention
 - 3) "Unit Meeting on Legislative Action" - how a unit can secure legislative action through taking publications to the community.
 - 4) "Basic Publications Needed by a Local League Board"
 - 5) "Publications Catalog" modeled after national catalog
 - 6) "Facts About the LWV of Minnesota" modeled after national Facts, with suggestion that LL do own Fact sheet, the 3 to be used by finance, public relations, and membership committees.
 - 7) "First Things to do on Becoming a Publications Chairman"
 - 8) "Publications Record Sheet" - for purposes of inventory and better liaison with treasurer, resource people, etc.
 - 9) "Publications Bibliography for the new-member kit"-essential reading.
 - b. Ideas through:
 - 1) Swap Shop of ideas in Committee Meeting minutes
 - 2) Display Board pattern for presenting publications at large public meeting (their own and others)
 - 3) Listing all new publications in Presidents Letter and Minnesota Voter
 - 4) Tip for the Month - in monthly President's Letter. Always a basic publication suggestion. (Example: reading of material before meetings to be suggested by calling or postal card committee; locating and using long-time stored away publications; soliciting former members for membership and subscriptions to publication services, both Voters; national change in procedure and personal loss to membership if national public service is discontinued etc.)

Some results and accomplishments: (according to very recent survey)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 5/6 of LWVs now have publications chairmen* 2. 2/3 of LWVs review publications at Board meetings* 3. 4/5 of Publications Chairmen have set up Workbooks. 4. 4/7 of LWVs use Publications Display system at units. 5. 1/2 of LWVs keep school, library etc. shelf up to date. 6. 1/2 of LWVs reading some LWV material before unit meeting. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Great improvement in understanding & working relationships with Local Leagues. 8. Good pattern of conformity with national publications being established. 9. Good pattern of outlets and follow-up for publications being established. |
|--|--|
10. Harvest in requests for and sales of state and national publications beginning
 11. "Facts about LWV of Minnesota" - most popular state publication.
 12. Tremendous success through intensive selling campaign conducted on "Well, What Do You Know...Minnesota Has a Constitution" (popular, public opinion builder):
- Distribution: 17,000. Sales, \$2,530.



Affiliated with the
League of Women Voters of the U.S.

STATE PROGRAM

Please describe briefly state and local League activity on state Current Agenda items and state Continuing Responsibilities. Include mention of publications, state-planned workshops and conferences, legislative activity, community activity and state council action. In reporting legislative activity please include the kind of action taken, a brief description of the bills, whether the legislative goal was accomplished and an evaluation of the role of League in the result. If a vote by the electorate was involved (such as an amendment) please describe League activity in connection with it, a brief description of the amendment, the result of the voting, and future steps necessary (such as action by legislature) to accomplish the goal.

State Program, adopted May, 1957, at State Convention, for two years.

Current Agenda: I - Constitutional Convention, Reapportionment by Amendment

II- Minnesota Election Laws

Continuing Responsibilities: I - Constitutional Revision (5 items), II, Reapportionment by statute; III, FEPC; IV, Party Designation for Legislators.

STATE BOARD ACTIVITY

PUBLICATIONS * NEW THIS YEAR

1. Popular Broadside on Constitutional Convention
2. Popular flyer on Party Designation
3. 22 page resource pamphlet, "Will An Area Amendment Settle Reapportionment?"
4. 60 page resource material on Minnesota Election Laws, State Item II
5. 5 page informational material on 3 amendments to be voted on Nov. 1958
6. One is on home rule, one is our CR on longer terms for executive officials.
6. Article in state bulletin Minnesota Voter, for Sept.-October, on reapportionment and Constitutional Convention (periodic submission of question to voters)
7. Article in Minnesota Voter for Jan.-Feb. on State CR's and Election laws
8. 6 page resource material on Periodic Submission of question of C. Convention to voters.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITY

1. League member (Mrs. Stanley Kane) appointed co-chairman of the Governor's Committee on Reapportionment; State Board Treasurer is a League representative and was elected secretary of the committee.
2. State Board Election Laws Chairman attends meetings of Legislative Interim Committee on Election Laws, attended National Municipal League conference in Cleveland, Ohio.
3. The LNV of Minnesota is amicus curiae in a lawsuit brought in Federal Court to force the legislature to reapportion. First round was won March 22, when a Federal Court judge decided that the Federal Court did have jurisdiction to hear the case.
4. Nothing more in the Legislative department, since L. meets next year, except that 1 workshop in area conferences this spring was on Reaching the Legislature.

COMMUNITY ACTIVITY

1. State Board Legislative Chairman and her committee re-activated a Bi-Partisan Committee (formed in 1957), with LNV, Republicans and Democratic-Farmer-Labor people, working to promote our "big three" reforms -- Constitutional Convention, Reapportionment, Party Desi.
2. State Board Constitutional Revision Chairman is a vice-chairman, and LNV representative on a committee of state-wide groups formed to inform the public on the three amendments for vote in the fall, especially the "home rule" and special legislation amendment.
3. The Minnesota Chapter of the Public Relations Society of America, composed of public relations directors of companies all over the state, has decided that for a project for the year it will help the LNV of Minnesota in its effort to get Constitutional reform. Plans for this project are not yet complete, but the CR chairman is working with the PR Committee in providing background information and LNV needs in this field.

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MINNESOTA

15th and Washington Avenue S.E. Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Federal 8-8791

STATE PROGRAM, continued

CONFERENCES

In March, seven area conferences, including all Leagues in the state, met to discuss "How to take League program to the community." As examples of techniques, we used the state items of Constitutional Convention and Reapportionment. After the morning workshops, luncheon speakers (1 political scientist, 1 Republican, 1 DFL) spoke in favor of Party Designation. We reached about 50 of our Leagues and about 400 of our members.

STATE COUNCIL ACTIVITY none to report, meets May 1958

LOCAL LEAGUE ACTIVITY

Mainly study and discussion this year when there is no legislative session. We know from reading local League bulletins from around the state that there was much more done than shows up in these reports.

- ...of a total of 56 local Leagues, 39 reports were received
 - ...all but two or 37 reported at least one meeting (unit) on State Item I (Constitutional Convention and Reapportionment.)
 - ...presumably all will have one other unit meeting on State Item II (Election laws) in April or May. New Election Law material was just mailed out, March 25,
 - ...14 Leagues reported meetings on CRs alone. Others included CRs in a meeting on State items.
 - ...16 Leagues had 2 meetings on State Item I
 - ...3 Leagues reported more than two meetings on State Item I
 - ...10 Leagues reported 1 general membership meeting on State Item I besides Unit meeting.
 - ...18 local Leagues reported some activity in the community on State Item I and CRs.
- This includes speakers, public meetings, use of publications, radio, press and TV.



LOCAL PROGRAM

Did your state assist local Leagues in how to choose a good local Current Agenda?

YES In how to carry out a local Current Agenda satisfactorily? YES If so, how did the state Board do this?

1. In the Outlook for Work in August the general function of the LCA committee was explained.
 2. League members who had recent experience in some particular facet of local government were appointed to committee as consultants.
 3. Packets of material on Charters, Home Rule, Community Planning, Forms of government, were available on request.
 4. The Minnesota Voter carried an article on making LCA. Local Leagues carried similar information in their bulletins.
 5. At various times during the year notes were included in President's Letter urging careful consideration in choosing LCA.
 6. State Board members, in own units, and visits to Leagues, and area conferences, advised on
- Was it a successful method? Can't evaluate until LCA suggestions come in. (LCA.)

If not, do you have ideas for improvement? Hope to have a LCA conference in late fall. Plan tentatively to have experts in various popular program fields to speak or we might set up conference on community planning, with some time devoted to choosing a LCA.

Describe any outstanding local League activity on a local Program item.

RAMSEY COUNTY COUNCIL - a 19 page comprehensive booklet, The Science of Clean Surroundings, compiled by the Ramsey Council of the LNV as background for the study of the need for a county board of health. Boris Levich, Chief Inspector of Public Health in St. Paul said he felt the book should be a basic tool for all city and village officials as well as anyone interested in the subject.

EDINA - Published an excellent booklet on their tax situation. It is available for one dollar. In conjunction with this study a tax forum was held....one third of those present were men.

GOLDEN VALLEY - held an outstanding meeting on various forms of village government. All but one member of the council was present. Their study of libraries resulted in a County Library. Many library board members including President and Secretary are League members.

HIBBING - As a result of League work on the local level three of the 15 Charter Commission members are Leaguers.

see attached sheets 7a

b

c

d

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MINNESOTA

15th and Washington Avenue S.E. Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Federal 8-8791

*Provisional Leagues

REPORT ON LOCAL CURRENT AGENDA, March 1958

- Afton-Lakeland** - Held 3 meetings on schools...1 general and 2 unit meetings. Worked on their chapters in the Washington County survey. Held KYCounty meeting with members of Washington County Historical Society speaker.
- Alexandria** - Made survey of town's resources for industry. Members attended school board meetings and council. Held unit meeting on tax structure.
- Anoka** - Worked on their section of the Anoka County Survey Project as directed by county council.
- Arden Hills** - Invited outside speakers on topic..Recreation in Village. Invited health authorities to speak to units in conjunction with Ramsey County Council.
- Austin** - Interviewed many people in study of charter commission and planning commission, had public meeting with speaker on planning, invited mayor, council, local leaders, to hear speaker. How Austin is Governed re-published by Austin city council.
- Battle Lake** -
- Bemidji** - League members on school fact-finding committee making plans for a new junior high school building. Held three unit meetings giving members background for study of increased library services. Plan to publish survey in spring.
- Birchwood** - Doing study of county government, Washington county. Proudest achievement was local agenda sewer and water survey. Questionnaire sent to entire community. Also heard C.D.Loucks, metropolitan planning director. Held community meeting with sanitary district consulting engineer as speaker. Go-see trip being planned to Pige Eye Plant.
- Bloomington** - Local Current Agenda (study of city charters, methods of election) public meeting with panel...1 unit meeting on proportional representation...1 membership meeting on reaching consensus. Proportional Representation turned down for Bloomington 2 to 1. Much interest in support of master plan for land use in Bloomington. Public meeting on planning to be held in April. Newspaper articles on planning...great interest
- Brainerd** - As result of school study, League members became part of teacher laymen groups. Named an over-all pilot committee. Reports and findings to be presented at general meeting in March.
- *Brooklyn Center** - Starting KYTown Survey.
- Buffalo** - Completed KYT survey in March '58...held three general membership meeting.
- Cass Lake** - Attending school board and council meetings...well received...very interested in the planning problems of community.
- Circle Pines** - Doing their part of county survey. Had speaker from League of Minnesota Municipalities to cover local charter background. Also doing part of KYCounty study.
- Columbia Heights** - County library established. Reports on library board and municipal building. Helping on KYCounty survey.
- *Crystal** - Finished their KYT survey...named The Crystallizer. Held 3 meetings on survey. 100 people attended a successful meeting on taxes arranged by committee.
- Deephaven** - 2 unit meetings held on local taxes. Working with the village council beneficial to both League and council. Council asked member to be apart of committee working on problem.
- Duluth** - Held workshop, unit meetings and had general meeting on Duluth's financial problems. Endorsed and were on committee to back income tax plan last fall. Biggest problem is manpower. Worked on county survey.
- Edina** - Held local tax study program with panel of experts. Published pamphlet on tax study a comprehensive report. Survey on physical plant of school published in paper.
- Excelsior** - No special success on ICA. Held 2 general meetings. Have problem formulating agenda, because membership is from 4 different villages.
- Falcon Heights** - Held 1 membership meeting on ICA. Have difficulty in finding figures on taxes as little is written down locally.
- Fergus Falls** - Planned to have LWV visitors at school board and council meetings. Held unit meetings on city planning in December. Speaker on community planning with 70 community leaders as guests (LWV members cooked dinner). Joint unit meeting with speaker on assessing & taxes. Member to be on planning commission.



Affiliated with the
League of Women Voters of Minnesota

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MINNESOTA

15th and Washington Avenue S.E. Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Federal 8-8791

*Provisional

REPORT ON LOCAL CURRENT AGENDA, Mar. 1958

- Golden Valley** - Held 5 unit meetings and 1 joint meeting..members of Citizens League and of Golden Valley Council. Subject was various forms of village government. Local Agenda Chairman of parks is serving on citizens committee. Village interest in change of form of government stimulated.
- Granite Falls** - Had some difficulty getting started on this years work.
- Hibbing** - Had special success on LCA...5 of 15 chapter commission members are League members LCA was appointment on charter commission. Helping in study of KYCounty.
- Hopkins** - Held public meetings with speakers for and against county library system.
- Jackson** - Keeping eye on sewage disposal plant being built in Jackson. Study conservation practices in Jackson.
- Mahtomedi** - Had great success on registration of voters.
- MeLeod-Hutchinson** - Working on KYCounty survey, book may be printed, or mimeographed soon. Have had resignations and other disappointments that slowed them down.
- Minneapolis** - Workshop, special tax problems and possible new tax sources. Outside speakers. Special successes - library, lower loop and Glenwood Redevelopment. Increase in charter interest. 100 women studying charter, may have "convention" to build public opinion, in cooperation with other groups in town.
- Mnnetonka** - Completing KYTown survey. In Nov. units discussed village government, police and fire protection.
- Moorhead** - Excellent meetings on planning held. Mayor and chairman of Moorhead Planning Commission spoke. Feel that a good LCA will develop from the work done this year. KYTown being redone. Will be published soon
- Mound** - Meeting on Community Planning, great interest, 46 including many men, attended.
- New Richland** - Feel that their study of traffic problems are making members and non-members more aware of the need to alleviate them.
- New Ulm** - Presented KYTown materials to church group. Survey proved stimulating to members. Hope to complete and publish this year, or next.
- North St. Paul** - Feel that their local agenda on planning has been stimulating. Also cooperated on Ramsey County study on clean water surroundings.
- Olivia** - Had difficulty getting board members. Stud of local budget their LCA.
- Owatonna** - Held 2 unit meetings, 1 public meeting on city planning. More citizens aware and interested in city planning.
- Park Rapids** - Are assembling their reports for editing their KYTown survey.
- Red Wing** - Are completing KYTown survey. Making final plans to print. Feel that project has been important and stimulating.
- Richfield** - Held 2 general meetings on bond issues and 1 unit meeting. Find that council puts up question too close to election date for any concentrated effort.
- Robbinsdale** - Completed their very informative booklet, City of Robbinsdale. Units studied such topics as schools, finance, library facilities etc.
- Rochester** - Community planning has enthusiastic supporters in and out of the League as result of concentrated work of committee. Visits to planning commission, city council, interviews with director etc. has given the League a concrete item for LCA next year. Distributed "We the People of Olmsted County" free of charge.
- Roseville** - Helped with Ramsey County booklet. The Science of Clean Surroundings. One meeting on waste disposal, 1 on council manager form of government and budgetary procedures, 2 on civic site.
- ** St. Anthony** - Undertaking KYTown survey.
- St. Cloud** - Attended every city council meeting, plus special meetings of city health department. Several steps taken toward a more sanitary city as a result of League effort.



Affiliated with the
League of Women Voters of the U.S.

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF MINNESOTA

15th and Washington Avenue S.E. Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

*Provisional

Federal 8-8791

REPORT OF LOCAL CURRENT AGENDA, March '58

St. Louis Park - Worked with and alerted the city manager, mayor, newspapers and members on the need for civic building. Helped lead other civic groups giving a sense of direction to the C. of C. Have created interest in a civic problem that the public has felt a controversial issue in the past. 31 page booklet on need for civic building.

St. Paul - Held meetings on integration of city-county services...unit meetings on taxation...progress report on Ancker Hospital issue. Worked hard on booklet, Science of Clean Surroundings with other Ramsey County Council members. Hope to republish You Are the Government in 1958 Centennial Year.

South St. Paul - Held public meeting on charter election, their CR.

Virginia - Held 2 meetings on city finance...using figures etc. Completed Virginia is Your Business in 1957. Worked on County Survey.

Waseca - Restudied charter, hoping to stimulate community action for better government.

Wells - Held 6 meetings on local agenda, community planning. League members attended all sessions of village council. Articles in 3 papers on zoning and sewage treatment facilities. League is working toward possible establishment of local planning commission.

West St. Paul - Held 2 meetings on forms of local government. April meeting open to public. Published and distributed KYTown booklet. 160 copies given away, good public relations.

White Bear Lake - Surveyed form of local government. Held 2 meetings, 1 with panel consisting of 4 local officials. League members were volunteers in White Bear's 1st registration of voters.

Worthington - feel they badly need good LGA. This year had series of meetings with speakers on local government for units.

SUMMARY OF LOCAL CURRENT AGENDAS

<u>Planning and Zoning</u>	<u>Know Your Town</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Taxes</u>	<u>Recreations, Parks</u>
Alexandria	Arden Hills	Afton-Lakeland	Deephaven	Arden Hills
Austin	*Brooklyn Center	Anoka	Duluth	Bemidji
Cass Lake	Buffalo	Arden Hills	Edina	Golden Valley
Fergus Falls	*Crystal	Birchwood	Falcon Heights	Richfield
Moorhead	Mahtomedi	Circle Pines	Hibbing	Wayzata
Mound	Minnetonka	Columbia Heights	Olivia	
North St. Paul	New Ulm	Duluth	Roseville	<u>City Charter</u>
Owatonna	Park Rapids	Falcon Heights	St. Paul	Austin
Rochester	Red Wing	Hibbing	Virginia	Bloomington
South St. Paul	Robbinsdale	Mahtomedi		South St. Paul (CR)
Wells	West St. Paul	McLeod-Hutchinson		Waseca
White Bear Lake	*St. Anthony	North St. Paul	<u>Forms of Govt.</u>	
		Roseville	Golden Valley	Improved structure &
		St. Paul	White Bear Lk.	<u>Procedures of govt.</u>
		Virginia	West St. Paul	Minneapolis
		White Bear Lake		
<u>Forms of Govt.</u>				
<u>Schools</u>	<u>Council Mgr.</u>			
Bemidji	Hibbing			
Bainerd	Roseville			
Afton-Lakeland				
Edina	<u>Library</u>	<u>Water Supply and Sewage</u>		
	Bemidji	Birchwood		
	Hopkins	Jackson		



Affiliated with the
League of Women Voters of the U.S.

Miscellaneous

Columbia Heights - municipal building

Excelsior - civil defense

New Richland - traffic problems and youth program

St. Cloud - restaurant ordinances, parking and problems of aged.

Richfield - improvement of village hall

St. Louis Park - need for a civic building

VOTERS SERVICE: STATE

Please describe briefly your services to the voter at the state level which you would recommend as techniques for other Leagues (candidates meetings, radio and TV programs, printed material, etc.)

Please describe any problems encountered in Voters Service work at the state level. Why do they seem significant?

One "Swap Shop" idea involving the use of Voters Tags for theatre admissions was found to be illegal in our state. This points up the great variation in Voting laws from one state to another.

VOTERS SERVICE: LOCAL

Please describe briefly unusual or outstanding local League services to the voter in connection with local primary or general elections, which you might recommend as techniques, (includes candidates meetings, radio and TV programs, workshops, printed material etc.).

Several Leagues (Moorhead, St. Paul) head specially successful candidates meetings and credited the cooperation of other organizations and groups with helping to make them a success.

Describe any problems encountered by local Leagues in carrying out Voters Service activities. Why do they seem significant?

There is a continual complaint of Voter Apathy. Many Leagues find it discouraging and sometimes embarrassing to plan information meetings and have them poorly attended.

What trends can you detect? List methods or projects which are decreasing in use, and those which are increasing.

Local Leagues seem to be experimenting with the format of their candidates meetings hoping to increase interest. There have been "progressive" meetings where candidates moved from group to group, open house meetings, where the candidates have been available to the public for several hours etc.

STATE MINNESOTASTATE LEAGUE

	1956-57	1957-58
Income		
Local Leagues Support	\$ 18,027	\$ 19,577
Contributions direct to state	620	430
All other receipts (including Members-at-large dues)	1,328	936
TOTAL INCOME	\$ 19,975	\$ 20,943
Expenditures		
General Administration	\$ 6,275	\$ 3,626
Direct Services to local Leagues	1,600	2,454
Salaries	3,425	4,420
Provision for national services	6,800	7,500
All other disbursements	1,875	2,458
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	\$ 19,975	\$ 20,528

* * * * *

CASH STATEMENT

Balance on hand at beginning of year April 1, 1957	\$ 2,242
Balance on hand at close of year March 31, 1958	\$ 2,732

LOCAL AND PROVISIONAL LEAGUES

	1956-57	1957-58
Income		
Members		
Dues	\$ 13,247.75 14021.75	
Contributions	\$ 5,084.86 5221.66	
Non-Members' Contributions	23,086.29	18,332.61 19243.41
All other receipts	21,780.15	18,683.44 20093.40
	6,254.68	4,718.91 4960.73
TOTAL INCOME	\$ 51,121.12	\$ 41,734.96 44297.54
Expenditures		
Local work	\$ 31,335.23	\$ 13,758.67 15083.45
State and national services	17,860.00	19,577.00 19627.
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ 49,195.23	\$ 33,335.67 34710.45

CASH STATEMENT

Total of local Leagues	
Balances on hand at beginning of year April 1, 1957	\$ 11,357.60 12,419.10
Balances on hand at close of year March 31, 1958	\$ 12,991.94 14,212.58

Note: We are aware that the state Board needs these figures by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that their figures may be based on their best estimates.

STATE FINANCE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT FOR LWV OF
MINNESOTA

(State)

FOR YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1958

Name of local or provision- al	Total Cam- paign goal	Actual No.* persons who contributed Memb.	No. of Work- Non-M ers	Briefly list appropriate comments for each drive, such as: effort fair; shows improve- ment; successful; exceeded goal; failure due to _____; resists drive; project (describe) only, or in addition to drive.
Afton-Lakeland	\$		11	Short \$60
Alexandria	450		63	Exceeded goal by \$42, good public relations.
Anoka	200		11	No report.
Arden Hills	251	50	50	20 Short \$68; calendar sales; poor organization for drive.
Austin	500	52	22	3 Solicit by letter; not completed.
Battle Lake				No report; doesn't have drive, at least in past.
Bemidji			3	\$30 from non-members; no drive; 2 rummage sales.
Birchwood	50	4	34	10 Successful; community so small.
Bloomington	840	138	110	51 No final report; calendars also sold.
Brainerd	290	4	69	6 Successful; too few members participating
*Brooklyn Center				
Buffalo	30	12		12
Cass Lake	95	7	30	8 No final report.
Circle Pines				
Columbia Hts.				
*Crystal	220	94	96	29 No final report
Deephaven	375	18	74	18 Short over \$100.
Duluth	2250	102	117	40 Short over \$610; poor member contribution; failure due to bad weather, flu
Edina	951	182	61	25 Non-member contributions short of goal; too few soliciting.
Excelsior	240	50	33	11 Member contributions short \$95.

* The amount received from members and non-member contributions appears on the Treasurer's Report, so is not asked for on the Finance Chairman's Report.

Fergus Falls addenda: We were unable to find anyone in our League who would take responsibility of Finance Chairman, so Board made on list, and Secretary and President sent out letters.
 Red. \$99

STATE FINANCE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT FOR LWV OF

MINNESOTA

(State)

FOR YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1958

Name of local or provision-al League	Total Cam-paign goal	Actual No.* persons who contributed Memb.	Actual No. of Work-ers	Briefly list appropriate comments for each drive, such as: effort fair; shows improve-ment; successful, exceeded goal; failure due to _____; resists drive; project (describe) only, or in addition to drive.	
Falcon Heights	124		20	23	Excellent member participation.
Fergus Falls	105	3	9	See above	No report; membership resists drive; UN Food Fair in addition to drive.
Golden Valley					
Granite Falls	112				No drive; Community dinner for contributors.
Hibbing	714		81	11	Successful in spite of membership resistance.
Hopkins	192		43	2	Failure; letters sent out; 43 replies from 263 letters sent out.
Jackson	46	13	8	4	Raised \$48.50 from drive; \$110 from project Resisting drive.
Mahtomedi	400	21	74	13	Successful.
Mo-Leod-Hutchinson					Members resist drive; projects include food sale, rummage, luncheon. Did do telephone drive this yr.
Minneapolis	12,275	249	443	42 plus 1 each unit	Excellent year round planning; failed to reach goal.
Minnetonka	225	4	42	16	Well planned; exceeded goal.
Moorhead	236	5	12	7	Failure due to chairman quitting and no planning; 28 contributors not contacted.
Mound	335	17	41	20	Well planned; short \$28.
New Richland					No drive; too small a community.
New Ulm	95	8	50	6	Drive still going on, members resisting drive.
North St. Paul	244		64	13	Exceeded goal; very well organized.
Olivia					"We refuse to solicit funds beyond our membership."
Owatonna	170		17	12	Successful in spite of poor organization.
Park Rapids					No drive.
Red Wing	300		47	12	Successful; quit when reached goal.

* The amount received from members and non-member contributions appears on the Treasurer's Report, so is not asked for on the Finance Chairman's Report.

(State)

Name of local or provisional League	Total Campaign goal	Actual persons who contributed	* No. of Work-ers	Briefly list appropriate comments for each drive, such as: effort fair; shows improvement; successful; exceeded goal; failure due to _____; resists drive; project (describe) only, or in addition to drive.
		Memb.	Non-Memb.	
Richfield	\$ 685	88	44	Exceeded goal because of style show; 79 non-member contributors refused.
Robbinsdale	200	44	30	Exceeded goal \$156.
Rochester	850	30	134	Over \$470; excellent returns; too few solicitors.
Roseville				No drive til fall '58 because last one so successful.
St. Anthony				Plans being made for first drive in May.
St. Cloud	400	56	15	Short \$18; 33 non-members refused.
St. Louis Park	1000	6	120	Well organized; not completed.
St. Paul				
So. St. Paul	450	71	19	Successful; 46 refused; increased participation
Virginia	250	80	25	No report.
Waseca				
Waysata	340	15	35	Short almost \$100; no training for workers; 18 refused and 33 not contacted.
Wells	45.	2	16	Exceeded goal \$14.50; Calendar sale.
West. St. Paul	445	66	15	Drive going on now.
White Bear Lk.	280	54	13	Short \$45; 25 refused; 27 not contacted
Worthington	115			No report.

- 10 -

REPORTS FROM ONLY 37 of 57 Leagues
 Treasurer's Report for LWV of _____ for year Ending March 31, 1958
 (state)

Name of local League	I N C O M E			E X P E N D I T U R E S		
	Members Dues	Contrib.	Non-Members Contrib.	All other Receipts	Local Disburse- ment	State and National Service
*Provisional						
Afton-Lakeland	\$ 132.	\$	\$ 163.50	\$	\$ 172.50	\$ 170.
Alexandria	78.		492.	72.11	328.41	175. ⁷ 50
Anoka	209.	8.	262.	188.45	258.09	175.
Arden Hills	138.	60.22	122.50	50.	212.14	105.
Austin	467.	198.	125.	75.70	316.37	475.
Battle Lake						55.
Bemidji	228.		30.	251.98	148.58	210.
Bendwood	107.	16.35	58.72	24.	101.53	65.
Bloomington	294.	107.15	637.10	150.35	640.06	400.
Brainerd	100.	7.50	290.70	60.10	236.93	210.
*Brooklyn Center						25.
Buffalo	36.	39.73	2.	16.16	50.84	35.
Cass Lake						65.
Circle Pines	56	26.20	50.	95.03	138.59	55.
Columbia Hts.	33.	5.47	22.		11.15	85.
*Crystal	178.	31.	224.		131.79	125.
Deerpaven	130.50	67.	218.50	51.55	264.34	175.
Duluth	1,071.	387.50	1,180.	405.70	1,531.13	1,275.
Edina						550.
Excelsior	148.50	67.42	144.25	54.13	242.33	130.
Falcon Heights	99.	19.50	124.	37.50	148.21	105.
Fergus Falls	78.	17.	82.	371.62	325.06	110.
Golden Valley	249.	12.	375.	170.	420.61	220.
Sub, or Grand Total	83,718.	\$1043.84	\$4061.27	\$1907.24	\$ 5211.66	\$4975.

Note: We are aware that the state Board needs these figures by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that their figures may be based upon their best estimates.

3852. ^{1070.04}
 4603.27 ¹¹
 2074.38
 5678.66 5025.

Treasurer's Report for LWV of MINNESOTA for year Ending March 31, 1958
(state)

Name of local League	I N C O M E			E X P E N D I T U R E S		
	Members Dues	Contrib.	Non-Members Contrib.	All other Receipts	Local Disbursement	State and National Service
Granite Falls	\$ 50		\$ 211.46		\$ 136.85	110.
Hibbing	319.		714.50	57.47	598.66	400.
Hopkins	150.	30.	141.	75.32	124.70	250.
Jackson	63.	24.50	24.	109.71	100.47	75.
Mahtomedi						190.
McLeod-Hutchinson						125.
Minneapolis	5,250.	3,271.20	8,268.	1,144.03	13,000.	5,500.
Minnetonka	331	38.60	213.	67.82	337.07	100.
Moorhead	93.	38.	45.	23.75	203.25	80.
Mound						162.
New Richland	62.50	27.34			3.40	60.
New Ulm	60.	9.50	95.		87.80	85.
North St. Paul	103.		265.50	.90	214.17	105.
Olivia	45.				65.	25.
Owatonna	129.	10.	170.	51.66	145.83	150.
Park Rapids	36.75		23.17		7.50	55.
Red Wing	192.50	40.	309.50	10.	200.	275.
Richfield	418.50	274.74	480.50	120.	636.55	450.
Robbinsdale			356.50	32.75	198.43	125.
Rochester	860.	99.50	1,220.50	467.	1,551.14	1,000.
Roseville	643.50	229.95	63.	101.84	859.34	525.
*St. Anthony						50.
St. Cloud	135.	7.	410.50	56.10	312.87	170.
Sub, or Grand Total	\$8456.75	\$3961.73	\$12321.17	\$2249.63	\$6394.94	\$10,067.00

Note: We are aware that the state Board needs these figures by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that their figures may be based upon their best estimates.

8940.75

13011.13

7002.63

4000.33

2318.35

League of Women Voters of the U.S.
1726 - 17th Street, N.W.
Washington 6, D.C.

ANNUAL REPORT
Year ending March 31, 1959

STATE MINNESOTA

(Please fill in and return 2 copies NOT LATER than March 31, 1959 to League of Women Voters of U.S., 1026 - 17th St., N.W., Washington 6, D.C. If enough space is not provided for your replies, attach additional sheets.)

LOCAL LEAGUES

Number of local Leagues, 3/31/59 56
Number of provisional Leagues, 3/31/59 0

TOTAL 56

MEMBERSHIP

Voting members, 3/31/59 5,303
Associate members, 3/31/59 11
Members-at-Large, 3/31/59 9

TOTAL 5,323

Increase (Local & Provisional Leagues) over 3/31/58 1
Decrease (Local & Provisional Leagues) under 3/31/58 1
Number of Leagues from which recognition has been withdrawn, March 31, 1958 to March 31, 1959 1

Increase (Voting, Asso. & Members-at-Large) over 3/31/58 1148
Decrease (Voting, Asso. & Members-at-Large) under 3/31/58 113

Number not renewing membership 1148
(This does not mean the net losses, but the actual number of members, who, for one reason or another dropped out of the League during this year. See Column 5)

Number of these who were members only one year (See column 6) 372

Please attach list of County Councils, if any, with Leagues belonging to each. 5 councils, listed.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Community	No. of Voting Members 3/31/59	No. of Associate Members 3/31/59	No. of members not renewing this year	No. these who dropped out after only 1 year	Bulletin	No. visits by state to local Leagues. Indicate if visit was with Board or members and for what purpose.
Afton-Lakeland	9100	41	0	10	0	Y	
Alexandria	8000	35				Y	
Anoka	9000	71				Y	
Arden Hills	2800	62	0	6	2	Y	
Austin	26300	185	0	17	4	Y	

Note: We are aware that state Boards need these reports from local Leagues by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that these March 31 figures may be based upon their best estimates.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Commu- nity	No. of Vet. Associate Members 3/31/59	No. of Asso. members as of 3/31/59	No. of mem- bers not renewing this year	No. these who dropped out after only 1 year	Bulletin	STATE <u>MINNESOTA</u> No. visits by state to local Leagues. Indicate if visit was with Board or members and for what purpose
Battle Lake	8000	15	2	0	0		
Bemidji	10,001	52		29	9	Y	
Birchwood	312	51					
Bloomington	44,000	117	0	47	16	Y	
Brainerd	12,637	43	0	3	2	Y	
Brooklyn Center	16,000	64	0			Y	Just recognized, advisor visited Bd. every other month, 2 visits by St. Org. Com.
Buffalo	1950	13	0	1	0		
Cass Lake	1,936	16	0	1	0		
Circle Pines	3,000	9	0	11			
Columbia Heights	12,500	23				Y	
Crystal	18,000	30	2	25	25	Y	Every other month visit with C. Board Conference in office with Pres. & Board
Deephaven	2,500	49	0	10	4	Y	Routine visit to Board
Duluth	104,000	347	0	68	39	Y	Visit at briefing session, conferences with individual board members
Edina	22,000	250	1	11	19	Y	Visit to local Board to help with ICA, con- sensus, non partisanship
Excelsior	2,500	54		13	5	Y	
Falcon Heights	4,900	50		6	2	Y	

STATE-MINNESOTA

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8.
Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	Population of Commu- nity	No. of Voting members 3/31/59	No. of Associate members 3/31/59	No. of mem- bers not renewing this year	No. these who dropped out after only 1 year	Bul- letin	No. visits by state to local Leagues, in- dicate if visit was with Board or members and for what purpose.
Fergus Falls	12,917	36	1	16	4	Y	Speak on Foreign Policy at membership or open meeting.
Golden Valley	12,000	97	0	27	10	Y	
Granite Falls	2,511	34	0				
Hibbing	17,000	115	0	10	3	Y	Visit w. Exec. Committee of Board, routine.
Hopkins	10,500	44	0	25	6	Y	
Jackson	3,316	18	2	1	0		
Mahtomedi	1,375	50	0	3		Y	
McLeod-Hutch.	6,000	28	0	10	5		Visit to Board to help with orientation, attended membership meeting.
Minneapolis	521,718	1109	0	217	23	Y	Visit to sit in on Org. Com. meeting.
Minnetonka	18,500	115	0	39	39	Y	Visit with Board every other month, special help on programming.
Moorhead	22,000	30					Visit to Board 2 times to help w. general problems
Mound	2,000	75	0	9	5	Y	
New Richland	1,000	25	0	1	0		
New Ulm	10,000	11 (?)				Y	
No. St. Paul	8,500	28	0	3	0	Y	
Olivia	2,014	16	0	2	0		

STATE * MINNESOTA

1. Name of League (indicate if provisional League)	2 Population of Commu- nity	3 No. of Voting members 3/31/59	4 No. of Associate members 3/31/59	5 No. of mem- bers not renewing this year	6 No. these who dropped out after only 1 year	7 Bul- letin	8 No. visits by state to local Leagues. Indicate if visit was with Board or members and for what purpose.
Owatonna	10,000	44	1	4	4	Y	
Park Rapids	3,027	19					
Red Wing	10,645	52	0	13	0	Y	Membership meetings, to help with orientation
Richfield	35,000	123	0	37	6	Y	Board visit, routine
Robbinsdale	13,000	45	0	14	14	Y	Visit with board every other month. Routine visit to new League
Rochester	35,000	182	1	69	18	Y	
Roseville	20,000	162	0	62	13	Y	
St. Anthony	5,000	56	0	10	10		Visit with Board every other month. Special help with ICA, Budget, Finance.
St. Cloud	30,000	58	0	6	2	Y	
St. Louis Pk.	41,000	179	0	62	23	Y	
St. Paul	311,349	527	0	127	38	Y	1 visit to Board, routine 1 visit to Board, help with finance.
South St. Paul	19,200	59	0	9	2	Y	Visit with Board, routine
Virginia	14,000	40	0	5	2		Visit with Board, routine
Waseca	5,000	29	0	5	3		1. Speaker at gen'l meeting 2. Finance Chairman to perk enthusiasm
Wayzata	2,786	74				Y	
Wells	2,475	30	1	0		Y	

STATE - MINNESOTA

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Name of League (indicate if provisional League	Population of Commu- ity	No. of Voting members 3/31/59	No. of Associate members 3/31/59	No. of mem- bers not renewing this year	No. these who dropped out after only 1 year	Bul- letin	No. visits by state to local Leagues. In- dicate if visit was with Board or members and for what purpose.
West St. Paul	11,000	50	0	15	6	Y	Two visits to Bd. to help with state program
White Bear Lk	13,000	113	0	29	9	Y	
Worthington	8,200	50					

TOTALS

5,303 11 1,148 372 40

COUNTY COUNCILS

Anoka County

Anoka
Circle Pines
Columbia Heights

Hennepin County

Bloomington
Deephaven
Edina
Excelsior
Golden Valley
Hopkins
Minneapolis
Mound
Richfield
St. Louis Park
Wayzata
Crystal
Robbinsdale
Minnetonka
Brooklyn Center
St. Anthony

} new
Leagues

Ramsey County

Arden Hills
Falcon Heights
Roseville
North St. Paul
St. Paul
White Bear Lake

St. Louis County

Duluth
Hibbing
Virginia

Washington County

Birchwood
Afton-Lakeland
Mahtomedi

MINNESOTA
STATE

No. of State Board members 16
 Officers 5
 Elected Directors 6
 Appointed Directors 5

Portfolios filled (check those having non-Board members on Committees)

Publications <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Water <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Bulletin <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Public Relations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Legislative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Finance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Local Current Agenda <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	State Item <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Foreign Policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	State CR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Voters Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No. of State Board meetings held this year 11

Approximate dates 1st Thursday of month except July

What training did you provide for state Board members? all day training, Mrs. Horner

How do you relay the decisions made at a state Board meeting to the local Leagues?
 By President's post-Board letter? yes Other methods? _____

State office address, if any: _____

Name and job designation of employees
 (indicate full or part-time)

15th & Washington Ave. S.E.

Organization Secretary - full time

Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Office Secretary - full time

Place and date of your 1959 Council or 1959 Convention St. Paul Hotel Lowry, May 20-21

Title of State Bulletin and no. of issues yearly 6 issues, Minnesota Voter

List of state League publications issued this year CAPITOL LETTER, report from legislature, 10 issues for \$1.00, have 1095 subscriptions.

Leg. Kit of 6 pos. 100- 1500 sheets Maps w/ Leg. Distr. 5¢	Home Rule Amendment, 2 pgs., 4¢	Constitutional Amendments flyer, 65,000, 50¢, a 100	Summer Reading List on FP, 1pg, 2¢	Ways of Voting in the Past, 1 pg., 2¢	Constitutional Convention folder, 10,000, 2 for 5¢	Reapportionment folders, 10,000, 2 for 5¢	Party Designation folders, 10,000, 2 for 5¢	Have your provisional Leagues had the services of a state Board or organization committee advisor at each of their Board meetings during provisional status? <u>yes</u>	At any of their unit or membership meetings? <u>membership meetings</u>

List any provisional Leagues which have been provisional for longer than 18 months:
none

What are the reasons for delay in qualifying for recognition? _____

Do you plan to organize any new Leagues during the coming year? _____

Where? We have 4 pre-provisionals now - In Ramsey County, Maplewood, Moundsview, Shoreview

In Lake County, Silver Bay

What are the major recurring problems in your local Leagues? Problems in

Membership - 1) acquiring new members, 2) member participation, 3) how to keep members,
4) lack of enthusiasm of members, 5) attendance at general or open meetings.

Have you developed any new or particularly effective method of helping local Leagues? Describe? 1) State Board members have been assigned to new Leagues this past
year. New Leagues visited every other month. 2) Conferences with LLPresident and couple
other board members in state office with St.Orgl.Chairman to help League in trouble.

If your state was included in the September 1958 Water Resources Conference in Cleveland, did you find it helpful? If your state was included in the September 1958 Denver Conference, did you find it helpful? How could the conference you attended have been more helpful? Cleveland Water Conference was excellent, very helpful to
State Water Chairman. Format of meeting with only 1 speaker and the 1 tape recording was just
enough inspiration to get the discussion started. I think states which were omitted from
this conference missed out on much potential inspiration which they would have gotten from
hearing each of the other Leagues discuss their problems. I doubt if Minnesota would have
plunged in deeply with 3 river basin studies if it had not been for the info gained at Cleve.
Discovering that all the Leagues in all states were floundering at about the same level
gave us the confidence we needed. Exchange of ideas and brainstorming can't be improved upon.

Area Conferences for local Leagues in your state

On what subjects	No. of Leagues participating
2 Small League Conferences	7
Watershed Congress, in coop. with other organizations -	20
Legislative Day, Workshop	30
Public Relations Workshop	17
Community Planning Conference	25
Budget Building Day (for state budget)	7

In the light of your evaluation of your local Leagues, what national field services in 1959-60 would best help you carry out your responsibilities? (Indicate dates preferred)

Legislative Day	33
Legislative Observers Program	19
(62 members registered, 30 to 80 attended 7 sessions)	
Amendment # 1 Workshop, 57 people attended	18

MINNESOTA

STATE _____

PUBLIC RELATIONS

How many local Leagues - (of 49 of the 56 Leagues reporting)

Have a regular LWV radio program 2 Occasional _____
 Provide spot announcements for radio 13
 Have a regular TV program _____ Occasional 3
 Provide spot announcements for TV _____
 Have a regular newspaper column 1 Regular coverage _____
 Have a Speakers Bureau 14, 3 only occasionally

How many local Leagues use, and in what way, the following material put out by the national office:

Tapes <u>8</u>	Which ones? <u>Foreign Policy</u>	How? <u>radio programs, unit mtgs.</u>
Recordings <u>7</u>	Which ones? <u>Mr. Watkins speech</u>	How? <u>train finance workers</u>
Film strips <u>15</u>	Which ones? <u>Majority used Vote is Key & Kill Only the Ivy &</u>	How? _____
Film _____	Which ones? <u>Mrs. Lee on Trade</u>	How? _____

If any of your Leagues have done an outstanding job on any part of League program (apart from Voters Service) which has built up good community and public relations, please describe here, or on attached sheet: _____

Some excellent PR work done by Leagues but in connection with Voters Service.

See report sent earlier in year of Minnetonka Area Leagues cooperative VS meeting, Candidate
The first part of a river basin survey, involving 5 counties, is just out and too soon to tel

Is the League program well received throughout the State? _____

If press and/or public relations are unfavorable, what are the reasons or difficulties?

Promotion of Publications:

How many Leagues maintain regular League shelves or displays in Public Libraries? 27 yes
 How many Leagues maintain budget items for promotion of publications with other 16 no
 organizations? 10 yes*-38 no How many Leagues send national, state and local publi- 7 no li-
 cations to newspapers, radio and TV commentators? 31 yes, 17 no (brary

*several LL say they give pubs to public, but don't have it as item in budget.

STATE PROGRAM

Please describe briefly state and local League activity on state Current Agenda items and state Continuing Responsibilities. Include mention of publications, state-planned workshops and conferences, legislative activity, community activity and state council action. In reporting legislative activity please include the kind of action taken, a brief description of the bills, whether the legislative goal was accomplished and an evaluation of the role of League in the result. If a vote by the electorate was involved (such as an amendment) please describe League activity in connection with it, a brief description of the amendment, the result of the voting, and future steps necessary (such as action by legislature) to accomplish the goal.

State Program: adopted May, 1957 for two years.

Current Agenda: 1) Constitutional Convention, Reapportionment by amendment, Periodic submission of convention question to voters.
2) Election laws.

Continuing Responsibilities: 1) Constitutional revision (5 items), Reapportionment by statute, FEPC, Party Designation for legislators.

State Board Activity

A. PUBLICATIONS

1. Popular flyer on "Minnesota needs Legislative Reapportionment", to match 1 on Party Designation.
2. Popular flyer on "Why Minnesota needs constitutional convention"
3. Three fact sheets for LNV members to accompany the three flyers.
4. All above included in the "Lobby by Letter" Kit, also containing the state program, "90 Days of Lawmaking", and the state Constitution.
5. "Constitutional Amendments in Brief"; Voters' service flyer on the 3 amendments voted on Nov., 1958 (#1 and 2 were League supported, and passed, other failed!)
6. Minnesota Voter: July-Aug. issue, emphasized home-front lobbying, and legislative committee plans; Jan-Feb. issue had status of Constitutional revision, election laws, and legislative program.
7. Capitol Letter - This session we instituted a Capitol Letter, published every 2 weeks and costing \$1 for 10 issues. We have 1195 subscriptions and feel that the membership is better informed on League activities in the Capitol thanks to this publication.

B. CONFERENCES AND WORKSHOPS

1. May, 1958 : Workshop on Amendments, especially Home Rule Amendment # 1, which was under attack at the time by a suburban editor's group. Gave LNV history in the field, questions answered by a state senator and the attorney for the League of Minnesota Municipalities (LMM), suggestions for action in the metropolitan and suburban areas. 18 Leagues attended, 57 people.
2. Legislative Workshop: We have had a Legislative Workshop, held in October, 1958/ This time we invited legislators who opposed the League position to give us their reasons for opposition. This proved very stimulating for the League representatives who attended, and the questions were lively. We emphasized the role of the local League in lobbying, reviewed past League activity and anticipated our coming action in this session.
3. Legislative Day. In February we provided a Legislative Day for local League representatives and this gave out-state representatives an opportunity to testify before the committee hearing our reapportionment bills in the House. They also heard newspapermen discuss this legislative session, and our bills, and what sort of data the press wanted for editorials and stories. ~~We also mailed to all~~
4. Local Legislative Observers Course. This program was open to all Leagues but has been most helpful to those Leagues within comfortable driving distance of the Capitol. It amounts to a short course in government and from it we hope to draw those who will be most active in the legislative program next session. Sixty-two women from 19 Leagues signed up, and 20 have attended 3 or more sessions, of the 5 so far held.

STATE PROGRAM, continued

They meet every other week, attend committee meetings and legislative sessions as observers, and listen to speakers who are prominent in the state legislative or administrative set-up. We hope to use some of them as Interim Commission observers when the session is over, and a few as lobbyists in 1961, perhaps. League tours have been arranged for their members by 20 or more Leagues.

C. COMMUNITY ACTIVITY

1. State Board Legislative chairman continued working with Republicans and Democrats on Bipartisan Committee for reapportionment, constitutional convention, and party designation for legislators -- the big three. Difficulties in arriving at agreements for action were encountered.
2. State Board Constitutional Revision and CR's chairman continued work with the Information Committee on Amendment 1, 2, 3. See Report on Amendments.
3. Informing the public: We are releasing news material through the Minnesota Editorial Association (composed of 500 newspapers throughout the state). Although results were disappointing at first, between 50 or 60 newspapers have used at least one release.
4. Mentions in the Press: We have had excellent press from the Minneapolis papers which have wide state circulation
5. Several TV interviews on Educational station, on LWV state program, directly or indirectly due to LWV arranging.

D. LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITY

Lobbying - Our emphasis has been on "at-home" lobbying by local Leagues. We have seven expert lobbyists in the Capitol this year testifying in behalf of League legislation. These people let us know when we need constituent pressure on whom, and we in turn notify the local Leagues involved so that they can write letters, talk with their legislators over the weekend, or send wires if necessary. The seven lobbyists are also responsible for contacting legislators from areas where we have no League so that they understand the whys and wherefores of the League position.

Calls for Action - We have sent out six calls for action, but with two exceptions, they have been tailored to the particular needs of the bill so that pressure could be applied to a few specific legislators directly involved with the progress of the bill in committee. Through the Capitol Letter we are informing the membership of the progress of our measures and what they can do to help.

Informing the membership: This session we instituted a Capitol Letter, published every two weeks and costing \$1 for 10 issues (also mentioned page before this). We have 1195 subscriptions and feel that the membership is better informed on the League activities in the Capitol, thanks to this publication.

Informing the legislators: In October 1957 the 1957 Legislative Report and a form letter was sent to legislators telling them of the newly elected State Board members. In January, 1958, legislators received a publication entitled "Will an Area Amendment Settle Reapportionment in Minnesota?". In July 1958, Candidates Questionnaires were sent out. Local Leagues were responsible for interviewing and collecting the questionnaires. In October 1958 three publications on our state program were mailed to all successful primary candidates for the state legislature in areas where we had no Leagues. Local Leagues were urged to do the same for their elected Representatives and Senators. In November 1958, letters of congratulations were mailed to all newly elected legislators, and state publications were included in their mailing.

Brief Discussion of Bills: Election Laws: Legislation embodying the recommendations of the Interim Commission has been introduced in both houses. The intent of the Commission was to clarify the language pertaining to present election laws, and remove obsolete and contradictory material. Passed the Senate.

Constitutional Convention: This bill died 45 minutes after it was introduced in the Senate Judiciary Committee. The Minnesota League has tried for 12 years to put before the voters the question of whether there should be a convention to

STATE PROGRAM, continued

revise our 100-year-old constitution.

Reapportionment: The League is supporting both an amendment and a statute to reapportion. The fate of these bills is not known as yet since the session is still in progress.

Party Designation: The League supports the need for party designation for legislators. This bill was debated twice on the floor of the House. During the second debate, crippling amendments were added and it was referred to the House Judiciary Committee. The League is still hopeful that it will pass the lower house this session.

Kind of Legislature? Very slow moving so far. Perhaps the lack of party designation makes this legislature unresponsive, perhaps it is public apathy, but at any rate the press, political scientists, even legislators, have also commented that this legislature seems to be slow-moving, even irresponsible. With only 25 days remaining in the 1959 session, not a single piece of major legislation has passed both houses, and the bulk of it is not even out of committee.

What has been accomplished?

We have testified and been present at all committee meetings where our bills were being heard (in spite of the fact that the Senate Committees are meeting at 3 AM).

We have had excellent press from the Minneapolis papers which have wide state distribution.

We have been working with a Bipartisan Committee composed of Democrats and Republicans (the party platform of both parties agree in general on the need for party designation reapportionment, and the need for constitutional revision). Although representatives from both parties have testified in behalf of party designation and reapportionment, there are basic differences between these camps and we have been most disappointed with the results.

We feel that our local Leagues are doing an excellent job and feel directly involved with the legislative program.

Our program has not made much progress in spite of this. At the moment it looks as though the Election Laws bill stands the best chance. Crippling amendments to our reapportionment bills will probably make it impossible for us to support these measures in the end. Party Designation and Constitutional Convention will never make it.

On the bright side, we hope we have a better informed and more actively involved membership and perhaps next time we will have a more responsive legislature.

LOCAL PROGRAM

Did your state assist local Leagues in how to choose and carry out a good local Current Agenda? If so, how did the state Board do this?

Assisted local Leagues in the same manner as last year, by answering questions, by phone, and letter, by giving advice when needed (if we pick up evidence in LL minutes etc.) In addition, held a Community Planning Workshop. The predominance of planning items indicated a need for this type of meeting. This was designed to give background information to Leagues beginning a planning item. (See enclosure).

Was it a successful method? Yes, quite.

If not, do you have ideas for improvement? Perhaps, after an interval of three years it would be wise to have a workshop on careful selection of IEA.

Describe any outstanding local League activity on a local Program item.

Afton Lakeland -- was instrumental in passing a twice-defeated school bond issue.

Brooklyn Center -- published an excellent local survey, Brooklyn Center Primer, delightful illustrations

Bloomington -- Published and distributed excellent School Report includes comparative tables on school tax etc., population trends.

Ramsey County Council -- will hold open discussion on topic "Do We Need a County Board of Health?" using outstanding panel of experts from this area.

Golden Valley -- supported and was largely instrumental in passing park bond issue.

Minneapolis -- published at intervals informative local government pamphlet, "Once Over Lightly". Most comprehensive report from their many visitors to city hall and other groups. Excellent radio programs on local affairs.

NATIONAL PROGRAM: "Water Resources: Support of those national water policies and practices which promote coordinated administration, equitable financing and regional or river basin planning."

STATE SERVICES:

Please describe briefly the services that your state resource chairman is providing local Leagues (e.g., workshops, newsletters, clearing-house services, help in obtaining resource materials, speakers etc.)

Minnesota held 1 water Workshop in Feb. 19 58, with 130 attendance, 6 excellent speakers from state and federal agencies. This was followed by the Minnesota League's participation in the state's first Watershed Congress, in Sept. 1958, which meant working with all the agencies in the state for a period of about 6 months previous to the Congress. Attendance at Congress was over 200 including League, and dozens of other agencies and organizations. Newsletter was tried and found effective but time did ^{not} allow it to continue. State chairman assisted in finding speakers and assembled resource material packets for many of the Leagues. Clearing house services are through our monthly President's Letter.

LOCAL LEAGUE COVERAGE:

Please indicate approximate proportion of your local Leagues which have covered the item
1) thoroughly 50%, 2) adequately 35%, 3) little work or no work 15%.

Please make a general evaluation of the kind and extent of local League coverage in your state (noting use that Leagues have made of Know Your River Basin, Discussion Outline, Voter articles, etc.)

Know Your River Basin booklet found to be excellent and we encouraged all Leagues to concentrate on it rather than on Discussion Outline. Three Leagues in Red River Basin worked with North Dakota on Know Your River Basin and are ready to edit final material. Duluth League and the other 2 in the Great Lakes basin have prepared an excellent study of Duluth and St. Louis River. Part 2 of this study is being finished as of now (March 1959). The Upper Mississippi Basin in Minnesota under chairmanship of Mrs. Balcom of Minneapolis has prepared one 43 page study of the Metropolitan Twin Cities area following KYRB involving 30 Leagues and beginning in April. The 46 Leagues in the Upper Mississippi basin (in Minnesota) will begin editing of river basin and watershed studies of all creeks, streams and rivers in the Upper Mississippi area. This study when finished will be divided into 3 areas, the Minnesota river, the sources of the Mississippi and the Mississippi between St. Paul and the southern border of Minnesota, and it will follow the Know Your River Basin outlines. Booklet will be ready for fall study of national water item. Some Leagues would like to supplement this information with a Colorado or California study so that they can compare problems of different areas such as irrigation and water supply, problems which barely exist in our state. Voter articles are always good and we encourage all members to read them preliminary to unit discussions. An extensive bibliography was sent to all Leagues, much free water literature was available at all times, given away at workshops and also sent out in packets on request from the state office. Coverage of water item was extensive with all water chairman (Nearly all Leagues had separate water chairmen) but enthusiasm and information did not always trickle down to hard shell members who dislike water item.

INTER-LEAGUE COOPERATION:

Describe any significant inter-League cooperation in record to a river basin (across state lines or among Leagues within your state). This was mentioned in previous paragraph but I will repeat it here. 3 Leagues in northwestern Minnesota (Fergus Falls, Moorhead, Battle Lake) worked closely with Mrs. Moore, Fargo, North Dakota. They have planned their fine work as a joint state study of the Red River Basin. The Duluth area (Great Lakes Basin) worked with Hibbing and Virginia in North East Minnesota, but Duluth League with expert water chairman and committee did main study. These Leagues did not attempt to join forces with other Great Lakes states except to exchange material with them. The Upper Miss. has been a tremendous

over

cooperative project with several committee meetings and 2 studies - the Metropolitan Know Your River Basin involving 30 Leagues, and the entire Upper Mississippi involving 46 Leagues. Each of these was assigned a river basin or a watershed or creek area to survey. Work was coordinated through President's Letter and through much correspondence and phoning of Upper Mississippi Basin Chairman, Mrs. Balcom, and many meetings of groups working together on certain areas and problems.

EMERGING CONSENSUS Please describe any areas of agreement apparent in those Leagues which have reached the consensus stage (including where appropriate, agreement or disagreement on the general proposals for change listed below, as well as on specifics such as a Board of Review, expanded Bureau of the Budget, creation of a Department of National Resources, etc.)

1. Reorganization of federal water agencies. "doubtful it could be done."
2. Greater coordination among congressional committees. "coordination could be improved."
3. Elimination of inconsistencies and conflicts in basic policies of federal water agencies. "We believe new federal water policies are seeking to eliminate conflicts."
4. Creation of machinery within river basins to provide systematic and effective cooperation among federal-state-local and private interests. "To be desired, yes."
5. Development of more equitable and uniform methods of financing water projects. "yes".
6. Assumption, as far as possible, by state and local governments, of their share of the costs. "Yes. States need to take greater part in forming water programs."

A preliminary "emerging consensus" questionnaire has been sent out to water chairmen but results will not be known until after April 15, 1959. Our delegates to the National Council will have this information.

1. Reorganization of federal water agencies -- highly improbable or possible. Here is a quote from Senator Humphrey's speech at the Watershed Congress which expresses that phase. "That while it appeared that there was a large number of duplicating offices involved in this field of water resources, and water resources policy, that it seemed rather far fetched to hope for or even look for one central type of single unified agency that could do it all....in some areas of the U.S. water resources policy deals with an over abundance. In other areas it deals with a grave and serious deficit. Also there is such a thing in this country as public opinion and the knowledge that the people have in these particular projects as it relates to their areas. The watershed program is... the single greatest over-all conservation program that we have....it incorporates within it an intimate knowledge of not only the soil, the topography, the drainage, the water, the tributaries, rivers and creeks that make possible the flow of water, but it also brings together the people of the area to make them work together....for a central purpose... And these watershed programs have a way of cutting through many of the old established practices, bringing together these great state, federal and local agencies into a unified system of cooperation..."

Do you think most of your Leagues will have reached consensus stage in this field by Council? by Nov. 1959? If not, what factors account for lack of sufficient agreement? (Lack of member interest? Complexity and difficulty of subject? Irreconcilable differences of opinion? Other?

Our Minnesota Leagues will not reach consensus until fall or Nov. 1959 after the Leagues have had a chance to thoroughly study the Know Your River Basin surveys. I think our Leagues will be able to reach consensus on water policies which affect our midwest area. For instance, the only real conflict between policy that we have ferreted out in our surveys is the federal drainage program of the U.S. Department of Agriculture versus the Department of Interior Fish & Wildlife and the state "Save the Wetlands" program. In other matters there seems to be a minimum of lack of coordination, etc.

Other comments which you think might be helpful to national Board in planning for second year of water item:

More information on how Leagues within watersheds or river basins can work together taking action, etc. We would like a clear cut outline of some kind.

Our Leagues would also like to study at least one other river basin with different problems from ours before coming to consensus on the national water item. Perhaps if the national Board could just make up a list & prices of studies (KYR Basin) available throughout the U.S., be

EXTRA - sample of maximum work being done by one League Water Chairman
Minneapolis League, Mrs. Walden Balcom

WORKSHOPS, and other meetings attended since annual meeting, June 4, 1958 to March 1, 1959
by Winona Balcom, Water Resources Chairman, Minneapolis League.

- June 10 - Workshop, Water Resources for Industry, all day and evening. Made report for
Minneapolis Board, June 11.
- June 25 - Meeting with State Water Resources Chairman and Minneapolis Chairman -
- August - Meeting with Minneapolis Chairman and Minneapolis Committee on Water Resources.
for planning.
- Sept. 2 - Two day Water Resources Meeting - First Watershed Congress; helped with telephone
calls to secure a larger committee, and urged attendance at the above meeting.
Meeting with Elizabeth Porcher for workshop plans.
- Oct. 7-30 - Metropolitan Area Committee Meetings, to hear report of State Water Resources
Chairman, to mesh workshop plans, to coordinate assignments on survey. Meeting
with Minneapolis Committee as to how to proceed with assignment. Meetings with Elizabeth
Porcher regarding preparation of a greatly expanded bibliography; plans to be
formulated and communicated regarding survey. Starting with this month, and for
an 8 week period following, I worked no less than a 40 hour week on this;
EVERY WEEK, telephone calls and letters. Announcement at Chairman's Meeting
of workshop, Nov. 13th.
- Nov. 13 - Workshop 9:15 - 5 P.M. Consultation with South St. Paul followed; had an
opportunity for brief communication with various Metropolitan Leagues.
Evening Meeting, dinner and 3 hours, Twin Cities Metropolitan Planning
Commission. Attendance at two Sundays Museum of Natural History on topics relating
to Water Resources. Meeting with Mr. Nelson, Conservation Volunteer Editor.
- December - Meetings with Bloomington and West St. Paul Leagues, in connection with their
part of survey. Assignments to be completed and published in State President's
Letter. Went to Museum of Natural History two Sundays. Hours of telephoning.
Minneapolis Committee Meeting. Meeting with Director Loecks, Metropolitan
Planning Commission. Vacation, 3 weeks.
- January - Continued correspondence; Museum of Natural History, 3 Sundays. Meeting with
Golden Valley and Edina Leagues, as to how to proceed with assignments. Two
meetings with Elizabeth Porcher as to division of material and how it was to
be handled for Metropolitan Survey. Meeting with North St. Paul and White
Bear Lake Leagues as to how to proceed with survey. Picked up Metropolitan
Reports,, two trips necessary. Workshop, School of Agriculture, Farm Campus.
Helped publicize this meeting.
- February - Meeting with Richfield Chairman, constant communication with Mrs. Porcher, 1
meeting to work out presentation plans, 1 meeting with Organization Committee.
Another 3 week period when I was editing the surveys, that I put in at least
a 40 hour week each week, in close communication with the office.
- FOOTNOTE - I have just finished a close scrutiny of the Metropolitan Area Survey, and I
find minor flaws, but on the whole, it is a piece of work of which we may be
proud.

STATE _____

NATIONAL PROGRAM: "Foreign Policy: Evaluation of U.S. foreign policy with continued support of the United Nations system, world trade and economic development, and collective security."

STATE SERVICES

Please describe briefly the services which your resource chairman is providing local Leagues (e.g., state workshops, newsletters, "clearing house" services, help in obtaining resource material or speakers, etc.).

Attempt to motivate local Leagues to use Item I publications and to do community action.

Attempt to coordinate work on state and serve as "clearing house".

LOCAL LEAGUE COVERAGE

Please describe the coverage which your local Leagues have given to the foreign policy item (kind and extent--e.g., member and community evaluation of U.S. foreign policy; U.N. Day activities; legislative action in support of League positions, etc.)

Primarily work in units, very little attempt to take program to community beyond UN celebrat

They will probably respond to Calls for Support of League positions. Hopefully, their study this year will lead to greater activity next year.

EMERGING CONSENSUS

Are there indications of any agreement on modification (including expansion) of the League's "support" positions in the foreign policy field? If so, which ones? _____
No.

Are there indications of emerging agreement on new areas of foreign policy?
If so, which ones? _____

Cultural understanding.

Do you think most of your local Leagues will be ready by Council to move on to a new aspect of our foreign policy study? If not, when? If so, which aspect? _____

They are anxious to move on, but many local Leagues have been superficial in their work this year.

NATIONAL MATERIALS - How useful were the following?

Memoranda to State Resource Chairmen: Very helpful.

Basic foreign policy pamphlet: Excellent, well received, much used.

Leader's Guide: Unfortunately, not put to enough use by local Leagues.

POCKET REFERENCE ON THE U.N.: Always a top item with the local Leagues.

Other U.N. Day material: Not extensively ordered by our Leagues.

Material on foreign policy "support" positions: I do not know.

VOTER articles (specify which were the most useful): Articles on trade.

List specific suggestions for future materials or other help needed in this field:
A graphic presentation of the support positions, would be very helpful to our newer League members.

NATIONAL PROGRAM: Continuing Responsibilities 1-5:

1. Modification of federal loyalty-security programs to limit scope, standardize procedures, apply "common sense" judgment, and provide the greatest possible protection for the individual.
2. Self-government for the District of Columbia; extension of national suffrage to citizens of the District.
3. Measures granting the President authority to veto items in appropriation bills.
4. Opposition to constitutional limitation on tax rates.
5. Opposition to constitutional changes that would limit the existing powers of the Executive and the Congress over foreign relations.

STATE SERVICES

Please describe briefly how each of the CR's was handled by the state Board.

- 1) Responded to Calls for Action on S 1-1411.
- 2) Watched for bill in state legislature memorializing Congress re #4.
- 3) Discussed National Continuing Responsibilities with Congressional Delegates in interviews.

What services were provided local Leagues in each?

- 1) Suggested speakers and materials to use especially for newer Leagues to help get them up to date.

LOCAL LEAGUE COVERAGE

Please describe local League coverage of each of the CR's. Please evaluate this coverage in terms of local League preparedness and interest in taking action.

Majority of local Leagues have done nothing at all. Some have reviewed briefly. A few have meetings scheduled this spring, using new pamphlet.

Because it was so recently on CA members are prepared for Calls to Action on #1.

On the others, the member is probably not very well prepared for action altho the leadership in the local Leagues could and would respond, I believe.

NATIONAL MATERIALS

Which national materials did you find most useful in working on the CR's? Would you like to see more materials on the CR's? If so, what?

New material on Continuing Responsibilities is being very well received and if it is used extensively should improve readiness and interest in taking action a great deal.

VOTERS SERVICE: STATE

Please describe your services to the voter at the state level which were the most successful and why?

Broadsides giving information on Constitutional Amendments were well received, also the Voters Guide which we produced in cooperation with the Minneapolis Tribune because it presented information clearly, attractively, and reached many more people than our usual

Please describe the most difficult problem encountered in Voters Service work at the state level. "Candidates Speak".

Reaching a good working relationship with the Voters Service chairmen and getting information to them. V.S. chairmen are many times only active before an election and are unaware until too late what good national and state helps there are. The information gets to the Leagues but does not sift down to the chairmen.

VOTERS SERVICE: LOCAL

Please describe unusual or outstanding local League services to the voter. Indicate why you think they were successful.

We are all impressed by the excellent Cooperative Candidates meeting which was staged by a group of the suburban Minneapolis Leagues. Information on this has been sent to national.

Also, there seemed to be a general willingness to help from the communities. Many good examples of newspaper, radio, and TV interest and encouragement.

What are the main problems encountered by local Leagues in carrying out Voters Service activities?

The usual voter apathy, lack of woman power, too much area to cover, too many kinds of voter services to plan in a short time. Leagues that plan their V.S. well ahead of time seem to have fewer problems. Also, communities vary greatly in political interest.

Did local Leagues in your state find the national Voters Service materials useful? Please indicate which the Leagues found were the most effective and why. Which were not? Why? Which materials were the most helpful to state Chairman and why? What suggestions do your local Leagues have for additional national Voters Service material? What suggestions does the state Board have?

National Swap Shop is well received. Leagues that do radio and TV work find the National

Tip Sheets help greatly. Several Leagues would like either state or national to do more

snappy slogans for use in local newspapers and radio. Also more things like the "Busy

Voter" records. Also would like professionally made "Get Out Vote" signs. All agree

that national material is excellent in content. The problem is to get it into the VS

Chairman's hands. This I believe is a lack of job orientation in the local Leagues. We are

looking forward to more Swap Shop ideas developing from the fall elections. The "I don't vote,

I only Squawk" slogan has become a permanent part of the Minnesota scene, it is used so often.

MINNESOTA

STATE

STATE LEAGUE

Income

Local Leagues Support
 Contributions direct to state
 All other receipts (including
 Members-at-large dues)
 TOTAL INCOME

1957-58	1958-59
19,577	20,785
\$ 430	\$ 362
936	1,500
20,943	22,647
\$	\$

Expenditures

General Administration
 Direct Services to local Leagues
 Salaries
 Provision for national services
 All other disbursements
 TOTAL EXPENDITURE

1957-58	1958-59
3,626	4,335
\$ 2,454	\$ 2,000
4,420	4,730
7,500	8,500
2,458	2,525
20,528	22,090
\$	\$

* * * * *

CASH STATEMENT

Balance on hand at beginning of year April 1, 1958	\$ 2,732
Balance on hand at close of year March 31, 1959	\$ 3,887

LOCAL AND PROVISIONAL LEAGUES

Income

Dues
 Member Contributions
 Non-Members' Contributions
 All other receipts
 TOTAL INCOME

1957-58 <i>57 leagues reported</i>	1958-59 <i>50 leagues reported</i>
13,247.75	19,372.00
\$ 5,084.86	\$ 6,517.36
18,683.44	25,579.82
4,718.91	1,246.51
41,734.96	52,715.69
\$	\$

Expenditures

Local work
 State and national services
 TOTAL EXPENSES

1957-58	1958-59
13,758.67	39,658.67
\$ 19,577.00	\$ 20,920.00
33,335.67	60,578.67
\$	\$

* * * * *

CASH STATEMENT

Total of local Leagues	12,991.94
Balances on hand at beginning of year April 1, 1958	\$ 17,265.32
Balances on hand at close of year March 31, 1959	\$

Note: We are aware that the state Board must receive local League figures by March 15. We suggest that you reassure your local Leagues that their figures may be based on their best estimates.

Minnesota

local leagues	Copy Goal	act # of persons who contributed	Mem	N Mem	workers	
Afton-Lakeland	200	3	17	11	Better effort; one unit still resists drive	
Alexandria	470		48	8	Too few solicitors; too few contributors	
Anoka	300	1	52	15	Successful, too many under \$5 contributions	
Arden Hills	220	60	19	20	67 prospects refused	
Austin	500	76	26	1	Drive by letter only	
Battle Lake			5		No drive	
Bemidji	240		20		Budgeted \$210 member contribution Membership resists drive; serious condition	
Birchwood	100	3	24	9	Member resistance to drive	
Bloomington	700	11	117	65	Successful one-day drive	
Brainerd	315	3	71	15	Successful, increase over last year	
Brooklyn Ctr	200	10	45	12	New League, well received	
Buffalo	35	10	25		Project only — gift sale	
Cass Lake	75	7	21	4	Good effort, very small community	
Circle Pines	60		5		Dinner for community leaders instead of drive	
Columbia Hts.	125		12	2	Increase over last year, not enough solicitors	
Crystal	300	20	82	37	Successful, exceeded goal	
Deephaven	310	15	69	20	Shows good effort	
Duluth	1500	70	158	45	Successful, real improvement shown	
Edina	900	40	110	36	Successful, exceeded goal	
6550 329 953 300						
6550 329 953 300						

Excelsior	215	3	24	10	Contacted only half their prospects
Falcon Hts	98	7	18	16	Successful, exceeded goal
Fergus Falls	145	3	19	12	Successful, exceeded goal, great improvement
Golden Valley	520	33	88	35	Drive successful
Granite Falls	125	1	75	30	Drive & dinner combined, successful
Hibbing	715		106	24	Outstanding success
Hopkins			20		Drive every other year -letter only
Jackson	45	7	8	3	Members resist drive
Mahtomedi	415	32	81	18	Successful drive
McLeod-Hutch	95		5		President resists drive
Minneapolis	11850	288	427	125	Good effort, project to supplement
Mtka Village	400	10	76	15	Successful, exceeded goal
Moorhead	295	2	48	9	Some prospects not contacted
Mound	280	18	46	15	Successful but budgeted below last year
New Richland					No drive, very small community
New Ulm	170		5		Campaign not completed
No. St. Paul	352		78	12	\$60 increase over last year
Olivia	100		5		Resist drive but did raise money for sending high school students to visit legislature
Owatonna	225	30	54	30	Very successful one-day drive

~~22320XX720XX1908XX654X~~
22,595 763 2101 654

MINNESOTA

Park Rapids	20		5		Project only
Red Wing	500	20	78	20	Very successful because this was in addition to over \$700 contributed for KYT booklet
Richfield	650	5	85	40	Well organized, not enuf actifity in community
Robbinsdale	300		95	22	Successful, exceeded goal
Rochester	1160	27	118	32	Successful, more members working this year
Roseville	950	64	86	95	Good effort, well received
St. Anthony	200	?	45	21	Well received as new League, successful
St. Cloud	765	2	90	14	Drive not completed
St. Louis Pk	1030	5	175	80	Successful one-day drive, follow-up not complete, total budget below last year
St. Paul	4590	310	100	?	Unsuccessful, poorly organized drive
So. St. Paul	450	1	60	27	Good effort
Virginia	275	3	65	5	Too many contributions under \$5
Waseca	75	2	25	3	Not well-organized, contact each prospect every 5 yrs
Wayzata	430	XXX	25	24	Successful
Wells	185	5	5		UN Tea as project
W. St. Paul	350	13	59	22	Successful, but budgeted below last year
Wh. Bear Lake	412	3	75	35	Successful, exceeded goal
Worthington					No drive this year; one every four years

~~34562 1180 3064 1094~~
34,937 1223 3257 1094

MINNESOTA

File

INCOME

EXPENDITURES

Members dues contrib Non-Mem Contrib all other receipts local disburse. ST + Natl Services

Afton-Lakeland	\$ 123	\$ 256.10 *	\$	94.48	\$	253.39	\$	170
Alexandria								250
Anoka	176	1.50	367.50	57.19	323.50	210		
Arden Hills	171	82.24	87.00	80.40	401.54	125		
Austin	527	297.00		521.44	571.72	515		
Battle Lake	42	7.88	4.79	15.00	20.69	55		
Bemidji	169	2.65	25.00	372.15	588.55	250		
Birchwood	104	12.50	50.25	32.90	86.81	75		
Bloomington	526	41.00	704.50	601.02	1183.50	450		
Brainerd	129	15.00	317.00	82.20	188.79	210		
Brooklyn Ctr.	315	14.50	366.00	14.50	376.16	125		
Buffalo	39		25.00	21.45	63.35	40		
Cass Lake	48	21.00	45.00	72.85	104.21	70		
Circle Pines	27		86.16		134.91	55		
Columbia Heights						90		
Crystal	116	79.00	373.00	8.95	162.52	175		
Deephaven	145	62.00	222.50	37.08	246.11	180		
Duluth	1380	425.17	1,594.60	178.75	1,991.96	1275		
Edina	1251	136.50	830.50	690.67	1,708.70	650		
Excelsior	156	22.50	90.50	53.71	326.52	150		
Falcon Hts.	135	12.95	125.35	125.30	237.48	105		
Fergus Falls	96	17.00	152.50	208.57	313.81	125		
G. Valley	176	73.00	418.60	153.64	608.63	300		
	5675	1576.99	5,518.05	3,365.06	9,275.35	5,650		
	5851	1578.49	5,885.55	3,422.25	9,598.85	5,650		

* Member + Non-Member Contr. added by.

MINNESOTA

Granite Falls	72	2.00	157.17		104.61	110
Hibbing	317		726.00	18.24	580.95	450
Hopkins	114	30.00	131.00		194.64	175
Jackson	60	11.00	33.00	136.65	157.85	75 X24
Mahtomedi	150		417.50		266.48	225
McLeod-Hutch.						75
Minneapolis	5440.50	2937.16	7,767.50	2994.93	13,682.09	5,525
Mtka Village	333	54.30	403.50	358.92	740.21	250
Moorhead	115	14.75	222.25	16.75	126.07	95
Mound	228	44.25	250.00	65.53	520.80	180
New Richland	63.75	27.17			24.74	60
New Ulm	33	10.00	150		160.00	55
No.St.Paul	96		322.30	55.95	290.50	100
Olivia	48	10.00	100.00	50.00	150.00	55
Owatonna	135	14.74	342.00	153.20	271.00	175
Park Rapids						55
Red Wing	182	72.65	467.25	27.52	466.97	300
Richfield	360	50.00	421.50	125.00	582.28	500
Robbinsdale	202.50		389.00	76.28	477.00	150
Rochester	732.00	90.00	1241.00	290.89	1328.00	1025
Roseville	810.00	231.05	669.00	268.69	1205.80	625
St. Anthony	275	68.65	302.00	12.30	431.06	125
15,531.75 5244.71 20,030.02 8,015.90 31,036.40 X24 16,035						
	15,617.75	5246.21	20,397.52	8,073.09	31,359.90	16,035

MINNESOTA

St. Cloud	165		600.00	102.85	437.82	200
St. Louis Park	681	15.50	973.00		950.00	575
St. Paul	1819.75	1096.10	1835.00	3,238.76	5,197.39	2,700
So. St. Paul	159	25.00	410.00	33.50	217.87	290
Virginia	120	25.00	197.15	91.10	162.17	155
Waseca	87	2.00	65.00	68.01	93.31	105
Wayzata	150	41.50	330.00	111.60	252.91	200
Wells	90	14.75	88.80		115.39	70
W. St. Paul	150	41.50	330.00	111.60	252.91	200
White Bear Lake	332.50	9.80	353.35	200.60	619.00	290
Worthington						100

19196.80	6515.86	25212.32	1189.32	39335.17	20,920.
19372.00	6517.36	25579.82	11246.51	39658.67	20,920