

News**from ENGLE for UNITED STATES SENATOR**For Release: Thursday P.M.
September 4, 1958

Hendricks

America is paying "a grim and tragic price for the wave of anti-intellectualism that has gripped our country in recent years", Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D., Minn.) declared this noon in an address before a luncheon rally in Monterey, today for Clair Engle, Democratic nominee for U.S. Senator.

"The world is teetering between threatened annihilation, and the greatest era of new discovery and new progress mankind has yet known. All of us share the sobering responsibility of guiding our destiny on its precarious course -- to avert the destructive disaster of war, and to achieve, instead, a far greater fulfillment of man's aspirations than history has yet recorded.

"It is time we realized that the Soviet is waging all-out war on us, without a shot being fired. They are challenging us in every field. We are locked in a struggle which will demand every resource of our intelligence and our spirit if we are to survive.

"We must decide to compete -- and win -- in every area of challenge. We must compete all the way, not with one hand tied behind our backs. We must compete with the greatest mobilization of our resources, our talents, our national will that we have ever known.

"But if we are to compete effectively, there must be a fundamental change in America toward the intellectuals and the scholars in our midst.

"Fear and distrust of thinking people is a disease we simply must stamp out," Senator Humphrey said. "We cannot afford any more the luxury of laughing at 'eggheads', as too often some have done, or of suggesting there is something treasonable about being an intellectual.

"If we are really to mobilize for peace, the place to start is with our brainpower, our experience, our intellectuals.

"Just as we are suddenly facing the necessity of mobilizing our scientists to compete with Russia, so too do we need immediately to mobilize our intellectuals in every field who have a contribution they can make toward meeting Russia's challenge in other fields. And we cannot cast aside valuable resources of brains, experience, skills, and judgment just because of differing political convictions.

"If we are to expect the nation to rally in a bipartisan spirit to meet with determination the challenges confronting us, then bipartisanship must consist of more than occasional consultation when the Administration is in trouble," Senator Humphrey declared.

Rather than the "so-called soft living of the American people" leading to the present crisis in our position in the world, the Minnesota Democrat charges, it has been "the soft thinking of our leadership".

(more)

News**from ENGLE for UNITED STATES SENATOR**

Engle

-2-

"Perhaps the greatest superstition they have today," he said, "is that our military or our scientists can win this great 20th century battle for humanity by sole concentration on missiles and anti-missiles and anti-anti-missile-missiles."

"Our military shield has been shown to have great gaps which must be filled with all possible speed," Senator Humphrey declared. "But the final decision between democracy and communism will depend on what we do behind the shield which the military and scientists provide for us."

"For too long our foreign policy has been based on fear rather than hope; on reaction rather than action," Senator Humphrey said. "It is time that we walked confidently with the full stature and strength of our history and our present capacities as a people."

Senator Humphrey went on to set forth "the essential elements of a revitalized foreign policy for the United States". He called for:

1. Marshalling and expanding our resources of science and engineering: not only the pooling of NATO efforts, but also the "vast untapped source of collective strength here in the two American continents".
2. Re-evaluating the defense budget, and assessing "the single-minded concept of massive retaliation" which has had "terribly dangerous implications in reducing our flexibility to meet unforeseen, nibbling actions" by the Soviet nations.
3. While keeping our guard up, also keeping open "the bridges of possible agreement" with the Soviet on specific issues.
4. Adopting a "long range perspective" on the world. "It will be fatal", Senator Humphrey warned, "if we insist on quick results" in foreign policies.
5. Breaking out of the "holding-operation atmosphere" of our present policy -- joining with "the spirit of independent nationalism that grips the underdeveloped and underprivileged countries."
6. Respecting the neutrality of new-born nations.
7. Making greater use of our economic strength. "We still have the capacity to outdo anything the Soviet Union can possibly manage in aid to uncommitted nations," Senator Humphrey warned, "but at the moment the Soviet effort is increasing while this country's effort is shirking".
8. Stepping up our Point Four program of technical assistance and helping to expand UN technical assistance programs. "At the NATO conference we should be thinking in terms of coordinating technical assistance programs for the underdeveloped areas."
9. More active, constructive and imaginative use of our food and fiber abundance. "Food and fiber are a great potential force for freedom today", Senator Humphrey asserted. "They can be an active instrument of our foreign policy."
10. Continuous exploration of "all possibilities for agreements to control armaments", despite the "difficulties of trying to negotiate such agreements".
11. Setting a good standard at home in two areas that "vitally affect our foreign policy" -- immigration and civil rights.
12. Asserting some real leadership in the United Nations toward seeking effective answers to world tensions, instead of merely "dumping our problems" on the UN as a "last resort".

News

from ENGAGE for UNITED STATES SENATOR

Quote

perhaps the greatest superstition has been told," he said, "is
for humanity to only concentrate on miracles and not miracles and other

and will only be able to have that from which we
be filled with all the things that we can do and do not do, and we

for too long of course, but we have been told in our hearts that
that we are called to do with the full strength and strength of

of our hearts and our strength, and we are called to do
of our hearts and our strength, and we are called to do

of our hearts and our strength, and we are called to do
of our hearts and our strength, and we are called to do

of our hearts and our strength, and we are called to do
of our hearts and our strength, and we are called to do

① Statler 25th Dist
Fred Farr

① Bill Stewart
13th Cong

~~Prof. - 1st~~
① Saul Weingarten
34th Assembly (County
Member) wife

"Capper" Martin
Ch of Engel's Camp
Councilman

✓ Dr Isabelle Abbott
✓ Ch James May
Ted Heslop

① Corrine Eby
Monterey Peninsula
Herald
Earl Hofeldt

Cong League
Voted against
Alaska Statehood
(People's group)

① Mrs Cornelia
(Cook Book fan)

From the Office of
Senator Hubert H. Humphrey
140 Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C.

For Release: Thursday p.m.'s
September 4, 1958

SENATOR HUMPHREY URGES UN ACTION FOR 'CEASE FIRE' IN FORMOSA CRISIS

Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D., Minn.) called upon the President today to seek a "cease fire" in the Formosa straits through the United Nations.

Speaking at a luncheon meeting at Monterey, California, Senator Humphrey urged that the United States use the facilities of the United Nations to explore every opportunity to "keep the peace".

"Communist aggression again threatens world peace," Senator Humphrey declared. "The Sino-Soviet forces are engaged once more in 'Operation Hibble', hoping to terrify peace-loving nations by the threat of world war.

"Communist China, the accused aggressor in Korea, has renewed her attacks on the so-called offshore islands in the straits of Formosa. She has Soviet support and encouragement. She seeks either to provoke the U.S. into a shooting war or to gain her objective of conquest by terror and blackmail by the threat of war. She must not be permitted to accomplish either.

"For the U.S. to spend her resources and manpower in a war with the masses of Red China is to walk into Soviet Russia's trap. The Soviet is more than willing to use Chinese manpower and Russian military equipment against the United States. This is the Russian plan and hope.

"Indeed, we have an obligation by treaty to come to the defense of Formosa -- but, also, we have an obligation under the charter of the United Nations to use the facilities of the U.N. to keep the peace. As in the instance of the Suez crisis, and the recent Middle East crisis, so now in the Far East the threat to the peace should be placed before the U.N. Security Council, and if by Soviet veto the Security Council is rendered ineffective, then the Government of the United States should call for an emergency session of the U.N. General Assembly. We should ask the U.N. to call for an immediate cease fire in the Formosan area.

"U.N. action does not imply either diplomatic recognition by the U.S. of Communist China or admission of Communist China to the U.N. Action by the U.N. is required by the danger of war and threat to the sovereignty of one of its members.

"I urge the President to take immediate and decisive action through the United Nations."

From the Office of
Senator Hubert H. Humphrey
140 Senate Office Building
Washington 25, D. C.
Capitol 4-3121, Ext. 2424

For Release: Friday a.m.
September 5, 1958

"MISSILES OF PEACE" NEEDED TO HEARTS OF MANKIND, SENATOR HUMPHREY SAYS

Neil Schreiner in L.A.

The kind of "guided missiles" most urgently needed in the world today are "missiles of peace, guided to the hearts and minds of mankind," Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D-Minn.) declared tonight in an address before a Democratic Rally in Stockton.

"If we are going to prevent a global Armageddon, if we are going to prevent a Communist-run world, if we are going to succeed in making ours a freer world, a happier world, a better world, a more peaceful world, then we need to be thinking about a different kind of 'guided missiles'," Senator Humphrey said.

"As important as the 'Thors' and 'Atlases' and 'Jupiters' and 'Polariseses' are to our defense, more important are missiles of ^{understanding} ~~love~~ and friendship directed at the hearts and minds of mankind.

"Now, what are these 'missiles of peace'?"

"They are both ancient and modern devices, which have been used in part for 2,000 years--the weapons against mankind's real enemies. They are the 'weapons' used by the Teacher of Galilee and His disciples and His followers to
"clothe the naked, feed the hungry, heal the sick." They are the 'weapons' of great ideas, the weapons of love and devotion and self-sacrifice which can span vast space and time.

"They are 'weapons' which must be aimed, primarily, to the third of the world which is under-developed--but not limited to that area. I mean the
'weapons' against pain and suffering, against ignorance, superstition, idolatry,

and illiteracy, against hunger and malnutrition, against disease and disability."

9 Senator Humphrey outlined a four point "Works of Peace" program embracing "Food for Peace," "Health for Peace," "Loans for Peace," and "Disarmament for Peace,"

"let us fire our peaceful 'weapons' from the only kind of 'launching pads' of our farms and our factories, our schools, our laboratories, our industries, our colleges and universities," he declared.

L "The moon is 230,000 miles away, and we'd like to reach it with a missile-- but I believe we would like even more to reach the hearts of the people in Latin America, in Asia, Africa, the Middle East and in Europe, itself.

"To do it, we must overcome misunderstanding, fear, envy, and want.

"Suffering and privation are vast. The average life expectancy in South Asia is still only 33 years. Infant mortality is 50% and higher in much of the under-developed world. Per capita income is only \$50 per year. To help relieve this enormous need is the challenge we face. We Americans have the wherewithal to fulfill mankind's needs. We have the spirit and desire to help. We are the ones to lead--and to act.

"But to move into such a constructive role of peaceful leadership for a better world will require the voice of the American people making itself felt in the best way it has to make known its desires in a democracy--at the ballot box.

4 "We need new, liberal, aggressive leadership in our country, the kind of leadership our Democratic Party offers. We need a mandate for action--and majorities to get action. We need men of vision, men of courage. We need your Claire

Engle in the United States Senate. We need Pat Brown in your governor's chair, to help mobilize effectively the great economic forces of California behind peace and progress. We need capable Congressmen like John McFall kept in the House of Representatives.

"California's Democratic victory this fall can be a tremendous voice out of the West for our National Government to lift its eyes and raise its sights, and to catch up to the real spirit of the American people," Senator Humphrey declared.

From the Office of
Senator Hubert H. Humphrey
140 Senate Office Building
Washington 25, D.C.

For Release: Saturday A.M.
September 6, 1958

Fresno

GOP USING 'SCARECROWS' TO HIDE FAILURES OF LEADERSHIP: HUMPHREY

America's voters will "call the Eisenhower-Nixon Administration to account" in November for the failure of Republican leadership -- "at home and abroad" Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D., Minn.) told a Democratic Rally in Fresno last night.

"We are not going to let them 'change the subject' and campaign against a false set of manufactured 'scarecrows' of their own making,"

Senator Humphrey declared.

"The Eisenhower-Nixon Administration, in less than six years in office, has brought America to the worst recession since World War II at home, and to mortal danger of war and worldwide ^{diplomatic} defeat abroad,"

Senator Humphrey added.

"It has done this by its failure to give the nation leadership, a failure resulting in a surrender to Old Guard conservative domestic policies and stale, useless foreign policies."

"As a result, our country is now faced with:

- (1) "...more than five million unemployed;
- (2) "...the highest prices in history for consumers;
- (3) "...loss of American influence and prestige everywhere in the world;
- (4) "...the daily danger of war in the Middle East *and Far East*."
 - "...A communist dictatorship that has caught up to us in scientific skill and is speeding ahead at a faster rate of progress in economic and power development;
- (5) "...~~a second best~~ *an inadequate* military establishment, to meet this Red threat;
- (6) "...corruption and influence-seeking in ~~the White House~~ and at other high levels of government;
- (7) "...a farm slump that has driven four million people off the farm in five years; *agric*

Failure of Leadership
Manufactured Scarecrows
Recession & danger of war
Failure of Leadership

(9) Rest in deficit
(10) Weakening of Regulatory agencies

- (X) Increase in Interest Rates, tight credit
- (K) Trouble for Small Business.
- (L) "...race relations made worse instead of better."

"All of these GOP failures are documented. We just need to look at the Record.

"Contrasted with it, Democratic control of the 85th Congress gave America the kind of dynamic leadership denied it by the Eisenhower-Nixon Administration.

"It seized the initiative from the Administration by passing measures to meet the Soviet challenge, restore U.S. prestige in the world, and bolster the domestic economy.

"It also built great legislative landmarks in the field of human welfare -- with new advances in health, social security, and civil rights.

"We Democrats would have passed many additional measures in the public interest but for the opposition of the Eisenhower-Nixon Administration and the Republicans in Congress -- measures such as better farm programs, school construction, broad labor reforms, new atomic power reactors, and vital community public works.

"Our record is so good that the Republican Party, judging by the gyrations of the Senate Republican Policy Committee and the sleight-of-hand of the Republican National Committee, does not want to go to the country -- does not want to face an accounting to the American voters in the next two months -- in a campaign based on the record of performance of the Democratic Party and the failures of the Republican Party.

"Instead of running against the Democratic Party, against the Democratic record, and against the Democratic candidates, it is quite clear that the basic strategy of the Republican Party for this 1958 campaign is to try and 'change the subject'.

"Instead of measuring Republican performance against Democratic performance on specific domestic and international issues that mean the difference between prosperity and recession -- between the establishment of peace and the continuance and worsening of international tensions under conditions that make our standing weaker, not stronger -- the Republican Party obviously has decided to set up and run against a carefully selected set of technicolored scarecrows labeled 'The Labor Bosses -- America's Third Party'.

"Of course, this set of scarecrows with ^{which} ~~whom~~ the Republican political double-domes hope to frighten the American voters into voting for more Republican recessions, more Republican brinkmanship, is pretty exclusive.

"Believe it or not, it includes no Republican labor leader.

"By what is probably no accident, it excludes the Republican Maurice Hutcheson who was chairman of the Labor Division of the Republican National Committee in the 1956 campaign -- and who was deeply involved in scandals exposed by the McClellan Committee.

"It excludes Republican James Hoffa; it finds no space to mention Johnny Dio, who apparently enjoys Republican innocence ~~by association with Hoffa.~~

"At the same time it seeks to ignore and hide the constructive actions of the AFL-CIO President George Meany, the actions of the AFL-CIO Ethical Practices Committee, and the actions of the AFL-CIO conventions in disciplining and, on occasion, expelling large and small international unions found in violation of a code of ethical practices that has yet to be equaled by the NAM, the Chamber of Commerce, or, as far as I know, any other groups of employers.

Republican labor leader

"In fact, it would seem that, if you are a Republican labor leader, you get Republican immunity; you are omitted from the Republican collection of scarecrows.

"But if you are a labor leader who has supported Democratic candidates and the Democratic party, if you are an independent labor leader whose union has on occasion given support to Democratic candidates, then automatically, you are in like sin.

George Meany

"If you are Walter Reuther, or George Harrison or James McDevitt or Louis Hollander or any of the hundreds of democratically-elected officers of labor unions who have supported Democratic candidates, then you qualify for the Republicans' exclusive target.

"Never mind the fact that these men have fought and today are continuing to fight racketeers in labor and management, just as they fought and drove out Communists and Communism from positions of influence in the American labor movement. The Republicans do not want the American people to look at the real story of who is doing an honest job of trying to protect America's working men and women by cleaning up hoodlums who, with management collusion, infiltrate the labor movement.

"And for good reason, too. If they did, they would know who killed effective legislation to wipe out labor-management corruption.

"Who killed Cock Robin -- and why? That is the question people should ask, when our Republican politicians try to attack American labor.

"Who killed the Kennedy-Ives Act for labor reform?"

"Republicans did the job -- in an unholy alliance with the NAM and crooks and hoodlums who wanted to preserve their power.

"They killed the legislation--because it would have killed the only issue the Republicans had planned for this campaign.

"Apparently, the reactionary majority of Republicans preferred a dead bill and a live issue, to a live law and a dead issue. For supposed political advantage in this election, Republicans killed the bill -- and gave the Beck-Hoffa-Dio-Shefferman type of racketeering a reprieve of one and perhaps two years.

"We Democrats are not going to let the Republican candidates in the 1958 Congressional and Senatorial elections get away with their attempt to 'change the subject', their attempt to distort the record, and their attempt to avoid measurement and comparison of the Republican and Democratic performances as shown by the real record of the Eisenhower-Nixon Administration -- and that of the Democratic 85th Congress."

Foreign Policy - Attitudes

① Food & Fiber

② Capital - Science - Know how

③ Middle East & Far East

From the Office of
Senator Hubert H. Humphrey
140 Senate Office Building
Washington 25, D.C.
Capitol 4 3121, Ext. 2424

For Release: Sunday a.m.
September 7, 1958

GREATER 'WORKS OF PEACE' EFFORTS URGED BY SENATOR HUMPHREY

What should be America's "clarion call for peace" in the world is more like the "grumbling of a water-logged tuba", Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D., Minn.), member of the Senate's Foreign Relations Committee and Chairman of both its Disarmament Subcommittee and Subcommittee on the Middle East, African, and South Asian Affairs, declared last night in a major foreign policy address before a Democratic Party banquet in San Mateo.

Military Policy
"We have permitted military policy to dominate or even to substitute for foreign policy," Senator Humphrey warned. "We need, instead, more emphasis upon constructive 'Works of Peace'."

"The world of tomorrow will be shaped in large measure by what we do -- or fail to do -- now to give the underdeveloped countries assurance that we want to help them achieve economic progress and human dignity."

Senator Humphrey called for a nationwide Democratic victory in November "to stimulate new, progressive, constructive, and imaginative in thinking/the approach to peace in the world."

Conserv Govt
"One of the reasons why we lack a comprehensive, integrated foreign policy for the United States today is because a conservative government is incapable of coming to grips with a worldwide revolution and devising bold, creative, flexible politics."

"How can conservative politicians who oppose TVA, public power, health insurance, and even unemployment compensation, really have their hearts in flood control in Africa and village development projects in Asia? How can politicians who disregard a recession at home appreciate human need abroad?"

"This, then, is the challenge facing every American in November of 1958 -- the challenge to show the world that the American people want to breathe new life and spirit, new attitudes and philosophies, new blood and new courage, into our national leadership."

"It can be done -- by a resounding Democratic victory in November."

"It can be done -- and it WILL be done -- in California by choosing progressive, militant, Democratic leadership -- by sending Congressman Clair Engle to the United States Senate, and "Pat" Brown to your Statehouse as Governor."

(Abstract of Address attached)



Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.



www.mnhs.org