

From the Office of
SENATOR HUBERT H. HUMPHREY
1311 New Senate Office Building
Washington 25, D. C.
Capitol 4-3121, Ext. 2424

FOR RELEASE: WEDNESDAY A.M.
APRIL 26, 1961

HUMPHREY HITS SOVIET TACTICS

"TO DISARM REST OF WORLD"

Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D., Minn.) said last night that Soviet use of guerilla warfare, hatepropaganda and subversion "has poisoned the climate for successful disarmament negotiations."

Humphrey, Chairman of the Senate Disarmament Subcommittee, declared that real disarmament progress "will not be possible until the United Nations is armed---politically and economically."

The Senate Majority Whip spoke at a joint meeting of the National Academy of Science and the American Physical Society in Washington (Sheraton-Park Hotel).

"The evidence mounts," Humphrey said, "that the primary interest of the Soviet Union is to disarm the rest of the world and not itself. It intends to weaken the strength and resolution of the free world as it builds its own strength.

"The Soviet Union would disarm the world not through negotiation, but by fear---not through agreement, but by threat.

"It is apparent that the Soviet Union is less interested in constructive discussion and negotiation than in sparking turmoil, disorder and revolution.

"The number one tactic of the Soviet Union to disarm the rest of the world is to strip the United Nations of its power and authority.

"This tactic must not succeed. We must work to strengthen the United Nations, to 'arm' that organization as a center of power to assure law and order.

"We must arm the United Nations with an adequate budget, with full support

M o r e

for the Secretary General, with enough personnel for its missions, and---indeed---
with an effective police force.

"The agencies of the United Nations for food distribution, health, technical
and economic assistance must be strengthened if we are to develop the climate neces-
sary for real disarmament progress.

"The United Nations is the only mechanism which can bring pressure on the
Soviet Union, to expose its tactics of subversion and to reveal its true purpose
of Communist Empire.

"In recent months, the Soviet Union has intensified the Cold War---through
guerilla action in one country, deceitful propaganda in another, subversion in all.

"The United States can not and will not engage in a contest of such tactics.
We must draw the contest to economic and political grounds, using a strong and
forceful United Nations to win."

Restrictions on Travel

"DISARMAMENT AND THE U.N."

Federation Amer
Scientists
Wash Chapter

Speech by
Senator Hubert H. Humphrey
before the
Washington Association of Scientists
Local Chapter of the Federation of American Scientists
Sheraton Park Hotel -- April 25, 1961

It is always a privilege to address such a distinguished gathering of scientists as are present tonight. Many, if not most of you, have made significant contributions toward extending scientific knowledge and its application to modern life. All of you are citizens who have a responsibility to help keep America and other nations free, and to prevent war from destroying all that we cherish.

Responsibility
of Science

I am grateful for this opportunity to discuss with you one of the world's most complex and difficult current problems, the problem of arms control disarmament. It is increasingly important that the scientist and his

Dr. Wriston

- 2 -
Arms Control & the Public Interest

Science & Politics

work, and the politician and his work be mutually understood. Those of us who have observed disarmament negotiations the past few years have witnessed proposals that were technically and scientifically sound but were non-negotiable politically. We have also witnessed proposals that seem, on the surface, politically attractive, but the scientific community has raised grave doubts about their efficacy from the standpoint of effective controls. So I think that a greater effort must be made to combine the knowledge of the scientist and the experience of the political scientist, lawyer, or diplomat.

McClay
Arthur Dean
Test Ban
DSARM

Disarmament has suffered from the events of the past few months. In Cuba, Laos, Vietnam, and the Congo the United States and the Soviet Union -- in some cases with other nations heavily involved --

Public Interest

are waging various kinds of warfare. I wonder how long these crises can continue and multiply -- with the weapons we have poised for attack -- without total war. The teen-ager might call today's struggle a game of "chicken." In other words, which side can force the other to "chicken" out ~~the rest?~~

I am deeply concerned with the manner in which the world contest is being fought. We have guerrilla warfare by the Communists in Laos, murder by the Communists of local leaders in South Vietnam at the rate of 40 a week, Soviet clandestine arms shipments to certain factions of the Congo contrary to resolution of the United Nations, and Communist take-over in Cuba through cynical plays on the genuine need of her people for political, social, and economic reform. And I suspect that we have

only begun to witness the tactics to be used to
 subvert governments, to suppress freedom where it
 exists, and to add satellites to the Communist
 Empire. ~~We have seen in the past few months an~~
~~intensification of the cold war, rather than, as~~
~~promised by the Communists, an abatement of it.~~

These are
 MK's so called
 just wars -
 wars of liberation

^{Communist}
 The ~~Soviet~~ use of guerilla warfare, hate
 propoganda and subversion has poisoned the climate
 for successful disarmament negotiations.

Political
 atmosphere
 is cold &
 unresponsive
 to arms
 control

The evidence mounts that the primary interest
 of the Soviet Union is to disarm the rest of the
 world and not itself. It ~~intends~~ ^{seeks} to weaken the
 strength and resolution of the free world as it
 builds its own strength.

The Soviet Union would disarm the world not through
 negotiation, but by fear---not through agreement, but
 by threat.

and

It is apparent that the Soviet Union is less interested in constructive discussion and negotiation than in sparking turmoil, disorder and revolution.

↳ The number one tactic of the Soviet Union *designed to*

disarm the rest of the world is to strip the United Nations of its power and authority.

Disarm the U.N.

↳ This tactic must not succeed. We must work to

We must arm the U.N.

strengthen the United Nations, to "arm" that organization as a center of power to assure law and order.

↳ We must arm the United Nations with an adequate budget, with full support for the Secretary General,

Budget

with enough personnel for its missions, and---indeed--- with an effective police force.

↳ The agencies of the United Nations for food distribution, health, technical and economic assistance must be strengthened if we are to develop the climate

necessary for real disarmament progress.

L The United Nations is the only mechanism which
 can bring ^{the} pressure on the Soviet Union, ^{of world public opinion} to expose
 its tactics of subversion and to reveal its true
 purpose of Communist Empire.

U.N.
 Presence
 at trouble
 spots -
 U.N. Ears
 to Monitor
 Broadcasts

L In recent months, the Soviet Union has intensified
 the Cold War---through guerilla action in one country,
 deceitful propaganda in another, subversion in all.

L The United States can not and will not engage in
 a contest of such tactics. We must draw the contest
 to economic and political grounds, using a strong and

out

^{effectual}
~~United Nations~~ ^{to strengthen &}
~~to~~ ^{Develop the emerging nations}

L ^{get} Another aspect of the current situation disturbs

my hope for progress toward disarmament. That is the
 attack by the Soviets on the concept of ^{impartial} an international ^{arms control}
 administration ~~working impartially~~. Mr. Khrushchev is

in substance
 now saying there is no such thing as a neutral
 person. ~~He probably also thinks there is no such~~
~~thing as a neutral nation.~~ They are either with
 him or against him.

What Mr. Khrushchev has done is to turn
 momentarily from his argument that control systems
 for disarmament are nothing more than plans for
 espionage, to a new argument that all disarmament
proposals must be administered by a secretariat
 which always has three heads and which cannot act
 unless all three concur. *Soviet Veto*

No
 Neutrals

Mr. Khrushchev first tried to change the
status of the United Nations Secretary-General.

Congress
 Plans

There he was rebuffed and rather substantially
 outvoted. Now he is attempting to get his three-
 headed monster set up in a treaty banning nuclear

weapons tests. He thinks that if he can only get

it in this treaty, or if not this treaty some

other treaty, then he can return to the U. N.

with a precedent under his arm and demand that the

U. N. too change its secretariat in accordance with

his wishes.

now L Because of this Soviet proposal, the chances

for a test ban treaty are the slimmest since the

negotiations started, some 30 months ago. And if

the test ban talks fail on the administrator as

well as other issues, ^{and other} ~~will other~~ disarmament

negotiations ^{may} suffer the same fate. If Mr. Khrushchev

demands the three-headed administrator for a test

ban treaty, won't he demand it for every other

kind of disarmament? ^{I think so} ~~And if he does, doesn't this not~~

make something of a mockery of his claim that he

wants disarmament and that it is the most crucial
and important issue of the day

These problems do not make those of us who
want balanced and safeguarded disarmament *very confident*
~~anticipate~~ progress in the near future. Some, I
am sure, would suggest abandoning all efforts in
the disarmament field.

But such an attitude would be defeatist. ~~It~~
would suggest that what we must do is to increase
substantially the defense budget, start digging
into the ground for shelters, and prepare for the
worst. No responsible citizen can afford to take
that attitude.

Peace Agency!
Govt effort
to coordinate

I am convinced that rather than abandon efforts
Arms Control
for ~~disarmament~~, they must be intensified. I say
this not because I think the Soviets are serious ~~in wanting~~

The Advance of Science into outer space -
satellite, Rockets, Missiles, the manned
~~rocket~~ flite into outer space - all of these
developments challenge old concepts of Arms control

in wanting to reduce tensions through disarmament.

~~They are not, as I have said and have tried to~~
~~demonstrate.~~ I think we must intensify our efforts

because it is obvious that some of the solutions we

have proposed in the past do not adequately meet the

problem. New solutions, ones directed at all of the

problems, may be needed. And new solutions will not

be found with a business as usual attitude. Only a

first rate attack on the problem, one that is now

getting underway in the new Administration, will

suffice.

DISARM Agency
AEC, Pentagon, State.

Defense - conventional weapons



Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.



www.mnhs.org