T. V. INTERVIEW WGR-TV

Buffalo, New York

September 17, 1968

Mr. Redpath. Good evening. This is a TV-2 Special News Broadcast in conjunction with Vice President Hubert Humphrey's visit to Buffalo.

During this program, you'll hear the Vice President field questions concerning the problems of our cities.

To question the Vice President we have a very distinguished panel: The Honorable Mayor of Buffalo, Frank A. Sedisa; Dr. James Moss, Chairman of the Select Committee for Equal Opportunity at the University of Buffalo, also Dean of International Studies and a noted sociologist; William Haddad, former aid to Senator Robert Kennedy, and former Deputy Director of the Peace Corps and Poverty Program -- and recently appointed to the New York City Board of Education. He is also a member of the executive board of the New York Urban Coalition.

To begin the questions, here is Mayor Sedita.

Mayor Sedita. Mr. Vice President, is it possible to change the philosophy of federal aid to cities so that instead of making grants which are totally tied to federal guidelines, the cities can get block grants with broad discretion to spend these 16 monies where the community decides they should be spent? For example, federal money is now available through state govern-17 ment in connection with law enforcement, but this money is limited to financing community relations and training activities. 18 But the crying need of the cities today is for additional resources to recruit and retain police officers. If the federal money could be spent by the cities along this line, I think it would be more meaningful.

What do you think?

1

2

3

6

10

11

13

14

20

21

25

Vice President Humphrey. Mr. Mayor, as you know, there is always quite a struggle in Congress as to how the federal funds should be used. There is, first of all, the struggle between the federal government and the state government, that you channel federal funds through state government and hopefully, that state government will have some kind of matching and then down to the local government.

As a mayor, you, I think, understand the difficulty of

that. As a former mayor, I, too, have understand that mayors of municipalities like to be able to deal rather directly with the federal government. If we can get our state administrations thoroughly modernized -- many of them, by the way, still have the hangover from inadequate or, I should say, disproportionate representation of rural areas. Now with the one man, one vote, you are beginning to get a more realistic state government. If we can get that, then we can channel funds properly through the state into the localities.

You are asking the question about the nature of the grants. There are two types of remedies that I see. One is actually tax sharing. That is without any categories or purposes being attached to it; actually, a tax sharing out of the federal revenues. Dr. Walter Heller, the former director or the chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers, has proposed such a method. He is my chief economic adviser in this campaign and has been a long-time personal friend of mine.

I believe that we are going to come very shortly to a time when we can have some degree of tax sharing, particularly when the war in Vietnam is over and as we acrue greater federal revenues, by the progress in the development of our economy. I support a degree of tax sharing, federal to state and local governments, without specific tie-ins -- some guidelines, may I say, as to a formula as to how that tax sharing would work. Do you have a state income tax, for example; what is your property tax base; do you really tax yourself enough -- but not limitations on how it is to be used.

Secondly, in the present administration, under President Johnson, we have had a study made by the Eureau of the Budget as to how we can collect together a number of these grant-in-aid programs and make them into block grants rather than cate-gorical grants. Now, block grants, again, means that you as Mayor can use it as you see fit rather than to have it, say, said that you have to use it for tuberculosis control or it has to be used for public health services or has to be used for a particular kind of library service. You would get some discretion. You would receive a sum of money which would be the sum total of, let's say, a dozen programs. You would be, again, able to utilize that money as you saw fit within a range of programs, not haveng it specifically tied to a particular item.

I believe we can be very helpful here. Now, on law enforcement, yesterday, I outlined a program of federal aid to localities, municipalities, on law enforcement. And crime control -- 84 specific recommendations. That is a lot of them.

1 This panel was headed by Dr. James Wilson of Harvard University of -- School of Government. Some of the top people in the 2 field of criminology and law, some of our best law professors that we have, helped me design this program. What it really boils down to is to help the cities get the money, we in the federal government put the money to the city for training, for police institutes, for salary supplementation, for your police officers, for recruitment, for the specialized type of equipment that you need.

The simple fact is that most municipalities today do not have the money that is required for an effective crime control program.

Most of us people seeking office talk about law and order, Mr. Mayor, and it has become a sort of rallying cry. But I believe that I am the first one to outline what it is going to cost and how you are going to apply it, because just to say that you are going to enforce the law when you have too few police, inadequately trained, not sufficiently professionalized, without adequate equipment -- you are just talking. You are not going to be able to do it.

Mayor Sedita. Thank you.

Dr. Moss. Mr. Vice President, as we look at problems of the inner city, we are constantly reminded that at the core 15 of the inner city is a troubled and disturbed black community. It came very gravely to my mind as I read a book, recently 16 published called "Black Rage," by two psychiatrists on the West Coast. I know that previous administrations have each 17 proposed programs for meeting the growing militants in the black community in the area of civil rights.

Faced with what I have just referred to as the continued rage and anger among blacks in this country, what specific programs would you propose -- would you propose -- to ease our country's present racial tensions?

Vice President Humphrey. Dr. Moss, this is the great challenge today. This is at the very center of our problem in America. This is what I have been trying to direct my attention to, I am afraid sometimes inadequately, by trying 23 sincerely, when I have said that we cannot have a society that is divided or, as the Kerner Report put it, two societies 24 separate and unequal. We simply must, we must do much more than we have done to try to bring some degree of balanced opportunity in the American society to all of our people. Now, it is a fact but it is a sad fact that the core of the city, the inner city, so to speak -- they call it the ghetto.

8

12

13

18

That is a dirty, ugly word. I have never liked it, so I sometimes do not want to use it. The ghetto has become sort of the province of the black man.

Now, what are we going to do about it? Well, first of all, we must design our federal programs for an open society, Dr. Moss. That means that programs of urban renewal and development, programs of housing or transportation, of education, everything must be designed for the American citizen -- not for a particular race, but for the citizen. We must have a federal policy that encourages that openness so there is mobility.

People generally move to the basis of economics and they generally move to the basis of their own cultural pattern. We know that. But there ought to be that freedom of choice.

Now, getting back to the immediate problem of the ghetto, the Model Cities Program has the beginning of some answer. I am not at all sure yet that we have really put our finger on the answer, but it provides for a coordination of physical rehabilitation as well as human rehabilitation. Also, if it is really going to be effective, it must have the local people that are involved in the area called the so-called Model Cities area to some of the planning, be involved in the planning. You can't have these programs handed from on top down. They have got to come from the bottom up.

When I say that, I think we had better recognize that

we are going to make some mistakes and I have just got to forewarn people, because when you have deprived a people for generations from being in on the decision-making, when they do come in, they are going to make some mistakes in the beginning. It is pretty much like a young person coming into a business or anything else; he is going to make some mistakes when he has not had that experience. But the mistakes will be much less than the failure to do anything about it.

I would think we would also have to encourage black

I would think we would also have to encourage black entrepreneurship. You have to give people in that area that kind of dignity that comes from self respect, that comes from ownership, that comes from managing their own things, the black man having his own bank, his own department store, managing his own hospital. Then in due time, indeed, it will become bi-racial, multi-racial. But he must begin to feel that sense of pride that comes with management, with direction, with decision-making, with ownership, with doing things himself.

1 taxpayer that helps on that is going to say, well, look, they mis-managed. The point is that is much more risky than 2 leaving it as it is.

Then we have to do something about opening up these areas with green spaces, with open spaces. I said to people in my little town in Minnesota -- I don't think people thought It was a very appropriate message, but I wanted them to know what they were up against. This is a little town of rural people. To them the word "Harlem" is the same as if you said Take Teheran or Cairo or Paris or Budapest. They have heard about it, but they don't know about it.

I said, look here, if the rest of New York had a population as dense, as intense and dense as in Harlem, you could put all 200 million Americans in three of the five boroughs.

Now, any man that starts to sense the meaning of that statement knows what the problem is then in the ghetto in Harlem -- over-population, inadequate land space, inadequate public facilities, totally inadequate private facilities, inadequate playgrounds, inadequate social services. It is just about impossible to deal with it until you start to break it up, so to speak, and give people a chance to, as we say, have breathing room; you know, to move.

This is why I have made some proposals like, for example, 15 the National Homestead Urban Act, where you would provide subsidies for private development on high cost land so that 16 you can get land and you can build homes and build facilities at a reasonable price. Becuase land costs in these areas are fantastic. My marshall plan for the cities, which is built on the Model Cities -- it providesa much longer extension of planning than the Model Cities, a larger commitment of revenues and funds and it includes a national urban development bank so you do not have to go to Congress every year and beg and scrape around, hoping you are going to get an appropriation. You have a fund of private stocks that are sold by the bank and guaranteed by the government, plus a public deposit in that bank. I think we can do something, but I would be less than honest if I told you it is going to be at all easy and quick.

But what the people really need is hope. If they think we mean we are doing it, they will stick with it and there will be less conflict. But if they think we are playing games, as you know, Doctor, people are going to get out of control.

Mr. Haddad. Mr. Vice President, I wish I were as optimistic as you are about urban problems. I think the difficulties

10

14

21

22

23

Salt. And

4 5

are going to go upward despite the kinds of plans. I think the plans of orderly process are not going to stop the confrontations. I want to talk to you about one of those problems. That is the war on poverty.

It would seem from some of the criticism generated by the community action agencies, the political processes of getting people in the cities involved in decision making, has stirred up a storm throughout the country, in fact, when I was in the poverty program, I kept cutting off the money of the mayors and they kept running to the White House to try to get it turned on again. But as a result of that, it would seem there has been a major effort to dismember the poverty program, to take an arm here or a leg there and distribute it throughout the government. My question is in the two parts — is that happening by Congress or by the Administration and will that happen in the future? Are we going to keep the war on poverty together as a controversial provocateur of the future, or are we going to stick it into status agencies?

Vice President Humphrey. You are asking me what I propose?

Mr. Haddad. Yes.

Vice President Humphrey. I would propose that we keep the Office of Economic Opportunity as a going agency. It is both a general staff agency in terms of giving direction to these programs in the many areas of need and deprivation; it is also an action agency. It should have both, both the planning, the general coordination, and the action part.

You will recall, we had under the Office of Economic Opportunity Act what we call the Economic Opportunity Council. The Director of the OEO was the chairman of that Council.

Actually, the Vice President should be the chairman of that Council. He is an elected official and I want to make it clear what I intend to do if elected President of the United States. I intend to have my Vice President be the coordinator of domestic programs. He is a super cabinet officer.

Mr. Haddad. The line coordinator?

Vice President Humphrey. He will be the general coordinator of all the departments of government that have something to do with urban and domestic affairs. He will then also be the chairman of the Economic Opportunity Council. I will

recommend that to the Congress. I think we can get that through.

Now, that gives him, he has more status, particularly if he is backed up by the President, and he can start to pull these agencies together so that they work together a little better, and also prevent what I call the proliferation of programs back into line agencies where they are no longer under the general guidelines and general direction of OEO.

I am not at all opposed to having the Office of Educa-6 tion carry out the education programs, provided that they are earrying through on an action program, a plan of action in a 7 tommunity that has been worked out at the community level through the Office of Economic Opportunity. I think that your public 8 health services, your education services, your manpower training services can be left as they are in the departments. But they 9 must understand that they are related; they are but segments. Since we talk about a war on poverty, they are regiments, 10 companies, batallions in the overall structure and they have to be under the command of a central commander. There has 11 to be someone who coordinates it.

Mr. Haddad. How do you enforce that, Mr. Vice President?

Mr. Vice President. By the President backing up the man he appoints and seeing to it that it happens. I saw, for 14 example, when the President backed me up on the Youth Opportunity Council what could happen. I went to the departments 15 of government and I said, look, we have no budget for the youth opportunity program and we are going to have to get money from 16 each of your departments. Each Cabinet Officer told me there was not any money. He said, I am sorry, Mr. Vice President, you 17 have a fine program, it looks good, but we have such limited budgets for our departments, there is no money for you.

I went back to the President and I said, Mr. President, you gave me a responsibility, you told me to go to the Cabinet departments and officers and get some money. You kakexex told me there was money designated for these objectives. They told me there was none.

We had a Cabinet meeting about a week later and the President told the Cabinet, I have instructed the Vice President as chairman of the Youth Opportunity Council to bring out of the departments \$600 million and I expect you to get it and I expect you to cooperate.

You know what? I got it. It is an amazing thing what happens when he speaks like that to the Department heads. But it is back-up, it is support.

5

12

21

To summarize, OEO must be kept as an action agency, a community action agency, and it must be kept as a planning and staff agency so that the general direction of the war on poverty, so that the direction of the war on poverty has some cohesion to it.

I will do that. I don't want it dismantled. I think if you put it all back in the old line agency, it will soon get back where it does not touch the people that need to be helped.

If these old line agencies had been doing it, Bill, we would not have needed OEO.

Mr. Haddad. Unfortunately, some of us have been trying to keep it out of the old line agency and feel some of the states have more influence on the old line agencies than they do on the new agencies. I think the line of responsibility, the question is how you enforce it.

Vice President Humphrey. Let me make my position clear on OEO. It has made some mistakes. Community action programs obviously lend themselves to some difficulties. But we are dealing with the toughest problem that mankind has ever known. The fact is that the voluntary agencies and the established agencies of government simply were not getting their services down to the people we are talking about. They were getting down to about — well, they hit about four-fifths of the people, but that other fifth, they never hit, they never got to. What OEO is trying to do in the war on poverty is to get at the people who have been left out, bypassed, and in a very real sense, ignored for generations. When you are dealing with that kind of a situation, you are bound to be having some difficulty.

So every program I am on like this, I foresarn the people, now, you have a choice to make: Do you want to just leave people MMAEXIAN wallowing in this filth and degradation and ultimately in trouble and lawlessness and violence, or do you want to do something about it? If you are going to do something about it, it is going to cost you and you are going to have some difficulties. But if you don't do something about it, it is going to cost something and you are going to have more difficulties.

I happen to think the community action groups, the community action committees have represented some of the finest development in our demogracy. Oh, I know you can always find one that has loused it up and that there is always one where somebody stole the money. They found that, somebody stole the money out of the church the other day. Somebody stole

the money out of one of the largest banks, where the nicest people were. Stealing money is not necessarily the unique ualification or happening of a community action agency. And there is always somebody who is misusing the money. Well, I have seen that in some old line agencies. And I have seen it in some private enterprise.

We are going to have to take that chance. We are going to have to also develop the leadership. And we are developing the leadership, I can name across this country literally nundreds of men and women who never get anything for themselves, the never had a chance to say anything about their town, never had a chance to say anything about their neighborhood, their school, anything else. Today they had their chance and they are doing abetter job and the community is better.

When I get to be President, Bill, I am going to back that kind of program. It is not a matter of fighting city hall. City hall joins. When we get that clear, we pull together.

Mr. Haddad. I was smiling because the people trained by he poverty program are giving us a lot of trouble on the board 13 of education in New York City. They have learned how to protest and they are directing their protest at us.

Vice President Humphrey. And at me. I must say some of 15 the people who protest the loudest against me are people who got their first taste of responsibility and their first taste 16 of power under what we call community action programs. But the more repponsibility they get, Bill, most of them, the more they settle into do the job. Some of them not. There are always some bad applies in every barrel. But you don't MMMA chop down the orchard, you know.

Dr. Moss. One of the most satisfying professional experiences I have had, Mr. Vice President, this summer was in Washington, D. C. with the Neighborhood Youth Development program. Young people between the ages of 18 and 22 who have separated themselves from the adult operation with a budget of something like \$1,800,000 have set up their office, their program, which I was invited to simply serve as the expert on tap. I have never been more convinced than I am today of the ability of young people who know their community well to set the kinds of priorities for their community, as they see it, and also to develop the skills and the capacity to deal creatively and imaginatively with them. I welcome the comments you have made about keeping OEO in this kind of flexible organizational arrangement.

14

19

21

20

22

Vice President Humphrey. I'm very familiar with this program. I have spent four years as the chairman of the President's Youth Opportunity Council trying to promote the sort of things you are talking about. I worked very closely with Mr. Shriver, as Mr. Haddad knows. We had a few arguments now and then, but by and large, we had, I think, a good working relationship. I felt that the innovative, creative ideas that came out of that war on poverty have been some of the best experimentation in pilot programs they have had.

One of the problems about the war on poverty is that it is under-financed -- an under-financed war. If we do a little more with it -- you know, I am a pharmacist originally. I want to tell you that it does not do you very much good to take 25,000 units of pencillin if you need a million. You could just as well eat peppermint lifesavers.

What we have really done in some instances is we have had so little to do with it, in light of the magnitude of the task that we have not been able to apply the resources that are needed.

In some instances, we have applied resources on a pilot basis. What I have tried to say and I would like to live in your thought is that much of what we have done in these past four years has been on a pilot basis. Much of it has worked. On that, we ought to build.

For example, neighborhood health centers. A tremendous program. We ought to have them all over this country. I have seen it work. I was out to dedicate the one in Denver, for example. That center serves thousands of people in that community. You have the cooperation of the American Medical Association, the American Dental Association, the city Public Health Office. You have an OEO-financed. We have a lot of neighborhood youth sampsestions. And you can treat thousands of people. They are healthier, they are better.

Well, it is just a good program and we are going to do more of it.

Mayor Sedita. Mr. Vice President, along the lines of the Office of Economic Opportunity, the war on poverty, I am sure that the mayors of all large cities are as frustrated as I am at times when we hear some grandiose plans announced out of Washington to have a certain program and then we raise the hopes of the people, we get out there and make some speeches

5

6

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

and promise things and then the rug is pulled out from under us because Washington announced these plans before the programs were even funded.

You talk about naming your new Vice President as the coordinator. What can be done about keeping the jubilance of some of these people in line so that they don't leave us holding the bags as mayors and explaining why the plan now can't go.

Vice President Humphrey. When you have people in charge of programs, they are naturally dedicated to it -- we hope they are, at least of -- at least you have to have a degree of optimism and exhuberance to work in this field. If you don't, you will just despair and give up, because the difficulties are immense. So occasionally, there are those that offer greater hope and promise than reality. I guess occasionally, I have been guilty of that, myself. But what happens is you get a budget presented to the Congress. In that budget, you outline this program that we in the Executive Branch contemplate is going to be effectuated. Now, in order to get support for that budget, Mr. Mayor, we have to come to you and others and say, now, look, you have to come down and testify, because we know you want it. I know, for example, that the neighborhood Youth Corps means a lot to these communities.

So we put a sum of money, recommend a budget to these communities. Then what happens? If we tell you you have to come down and really testify, you are going to have so many MYC Youth Corps openings. You say there are going to be so many jobs and you come down and testify and Congress cuts the funds, then you come home and you haven't the jobs.

This is part of the process of the jobs. It is not what I would like, but it is better than no jobs.

Now, one other thing. I have a man, Mr. Muskie, who has been a member of the International Governmental Relations Commission, is the author of the Model Cities program, is the expert in grant-in-aid programs, has kaxke done more to modernize the grant-in-aid programs than anybody else in the Congress of the United States. I think he is uniquely equipped to help what I am talking about. One of the reasons I selected him is I have seen how he has worked in the government. I think I can give the next Vice President more authority, greater delegation of responsibility to do a better job.

Mr. Redpath. Gentlemen, our time is up. On behalf of our distinguished panel, the City of Buffalo and WGR Television, I would like to thank Vice President Humphrey for taking the

trouble to come and discuss these problems with us.

Our panel this evening included The Honorable Mayor of Buffalo, Frank A. Sedita; Dr. James Moss, Chairman of the Select Committee for Equal Opportunity at the University of Buffalo, also Dean of International Studies and a noted sociologist; and Mr. William Haddad, former aid to Senator Robert Kennedy and former Deputy Director of the Peace Corps and Povery Program and recently appointed to the New York City Board of Education. He is also a member of the executive board of the New York Urban Coalition.

This has been a special events news broadcast of WGR-TV.

Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

