

NATIONAL CONVENTION OF SERVICE EMPLOYEES

INTERNATIONAL UNION

REMARKS BY SENATOR HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

JACK TARR HOTEL
SANFRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, MAY 29, 1972

THIS IS ONE OF MY FAVORITE UNIONS:

--IT WAS THE FIRST UNION TO ENDORSE ME FOR
PRESIDENT IN 1968, IT WAS RIGHT THEN, I HOPE YOU
WILL BE RIGHT WITH ME NOW.

--IT REPRESENTS HARD WORKING PEOPLE, PROUD
PEOPLE, THEY DO GOOD AND IMPORTANT WORK.

--THIS UNION HAS FOUGHT FOR THE LITTLE GUY--FOR
THE BLACKS, THE CHICANOS, THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME
FAMILIES, THESE HAVE BEEN IMPORTANT BATTLES.

--AND I HAVE BEEN FIGHTING THEM TOO, I'VE SPENT
MY LIFE FIGHTING FOR THE LITTLE GUY:

--ON TAX REFORM: I'VE STOOD UP AGAIN AND AGAIN
AGAINST THE BIG BOYS, I'VE FOUGHT FOR TAX FAIRNESS, FOR

TAX JUSTICE.

--ON JOBS: I'VE PROPOSED A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM OF ONE MILLION JOBS, I WANT TO GET AMERICANS BACK TO WORK.

--ON INFLATION: I'VE FOUGHT THE BATTLE TO GET THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION TO REVERSE ITS UNFAIR DECISION TO PUT WAGE CONTROLS ON LOW INCOME WORKERS.

THIS PUTS THE BURDEN OF INFLATION CONTROL ON THE LITTLE GUY. I HAVE SAID TIME AND AGAIN, THE CONTROLS ARE UNFAIR. THEY PENALIZE THE LITTLE MAN WHILE THE SALARIES OF THE PRIVILEGED FEW AND THE BIG BUSINESS EXECUTIVES GO UP AND UP.

HHH SUPPORT FOR THE GOALS OF THIS UNION:

--YOU WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE, I WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE.

--YOU WANT A GOOD MINIMUM WAGE LAW, I WANT A SOUND MINIMUM WAGE LAW, I AM OPPOSED TO THE NIXON EFFORTS TO WATER DOWN THE MINIMUM WAGE, REPUBLICANS NEVER HAVE LIKED THE MINIMUM WAGE, BUT, THEY CERTAINLY LIKE THE MAXIMUM PROFIT.

--YOU WANT YOUR MEMBERS TO BE COMPLETELY COVERED BY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION.

THAT IS WHAT I WANT, AND, I HAVE PROPOSED AN EXPANDED PROGRAM OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION, WITH CHANGES IN ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS, WITH CHANGES IN BENEFITS, AND WITH AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF COVERAGE.

--YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS,
WITH RECOGNIZED BARGAINING STATUS -- WITH COVERAGE FOR
STATE AND LOCAL EMPLOYEES,

--YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS, THEY
WANT GOOD CONTRACTS, CONTRACTS THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO GET
AHEAD, TO SAVE SOME MONEY, TO ADVANCE, THAT IS WHAT I
WANT, THAT IS THE KIND OF BATTLE I WANT TO HELP YOU FIGHT,

AND, AS YOU HELPED ME IN 1968, I NEED YOUR HELP
IN 1972,

THE VOTERS OF THIS STATE HAVE AN IMPORTANT CHOICE
TO MAKE ON JUNE 6 WHEN THEY VOTE IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY,

IT WILL BE A CHOICE BETWEEN TWO MEN WHO DIFFER ON
THE BEST WAY TO PUT THIS NATION BACK TO WORK AND GET OUR

COUNTRY AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA BACK ON ITS FEET.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS THE DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN HAVING A JOB AND LOOKING FOR WORK.

RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE 510,000 CALIFORNIANS WITHOUT
JOBS -- THAT'S 6.3 PERCENT UNEMPLOYMENT.

IN THE FACE OF SUCH HIGH AND TRAGIC UNEMPLOYMENT,
SENATOR McGOVERN HAS PROPOSED MASSIVE CUTBACKS IN AEROSPACE
AND DEFENSE SPENDING WHICH WILL CAUSE THOUSANDS OF
CALIFORNIANS TO LOSE THEIR JOBS.

AND THOSE THROWN OUT OF WORK, WON'T JUST BE THE
SKILLED AEROSPACE ENGINEER OR THE DEFENSE WORKER.

NO, THE JOBS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WHO WORK IN
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITIES AND CITIES WILL BE IN DANGER.

AND WHAT IS SENATOR McGOVERN'S ANSWER TO THE FORCED UNEMPLOYMENT WHICH WILL BE A RESULT OF HIS NEW PROGRAMS?

HE ADMITS FREELY THAT CALIFORNIA WORKERS "MIGHT BE OUT OF WORK FOR AS MUCH AS A YEAR". HE SAID THIS LAST WEEK IN A TV INTERVIEW.

I'M ALARMED AND DISTURBED WHEN A DEMOCRAT IS WILLING TO ADMIT THAT HIS PROGRAMS WILL FORCE WORKING FAMILIES TO BE JOBLESS FOR A YEAR.

THIS IS CALLOUS, THIS IS WRONG.

SENATOR McGOVERN SEEMS MORE WILLING TO PROVIDE CALIFORNIANS WITH COMPENSATION FOR BEING THROWN OUT OF WORK AS A RESULT OF HIS PROPOSALS THAN HE IS ANXIOUS TO PROVIDE PEOPLE WITH JOBS WHICH BRING DIGNITY AND DECENCY

INCOME,

^

TO THEIR LIVES.

I WANT TO CUT WASTE AND FAT FROM OUR DEFENSE BUDGET, BUT I WOULD ALSO PROTECT THE JOBS OF WORKERS NO MATTER WHERE THEY WORK. JOBS CAN BE PROTECTED WITH REALISTIC PROGRAMS THAT DO NOT HAVE A YEAR OF BUILT-IN UNEMPLOYMENT.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ONE SENATOR WHO HAS SUPPORTED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION AND ANOTHER WHO SAID NO TO THE WORKERS OF CALIFORNIA.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GETTING JOBS FOR THOSE WHO CAN AND WANT TO WORK AND McGOVERN WHO WANTS TO GIVE EVERYONE, INCLUDING MILLIONAIRES LIKE HOWARD HUGHES, A WELFARE CHECK.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS THE DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN GIVING THE ELDERLY OF THIS COUNTRY A 25% INCREASE
IN SOCIAL SECURITY OR USING THE SOCIAL SECURITY FUND TO
PAY FOR WELFARE COSTS.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS A QUESTION OF
BEATING RICHARD NIXON, ENDING THE REPUBLICAN RECESSION,
AND GETTING THIS COUNTRY MOVING AGAIN -- OR SUFFERING
THROUGH FOUR MORE YEARS OF LOW WAGES, FROZEN WAGES,
SKYROCKETING PRICES, BONANZAS FOR THE GIAN CORPORATIONS
UNDER A REPUBLICAN ADMINISTRATION.

HUMPHREY OR McGOVERN -- IT IS A QUESTION OF WHO
HAS THE KNOW-HOW AND EXPERIENCE TO LEAD THIS NATION.

I THINK I'M THAT MAN

I CAN BEAT RICHARD NIXON.

I WANT TO GUARANTEE A JOB FOR EVERY AMERICAN.

I WANT TO GET THIS NATION MOVING. AND, I WANT
YOU TO JOIN WITH ME.

HUBERT HUMPHREY SPEECH AT SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL
UNION AT SAN FRANCISCO MAY 29, 1972

I HAVE A SPECIAL AFFECTION FOR THE SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION.

--IT WAS THE FIRST UNION TO ENDORSE ME FOR PRESIDENT IN 1968. IT WAS RIGHT THEN. I HOPE YOU WILL BE RIGHT WITH ME NOW.

--IT REPRESENTS HARD WORKING PEOPLE. PROUD PEOPLE. THEY DO GOOD AND IMPORTANT WORK.

--THIS UNION HAS FOUGHT FOR THE LITTLE GUY--FOR THE BLACKS, THE CHICANOS, THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES. THESE HAVE BEEN IMPORTANT BATTLES.

--AND I HAVE BEEN FIGHTING THEM TOO. I HAVE SPENT MY LIFE FIGHTING FOR THE LITTLE GUY;

--ON TAX REFORM--I'VE STOOD UP AGAIN AND AGAIN AGAINST THE BIG BOYS. I'VE FOUGHT FOR TAX FAIRNESS. FOR TAX JUSTICE.

--ON JOBS--I'VE PROPOSED A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM OF ONE MILLION JOBS. I WANT TO GET AMERICANS BACK TO WORK.

--ON INFLATION. I'VE FOUGHT THE BATTLE TO GET THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION TO REVERSE ITS UNFAIR DECISION TO PUT WAGE CONTROLS ON

LOW INCOME WORKERS.

THIS PUTS THE BURDEN OF INFLATION CONTROL ON THE LITTLE GUY. I HAVE SAID TIME AND AGAIN, THESE CONTROLS ARE WRONG. THEY PENALIZE THE LITTLE MAN WHILE THE SALARIES OF THE PRIVILEGED FEW AND BIG BUSINESS EXECUTIVES GO UP AND UP.

YOU WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE. I WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE.

YOU WANT A GOOD MINIMUM WAGE LAW. I WANT A SOUND MINIMUM WAGE LAW. I AM OPPOSED TO THE NIXON EFFORTS TO WATER DOWN THE MINIMUM WAGE. REPUBLICANS NEVER HAVE LIKE THE MINIMUM WAGE. BUT, THEY CERTAINLY LIKE THE MAXIMUM PROFIT.

YOU WANT YOUR MEMBERS TO BE COMPLETELY COVERED BY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION.

THAT IS WHAT I WANT. AND, I HAVE PROPOSED AN EXPANDED PROGRAM OF UNEMPLOYEMENT COMPENSATION, WITH CHANGES IN ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS, WITH CHANGES IN BENEFITS, AND WITH AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF COVERAGE.

YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS, WITH RECOGNIZED BARGAINING STATUS--WITH COVERAGE FOR STATE AND

LOCAL EMPLOYEES.

YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS. THEY WANT GOOD CONTRACTS, CONTRACTS THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO GET AHEAD, TO SAVE SOME MONEY, TO ADVANCE. THAT IS WHAT I WANT. THAT IS THE KIND OF BATTLE I WANT TO HELP YOU FIGHT.

AND AS YOU HELPED ME IN 1968, I NEED YOUR HELP IN 1972.

THE VOTERS OF THIS STATE HAVE AN IMPORTANT CHOICE TO MAKE ON JUNE 6 WHEN THEY VOTE IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY.

IT WILL BE A CHOICE BETWEEN TWO MEN WHO DIFFER ON THE BEST WAY TO PUT THIS NATION BACK TO WORK AND GET OUR COUNTRY AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA BACK ON ITS FEET.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HAVING A JOB AND LOOKING FOR WORK.

RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE 510,000 CALIFORNIANS WITHOUT JOBS--THAT'S A 6.3 PERCENT UNEMPLOYMENT.

IN THE FACE OF SUCH HIGH AND TRAGIC UNEMPLOYMENT, SENATOR MCGOVERN HAS PROPOSED MASSIVE CUTBACKS IN AEROSPACE AND DEFENSE SPENDING WHICH WILL CAUSE THOUSANDS OF

CALIFORNIANS TO LOSE THEIR JOBS.

AND THOSE THROWN OUT OF WORK WON'T JUST BE THE SKILLED AEROSPACE ENGINEER OR THE DEFENSE WORKER.

NO, THE JOBS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WHO WORK IN CALIFORNIA COMMUNITIES AND CITIES WILL BE IN DANGER.

AND WHAT IS SENATOR MCGOVERN'S ANSWER TO THE FORCED UNEMPLOYMENT WHICH WILL BE A RESULT OF HIS NEW PROGRAMS?

HE ADMITS FREELY THAT CALIFORNIA WORKERS 'MIGHT BE OUT OF WORK FOR AS MUCH AS A YEAR.' HE SAID THIS LAST WEEK IN A TV INTERVIEW.

I'M ALARMED AND DISTURBED WHEN A DEMOCRAT IS WILLING TO ADMIT THAT HIS PROGRAMS WILL FORCE WORKING FAMILIES TO BE JOBLESS FOR A YEAR.

THIS IS CALLOUS. THIS IS WRONG.

SENATOR MCGOVERN SEEMS MORE WILLING TO PROVIDE CALIFORNIANS WITH COMPENSATION FOR BEING THROWN OUT OF WORK AS A RESULT OF HIS PROPOSALS THAN HE IS ANXIOUS TO PROVIDE PEOPLE WITH JOBS WHICH BRING DIGNITY AND DECENCY TO THEIR LIVES.

I WANT TO CUT WASTE AND FAT FROM OUR DEFENSE BUDGET, BUT I WOULD ALSO PROTECT THE JOBS OF WORKERS, NO MATTER WHERE THEY WORK. JOBS CAN BE PROTECTED WITH REALISTIC PROGRAMS THAT DO NOT HAVE A YEAR OF BUILT-IN UNEMPLOYMENT.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN , IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ONE SENATOR WHO HAS SUPPORTED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION AND ANOTHER WHO SAID NO TO THE WORKERS OF CALIFORNIA.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GETTING JOBS FOR THOSE WHO CAN AND WANT TO WORK AND MCGOVERN WHO WANTS TO GIVE EVERYONE, INCLUDING MILLIONAIRES LIKE HOWARD HUGHES , A WELFARE CHECK.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GIVING THE ELDERLY OF THIS COUNTRY A 25 PERCENT INCREASE IN SOCIAL SECURITY OR USING THE SOCIAL SECURITY FUND TO PAY FOR WELFARE COSTS.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS A QUESTION OF BEATING RICHARD NIXON, ENDING THE REPUBLICAN RECESSION, AND GETTING THIS COUNTRY MOVING AGAIN--OR SUFFERING THROUGH FOUR MORE YEARS OF LOW WAGES, FROZEN WAGES, SKYROCKETING PRICES,

BONANZAS FOR THE GIANT CORPORATIONS UNDER A REPUBLICAN
ADMINISTRATION.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS A QUESTION
OF WHO HAS THE KNOW-HOW AND EXPERIENCE TO LEAD THIS NATION.

I THINK I'M THAT MAN.

I CAN BEAT RICHARD NIXON.

I WANT TO GUARANTEE A JOB FOR EVERY
AMERICAN.

I WANT TO GET THIS NATION MOVING. AND,
I WANT YOU TO JOIN WITH ME.

LOW INCOME WORKERS.

~~Ret from the State!~~

THIS PUTS THE BURDEN OF INFLATION CONTROL ON

THE LITTLE GUY; I HAVE SAID TIME AND AGAIN, THESE CONTROLS ARE

unfair
~~WRONG~~

THEY PENALIZE THE LITTLE MAN WHILE THE SALARIES OF THE

PRIVILEGED FEW AND BIG BUSINESS EXECUTIVES GO UP AND UP.

YOU WANT ECONOMIC JUSTICE. I WANT ECONOMIC

JUSTICE.

~~Ret from the State!~~

Minimum Wage

YOU WANT A GOOD MINIMUM WAGE LAW. I WANT A

SOUND MINIMUM WAGE LAW, I AM OPPOSED TO THE NIXON EFFORTS TO WATER

DOWN THE MINIMUM WAGE. REPUBLICANS NEVER HAVE LIKE THE MINIMUM WAGE.

BUT, THEY CERTAINLY LIKE THE MAXIMUM PROFIT. ~~Ret from the State!~~

YOU WANT YOUR MEMBERS TO BE COMPLETELY COVERED

BY UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION — *and so on.*

THAT IS WHAT I WANT AND, I HAVE PROPOSED ~~AN~~ *and Passed*

An EXPANDED PROGRAM OF UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION, WITH CHANGES IN

ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS, WITH CHANGES IN BENEFITS, AND WITH AN

EXTENDED PERIOD OF COVERAGE. ~~Ret from the State!~~

YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS,

WITH RECOGNIZED BARGAINING STATUS—WITH COVERAGE FOR STATE AND

LOCAL EMPLOYEES.

⁻³⁻
I do not - I've backed
~~you on right for that you~~

YOUR MEMBERS WANT GOOD JOBS, SECURE JOBS.
THEY WANT GOOD CONTRACTS, CONTRACTS THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO GET
AHEAD - TO SAVE SOME MONEY - TO ADVANCE. THAT IS WHAT I WANT. THAT
IS THE KIND OF BATTLE I WANT TO HELP YOU FIGHT.

AND AS YOU HELPED ME IN 1968, I NEED YOUR
HELP IN 1972.

THE VOTERS OF THIS STATE HAVE AN IMPORTANT
CHOICE TO MAKE ON JUNE 6 WHEN THEY VOTE IN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY.

IT WILL BE A CHOICE BETWEEN TWO MEN WHO DIFFER
ON THE BEST WAY TO PUT THIS NATION BACK TO WORK AND GET OUR COUNTRY
AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA BACK ON ITS FEET.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN HAVING A JOB AND LOOKING FOR WORK!

RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE 510,000 CALIFORNIANS
WITHOUT JOBS--THAT'S ^{over 6%} ~~26.3~~ PERCENT UNEMPLOYMENT.

IN THE FACE OF SUCH HIGH AND TRAGIC
UNEMPLOYMENT, SENATOR MCGOVERN HAS PROPOSED MASSIVE CUTBACKS IN
AEROSPACE AND DEFENSE SPENDING WHICH WILL CAUSE THOUSANDS OF
weaken our security and

CALIFORNIANS TO LOSE THEIR JOBS.

↳ AND THOSE THROWN OUT OF WORK WON'T JUST BE THE SKILLED AEROSPACE ENGINEER OR THE DEFENSE WORKER,

↳ NO, THE JOBS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE WHO WORK IN CALIFORNIA COMMUNITIES AND CITIES WILL BE IN DANGER!

↳ AND WHAT IS SENATOR MCGOVERN'S ANSWER TO THE FORCED UNEMPLOYMENT WHICH WILL BE A RESULT OF HIS NEW politics ~~PROGRAMS?~~

well, HE ADMITS FREELY THAT CALIFORNIA WORKERS 'MIGHT BE OUT OF WORK FOR AS MUCH AS A YEAR,' ~~HE SAID THIS~~ and even ~~LAST WEEK IN A TV INTERVIEW;~~ longer.

I'M ALARMED AND DISTURBED WHEN A DEMOCRAT IS WILLING TO ADMIT THAT HIS Politics WILL FORCE WORKING FAMILIES TO BE JOBLESS FOR A YEAR. or longer.

~~THIS IS CALLOUS. THIS IS WRONG.~~ !!

~~SENATOR MCGOVERN SEEMS MORE WILLING TO~~ and providing unemployment compensation ~~PROVIDE CALIFORNIANS WITH COMPENSATION FOR BEING THROWN OUT OF~~ is not the answer. People ~~WORK AS A RESULT OF HIS PROPOSALS THAN HE IS ANXIOUS TO PROVIDE~~ want ~~PEOPLE WITH JOBS WHICH BRING DIGNITY AND DECENCY TO THEIR LIVES.~~

000237

have that program - ✓ jobs opportunities -
(neton 11 Billion funds - ✓ Public works -
no 200¹⁰ plan - ✓ Housing - cities.

I WANT TO CUT WASTE AND FAT FROM OUR

DEFENSE BUDGET. BUT I WOULD ALSO PROTECT THE JOBS OF WORKERS,
NO MATTER WHERE THEY WORK. JOBS CAN BE PROTECTED WITH REALISTIC
PROGRAMS THAT DO NOT HAVE A YEAR OF BUILT-IN UNEMPLOYMENT.

↳ HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN, IT IS THE DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN ONE SENATOR WHO HAS SUPPORTED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
AND ANOTHER WHO SAID NO TO THE WORKERS OF CALIFORNIA.

↳ HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN GETTING JOBS FOR THOSE WHO CAN AND WANT TO WORK AND
MCGOVERN WHO WANTS TO GIVE EVERYONE, INCLUDING MILLIONAIRES
LIKE HOWARD HOGES, A WELFARE CHECK ^{\$1000} + no work requirement

Providing HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS THE DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN GIVING THE ELDERLY OF THIS COUNTRY A 25 PERCENT INCREASE
IN SOCIAL SECURITY OR USING THE SOCIAL SECURITY FUND TO PAY FOR
WELFARE COSTS. as McGovern proposes
That fund belongs to those who have paid in.

↳ HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS A QUESTION OF
BEATING RICHARD NIXON, ENDING THE REPUBLICAN RECESSION, AND
GETTING THIS COUNTRY MOVING AGAIN--OR SUFFERING THROUGH FOUR
MORE YEARS OF LOW WAGES, FROZEN WAGES, SKYROCKETING PRICES, rising
unemployment, Tax increases.

and for

BONANZAS FOR THE GIANT CORPORATIONS UNDER A REPUBLICAN
ADMINISTRATION.

HUMPHREY OR MCGOVERN--IT IS A QUESTION
OF WHO HAS THE KNOW-HOW AND EXPERIENCE TO LEAD THIS NATION.

↳ I THINK I'M THAT MAN.

↳ I CAN BEAT RICHARD NIXON.

↳ ~~I WANT TO GUARANTEE A JOB FOR EVERY~~

AMERICAN.

↳ ~~I can~~ I WANT TO GET THIS NATION MOVING. AND,

I WANT YOU TO JOIN WITH ME *to get the job done.*

*If you want jobs for people
in California, then put
the right man on the ~~job~~
big job in Washington -
the man who has been
right with you ~~all the time~~*



Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.



www.mnhs.org