Remarks Of

Senator Hubert H. Humphrey

Rochester Junior College Rochester, Minnesota

October 25, 1974

The two major ingredients in today's raging inflation are food and petroleum prices. I would like to discuss these two critical problem areas briefly with you. Unless we take action to keep supplies of these two basics up, and their prices at reasonable levels for our consumers, inflation will not be controlled.

On the food side, it is a disgrace that we have no National Food Policy. And, under the present free-enterprise "bucaneer" policies of the Department of Agriculture, we will not have one.

We must have a comprehensive National Food Policy if we are to maximize production, provide fair and stable incomes to our farmers, and assure adequate supplies of food at reasonable prices to American consumers.

Agriculture is one of the most complex production systems in our modern economy. It must operate within a comprehensive national policy. It cannot respond satisfactorily to the hurky-jerky, seat of the pants, ad hoc signals that today emanate from U.S.D.A. We have seen this demonstrated time and time again and I am fed up with this approach.

A good example of this kind of thinking was the U.S.D.A. decision to open up all farm land for maximum production, without telling the fertilizer industry a thing about its plan. As a result, fertilizer supply for the new land wasn't available and fertilizer prices soared. Of course, this did not help the farmers' income at all, but it did push the price of food way up.

A National Food Policy would treat agriculture as a system and see to it that these kinds of foul-ups are minimized or eliminated.

Such a policy would also include an export licensing system for agricultural commodities determined to be in critically short supply. I have always been a free trade advocate. I remain one today. But, it is lunacy to permit the official swashbucklers of the free market system in U.S.D.A. to close their eyes to deals that cost American consumers millions, fatten the bank accounts of the speculators, don't benefit our farmers, and cause malnutrition and starvation for millions of human beings in countries that rely on our bounty for the very life of their people.

If it is a crime to want to prudently manage our nation's abundance for the greatest good for all our people, I plead guilty.

We must also establish a national and international food reserve program to protect both consumers and farmers. Today, world food reserves are at their lowest level in 25 years, we can only meet food needs for about 27 days, before the cupboard will be bare.

We have huge stockpiles of bombs, planes, fuels, minerals, and many other so called strategic materials for protecting our national security. I ask you, is there a more serious threat to our security than starving people - I think not.

We must also establish - now - a National Energy Policy. It must be based on a major short and long-term energy conservation program. We need to set specific energy conservation goals and then do what we must to reach those goals.

Except for the period of severe gas shortage last winter, we have had no serious national conservation program. In fact, we have done nothing to reverse some very anti-conservation practices.

For example, we have all vented our frustration with the utility companies. When we turned our thermostats down, they rewarded us by pushing our utility bills up. How can we expect our people to take conservation seriously when this kind of behaviour is condoned.

I will be introducing a comprehensive energy conservation measure when Congress reconvenes in November.

The second prong of our energy policy must be an expanded energy research program. So far we have had a lot of rhetoric about "Project Independence," but virtually no action.

The only promising development has been passage by Congress of my Solar Energy Research, Development and Demonstration Act. The President has signed this landmark legislation which calls for a \$1 billion solar energy program. Having studied this area rather closely, and having pushed for more funding since 1962, I am convinced that the most economical energy investment today is a massive investment in solar energy for tomorrow.

As I see it, the price of oil will only come down - or stabilize - when the Arabs are convinced that we are going to be self sufficient. They know we have the capcity to do it. They know that if we succeed, all the industrialized nations will follow our lead.

As yet, they have not seen us show the will to be self-sufficient.

I believe that a tough conservation program and a massive energy research program will give the shieks the message. But, if we continue to have our nation's leaders give nice little sermons that equate energy conservation with closing the doors, and putting on an extra sweater, the Arabs will not get the message that America is serious about self-sufficiency.

And we will not get the fuel price cut that we need.
While on the subject of energy, I would like to point
out that it was state and local government initiative that
really helped get our nation through the "energy crunch"
last winter. In fact, state legislators and local officials
mounted the most successful energy conservation programs,
devised the odd-even days gas sales scheme, in some areas
they staggered gas station hours, developed the emergency
fuel supply programs, and made the priority users allocation
programs work as well as they did.

. . . .

When you couple this kind of role with the growing responsibility of state and local elected officials for "people" programs, the need to elect progressive minded people to these positions becomes obvious.

Today you need persons to represent you who care about people -- not only in Congress, but in the Governor's mansion, in the state legislature, in city hall, and in the town hall. This is where government meets the people, understands their problems, and responds to them - or it fails.

We face many serious problems in America today. But, we have had them in the past, too. America's greatness lies in the ability of its political system, and more fundamentally its people, to overcome problems - be they war - depression - or scandal. And this basic strength can only be maintained by a conscientious electorate that chooses its leaders wisely.

#

REMARKS OF SENATOR HUBERT H. HUMPHREY

ROCHESTER JUNIOR COLLEGE ROCHESTER, MINNESOTA THE TWO MAJOR INGREDIENTS IN TODAY'S RAGING
INFLATION ARE FOOD AND PETROLEUM PRICES. I WOULD
LIKE TO DISCUSS THESE TWO CRITICAL PROBLEM AREAS
BRIEFLY WITH YOU. UNLESS WE TAKE ACTION TO KEEP
SUPPLIES OF THESE TWO BASICS UP, AND THEIR PRICES AT
REASONABLE LEVELS FOR OUR CONSUMERS, INFLATION WILL
NOT BE CONTROLLED.

ON THE FOOD SIDE, IT IS A DISGRACE THAT WE HAVE NO NATIONAL FOOD POLICY. AND, UNDER THE PRESENT FREE-ENTERPRISE "BUCANEER" POLICIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, WE WILL NOT HAVE ONE.

WE MUST HAVE A COMPREHENSIVE NATIONAL FOOD

POLICY IF WE ARE TO MAXIMIZE PRODUCTION, PROVIDE

FAIR AND STABLE INCOMES TO OUR FARMERS, AND ASSURE

ADEQUATE SUPPLIES OF FOOD AT REASONABLE PRICES TO

AMERICAN CONSUMERS.

AGRICULTURE IS ONE OF THE MOST COMPLEX

PRODUCTION SYSTEMS IN OUR MODERN ECONOMY. IT MUST

OPERATE WITHIN A COMPREHENSIVE NATIONAL POLICY. IT

CANNOT RESPOND SATISFACTORILY TO THE HURKY-JERKY,

SEAT OF THE PANTS, AD HOC SIGNALS THAT TODAY EMINATE

FROM U.S.D.A. WE HAVE SEEN THIS DEMONSTRATED TIME

AND TIME AGAIN AND I AM FED UP WITH THIS APPROACH.

A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THIS KIND OF THINKING WAS

THE U.S.D.A. DECISION TO OPEN UP ALL FARM LAND FOR

MAXIMUM PRODUCTION, WITHOUT TELLING THE FERTILIZER

INDUSTRY A THING ABOUT ITS PLAN. AS A RESULT, FERTILIZER

SUPPLY FOR THE NEW LAND WASN'T AVAILABLE AND FERTILIZER

PRICES SOARED. OF COURSE, THIS DID NOT HELP THE FARMERS'

INCOME AT ALL, BUT IT DID PUSH THE PRICE OF FOOD WAY UP.

A NATIONAL FOOD POLICY WOULD TREAT AGRICULTURE

AS A SYSTEM AND SEE TO IT THAT THESE KINDS OF FOUL-UPS

ARE MINIMIZED OR ELIMINATED.

SUCH A POLICY WOULD ALSO INCLUDE AN EXPORT LICENSING SYSTEM FOR AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES DETERMINED TO BE IN CRITICALLY SHORT SUPPLY. I HAVE ALWAYS BEEN A FREE TRADE ADVOCATE, I REMAIN ONE TODAY, BUT, IT IS LUNACY TO PERMIT THE OFFICIAL SWASHBUCKLERS OF THE FREE MARKET SYSTEM IN U.S.D.A. TO CLOSE THEIR EYES TO DEALS THAT COST AMERICAN CONSUMERS MILLIONS, FATTEN THE BANK ACCOUNTS OF THE SPECULATORS, DON'T BENEFIT OUR FARMERS, AND CAUSE MALNUTRITION AND STARVATION FOR MILLIONS OF HUMAN BEINGS IN COUNTRIES THAT RELY ON OUR BOUNTY FOR THE VERY LIFE OF THEIR PEOPLE.

IF IT IS A CRIME TO WANT TO PRUDENTLY MANAGE

OUR NATION'S ABUNDANCE FOR THE GREATEST GOOD FOR ALL

OUR PEOPLE, I PLEAD GUILTY.

WE MUST ALSO ESTABLISH A NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL FOOD RESERVE PROGRAM TO PROTECT BOTH CONSUMERS
AND FARMERS. TODAY, WORLD FOOD RESERVES ARE AT THEIR
LOWEST LEVEL IN 25 YEARS, WE CAN ONLY MEET FOOD NEEDS
FOR ABOUT 27 DAYS, BEFORE THE CUPBOARD WILL BE BARE.

WE HAVE HUGE STOCKPILES OF BOMBS, PLANES, FUELS, MINERALS, AND MANY OTHER SO CALLED STRATEGIC MATERIALS FOR PROTECTING OUR NATIONAL SECURITY. I ASK YOU, IS THERE A MORE SERIOUS THREAT TO OUR SECURITY THAN STARVING PEOPLE - I THINK NOT.

WE MUST ALSO ESTABLISH - NOW - A NATIONAL

ENERGY POLICY. IT MUST BE BASED ON A MAJOR SHORT

AND LONG-TERM ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAM. WE

NEED TO SET SPECIFIC ENERGY CONSERVATION GOALS AND

THEN DO WHAT WE MUST TO REACH THOSE GOALS.

EXCEPT FOR THE PERIOD OF SEVERE GAS SHORTAGE

LAST WINTER, WE HAVE HAD NO SERIOUS NATIONAL CONSERVATION

PROGRAM. IN FACT, WE HAVE DONE NOTHING TO REVERSE SOME

VERY ANTI-CONSERVATION PRACTICES.

FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAVE ALL VENTED OUR FRUSTRATION
WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES. WHEN WE TURNED OUR THERMOSTATS
DOWN, THEY REWARDED US BY PUSHING OUR UTILITY BILLS UP.
HOW CAN WE EXPECT OUR PEOPLE TO TAKE CONSERVATION
SERIOUSLY WHEN THIS KIND OF BEHAVIOUR IS CONDONED.

I WILL BE INTRODUCING A COMPREHENSIVE ENERGY CONSERVATION MEASURE WHEN CONGRESS RECONVENES IN NOVEMBER.

THE SECOND PRONG OF OUR ENERGY POLICY MUST

BE AN EXPANDED ENERGY RESEARCH PROGRAM. SO FAR WE

HAVE HAD A LOT OF RHETORIC ABOUT "PROJECT INDEPENDENCE,"

BUT VIRTUALLY NO ACTION.

THE ONLY PROMISING DEVELOPMENT HAS BEEN PASSAGE

BY CONGRESS OF MY SOLAR ENERGY RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND

DEMONSTRATION ACT. THE PRESIDENT HAS SIGNED THIS LAND
MARK LEGISLATION WHICH CALLS FOR A \$1 BILLION SOLAR

ENERGY PROGRAM. HAVING STUDIED THIS AREA RATHER CLOSELY,

AND HAVING PUSHED FOR MORE FUNDING SINCE 1962, I AM

CONVINCED THAT THE MOST ECONOMICAL ENERGY INVESTMENT

TODAY IS A MASSIVE INVESTMENT IN SOLAR ENERGY FOR TOMORROW.

As I see it, the price of oil will only

COME DOWN - OR STABILIZE - WHEN THE ARABS ARE CON
VINCED THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE SELF SUFFICIENT.

THEY KNOW WE HAVE THE CAPACITY TO DO IT. THEY KNOW

THAT IF WE SUCCEED, ALL THE INDUSTRIALIZED NATIONS

WILL FOLLOW OUR LEAD.

AS YET, THEY HAVE NOT SEEN US SHOW THE WILL TO BE SELF-SUFFICIENT.

I BELIEVE THAT A TOUGH CONSERVATION PROGRAM

AND A MASSIVE ENERGY RESEARCH PROGRAM WILL GIVE THE

SHIEKS THE MESSAGE. BUT, IF WE CONTINUE TO HAVE OUR

NATION'S LEADERS GIVE NICE LITTLE SERMONS THAT EQUATE

ENERGY CONSERVATION WITH CLOSING THE DOORS, AND PUTTING

ON AN EXTRA SWEATER, THE ARABS WILL NOT GET THE MESSAGE

THAT AMERICA IS SERIOUS ABOUT SELF-SUFFICIENCY.

AND WE WILL NOT GET THE FUEL PRICE CUT THAT WE NEED.

WHILE ON THE SUBJECT OF ENERGY, I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT IT WAS STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT INITIATIVE THAT REALLY HELPED GET OUR NATION THROUGH THE "ENERGY CRUNCH" LAST WINTER. IN FACT, STATE LEGISLATORS AND LOCAL OFFICIALS MOUNTED THE MOST SUCCESSFUL ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAMS, DEVISED THE ODD-EVEN DAYS GAS SALES SCHEME, IN SOME AREAS THEY STAGGERED GAS STATION HOURS, DEVELOPED THE EMERGENCY FUEL SUPPLY PROGRAMS, AND MADE THE PRIORITY USERS ALLOCATION PROGRAMS WORK AS WELL AS THEY DID.

WHEN YOU COUPLE THIS KIND OF ROLE WITH

THE GROWING RESPONSIBILITY OF STATE AND LOCAL ELECTED

OFFICIALS FOR "PEOPLE" PROGRAMS, THE NEED TO ELECT

PROGRESSIVE MINDED PEOPLE TO THESE POSITIONS BECOMES

OBVIOUS.

TODAY YOU NEED PERSONS TO REPRESENT YOU WHO

CARE ABOUT PEOPLE -- NOT ONLY IN CONGRESS, BUT IN THE

GOVERNOR'S MANSION, IN THE STATE LEGISLATURE, IN CITY

HALL, AND IN THE TOWN HALL. THIS IS WHERE GOVERNMENT

MEETS THE PEOPLE, UNDERSTANDS THEIR PROBLEMS, AND

RESPONDS TO THEM - OR IT FAILS.

WE FACE MANY SERIOUS PROBLEMS IN AMERICA TODAY.

BUT, WE HAVE HAD THEM IN THE PAST, TOO. AMERICA'S

GREATNESS LIES IN THE ABILITY OF ITS POLITICAL SYSTEM,

AND MORE FUNDAMENTALLY ITS PEOPLE, TO OVERCOME PROBLEMS
BE THEY WAR - DEPRESSION - OR SCANDAL. AND THIS BASIC

STRENGTH CAN ONLY BE MAINTAINED BY A CONSCIENTIOUS

ELECTORATE THAT CHOOSES ITS LEADERS WISELY.

#

Minnesota Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.

