

83
C. J. PAUL,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HOPE, STEELE CO., N. DAK.,

Hope N. D. Mch 6TH 1893

Minneapolis Trust Co.
Minneapolis

Gentlemen - I enclose you copy of letter dated Feb 24-
93 - & sent by me to Mr S. S. Broude - 57- Great Northern
Building - St Paul. I have written him more than
once about the matter but can get no reply.
I am your atty in re Minneapolis Trust Co. v. M^cBroude
warranty - involving some what raised by the Tickers which
you claimed under chattel mortgage - and which Sawyer & M^c
Broude claimed under bill of sale. It was a replevin case -
& there was a possibility of a probability of settlement.
The case was begun in April 1892 - and Mr Broude was
representing your interests here. As said before I can
get no response from Mr Broude nor money for services &
cash disbursed. Though sheriff's bill was paid. Please reply
at once -

Yours very truly

C J Paul

C. J. PAUL,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HOPE, STEELE CO., N. DAK.,

83 Hope N.D. Feb 24th 1893

D. J. Breed Esq
St Paul

Dear Sir - Please inform me whether or not the
case of Minneapolis Trust Co. v. Sawyer - McLeod & al
involving Picketts wheat has been settled - or whether
it must be tried - I want to know about this - I wrote
you once before but received no reply -

My fee in this matter as you remember is 75⁰⁰
I have paid out 6¹⁰ - for publishing chattel mty
for closure notice & filing seed corn - Total \$81¹⁰
It is time that I had some money - Please see
that it is remitted - Kindly reply at once -

Yours truly C J Paul