

1

[101]

Does the minute description of the St P  
& Pacific tend towards anything beyond a description  
of the line?

The charter of the St. Pacific limits its powers  
to an East & line from Lake Superior to Duluth  
and does not allow it to own any line  
not a part of such Main Line from Lake Superior

Does the reorganization under the late foreclosure  
of the N.P. Mfg give them all the powers of the old  
company and does an agreement made with the  
present company bind them provided Congress does  
or does not grant them a recognition of the  
reorganization, or is the effect of any such  
congressional action limited to their hands only.  
Was their charter mortgaged?

# Fol 7 - Should this not render said points on  
the main line of said St. Pacific ~~as~~ Does  
not the language used give color of a right to the  
St Pacific to use the Extension line North of  
St Paul on a different basis from other parts  
of the St P & Pacific, as regards business other  
than from local points on the St. Pac, as for  
instance business from St Paul or Minneapolis  
else why name St Paul?

# Fol 9 - The agreement should declare it is  
to be recognized by each of the parties that the  
Nor Pac is ~~the~~ an East & West line ~~and~~  
the St P & Pacific terminating on Lake Superior  
and the St P & Pac a North & South line and  
the natural channel of traffic to be divided  
accordingly

# Fol 10 If words "Main & Branch" are to be used  
<sup>why not</sup> why not Extension also, so as to fit into St. Cloud  
Is it necessary to add "and the exceptions  
hereinafter provided," on folio 11

2

[1879?]

- # Tol 12. As separate ticket office may be objected to by the Union Depot les?
- Q Tol 12. In places of "necessary" side tracks and switches, would it not be better to say "approaches"
- Q Tol 12. When we have furnished track into Union D spot and ~~so charge for~~ the Union depot les provides a Transfer track to the Levee on the Miss River does this not comply with protocol? and would it not be better as far as possible to provide specifically for the tracks ~~re~~ and not leave this clause so general
- # Tol 12. The ground furnished by the city of St Paul to be accepted, in lieu of this Province.
- Q Tol ~~13~~ How can we furnish tracks to all other connecting lines? The N. Pac freight yard will be north of Third St, and a transfer track through to the levee under control of the Union Depot les is all that should be necessary, and the N. Pac should pay its proper share of expenses levied by U. D. les for using such tracks.
- # Tol 15. See protocol - St Paul & Pac only furnish trackage into Minneapolis (west) and St Cloud - It is intended that the Nor Pac shall furnish their <sup>own</sup> Depot Grounds in both places, but they may have use of ours temporarily until ~~that~~ time, which should be limited
- Q Tol 15. This might oblige us to furnish connection with other roads outside of our present property which should not be

[1879?]

# Fol 21 - This should ~~read only it be the~~ give the Northern Pacific the same rights and no more than ~~same~~ ~~power~~ will possess under this agreement for the single track.

# Fol 22 The amount to be paid to the Nor Pacific for transporting local traffic on the branch line should not be one half - but should be left for adjustment between the respective Managers - and if they cannot agree the St Paul must do the work with its own trains. Nor Pacific report all ~~the~~ fares local.

Folio 23 Each company should be responsible for all the acts and omissions of its officers or servants.

Folio 27 & 28 This should not allow the Nor Pacific to connect with or operate to and the trains between East St Cloud and St Cloud ~~without~~ ~~any~~ ~~line~~ to run with any road on their Minneapolis on the west side of the Mississippi River or any line which comes in competition with either of the lines of the St Paul & P&P west or southwest from St Cloud.

# Folio 33. The Nor Pacific should not have or operate any line to be built that will compete with the lines of the St Paul & Pacifc as now constructed or that will compete with or oppose the idea that all north & south business belongs to the St P&P&P and that east & west business belongs to the Nor Pacific - The St P&P&P should provide for building a line on west side of Red River crossing the N.Pac. in general direction at right angles

[1879?]

4 Folio 34. What is a natural division of traffic? The Northern Pacific ~~should~~ here should be limited to business going by way of the lakes from Duluth - as they may healthily make connection with the Wisconsin Central at Wabash and thus form a line to Chicago which would compete for traffic going to Chicago which would otherwise go via St Paul via the St P & Pacific.

\* Folio 35. Slyndon business should be divided on fair basis. It would not be fair for the Nor Pac to compete for all traffic via Duluth and then ask St P & Pac to divide the balance to St Paul or Minn apolis equally.

# Folio 35. Should need 2 mid points beyond Slyndon on the lines of both parties unless it is to be understood that the Nor Pacific have the only line from their point to points west of Slyndon on their road.

# Folio 36. It should be provided that the Nor Pac may make rates from Duluth to ~~points~~ Manitoba and the relatives at same rates as are fixed by the St P & Pac from St Paul to Manitoba, and the relative division of the rates on such Duluth business shall be equitably divided.

Folio 38. Will a reference to this agreement in a mortgage be any cloud against the value of the security of bonds?

F. 27.  
TREASURER'S OFFICE,  
ST. PAUL, MINNEAPOLIS & MANITOBA RY CO.,  
ST. PAUL, MINN.

Memorandum  
Nathan Rae and Sp. Rae

[1879?]

[1879?]

yourself from any responsibility, in case there should prove to be a deficiency hereafter.

As in regard to purchases of less and material for next summer's work, the said work will probably be done under the Reorganized Co. we would leave that matter as much as possible to Mr. Stephen, under his directions of Mr. Stephen. He believes it to be very important, however, that before dates of fall closure we arranged that all matters connected with the right of way - could be settled and paid in full so as to leave no question of that sort to be decided after scenes are entered.

Mr. Stephen informed us by letter received yesterday, that he has been somewhat embarrassed in his negotiations with the Government by the fact cars through rates of freight and for passengers had been given through to St. Boniface. Mr. Stephen <sup>had</sup> telegraphed you in regard to this asking you to withdraw all rail rates north of the Conway River.

We do not understand exactly the situation of Mr. Stephen in regard to the Canadian River, but we know that the situation is a delicate one on account of political consider-

[1879] [2]

	Profit & Loss	Dr	Credit
Jas. O'lemon		607.-	
Bradley		36.695.66	
Tools	74.00 190.00 229.00	505.04	
Tracklaying		26.913.80	
Timber Work		228.05	
Expense		2.399.27	
Timp Street		6.036.13	
Canadian P Ry			54.100.-
Labour Passag		19.50	
Lynch Shirley		3.95.40	
John McDonald		5.018.00	
Miscellaneous Prof.		35.50	
Canary & McCaron		200.-	
R R. McDonald		300.-	
Board a/c		49.50	
B. J. Gooding		10.967.99	
Boarding Camps			1.485.16
Track	"	460.87	
Macaulay & Davis		800.-	
Running Expenses		4031.00	
St B & Station		15.90	
Passenger Fares			2.516.75
Freight a/c			11.449.41
Interest		946.90	
Mileage & Cars		105.84	
R R Train Tax		3.000.-	
Suspense Ac		37.34	
Allowance office Rent		200.	
Bradley's Bridge		1.671.11	
Hot Extra Bill			15.000.-
A. Bank for etc			6.000.-
		101.632.94	90.551.32
		90.551.32	
		11.081.62	

Assets	Liabilities	[1879?]
Cash		
Bank	5,498.92	Assets
Yard		156.42
Margs	3,000. -	
I. Upper	4,486.03	
H. D. W.	14,670.35	
Harty	13,867.57	
Graving & Other Tools		1,000.00
Sheriff Round House		18.95
Clothes	45.35	
H. Ross		5.00
R. S. MacLean		4.75
Stock		1866.30
I. Bates		
Labinet of Stock	7.54	
R. Davies	159.00	
Hutchinson	25.07	
Lucas	3.66	
Robinson Hes	15.87	
I. MacLean		3.00
Martin		1.00
Rolling Stock		14,700.44
G. MacLean		
Geo. Ashe	20.60	
W. G. Alloway		615.00
H. P. & R. MacLean		5.00
J. J. Hill	1082.90	
	1125.00	
	44,263.38	18,962.27

*P. T. S.*  
Yard Extra Bill  
" Park Per City

15,000.  
6,000.  
39,962.27

[1879?]

I will accept the advances made on the work  
less \$5,000, and assign the contract, or I will pay  
the advances made by W. B. Miller or his curtee less \$5,000.  
and complete the contract.

Payment to be on or before May 1<sup>st</sup>.

W.

26

1879

### Braided Branch

4 1/2 miles iron from Gramicks	
earth 396 tons @ 45	17,820.00
Fish plate spikes laying	1,600.00
Work done as per Engineers	1.00
Estimates	203,000.00
	<u>\$ 227,620.00</u>

[1879] [2]

Pro River 10th

J. J. Hill

Action house at  
St Vincent will be  
ready to paint today  
It is not large enough  
for the crew. There  
now Had it not  
better be painted  
before we move  
We are out of well  
Curing at St Vincent  
well 16 feet in clay  
no water when  
will you be in Procons

1879

Nor Pacific  
St Paul & Pacific  
Extension Lines

7 locomotives taken from  
Ex Line by Nor. Pac.

These locomotives were paid for  
by over charges on handling material  
charged full rate \$180,000

Should be 60% 108,000 72,000.

15000 cords of wood @ 2 $\frac{1}{2}$  30,000.

Station house at Elyndale 2,000.

560 Keys spikes from docks

at Duluth and Brainerd 3 $\frac{1}{2}$  2,940.

280 tons rails taken by St. Pac 12,600.

Machinery taken from Engine

House at Elyndale by Nor. Pac

which had been paid for by 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  Div 600

Estimated 3 12,000.

Work done and material furnished 3  
by 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  Div to Nor. Pac. R.R. 3 81,742.63

Work done by 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  Div on Brain Branches 1,611.94

\$214,894.57

[1879] [22]

~~Mr J F Hill~~  
Mr J F Hill

Dear Sir

May I  
trespass upon you for  
~~yourself~~ in asking for  
a pass to St Vincent  
for myself and daughter  
the child having recovered  
from an attack of fever  
Dr says the trip will  
be very beneficial to her  
I feel loath in asking  
this of you; having already  
taken the trip, but by  
granting this request you  
will greatly oblige

Yours truly  
Mrs C. L. H. Steele



Minnesota  
Historical Society

Copyright in this digital version belongs to the Minnesota Historical Society and its content may not be copied without the copyright holder's express written permission. Users may print, download, link to, or email content, however, for individual use.

To request permission for commercial or educational use, please contact the Minnesota Historical Society.



[www.mnhs.org](http://www.mnhs.org)